## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

REX T. WOODS, individually and in behalf of all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

-against-

17 CV 4311

RED CARPET MANAGEMENT LLC and IRA NEUBERG, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

- 1. The plaintiff, in behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees of the defendants who consent to become party plaintiffs, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), alleges that the defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and the defendants are liable to the plaintiff and party plaintiffs for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, and such other relief available by law.
- 2. The plaintiff further alleges, pursuant to N.Y. Lab. Law § 663(1), that the defendants violated the Minimum Wage Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 650, et seq., and the defendants are liable to the plaintiff for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, and such other relief available by law.
- 3. The plaintiff further alleges, pursuant to the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-b)–(1-d), that the defendants violated the same, N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(1)–(3), and that the plaintiff should be awarded of the defendants statutory damages, injunctive relief, and such other relief available by law.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. Jurisdiction of this action is conferred upon the Court by the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and further by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337.
- 5. Jurisdiction over all other claims is within the supplemental jurisdiction of the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. The parties reside where set forth herein. A substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in the judicial district of this Court.

#### **PARTIES**

- 7. The plaintiff Rex T. Woods is and was at all relevant times an adult residing in Queens County, New York.
- 8. The defendant Red Carpet Management LLC is and was at all relevant times a New York limited liability company with its office in Nassau County.
- 9. Upon information and belief, the defendant Ira Neuberg is and was at all relevant times an adult residing in Nassau County, New York.

#### COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the plaintiff commences this action as to the plaintiff's claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act as a collective action in behalf of all similarly situated current and former employees of the defendants at any time since three years before the date of this complaint to the entry of judgment in this action who consent to become party plaintiffs.
- 11. The plaintiff and the putative party plaintiffs have had substantially similar jobs and compensation and have been subject to the defendants' common policies and practices of willfully

violating the Fair Labor Standards Act as set forth in this complaint. The claims of the plaintiff stated herein are similar to those of the putative party plaintiffs.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. At all relevant times, the defendants' business is residential real estate doing and located at 260 Central Avenue, Lawrence, New York.
- 13. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Ira Neuberg was an owner, shareholder, officer, or manager of the defendants' business.
- 14. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Ira Neuberg was an individual who actively participated in the business of the defendants, exercised substantial control over the functions of the employees of the defendants, including the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, and acted directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer.
- 15. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Ira Neuberg had the capacity on behalf of the defendants to establish the wages and hours of the employees of the defendants.
- 16. The defendants are associated and are joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to the employees of the defendants, have common policies and practices as to wages and hours, and share control over the defendants' employees.
  - 17. The defendants employed the plaintiff approximately from April 22, 2013.
  - 18. The defendants employed the plaintiff as a porter.
- 19. The plaintiff worked for the defendants approximately between 40 and 70 hours per week.
- 20. The defendants paid the plaintiff approximately between \$10.00 and \$12.00 per hour.

- 21. The defendants paid the plaintiff by check.
- 22. The plaintiff and party plaintiffs worked more than forty hours each workweek, yet the defendants willfully failed to pay the plaintiff and party plaintiffs overtime compensation of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay.
- 23. The defendants failed to provide the plaintiff with a notice and acknowledgment at the time of hiring.
- 24. The defendants failed to provide the plaintiff with a statement with each payment of wages.
- 25. Upon information and belief, while the defendants employed the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, the defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient records.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 26. The plaintiff, on behalf of the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 as if they were set forth again herein.
- 27. At all relevant times, the defendants, employers, employed the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, employees, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), (e)(1), and (g).
- 28. The business activities of the defendants are related and performed through unified operation or common control for a common business purpose and constitute an enterprise, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 29. The enterprise of the defendants employs employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or in handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(i).

- 30. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the enterprise of the defendants has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done not less than of \$500,000, exclusive of separate retail excise taxes, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(ii).
- 31. Therefore, upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the plaintiff and party plaintiffs have been employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A).
- 32. The defendants in many workweeks willfully and repeatedly violated and are violating the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 207 and 215(a)(2), by failing to pay the plaintiff and party plaintiffs at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed more than forty hours in a workweek.
- 33. By 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the defendants are liable to the plaintiff and party plaintiffs for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation.
- 34. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, within the meaning of the Portal-to-Portal Act, 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 35. The plaintiff and party plaintiffs are further entitled to recover of the defendants an equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: MINIMUM WAGE ACT AND SECTIONS 193 AND 196-D OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

36. The plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 as if they were set forth again herein.

- 37. At all relevant times, the defendants, employers, employed the plaintiff, an employee, within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2(5)–(7), 190(2)–(3), and 651(5)–(6), and N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 141-3.2.
- 38. The defendants violated (and are violating) the plaintiff's rights by failing to pay or underpaying the plaintiff overtime compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times the plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours the plaintiff worked more than forty per workweek, in violation of N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 141-1.4.
- 39. By N.Y. Lab. Law §663(1), the defendants are liable to the plaintiff for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation.
- 40. The plaintiff is further entitled to recover of the defendants liquidated damages pursuant to the Minimum Wage Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 663(1).

## THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF: WAGE THEFT PREVENTION ACT

- 41. The plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 as if they were set forth again herein.
- 42. The defendants failed to furnish to the plaintiff, at the time of hiring or any time thereafter, a notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; any doing business as names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(1).

- 43. Due to the defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(1), the plaintiff should be awarded of the defendants statutory damages of \$50.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$2,500.00, until February 26, 2015, and statutory damages of \$50.00 per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$5,000.00, thereafter, pursuant to N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-b).
- 44. The defendants failed to furnish to the plaintiff with each wage payment a statement listing the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked, and the number of overtime hours worked; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages; in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(3) and N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 141-2.2.
- 45. Due to the defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(3) and N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 141-2.2, the plaintiff should be awarded of the defendants statutory damages of \$100.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$2,500.00, until February 26, 2015, and statutory damages of \$250.00 per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$5,000.00, thereafter, pursuant to N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-d).
- 46. Further, the defendants, including the defendants' officers, agents, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with the defendants, should be permanently enjoined from violating the Wage Theft Prevention Act, § 195(1)–(3), pursuant to the same, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-b)–(1-d).

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 47. The plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 46 as if they were set forth again herein.
- 48. Pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201–02, and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the plaintiff may obtain declaratory relief.
- 49. The defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, Minimum Wage Act, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.
  - 50. It is in the public interest to have these declarations of rights recorded.
- 51. A declaratory judgment serves the useful purpose of clarifying and settling the legal issues in this action.
- 52. A declaratory judgment would terminate and afford relief from uncertainty, insecurity, and controversy giving rise to this action.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 53. Wherefore, the plaintiff, on behalf of the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, prays this Court grant as relief:
  - a. designation of this action as a collective action in behalf of the party plaintiffs and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated putative party plaintiffs, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act in this action by filing individual consents to become party plaintiffs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and appointing the plaintiff and the plaintiff's attorneys to represent the party plaintiffs;

- b. a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Minimum Wage Act, section 191 of the New York Labor Law, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act;
- c. an award of unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, due under the Fair Labor Standards Act;
- d. an award of liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- e. an award of unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, due under the Minimum Wage Act;
- f. an award of liquidated damages pursuant to the Minimum Wage Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 663(1);
- g. an award of statutory damages for the defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(1)–(3), pursuant to the same, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-b)–(1-d);
- h. a permanent injunction restraining the defendants, including the defendants' officers, agents, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with the defendants, from violating the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(1)—(3), pursuant to the same, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-b)—(1-d);
- i. if liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;
- j. an award of prejudgment interest pursuant to the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, §§ 5001–02;

k. an award of postjudgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 and/or the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, § 5003;

1. an award of the attorney's fees, costs, and further expenses up to fifty dollars, of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 198(1)–(2) and 663(1); and

m. such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the plaintiff, on behalf of the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

LAW OFFICE OF JUSTIN A. ZELLER, P.C.

By:

Brandon D. Sherr

bsherr@zellerlegal.com

Justin A. Zeller

jazeller@zellerlegal.com 277 Broadway, Suite 408

New York, N.Y. 10007-2036

Telephone: (212) 229-2249 Facsimile: (212) 229-2246

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Dated: New York, New York July 20, 2017 JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

## Case 2:17-cv-04311 Document 2-1 VEIRO 07/20/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)	or in the second	and offers of count for the	
REX T. WOODS, individual situated	ually and in behalf of a	ll other persons simila	nrly DEFENDANTS RED CARPET MA severally			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CO	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Law Office of Justin A. Z. NY 10007-2036, 1-212-2	eller, P.C., 277 Broadv	<sup>r)</sup> vay Ste 408, New Yor	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			<b>IF DEF</b> 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Proof Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions.  OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reinstated or S Transfe Reopened Anothe (specify,	er District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	29 11 5 C 8 201 6	et seq. iuse:	O ( )			
VII. REQUESTED IN   CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$  CHECK YES only if demanded in compla					1	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 07/20/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	RNEY OF RECORD	Breka Sh.	/	
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

## 

### CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

∐ Brandon D. Sh	counsel for the plaintiff do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
ineligible fo	r compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
X	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides that "because the ca same judge and case: (A) invol	asses that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or sees arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the d magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil ves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power etermine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
	e civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk nty: No
a) D	ou answered "no" above: id the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk nty? Yes
	rid the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern rict? Yes
	er to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ity, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau ounty?
	BAR ADMISSION
I am currently	y admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  No
Are you curre	ently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?  Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above. Buch Sha

Signature:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Red Carpet Management Must Pay Overtime Wages, Suit Says