Case 4:17-cv-00562-KGB Document 1 Filed 09/01/17 Page 1 of FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK By: DEP CLERK

VANESSA YORK, MARSHAL EMMERLING, and MATTHEW MOSS, Each Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

vs.

No. 4:17-cv-562 - KGB

VELOX EXPRESS, INC. and to Magistrate Judge DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

COME NOW Plaintiffs Vanessa York, Marshal Emmerling, and Matthew Moss (collectively "Plaintiffs"), each individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through their attorneys Steve Rauls and Josh Sanford of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for their Original Complaint—Collective Action against Velox Express, Inc. ("Defendant"), do hereby state and allege as follows:

I.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, bring this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiffs and all others

Page 1 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

similarly situated minimum and overtime wages as required by the FLSA and

AMWA.

2. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas

has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §

1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

3. Plaintiffs' claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or

controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this

complaint; therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs'

AMWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

4. Defendant conducts business within and without the State of

Arkansas, providing courier/delivery services within the State of Arkansas, as

well as outside of the State of Arkansas.

5. Defendant maintains an office in Bryant, Arkansas.

6. Venue lies properly within this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1)

and (c)(2), because the State of Arkansas has personal jurisdiction over

Defendant, and Defendant therefore "resides" in Arkansas.

7. Plaintiffs were employed by Defendant as courier/delivery drivers,

performing services for Defendant in the Western Division of the Eastern District

of Arkansas.

8. The acts alleged in this Complaint had their principal effect within

the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas, and venue is proper in

this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

Page 2 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

II.

THE PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Vanessa York is a citizen of the United States and a resident and domiciliary of the State of Arkansas. At all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, York was an employee of Defendant, performing job-related services in Bryant, Arkansas, as well as throughout the State of Arkansas.
- 10. Plaintiff Marshal Emmerling is a citizen of the United States and a resident and domiciliary of the State of Arkansas. At all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Emmerling was an employee of Defendant, performing job-related services in Bryant, Arkansas, as well as throughout the State of Arkansas.
- 11. Plaintiff Matthew Moss is a citizen of the United States and a resident and domiciliary of the State of Arkansas. At all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Moss was an employee of Defendant, performing job-related services in Bryant, Arkansas, as well as throughout the State of Arkansas.
- 12. At all times material herein, Plaintiffs and those similarly situated have been entitled to the rights, protections and benefits provided under the FLSA and the AMWA.
- 13. Defendant Velox Express, Inc., is a foreign corporation licensed to do business in Arkansas and having a registered agent for service of process of Jill Renae Sanders, 2809 Arbors Court, Bryant, Arkansas 72022.

Page 3 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

14. Defendant is headquartered in Greenwood, Indiana, and maintains

a location in Bryant, Arkansas.

15. Defendant is in the business of providing courier/delivery services,

and employs couriers/delivery drivers to accomplish this goal.

16. Defendant employs individuals who handle, sell, or otherwise work

on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce,

including, but not limited to, medical supplies and bio-materials, for the benefit of

Defendant.

17. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales for each of the three

years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint in this case is not less than

\$500,000.00.

18. Defendant employs more than four employees.

III.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

19. Plaintiffs repeat and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

20. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant was Plaintiffs' employer.

21. Defendant hired Plaintiffs to perform courier/delivery services, set

Plaintiffs' rates of pay, and fully controlled Plaintiffs' work schedules and the

manner in which Plaintiffs performed their job duties.

22. Plaintiffs performed courier/delivery services on Defendant's behalf

that included driving throughout Arkansas.

Page 4 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

23. Defendant required Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees to

drive their own vehicles in the performance of their job duties as courier/delivery

drivers.

24. At times, Plaintiffs' job duties for Defendant required them to work

more than forty hours per week.

25. In performing their job duties for Defendant, Plaintiffs incurred

vehicle-related expenses for the benefit of Defendant, including but not limited to

gas/mileage, maintenance costs, and depreciation to their vehicles.

26. Defendant paid Plaintiffs a piece rate for their services, regardless

of the number of hours worked by Plaintiffs.

27. The piece rate Defendant paid to Plaintiffs did not include any

amount intended to cover any of Plaintiffs' expenses in operating their vehicles in

the performance of their job duties for Defendant.

28. Defendant did not pay Plaintiffs any amount in addition to the piece

rate to cover any of Plaintiffs' expenses in operating their vehicles in the

performance of their job duties for Defendant.

29. After accounting for expenses related to the operation of Plaintiffs'

vehicles in the course of performing their job duties for Defendant, Plaintiffs' pay

amounted to less than the minimum wages required by the FLSA and AMWA.

30. Defendant required Plaintiffs to use their own vehicles in the

performance of their job duties for Defendant knowing that Plaintiffs would incur

expenses in doing so, yet Defendant deliberately failed to compensate Plaintiffs

for those expenses.

Page 5 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

31. Defendant also failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages at an amount

of one and one-half times Plaintiffs' regular rates of pay for all hours worked over

forty per week.

32. Defendant did not pay Plaintiffs any premium amount for hours that

he worked over forty per week.

33. Defendant knew or should have known that the job duties of

Plaintiffs required Plaintiffs to work hours in excess of forty per week, yet

Defendant failed and refused to compensate Plaintiffs for their work as required

by the FLSA and AMWA.

34. The putative class members were subject to the same job

requirements and pay structure as Plaintiffs, including the requirement that they

use their own vehicles for work and the fixed daily rate pay structure. Therefore,

the putative class members have suffered the same violations of the FLSA and

AMWA as Plaintiffs at the hands of Defendant.

35. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant was aware of the minimum

wage and overtime requirements of the FLSA and AMWA.

IV.

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Class

36. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

37. Plaintiffs bring their claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a

collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on

Page 6 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cvOriginal Complaint—Collective Action

behalf of all persons who were, are or will be employed by Defendant as similarly situated employees at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

- A. Minimum wages for the first forty (40) hours worked each week;
- B. Overtime premiums for all hours worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours in any week;
- C. Reimbursement for vehicle-related expenses sufficient to bring compensation to minimum wages and overtime premiums;
 - D. Liquidated damages; and
 - E. Costs of this action, including attorney's fees.
- 35. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiffs will file written Consents to Join this lawsuit.
- 36. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which Plaintiffs' Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 37. The proposed class of opt-in Plaintiffs in this case is defined as all persons who meet the following requirements:
- A. Were or are (hereinafter "were") employed by Defendant as couriers/delivery drivers, or equivalent positions; and
- B. Were or are required by Defendant to use their own vehicles in the course of performing their job duties for Defendant.
- 38. The proposed FLSA class members are similarly situated in that they share these traits:

Page 7 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

- A. They performed the same or similar job duties;
- B. They were required to provide their own vehicles for use in performing their job duties for Defendant; and
- C. They were subject to Defendant's common compensation policy that deprived them of minimum and overtime wages.
- 39. Plaintiffs are unable to state the exact number of class members but believe that the class exceeds twenty (20) persons.
- 40. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) class, which encompasses all couriers/delivery drivers and other similar employees employed by Defendant within the United States who were required to provide their own vehicles for use in performing their job duties for Defendant.
- 41. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the FLSA collective action Plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action Plaintiffs via first class mail and email and/or text message to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses and/or cell phone numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

٧.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claims for Violations of the FLSA)

43. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

44. Plaintiffs assert this claim for damages and declaratory relief

pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

45. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be,

Plaintiffs' "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

46. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §

203.

47. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in

commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty

(40) in one week and to pay one and one-half times regular wages for all hours

worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets certain

exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying Department of

Labor regulations.

48. Costs incurred by the employee for the benefit of the employer

work to reduce the amount of wages paid to the employee.

49. Plaintiffs did not qualify for any exemption to the minimum and

overtime wage requirements of the FLSA.

50. Despite Plaintiffs' entitlement to minimum and overtime wages

under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs minimum wage for all hours

worked through forty (40) per week or overtime at a rate of one and one-half

times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in each one-

week period, due in part to Defendant's failure to reimburse Plaintiffs for their

vehicle-related expenses and due to Defendant's piece rate pay structure.

Page 9 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

51. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiffs all minimum and overtime

wages owed and to reimburse Plaintiffs' work-related vehicle expenses was

willful.

52. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant had knowledge of the

minimum wage and overtime requirements of the FLSA and of Plaintiffs'

entitlement thereto, yet Defendant failed to comply with the same.

53. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiffs for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including

reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3)

years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

VI.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claims for Violations of the AMWA)

Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

55. Plaintiffs assert this claim for damages and declaratory relief

pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201 et seg.

56. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be,

Plaintiffs' "employer" within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-

203(4).

54.

57. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers

to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty in one

week and to pay one and one-half times regular wages for all hours worked over

Page 10 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

forty hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of

29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

58. Plaintiffs were non-exempt from the minimum wage and overtime

requirements of the AMWA.

59. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiffs to minimum wage and overtime

payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs an overtime rate of

one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked over forty

(40) in each one-week period, due at least in part to Defendant's failure to

reimburse Plaintiffs for their vehicle-related expenses, as well as through

Defendant's piece rate pay structure.

60. By reason of the unlawful and willful acts alleged herein, Defendant

is liable to Plaintiffs for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs,

including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the

three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint pursuant to Arkansas Code

Annotated § 11-4-218.

VII.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Collective Action Claim for Violations of the FLSA)

61. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

62. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

assert this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA, 29

U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

Page 11 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

63. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an "employer" of Plaintiffs and all those similarly situated within the meaning of the

FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

64. Class members suffered the same FLSA minimum wage and

overtime violations as Plaintiffs suffered individually, as alleged herein above.

65. By reason of the unlawful and willful acts alleged herein, Defendant

is liable to Plaintiffs and all those similarly situated for monetary damages,

liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all

violations that occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this

Complaint.

VIII.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiffs Vanessa York, Marshal

Emmerling, and Matthew Moss, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly

situated and the members of the proposed Section 216 class, respectfully pray

as follows:

A. That Defendant Velox Express, Inc., be summoned to appear and

answer this Complaint;

B. For orders regarding certification of and notice to the proposed

collective members;

C. For an order of this Honorable Court entering judgment in favor of

Plaintiffs and the proposed class members against Defendant for their actual

economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

Page 12 of 13
Vanessa York, et al. v. Velox Express, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

- D. For liquidated damages as provided for under the FLSA and the AMWA;
 - F. For attorneys' fees, costs, and pre-judgment interest; and
- G. For such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

VANESSA YORK, MARSHAL EMMERLING, and MATTHEW MOSS, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC ONE FINANCIAL CENTER 650 SOUTH SHACKLEFORD, SUITE 411 LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72211 TELEPHONE: (501) 221-0088 FACSIMILE: (888) 787-2040

By:

Steve Rauls

Ark. Bar No. 2011170 steve@sanfordlawfirm.com

and

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

4.17 cv5(a)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SFF INSTRICTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil di	ocket sileet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT FAGE C	JF ITIS FU	KM.)															
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VANESSA YORK, MARSHAL EMMERLING, and MATTHEW MO Each Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated				DEFENDANTS , VELOX EXPRESS, INC.															
										(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Saline (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Josh Sanford and Steve Rauls, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, On Financial Center, 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211; 501-221-0088; josh@sanfordlawfirm.com				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI				 TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES													
□ 1 U.S. Government	≱ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box for I		tt) DEF										
Plaintiff			Citiz		1 0 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	incipal Place		□ 4										
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	Citizen of Another State															
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		o 6	□ 6										
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 50	DECITION/DENIAL TY	DAN	VDUDTCV	OTHER CT	A THE PARTY.											
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	T	ORTS PERSONAL INJUR		DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure		KRUPTCY	OTHER ST		<u> </u>										
☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appea	al 28 USC 158 Irawal	375 False Clair 376 Oui Tam (
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability		0 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a))												
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/			DDODED	TV DICHTS	400 State Reap	portionm	ient										
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights		☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking												
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	j		☐ 830 Patent		☐ 450 Commerce												
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	ıl		☐ 840 Trade	mark	460 Deportation												
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	, ,		LABOR		SOCIAL SECURITY		470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations											
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPEI	RTY 🕭 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (☐ 480 Consumer	Credit											
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act	☐ 862 Black		490 Cable/Sat		1:4:/										
☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	12	0 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 864 SSID	C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	☐ 850 Securities/ Exchange		lities/										
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (4		☐ 890 Other State	utory Act	tions										
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	75	1 Family and Medical			891 Agricultur												
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation			☐ 893 Environme ☐ 895 Freedom o												
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		1 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS		Act												
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act		(U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration												
220 Foreclosure230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate			or De ☐ 871 IRS—	fendant)	☐ 899 Administra Act/Review												
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence	٠			SC 7609	Agency De		cai oi										
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General]		☐ 950 Constitution	onality of	•										
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	Other:	- A	2 Naturalization Application	1		State Statu	tes											
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth		5 Other Immigration															
	Other	550 Civil Rights	1	Actions															
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -																	
		Conditions of																	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Confinement	1				<u> </u>												
	ate Court	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify)	r District	6 Multidistr Litigation													
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Star 29 USC 201 et so Brief description of ca		re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless div	versity):													
	FLSA Violation: (
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No															
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER													
DATE /		SIGNATURE OF	TORMEV	OF RECORD	DOCKE	- HOMBER													
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	017	SIGNATURE OF	50	OI RECORD															
•	MOLINIT	A DDI MOTO TOD	•	H DOE		1410 55	OCE.												
RECEILT AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	AJC .												

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Velox Express Hit With Minimum Wage, OT Suit from Drivers</u>