WESTERN DIVISION

EASTERN DIST IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OCT 20 2017 EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS JAMES W. MCCORMACK, CLERK DEP CLERK PLAINTIFF

**DUANE WILSON, Individually and on** behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

VS.

٩

No. 4:17-cv- 686 - JM

This case assigned to District Judge <u>Moody</u> DEFENDANT REMINGTON ARMS CO., LLCand to Magistrate Judge Deere

#### **ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION**

COMES NOW Plaintiff Duane Wilson, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Josh Sanford and Chris Burks of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint-Class and Collective Action against Defendant Remington Arms Co., LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), and in support thereof, he does hereby state and allege as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS I.

1. This is a class action and a collective action brought by Plaintiff Duane Wilson individually and on behalf of all other Production Workers employed by Defendant at any time within a three-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint.

2. Plaintiff brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA") and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other Production Workers lawful overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

t

3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA and AMWA as described, infra.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

5. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this complaint.

6. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

7. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

8. Remington Arms Co., LLC, does business in this District and a substantial part of the events alleged herein occurred in this District.

9. The witnesses to overtime violations alleged in this Complaint reside in this District.

10. On information and belief, the payroll records and other documents related to the payroll practices that Plaintiff challenges are located in this District.

11. Venue is proper in this District. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b) & (c).

#### III. THE PARTIES

12. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

13. Plaintiff Duane Wilson is a resident and citizen of Lonoke County.

14. He was employed by Defendant as a Production Worker within the three(3) years preceding the filing of this Original Complaint.

15. Plaintiff was paid by the hour.

16. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection and benefits provided under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* 

17. Defendant Remington Arms Co., LLC, is a foreign limited liability company, registered and licensed to do business in the State of Arkansas.

18. Defendant's registered agent for service of process in Arkansas is The Corporation Company, 124 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 1900, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201.

19. Defendant is an "employer" within the meaning set forth in the FLSA and AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the class.

20. Defendant is involved in the manufacture and sale of firearms, including rifles, shotguns, handguns, and customized firearm products, as well as ammunition and other firearms parts and supplies.

21. Defendant operates several manufacturing and production facilities nationally where Defendant builds the products it offers to the public.

22. During the time period relevant to this case, Plaintiff was employed at Defendant's manufacturing and production facility in Lonoke, Arkansas (hereinafter the "Lonoke Manufacturing Facility").

23. Defendant has employees engaged in commerce and has employees handling or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by others, such as the component parts of firearms.

24. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

#### IV. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

25. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons similarly situated as Production Workers who were or are employed by Defendant and who are entitled to payment for all of their overtime wages which Defendant failed to pay from three years prior to the date of the filing of this lawsuit, through the time of the trial of this case.

26. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the class but believes that the class's membership is between 1,000 and 1,500 persons. Defendant can readily identify the members of the classes, who are a certain portion of the current and former employees of Defendant.

27. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the probable FLSA collective action Plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and notice should be provided

to the probable FLSA collective action Plaintiffs via first class mail to their last known physical and mailing addresses as soon as possible.

28. The email addresses of many of the probable FLSA collective action Plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and notice should be provided to the probable FLSA collective action Plaintiffs via email to their last known email addresses as soon as possible.

29. The cellular telephone numbers of the the probable FLSA collective action Plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and notice should be provided to the probable FLSA collective action Plaintiffs via text message as soon as possible.

30. The proposed FLSA class members are similarly situated in that they have been subject to uniform practices by Defendant which violated the FLSA, including:

A. Defendant's uniform failure to compensate employees pursuant to the requirements of the FLSA; and

B. Defendant's failure to pay members of the class proper overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

31. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant improperly calculated his regular rate of pay and overtime for Plaintiff and other Production Workers employed by Defendant.

#### V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

32. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

33. Within the time period relevant to this case, Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Production Worker.

34. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees worked in excess of forty(40) hours per week throughout their tenure with Defendant.

35. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees were classified as hourly employees.

36. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees were also paid shift premiums on a regular basis for a certain number of hours on certain shifts. These shift premiums were non-discretionary, as employees automatically received them if they worked certain shifts totaling certain hours.

37. In addition, Defendant paid Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees one-and-one-half of their base hourly rate for each hour they worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

38. However, Defendant did not include the shift premiums of Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees into their regular rate when calculating their overtime pay.

39. Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations requires that non-discretionary bonuses, such as shift and hour-based premiums, "must be totaled in with other earnings to determine the regular rate on which overtime pay must be based."

40. Defendant violated the FLSA and AMWA by not including shift and hourbased premiums of Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees into their regular rate when calculating their overtime pay. 41. Plaintiff worked for Defendant at the Lonoke Manufacturing Facility in Lonoke, Arkansas, and Defendant's pay practices were the same for all hourly workers at the Lonoke Manufacturing Facility.

42. Plaintiff worked on several different production lines, departments and shifts within the Lonoke Manufacturing Facility, and was always paid according to the same pay practices.

43. The pay practices that violate the FLSA alleged herein were the same at all of Defendant's manufacturing facilities.

44. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid Plaintiff and other Production Workers violated the FLSA and AMWA.

45. Defendant's Production Workers were classic manual laborers, working on production lines in both indoor and outdoor factory settings.

#### VI. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

#### A. Individual Allegations under the FLSA

46. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

47. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay employees one and one-half times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of forty (40) per week. 29 U.S.C.S. § 207 (LEXIS 2013).

48. Defendant violated Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations by not including shift premiums of Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees into their regular rate when calculating their overtime pay.

49. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

50. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

#### B. FLSA § 216(b) Representative Action Allegations

51. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

52. Plaintiff brings this collective action on behalf of all Production Workers employed by Defendant to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and members of the putative Classes for all the overtime compensation for all the hours he and they worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

53. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself individually *and* all other similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

54. In the past three years, Defendant has employed hundreds of Production Workers.

55. Like Plaintiff, these Production Workers regularly worked more than 40 hours in a week.

56. Defendant failed to pay these workers at the proper overtime rate. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed overtime for the same reasons, the opt-in class may be properly defined as:

### All Hourly Production Workers, or Similar Positions, For Defendant Within The Past Three Years Who Ever Worked in Excess of Forty (40) Hours in any Week.

C. Individual Allegations Under the AMWA

57. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

58. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-203(4).

59. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

60. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages owed, as required under the AMWA.

61. Defendant's failure to include shift premiums in Plaintiff's overtime pay resulted in a failure to pay Plaintiff full and complete overtime during weeks in which Plaintiff worked more than forty hours.

62. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

63. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three (3) years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

64. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

#### D. <u>Class Allegations Under the AMWA</u>

65. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

66. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

67. Plaintiff proposes to represent a class of individuals who are owed overtime wages and other damages for the same reasons as Plaintiff, which may be defined as follows:

#### All Hourly Production Workers, or Similar Positions, For Defendant Within The Past Three Years Who Ever Worked in Excess of Forty (40) Hours in any Week.

68. Upon information and belief, there are between 1,000 and 1,500 persons in the proposed class. Therefore, the proposed class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

69. Common questions of law and fact relate to all of the proposed liability class members, such as these:

i. Whether Defendant's policy of failing to properly pay overtime-rate wages to members of the proposed class who worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week was unlawful under the AMWA; and

ii. Whether, as a result of Defendant's failure to include shift premiums in its calculation of Plaintiff's overtime pay, Defendant paid members of the proposed class

one and one-half times their regular wages for hours worked over forty (40) in each week in accordance with the AMWA.

70. The above common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy.

71. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-202. To that end, all non-exempted employees must be paid for time worked over forty (40) hours per week at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate. Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-211.

72. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel know of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class concerning the allegations in this complaint.

73. No undue or extraordinary difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.

74. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed liability class in that Plaintiff and all others in the proposed liability class will claim that their shift premiums were not included in the calculation of their overtime rate of pay.

75. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the class.

76. Plaintiff's counsel are competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one.

#### VII. EQUITABLE TOLLING

77. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

78. The applicable statute of limitations for Plaintiff's FLSA cause of action should be tolled because strict application of the statute of limitations would be inequitable.

79. Defendant, as an employer with a duty to comply with the FLSA and the means to do so, was, and had at all relevant times been, in a far superior position than Plaintiff to understand the FLSA and apply it appropriately, and Defendant should not be permitted to benefit from this imbalance of power by the passage of time.

80. Further, FLSA regulations require that all employers display posters advising employees of their minimum wage and overtime pay rights. 29 C.F.R. § 516.4.

81. An employer's failure to post required FLSA notices regarding minimum wage and overtime provisions can toll the statute of limitations. <u>United States v.</u> <u>Sabhnani</u>, 566 F. Supp. 2d 139 (E.D.N.Y. 2008); <u>Henchy v. City of Absecon</u>, 148 F. Supp. 2d 435, 439 (D.N.J. 2001); <u>Kamens v. Summit Stainless, Inc.</u>, 586 F. Supp. 324, 328 (E.D. Penn. 1984).

82. Defendant failed to post all appropriate notices regarding the FLSA.

#### VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein as follows:

(a) That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the class members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the class members and all monies paid to them;

(b) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, *et seq.*, the AMWA, and their relating regulations;

(c) Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;

(d) Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, *et seq.*, the AMWA, and their relating regulations;

(e) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 US.C. §201, *et seq.*, the AMWA, and their relating regulations in an amount equal to all unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the Class during the applicable statutory period;

(f) An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and members of the Class prejudgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with this action; and

(g) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

.

Respectfully submitted,

### PLAINTIFF DUANE WILSON, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford Road, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

By:

lu

Chris Burks Ark. Bar No. 2010207 chris@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sphford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

and

Page 14 of 14 Duane Wilson, et al. v. Remington Arms Co., LLC U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-\_\_\_ Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

## DUANE WILSON, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

VS.

No.4:17-cv-

#### **REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.**

DEFENDANT

PLAINTIFF

#### CONSENT TO JOIN COLLECTIVE ACTION

I am/was employed by Remington Arms Company, Inc., during some of the three years prior to the signing of this document. I understand this lawsuit is being brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act for overtime compensation and other relief. I consent to becoming a party-plaintiff in this lawsuit, to be represented by Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DUANE WILSON c/o SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 TELEPHONE: (501) 221-0088 FACSIMILE: (888) 787-2040

Date: October 10, 2017

### Case 4:17-cv-00686-JM Document 1-1 Filed 10/20/17 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) CIVIL COVER SHEET 4:/7-cv-686-JMThe JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
DUANE WILSON, Individually and on Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated				REMINGTON ARMS CO., LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lonoke				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)						
Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Cente 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 501-221-0088; josh@sanfordlawfirm.com										
IL BASIS OF IURISDI	CTION (Place on "Y" in O	ne Box Ontri	шс	I TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "Y" in On	e Roy f	for Plaintif	
				II. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)						
1     U.S. Government     Image: Solution       Plaintiff     (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citiz	PTF     DEF     PTF     DEF       Citizen of This State     1     1     Incorporated or Principal Place     1     4     4       of Business In This State						
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			en of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State					
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.						
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHER ST		£S	
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -	Y 🗆 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	422 Appe 423 With	eal 28 USC 158 drawal	<ul> <li>375 False Claim</li> <li>376 Qui Tam (3)</li> </ul>			
□ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	<b>D</b> 69	90 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a))			
□ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/ Discussion			PROPERTY RIGHTS		□ 400 State Reapportionment			
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			□ 820 Copy		<ul> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and I</li> </ul>	Bankin	æ	
□ 151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			□ 830 Patent		□ 450 Commerce		D	
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application		<ul> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li> </ul>			
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	<ul> <li>340 Marine</li> <li>345 Marine Product</li> </ul>	Injury Product Liability			New 12 840 Trad		Corrupt Org			
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY		□ 480 Consumer Credit			
of Veteran's Benefits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		10 Fair Labor Standards	<ul> <li>861 HIA (1395ff)</li> <li>862 Black Lung (923)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> </ul>	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal		Act 20 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))		Exchange	.ommo	attes/	
□ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI		□ 890 Other Statu		ctions	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage Deschart Lishility		40 Railway Labor Act	🗖 865 RSI	(405(g))	<ul> <li>891 Agricultura</li> <li>893 Environment</li> </ul>		ttom	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	0 /3	51 Family and Medical Leave Act			□ 895 Environment			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		90 Other Labor Litigation		AL TAX SUITS	Act			
□ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	0 79	91 Employee Retirement		es (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitration		dumo	
<ul> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>441 Voting</li> <li>442 Employment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>463 Alien Detainee</li> <li>510 Motions to Vacate</li> </ul>		Income Security Act	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party		899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of			
□ 240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609		Agency Dec	cision	-	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General		MAGODATION	1		950 Constitution     State State		of	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	1 46	IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application			State Statut	es		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth		65 Other Immigration						
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions						
	448 Education	<ul> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detainee -</li> </ul>								
		Conditions of								
V. ODICIN		Confinement				······	]			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in		D 110	-							
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened Anothe (specify)		6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	- Li	itigatic rect Fi	on -	
		tute under which you a	re filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless d	iversity)				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 29 USC 201 Brief description of ca	1166.	_							
	Unpaid Overtime									
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTIO	N D	EMAND \$	(	CHECK YES only	if demanded in co	mplai	int:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2				J	URY DEMAND:	🗖 Yes	No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER				
DATE		BIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
10/20/2017	$\mathcal{X}$									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		//								
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	JGE			

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Lawsuit Claims Remington Miscalculates Production Workers' Overtime Rates