IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

NOV 28 2017

PLAINTIFF

JEFF WOMACK, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

vs.

No. 2:17-cv-205 - BRW

ST. FRANCIS COUNTY, ARKANSAS

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Jeff Womack, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Stacy Gibson and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint—Collective Action against Defendant St. Francis County, Arkansas ("Defendant"), he does hereby state and allege This case assigned to District Judge Wilson as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff Jeff Womack, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), against Defendant for violations of the minimum wage and overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (the "FLSA"), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. (the "AMWA").
- 2. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks declaratory judgment; monetary damages; liquidated damages; prejudgment interest; costs; and a reasonable attorney's fee, as a result of Defendant's policy and practice of

Page 1 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals proper minimum wages and

overtime compensation under the FLSA and under the AMWA within the applicable

statutory limitations period.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331

because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

4. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or

controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this

complaint. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA

claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

5. A substantial part of the acts complained of herein were committed and

had their principal effect against Plaintiff, within the Eastern Division of the Eastern

District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Jeff Womack is an individual and resident of St. Francis County.

7. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protections and benefits provided under the FLSA and the AMWA.

8. As an employee of Defendant, Plaintiff was an employee of a public

agency employed in law enforcement activities, and therefore entitled to the protections

of the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

Page 2 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

9. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was classified as an hourly employee

for Defendant and paid an hourly rate.

10. Defendant is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the FLSA and

AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff's

employer.

11. Defendant is an employer subject to the FLSA as a public agency

pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203.

12. Defendant participated in the management of Plaintiff's work, including

setting and enforcing the amount of hours worked and the amount and manner of

compensation paid.

13. Defendant dictated, controlled and ratified, both implicitly and explicitly,

the wage and hour practices and all related employee compensation policies that are at

issue in this case.

14. Defendant St. Francis County, Arkansas, operates the St. Francis County

Sheriff's Department where Plaintiff was employed within the three (3) years prior to the

filing of this Complaint as a sheriff's deputy.

15. Defendant can be served through the St. Francis County Judge, who is

Gary Hughes, 313 South Izard, Forrest City, Arkansas 72335.

16. At all relevant times, Defendant employed five or more employees in law

enforcement activities pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 213(b)(20).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

17. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

Page 3 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

18. At all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff was an

hourly-paid employee of the St. Francis County Sheriff's Department located in Forrest

City.

19. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a

sheriff's deputy.

20. Plaintiff Jeff Womack was employed by Defendant from approximately

November of 2013 until October of 2017, as a sheriff's deputy.

21. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not establish a "work period"

for the sheriff's department pursuant to the FLSA.

22. Plaintiff was routinely required to work in excess of 40 hours per week and

was not paid for all hours worked, nor was he paid properly for overtime.

23. Even if Defendant did establish a work period for the sheriff's department,

Plaintiff was routinely required to work in excess of 171 hours in a 28-day period and

was not paid for all hours worked, including overtime.

24. Plaintiff was instructed to phone in his hours each day, but no matter how

many hours he verbally reported, his paycheck never reflected more than 40 hours

worked each week.

25. Defendant knew that Plaintiff worked in excess of 40 hours per week and

also over 171 hours in a 28-day period, and Defendant required him to do so.

26. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff any overtime compensation because

Defendant shorted Plaintiff's reported work hours.

27. Defendant did not provide Plaintiff with compensatory time off for his

overtime hours.

Page 4 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

28. Plaintiff spent the majority of his workdays patrolling the county, writing

traffic citations, completing paperwork relating to incidents he responded to, and

appearing in court as a lay witness.

29. During the course of his employment, Plaintiff did not manage the

enterprise or a customarily recognized subdivision of the enterprise.

30. Plaintiff did not select any employees for hire nor did he provide any

formal training for any employees.

31. Plaintiff had no ability to hire and fire any employee.

32. Plaintiff did not have any control of or authority over any employee's rate

of pay or working hours.

33. Plaintiff did not maintain or prepare production reports or sales records for

use in supervision or control of the business.

34. Plaintiff did not have any responsibility for planning or controlling budgets.

35. Plaintiff was not paid for any hours he worked over 40 per week because it

was Defendant's policy for Major Gene Wingo to record no more than 40 hours worked

per week, even if a deputy reported more hours.

36. Plaintiff's actual day-to-day job duties and responsibilities were not exempt

under the FLSA with respect to payment for time worked in excess of 40 hours per

week, or alternatively 171 hours in a 28-day period.

37. Plaintiff's primary duties were policing, not managing other police officers.

38. Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week, or alternatively

171 hours in a 28-day period; he did not receive any overtime compensation.

Page 5 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

39. Although Plaintiff had assigned shifts, Plaintiff frequently had to appear in

court as a lay witness, which resulted in working many additional hours over the

scheduled shifts.

40. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of

the Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the

FLSA as described, infra.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Class

41. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

42. Plaintiff brings his claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

43. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly sheriff's deputies

employed by Defendant at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period,

who were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the

FLSA and who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

a. Payment for all hours worked, including a minimum wage for all hours

worked for Defendant and overtime premiums for all hours worked for Defendant in

excess of 40 hours in a workweek, or alternatively 171 hours in a 28-day work period;

and

b. Liquidated damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

44. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has

attached hereto as Exhibit "A" a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

Page 6 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

45. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward

through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

46. The members of the proposed FLSA Class are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

a. They were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the FLSA;

b. They were paid hourly;

c. They recorded their time in the same manner; and

d. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of denying overtime pay

for hours worked over forty per work week.

47. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the FLSA Class but believes that the class exceeds sixteen (16) persons.

48. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) class.

The names and physical and mailing addresses of the FLSA collective action plaintiffs

are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice should be provided to the

FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and text message to their last

known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone numbers as soon as

possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA

claim.

B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

49. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were

employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for

Case 2:17-cv-00205-BRW Document 1 Filed 11/28/17 Page 8 of 16

violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure.

50. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly sheriff's deputies who

are/were employed by Defendant within the relevant time period within the State of

Arkansas.

51. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed

class, such as whether Defendant paid the members of the proposed class for all hours

worked, including overtime in accordance with the AMWA.

52. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions

affecting only the individual named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other

available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the

proposed AMWA class.

53. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the

prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line

rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the

public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order

to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well

as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from

wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-

4-202.

54. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's

counsel knows of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class

concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

Page 8 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-____

55. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because

Defendant is based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all

proposed class members work or worked with in Arkansas.

56. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class

action.

57. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in

that Plaintiff worked as an hourly employee for Defendant and experienced the same

violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

58. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of

the class.

59. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other

complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the

extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate

additional counsel.

60. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed

class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to

individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards

of conduct for Defendant.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

61. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

Page 9 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case 2:17-cv-00205-BRW Document 1 Filed 11/28/17 Page 10 of 16

62. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

63. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

64. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any public agency to pay employees

engaged in law enforcement activities a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 in

one week, or up to 171 in a 28-day period if such an election has been made, and time

and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over 40 hours in a week, or over 171 in

a 28-day period if such an election has been made.

65. Defendant classified Plaintiff as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the FLSA.

66. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to minimum wage and overtime

payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked and

failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage and overtime premium.

67. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff all minimum wages and overtime wages

owed was willful.

68. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable

attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing

of this Complaint.

Page 10 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

69. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

70. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, et seq.

71. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

72. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers to pay

all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 in one week, or over 171

in a 28-day period if such an election has been made, and time and a half of regular

wages for all hours worked over 40 hours in a week, or over 171 in a 28-day period if

such an election has been made.

73. Defendant classified Plaintiff as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the AMWA.

74. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to minimum wage and overtime

payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked and

failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage and overtime premium.

75. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff all minimum wages and overtime wages

owed was willful.

76. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable

attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing

of this Complaint pursuant to Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-218.

77. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, he is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

78. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

79. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, asserts

this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et

seq.

80. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

"employer" of Plaintiff and all those similarly situated within the meaning of the FLSA, 29

U.S.C. § 203.

81. Defendant classified Plaintiff and all others similarly situated as non-

exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

82. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and those similarly situated to minimum

wage and overtime payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all

those similarly situated for all hours worked and failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly

situated a lawful minimum wage and overtime premium.

83. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated all minimum

wages and overtime wages owed was willful.

Page 12 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case 2:17-cv-00205-BRW Document 1 Filed 11/28/17 Page 13 of 16

84. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and

costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the

three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

IX. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

85. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

86. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed class,

asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas

Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, et seq.

87. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

"employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the

AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

88. Defendant classified Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class as

non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the AMWA.

89. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and members of the proposed class to

minimum wage and overtime payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay

Plaintiff and members of the proposed class for all hours worked, and failed to pay

Plaintiff and members of the proposed class a lawful minimum wage and overtime

premium.

90. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class all

minimum wages and overtime wages owed was willful.

Page 13 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case 2:17-cv-00205-BRW Document 1 Filed 11/28/17 Page 14 of 16

91. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff and all members of the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated

damages, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that

occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint pursuant to

Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-218.

92. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA,

they are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Jeff Womack respectfully prays as

follows:

A. That Defendant be summoned to appear and answer this Complaint;

B. For orders regarding certification of and notice to the proposed collective

action members;

C. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate

the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29

C.F.R. §516 et seq.;

D. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate

the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. and the related

regulations;

E.

Judgment for damages for all unpaid minimum wage and overtime wage

compensation owed to Plaintiff and the proposed class members under the Fair Labor

Page 14 of 10
Jeff Womack v. St. Francis County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 2:17-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case 2:17-cv-00205-BRW Document 1 Filed 11/28/17 Page 15 of 16

Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et

seq.;

F. Judgment for damages for all unpaid minimum wage and overtime wage

compensation under the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et

seq. and the related regulations;

G. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards

Act, 29 US.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq., in an

amount equal to all unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff

and the proposed class members during the applicable statutory period;

H. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum

Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq., and the relating regulations;

I. For a reasonable attorneys' fee, costs, and pre-judgment interest; and

J. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and

proper.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFF WOMACK, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC ONE FINANCIAL CENTER 650 SOUTH SHACKLEFORD, SUITE 411 LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72211 TELEPHONE: (501) 221-0088 FACSIMILE: (888) 787-2040

By:

Stacy Gibson

Ark. Bar No. 2014171

stacy@sanfordlawfirm.com

and

Josh Sanford

Ark Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
JEFF WOMACK, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated			ST. FRANCIS COL	JNTY, ARKANSAS	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff St. Francis County			County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)		
	ord; Sanford Law Firm, PLLC; (.ittle Rock, AR 72211; 501-221- n				
	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only,	III.		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government 🔰 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P7	rf def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	С	Citizen of This State	1	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties		Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS			Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance		NAL INJURY	J 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 365 Pers	sonal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 🗖 367 Hea		J 690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		rmaceutical sonal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Pro-	duct Liability		☐ 830 Patent	☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	1	estos Personal ary Product		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product Lia	bility	V + POP	☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability PERSON ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 370 Oth	er Fraud	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 371 Tru Product Liability ☐ 380 Oth	th in Lending	Act J 720 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Prop	perty Damage	Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise			J 740 Railway Labor Act J 751 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS PRISON	ER PETITIONS	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation			791 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		en Detainee tions to Vacate	Income Security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Sen	tence		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 530 Ger		IMMIGRATION	1	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Employment Other: 3446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Ma		1 462 Naturalization Application 1 465 Other Immigration		
	Other 550 Civ	il Rights	Actions		
		on Condition			
		ditions of			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	<u> </u>	imement			
	moved from		Reinstated or	er District Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under			tutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 29 U.S.C., et seq.; A.C.A. Brief description of cause: FLSA and AMWA unpaid		<u></u>		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLA UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.J	SS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI					
IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNA	TURE OF ATTORNE		alor o	
11/28/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			Smy 6	NSON	
	MOLINT API	DI VING IEP	UDGE	MAG IIII	VGE
RHCHIPLE A	MICHERNI ADI	ZI YING IHP	II II W 212	MAT: IIII	H TH

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>St. Francis County</u>, <u>Arkansas Facing Former Sheriff's Deputy's Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit</u>