FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRCT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

JOY WILLIAMS,

Individually and on behalf of a class of persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

2017 OCT 20 AM 11: 47

Case No.: 3:17-CV-1178-J- 340RK

v.

SKO BRENNER AMERICAN, INC.,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

COME NOW, Plaintiff, JOY WILLIAMS, (hereinafter referred to as Plaintiff), by and through undersigned counsel, and sue Defendant, SKO BRENNER AMERICAN, INC. (hereinafter referred to as Defendant or its proper name), and alleges:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This is a class action brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"),
 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- 3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 since Plaintiff resides in this District and the conduct complained of occurred in this District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is a natural person, a Florida citizen, and a resident of Duval County, Florida. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was a "debtor" or "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a (3). Plaintiff has standing to bring a claim under the FDCPA because she was directly affected by violations of the FDCPA, and was subjected to Defendant's illegal and improper debt collection activities.

5. Defendant, SKO BRENNER AMERICAN, INC., is a debt collection company based in Farmingdale, NY. Defendant markets to lenders that it is "an accounts receivable management company & full service commercial Long Island collection agency offering a broad range of results-oriented credit and collection services to business and industry" and that their "tested and proven-effective methods and materials are capable of producing the highest collection and recovery rates in the industry." See Long Island Collection Agency, Collection Agencies New York, NYC Collection Agency LI | Sko Brenner, Long Island Collection Agency, Collection Agency, Collection Agencies New York, NYC Collection Agency LI | Sko Brenner, Long Island Collection Agency, Collection Agencies New York, NYC Collection Agency LI | Sko Brenner, Long Island Collection Agency, Collection Agencies New York, NYC Collection Agency LI | Sko Brenner, Long Island Collection Agency, Collection Agencies New York, NYC Collection Agency LI | Sko Brenner, Long Island Collecti

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On or about August 22, 2017, Plaintiff received a letter ("the Debt Collection Letter") from Defendant that specifically stated it was "an attempt to collect a debt." See Exhibit

 A, the Debt Collection Letter. This was the first letter she received from Defendant.
- 7. The Debt Collection Letter provided no information about what the debt was related to, specifically no original creditor. The only information provided a payment website from Defendant, account number (related to Defendant) and an amount due (\$700.00). Further, the Letter stated "[unless] you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid."
- 8. It was only after a diligent search that Plaintiff was able to find a number to contact Defendant regarding this debt.

9. Defendant is still attempting to collect on this debt.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

10. Plaintiff, JOY WILLIAMS, asserts her FDCPA and injunctive relief claims pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of herself and a class ("Debt Collection Class") defined as:

All persons within the state of Florida who, within the one years prior to the filing of the initial Complaint in this action through the date that Notice issues to the Class:(a) had a debt being collected upon by SKO Brenner American, Inc.; and (b) were subsequently sent a Debt Collection Letter substantially the same form as *Exhibit "A"* which failed to provide any information on the original creditor of their debt.

- 11. A FDCPA subclass of class members falling within the above definition within one year prior to the filing of the Complaint is also appropriate.
- 12. The Debt Collection Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical.

 Defendant routinely used form Debt Collection Letters in the form of Exhibit A when collecting debts. Defendant uses the same form letter in connection with its collection efforts in hundreds of accounts within the state of Florida that fall within the above Class definition.
- 13. There are questions of law and fact common to the Debt Collection Class and which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. The principal issue raised by these claims is whether Defendant's Debt Collection Letter violates the FDCPA as an unfair or deceptive means to collect a debt in light of the common statutory prohibition against failing to notify debtors of original creditors of debts, and the common language of the Debt Collection Letters.
- 14. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those individuals within the Debt Collection Class as the same Debt Collection Letters to Plaintiff and the Class. All are entitled to relief by

- virtue of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA in connection with Debt Collection Letters which failed to provide any information regarding the original creditor.
- 15. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class as she prosecutes her own individual claim. She has retained counsel experienced in class actions as well as the handling FDCPA actions. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests antagonistic to the Class or which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 16. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b) (3) is appropriate, in that a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The interests of the class members in individually controlling the prosecution and defense of separate actions is minimal, in that the class members are unlikely to be aware their rights were violated and in that individual actions are uneconomical. Difficulties likely to be encountered in managing this class action are substantially less than those that are involved in other types of cases routinely certified as class actions.

COUNT 1: FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA)

- 17. The above paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated herein.
- 18. In the case at bar, this Defendant attempted to collect on a debt in a way specifically against the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 19. The Defendant used a false, deceptive, or misleading representation in its Debt Collection

 Letter by failing to provide the name of the original creditor.
- 20. At all material times herein, Plaintiff's debt and the debt of others similarly situated were consumer debts as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C.A. § 1692a(5).

- 21. At all material times herein, Plaintiff and others similarly situated were "consumers" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C.A. § 1692a(3).
- 22. At all material times herein, Defendant was a "debt collector" as defined by the 15 U.S.C.A. § 1692a (6), as SKO BRENNER AMERICAN, INC. is a company in the business of collecting debts.
- 23. At all material times herein, Defendant knew or should have known that the Debt Collection Letters they were sending to Plaintiff and the Debt Collection Class did not contain any mention of an original creditor.
- 24. The Debt Collection Letters sent by Plaintiff and the Debt Collection Class fall within the definition of a "communication" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a (2).
- 25. By sending Debt Collection Letters to Plaintiff and the Debt Collection Class, Defendant attempted to collect debts which had no clear original creditor. Defendant's Debt Collection Letter asserting that Plaintiff owed a debt without providing the original creditor violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, which prohibits the use of false, deceptive, or misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 26. Specifically, the FDCPA states:

"[within] five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing...(2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed." 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(2).

27. In addition, Defendant's above-mentioned collection activities falsely represented/represent the character, amount, or legal status of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e (2) (A).

- 28. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's FDCPA violations, Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been harmed. Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, are entitled to statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(B) and attorney's fees and the costs of this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3).
- 29. For the purpose of the claims brought in this action, the applicable standard under the FDCPA is "the least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168, 1172-75 (11th Cir. 1985). The manner in which Defendant chose to present the information in its Debt Collection Letters is misleading to "the least sophisticated" consumer in that the Debt Collection Letters do not provide any information on who or what the alleged debt being collected originated from.
- 30. This is an action seeking class wide relief for Defendant's pattern and practice of pursuing the collection of debts without providing basic information on them to the Plaintiff and the Debt Collection Class Members.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court enter an Order:

- A. Certifying this action as a class action as provided by Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, and appointing the undersigned Counsel to act as Class Counsel;
- B. Adjudging that Defendant violated the FDCPA sections enumerated above, and awarding Plaintiff and Class members statutory pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
 - C. Enjoining Defendant from sending Debt Collection Letters similar to Exhibit "A",
- D. Awarding Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3), and Florida Statutes § 559.77(2);

- E. Awarding Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law; and
 - F. Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: October 19, 2017.

MAX STORY, P.A.

/s/ Max Story

Max Story, Florida Bar No: 527238 Austin J. Griffin, Florida Bar. No. 117740 328 2nd Avenue North, Suite 100 Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250 Telephone: (904) 372-4109

Facsimile: (904) 758-5333

max@maxstorylaw.com

austin@storylawgroup.com





40 Denielist P.O. Box 458 Farmingciale, NY 11385-1304 Personal & Confidential

Balance Duel: \$700.00

Jesetteland State State

EXHIBIT

P.C. Box 406 Extraingdale, NY 11735-0230 Phone:

Title spyrmubication is from and any hisperjetion obtained

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address MAX STORY, ESQUIRE, JACKSONVILLE BEACH II. BASIS OF JURISDICTI O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	T IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS ss., and Telephone Number; 328 SECOND AVI , FL 32250 ION (Place an "X" in On 3 Federal Question AUS. Government N 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshy)	ENUE, NORTH,	County of Residen NOTE: IN LAND THE TRAC Autorneys (If Know	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plain and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address MAX STORY, ESQUIRE, JACKSONVILLE BEACH H. BASIS OF JURISDICT D 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	T IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS ss., and Telephone Number; 328 SECOND AVI , FL 32250 ION (Place an "X" in On 3 Federal Question AUS. Government N 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshy)	SES) ENUE NORTH, The Box (Inly) You a Party)	NOTE: IN LAND THE TRAC Attorneys (If Know Attorneys (If Know Citizen of This State	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O CONDEMNATION CASES, USE TI CT OF LAND INVOLVED. PRINCIPAL PARTIES PTF DEF I Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	#E LOCATION OF (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
MAX STORY, ESQUIRE, JACKSONVILLE BEACH II. BASIS OF JURISDICT U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government Defendant	328 SECOND AVI , FL 32250 ION (Place an "X" in On 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshy)	ENUE NORTH, ne Box Only) lot a Party)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	PRINCIPAL PARTIES PTF DEF 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
MAX STORY, ESQUIRE, JACKSONVILLE BEACH II. BASIS OF JURISDICT U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government Defendant	328 SECOND AVI , FL 32250 ION (Place an "X" in On 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshy)	ENUE NORTH, ne Box Only) lot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	v) PTF DEF O 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
U.S. Government Plaintiff 2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshy)	loi a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	v) PTF DEF O 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
Plaintiff 2 U.S. Government Defendant	(U.S. Government N 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshy		Citizen of This State	PTF DEF O 1	PTF DEF
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi)	o of Parnes in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	Cl. 2 Incompeted and E	
				of Business In A	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	06 06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	ace an "X" in One Box On	ly)		BANKRUPTCY	DESCOTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance 8 □ 120 Marine 3 □ 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 3 □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 3 □ 160 Stockholders' Suits 3 □ 195 Contract Product Liability 3 □ 196 Franchise 3 □ 210 Land Condemnation 4 □ 220 Forcelosure 4 □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 4 □ 245 Tort Product Liability 4 □ 245 Tort Product Liability 4 □ 240 All Other Real Property 4	PERSONAL INJURY 110 Airplane 115 Airplane Product Liability 120 Assault, Libel & Slander 130 Federal Employers' Liability 130 Motor Vehicle 1355 Motor Vehicle 1365 Motor Vehicle 1376 Other Personal 1 Injury 1360 Other Personal 1 Injury 1362 Personal Injury 1364 Malpractice 1365 CIVIL RIGHTS 1470 Other Civil Rights 1471 Voting 1472 Employment 1473 Housing/ 1473 Accommodations 1475 Amer. w/Disabilities 1476 Employment 1476 Amer. w/Disabilities 1477 Other 1478 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETTIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detaine 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	Compare Comp	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Currupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Maners 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One XI Original	ed from	Appellate Court	Reopened And (spec		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Brief description of ca		are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional	statutes unless diversity):	92
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTIO	N DEMANDS	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			JURY DEMAND	.
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	.		TORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOU	MT \$ 400	APPLYING IFP	RDGIR	E_34	DGE ORK

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: SKO Brenner American Facing Debt Collection Class Action in Florida