## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Chun Feng Xia, individually and on behalf all other employees similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Case No.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Joe Asian Sushi Inc. d/b/a Joe Asian & Sushi, Ke Wei Chen, "Jane" Chen (First Name Unknown), and "John" Chen (First Name Unknown),

Defendants.

Plaintiff Chun Feng Xia ("Plaintiff") on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby files this complaint against the Joe Asian Sushi Inc. d/b/a Joe Asian & Sushi, and Ke Wei Chen, "Jane" Chen (First Name Unknown), and "John" Chen (First Name Unknown), (collectively "Defendants"), alleges and shows the Court the following:

### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff on his own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA") and the New York Labor Law, arising from Defendants' various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NYLL by engaging in a pattern and practice of

failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, compensation for all hours worked, minimum wage, and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek

- 3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime wages, (3) reimbursement for expenses relating to tools of the trade (4) liquidated damages, (5) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (6) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4. Plaintiff further allege pursuant to New York Labor Law § 650 et seq. and 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations §§ 146 ("NYCRR") and New York Common law that they are entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid minimum wages; (2) unpaid overtime compensation; (3) unpaid "spread of hours" premium for each day he worked ten (10) or more hours; (4) compensation for failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide paystubs in violation of the NYLL; (5) liquidated damages equal to the sum of unpaid minimum, unpaid overtime compensation, and unpaid "spread of hours" premium pursuant to the NY Wage Theft Prevention Act; (6) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (7) attorney's fees and costs.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York Labor Law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

#### **PLAINTIFF**

- 7. Plaintiff Chun Feng Xia is a resident of Queens and was employed as a deliveryman by Defendants Joe Asian Sushi Inc. d/b/a Joe Asian & Sushi, with principal place of business at 33-19 36<sup>th</sup> Ave, Long Island City, NY 11106 from November 9, 2017 to February 4, 2018.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Joe Asian Sushi Inc. d/b/a Joe Asian & Sushi, is a domestic business corporation organization and existing under the laws of the State of New York and maintaining its principal place of business at 33-19 36<sup>th</sup> Ave, Long Island City, NY 11106.

### **DEFENDANTS**

- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. d/b/a Joe Asian & Sushi had gross sales in excess of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) per year. Upon information and belief, Defendant purchased and handled goods moved in interstate commerce.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ke Wei Chen is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. and participated in the day-to-day operations of it and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ke Wei Chen owns the stock of Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours' employees will work.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant "Jane" Chen (first name unknown), the wife of Defendant Ke Wei Chen, is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. and participated in the day-to-day operations of it and acted

intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc.

- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant "Jane" Chen (first name unknown) owns the stock of Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours' employees will work.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant "John" Chen (first name unknown), the son of Defendant Ke Wei Chen, is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. and participated in the day-to-day operations of it and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant "John" Chen (first name unknown) owns the stock of Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours' employees will work.
- 16. At all times, relevant herein, Defendant Joe Asian Sushi Inc. was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 17. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Joe Asian Sushi Inc.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 18. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 19. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of minimum wage, overtime pay, spread of hours pay, reimbursement for expenses relating to tools of the trade, and failure to provide the required wage notice at the time of hiring would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.
- 20. From November 9, 2017 to February 9, 2018, Plaintiff Chun Feng Xia was hired by Defendants to work as a deliveryman for Defendants' restaurant.
- 21. Throughout his employment with the Defendants, Plaintiff worked from 11:30 a.m. until 11:00 p.m. from Tuesday to Thursday, 11:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. on Friday and Saturday, and 12:00 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. on Sunday. Plaintiff worked six (6) days per week with no lunch break. Plaintiff usually took Monday off. Therefore, Plaintiff worked approximately sixty-nine (69) hours per week.
- 22. Plaintiff received a fixed compensation of \$1,700 per month, regardless of how many hours he actually worked during the week. He was paid in cash each week.
  - 23. The applicable minimum wage for the relevant periods are:
    - a. November 9, 2017 to December 30, 2017 period was \$12.00 per hour.
    - b. December 31, 2017 to the February 4, 2018 period is \$13.50 per hour.
- 24. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with the required minimum wage according to state and federal laws.
- 25. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff overtime compensation according to state and federal laws. Plaintiff was not compensated for New York State's "spread of hours" premium for shifts that lasted longer than ten (10) hours, one day each week.

- 26. Defendants are not entitled to tip credit with respect to Plaintiff and all other tipped employees given Defendants' failure to (i) provide proper notice to employees of their tipped credit minimum wage rate and the proper overtime rate thereon, (ii) maintain records of tips earned by employees.
- 27. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not reimbursing Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff in order to deliver food to customers of Defendants. Plaintiff was required to provide his own method of transportation and pay any and all expenses acquired during the course of deliveries, this includes but is not limited to; gas, car maintenance, and car insurance.
  - 28. Under the FLSA, the Plaintiff is entitled to a credit for expenses for tools of trade.
  - 29. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with a wage notices at the time of his hiring.
- 30. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with an accurate paystub at each one of the pay periods.
- 31. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 32. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of minimum wages, overtime and the "spread of hours" premium would economically injure Plaintiff and the Collective Members by their violation of federal and state laws.
- 33. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff was not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.
- 34. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Action Members' workdays frequently lasted longer than 10 hours.

- 35. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and other Collective Action members' New York's "spread of hours" premium for every day in which they worked over 10 hours.
  - 36. Defendants failed to keep full and accurate records of Plaintiff's hours and wages.
- 37. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay. These notices were similarly not provided upon Plaintiff and other Collective Members' pay increase(s).

### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 38. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA minimum wage or the New York State minimum wage to Plaintiff or other similarly situated employees.
- 39. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA and New York Labor Law and the supporting federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 40. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.
- 41. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants at each of their four finishing locations for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") and whom failed to receive minimum wages, spread-of-hours pay,

and/or overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the "Collective Action Members"), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.

- 42. Upon information and belief, the Collection Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than ten (10) Collective Action Members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiff submits that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 43. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and have retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.
- 44. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this collective that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

- 45. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.
- 46. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:
  - a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;
  - b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members the minimum wage in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
  - c. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;
  - d. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members spread of hours payment for each day an employee worked over 10 hours;
  - e. Whether the Defendants failed to provide the Collective Action Members with a wage notice at the time of hiring as required by the NYLL;
  - f. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA; and,

- g. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.
- 47. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.
- 48. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

### **STATEMENT OF CLAIM**

#### **COUNT I**

## [Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Minimum Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective]

- 49. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants have been, and continue to be, "employers" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce," within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and §§207(a). Further, Plaintiff is covered within the meaning of FLSA, U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 51. At all relevant times, Defendants employed "employees" including Plaintiff, within the meaning of FLSA.
- 52. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 53. The FLSA provides that any employer engaged in commerce shall pay employees the applicable minimum wage. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

54. At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay the statutory minimum wage to Plaintiff, and the collective action members, for some or all of the hours they worked.

55. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. \$206 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid minimum compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.

56. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by failing to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Class Members at the statutory minimum wage when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action members.

## COUNT II [Violation of New York Labor Law—Minimum Wage Brought on behalf of Plaintiff]

- 57. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 58. At all relevant times, Plaintiff were employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 59. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay the minimum wage shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.
- 60. Defendants knowingly and willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay them minimum wages in the lawful amount for hours worked.

#### **COUNT III**

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective]

- 61. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 62. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).
- 63. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. \$207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC \$216(b).
- 64. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the FLSA.
- 65. At all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiff and Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 66. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requires employers to notify employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.
- 67. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiff and FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's and FLSA Collectives' labor.

68. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Members the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action Members.

## COUNT IV [Violation of New York Labor Law—Overtime Pay]

- 69. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 70. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay proper overtime compensation shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.
- 71. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the NYLL.
  - 72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective was not in good faith.

## COUNT V [Violation of New York Labor Law—Spread of Hour Pay]

- 73. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 74. The NYLL requires employers to pay an extra hour's pay for every day that an employee works an interval in excess of ten hours pursuant to NYLL §§190, et seq., and §§650, et seq., and New York State Department of Labor regulations §146-1.6.
- 75. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff's and FLSA Collective spread-of-hours pay was not in good faith.

#### **COUNT VI**

## [Violation of New York Labor Law—Time of Hire Wage Notice Requirement]

- 76. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. The Defendants failed to furnish to the Plaintiff at the time of hiring a notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with section one hundred ninety-one of this article; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL, § 195(1).
- 78. Due to the defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(1) each Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$50 for each workday that the violation occurred or continued to occur, up to \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law. N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-b).

## COUNT VII [Violation of New York Labor Law—New York Pay Stub Requirement]

- 79. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 80. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide detailed paystub information to employees every payday. NYLL §195-1(d).
- 81. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the New York Labor Law with respect to compensation of each Plaintiff and did not provide the pay stub on or

after each Plaintiff's payday.

82. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$250 for each workday of the violation, up to \$5,000 for Plaintiff for costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law N.Y. Lab. Law \$198(1-d).

#### **COUNT VIII**

## [Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act — Failure Reimburse for Expenses relating to Tools of the Trade]

- 83. Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated Collective Action Members and members of the Class repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 84. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to reimburse Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff in order to deliver food to customers of Defendants.
- 85. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade, which includes but is not limited to; gas, car maintenance, and car insurance. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to reimburse Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff in order to deliver food to customers of Defendants.
- 86. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to reimburse Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff when Defendants knew or should have known such was due.

#### **Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA collective members,

respectfully requests that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing Plaintiff at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been employed by defendants as non-exempt tipped or non-tipped employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and overtime wages;
  - b) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;
- c) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- d) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- e) An injunction against Joe Asian Sushi Inc., d/b/a Joe Asian Sushi, its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;
- f) An award of unpaid wages, minimum wages, and reimbursement of expenses Plaintiff incurred for the benefit of Defendants due to Plaintiff under the FLSA and New York Labor Law, plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL §§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after May 1, 2011 under NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, and interest;
  - g) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and New York Labor Law,

plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL §§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after May 1, 2011 under NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, and interest;

- h) An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York Labor Law;
- i) An award of damages for Defendants' failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and paystubs at each pay period as required under the New York Labor Law.
- j) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' knowing and willful failure to pay minimum wages and overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216;
- k) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay minimum wages, overtime compensation and "spread of hours" premium pursuant to New York Labor Law;
- l) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NYLL §§198 and 663;
  - m) The cost and disbursements of this action;
  - n) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;
- o) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following the issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL §198(4); and
- p) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

Dated: Flushing, New York March 6, 2018

HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

/S/ RUI MA

Rui Ma, Esq. 136-20 38th Ave., Suite 10G Flushing, New York 11354 Tel: 718.353.8588

rma@hanglaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

#### CONSENT TO SUE UNDER FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Joe Asian Sushi Inc. and/or related entities. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

XIA CHUN FENG Signature //31/2018 Date

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York				
Chun Feng Xia, individually and on behalf all other employees similarly situated,	) ) )			
Plaintiff(s)	)			
v.	Civil Action No.			
Joe Asian Sushi Inc. d/b/a Joe Asian & Sushi, Ke Wei Chen, "Jane" Chen (First Name Unknown), and " John" Chen (First Name Unknown),	) ) ) )			
Defendant(s)	, )			
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Joe Asian Sushi Inc., Joe Unknown), and "John" Ch 33-19 36th Ave, Long Isla				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Signature of Cloub on Domite Cloub			
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)			
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	-	·			
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the ind	dividual at (place)			
		; or				
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,		
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) , who					
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)			
	on (date)					
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	se	; or		
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.					
D .						
Date:		-	Server's signature	·		
		-	Printed name and title			
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket slieet. (SEE IIVSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH			_	
Chun Feng Xia			DEFENDANTS Joe Asian Sushi Inc. d/b/a Joe Asian & Sushi, Ke Wei Chen, "Jane" Chen (First Name Unknown), and "John" Chen (First Name			
	_		Unknown),	,,	`	
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff <u>C</u> XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	lueens .ses)	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	Queens	
(22	NODI I IIV O.B. I LIMVIII I CA	525)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	*	
				Of EARLD INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 1) HANG & ASSOCIATES,	PLLC	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
136-20 38th Ave., Suite Flushing, NY 11354 (718						
	,	<b></b>		DINCIDAL DADTIEC		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		ne Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<b>∡</b> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		<b>TF DEF</b> □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr	PTF DEF incipal Place	
		,		of Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	☐ 690 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ ☐ 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	LABOR	☐ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	<b>★</b> 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage  385 Property Damage	Relations  ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	-	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
1.7	Employment  ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 Naturalization Application☐ 465 Other Immigration	1		
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights	Actions			
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)			•	•	
		Remanded from 4 Appellate Court		erred from G 6 Multidistr er District Litigation Transfer		
	FLSA 29 USC 21		ling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	use: FOR OVERTIME OF	WORK			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.		JURY DEMAND:	: ☐ Yes 又No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD			
O3/06/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/ Rui Ma				
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE	

## 

## **CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY**

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Rui Ma	a	, counsel for Plaintiff	, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action
is inelig	gible for compulsory arbitration for the follo		,
ļ	monetary damages sought are i	n excess of \$150,000, exclusive of int	erest and costs,
ļ	the complaint seeks injunctive re	elief,	
L	the matter is otherwise ineligible	for the following reason	
	DISCLOSURE ST	TATEMENT - FEDERAL RU	JLES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corp	poration and any publicly held corpora	tion that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
N/A			
	RELATED CASE	STATEMENT (Section V	III on the Front of this Form)
to anothe substanti deemed ' "Presump	er civil case for purposes of this guideline when, ber tial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from "related" to another civil case merely because the c	cause of the similarity of facts and legal isson a assigning both cases to the same judge a sivil case: (A) involves identical legal issues.	III on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" uses or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a nd magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still
	<u>N)</u>	/-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS	RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the County?  Yes	Eastern District removed from No	a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giv County?  Yes	ring rise to the claim or claims,  No	or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did the events or omissions giv District?  Yes	ring rise to the claim or claims,	or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Prareceived:	actice Act case, specify the Count	y in which the offending communication was
Suffolk	k County, or, in an interpleader action, do k County? Yes I	oes the claimant (or a majority of t	defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or he claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ich it has the most significant contacts).
	(Note. A corporation shall be consider		
		BAR ADMIS	SION
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern	District of New York and currently	y a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
	<b>∠</b> Y	es	No
	Are you currently the subject of ar	ny disciplinary action (s) in this	or any other state or federal court?
	<b>□</b> Y	es (If yes, please explain	✓ No
	I certify the accuracy of all informa	ation provided above.	
	Signature:		

Reset

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

**Print** 

Save As...

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Joe Asian & Sushi Wrapped Up in Labor Law Allegations</u>