UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

MARJORIE WHITEHEAD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

CIVIL ACTION NO. _3:16-3937-JFA_

Plaintiff,

VS.

LUTHERAN HOMES OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Marjorie Whitehead, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant Lutheran Homes of South Carolina, Inc., brings this putative collective action and alleges as follows:

I.

OVERVIEW

1. Plaintiff brings this claim pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* to recover unpaid overtime compensation under § 216(b) of the FLSA. She brings these claims as a collective action on behalf of herself and all current or former home healthcare workers employed by Defendant from January 1, 2015 to the present.

II.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 2. Plaintiff Marjorie Whitehead is a citizen of the United States, domiciled in the City of West Columbia, State of South Carolina, and has been employed by Defendant in South Carolina as a home healthcare worker who provided health and companionship services to Defendants elderly, ill and disabled clients.
- 3. Plaintiff Whitehead's hours varied from week to week in 2015 and 2016 but she regularly worked more than 40 hours a week, including some weeks in which she worked up to and including 72 hours.
- 4. Despite her overtime work, Plaintiff was not properly compensated for all overtime hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week for work performed from January 1, 2015 to the present. Plaintiff Whitehead's consent to sue is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 5. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated individuals pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff and the similarly situated individuals were, or are, employed by Defendant as home healthcare workers since January 1, 2015. As this case proceeds, it is likely that more individuals will join this action as opt-in plaintiffs.
- 6. The precise size of the FLSA Collective and the identity of the putative Collective Members will be ascertainable from the business records of Defendant and its related and affiliated entities.

Defendant

7. Defendant Lutheran Homes of South Carolina, Inc. ("Defendant") is a South Carolina corporation with its principal place of business located at 300 Ministry Drive, Irmo, South Carolina.

- 8. Defendant does business as BeWell home services, Lutheran Homes of South Carolina, and employed Plaintiff and the putative Collective Members as home health workers.
- 9. Defendant is, and has been, an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods or services for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), and, upon information and belief, Defendant has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 at all relevant times.
- 10. Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, were individual employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods or services for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 11. At all relevevant times, Defendant is, and has been, an "employer" of Plaintiff and the similarly situated individuals within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

III.

JURISDICTION

12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Plaintiff's claims arise under § 207(a) of the FLSA. Additionally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, since Defendant conducts business in the District Court for South Carolina.

IV.

VENUE

13. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) as Defendant is domiciled within this District and under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), as a substantial part of the events giving rise the claims occurred in this District.

V.

COLLECTIVE ACTION DEFINITION

14. The class of similarly situated employees sought to be certified under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) as a collective action is defined as:

All current or former home healthcare workers employed by Defendant and/or any of its affiliated entities from January 1, 2015 to the present who were not paid time and a half for all hours worked over 40 in a work week (the "FLSA Collective").

VI.

FACTS

- 15. Defendant employed Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members as home healthcare workers who provided companionship services for the elderly, ill or disabled.
- 16. Plaintiff's hours varied from week to week in 2015 and 2016, but Defendant suffered and permitted her to regularly work more than 40 hours a week, including some weeks in which she and other FLSA Collective Members worked up to and including 72 hours in a workweek.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant suffered and permitted the members of the FLSA Collective to work more than 40 hours in a week, and members of the FLSA Collective worked more than 40 hours in certain workweeks.
- 18. Neither Plaintiff nor the members of the FLSA Collective were compensated in accordance with the FLSA because they were not paid proper overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of forty hours a week for all weeks worked. Rather than paying them 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a workweek, Defendant paid them straight time.
- 19. Plaintiff is aware of other current and former employees of Defendant who were subject to the same payroll practice.

VII.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 21. Plaintiff files this action on behalf of herself and all similarly situated individuals.

 As mentioned above, the proposed FLSA Collective is defined as follows:

All current or former home healthcare workers employed by Defendant and/or any of its affiliated entities from January 1, 2015 to the present who were not paid time and a half for all hours worked over 40 in a work week.

- 22. Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, employers are generally required to pay overtime compensation at an hourly rate of 1.5 times an employee's regular rate of pay for hours worked over 40 in a work week.
- 23. The FLSA contains an exemption from overtime for "domestic workers" who provide companionship and other services to individuals who were unable to care for themselves and also contains an exemption for live in domestic service workers. 29 U.S.C. §§ 213(b)(21) and 213(a)(15).
- 24. In October 2013, the United States Department of Labor explained that these exemptions do not apply to domestic-service workers employed by third-party agencies or employers.
- 25. Beginning on January 1, 2015, the regulations provide that domestic-service workers employed by third-party agencies or employers are not exempt from the FLSA's minimum wage and overtime requirements. 29 C.F.R. § 552.109(a).

26. As of January 1, 2015, all domestic-service workers employed by third-party agencies or employers are entitled to overtime compensation at an hourly rate of 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay for hours worked over 40 in a work week.

27. Since January 1, 2015, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have routinely worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek without receiving proper overtime compensation for their overtime hours worked.

28. On information and belief, Defendant started paying overtime calculated at one and a half times Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members' regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a work week at some time in 2016. However, this was after the effective date of the above-described regulation. Defendant did not pay past due and owing overtime pay.

- 29. Defendant has violated the provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 207 and 215(a)(2), by not paying domestic-service workers, like Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, overtime as required by law.
- 30. Despite the Department of Labor's position that domestic-service workers employed by third-party agencies or employers are not exempt from the FLSA's minimum wage and overtime requirements, Defendant maintained its practice of failing to pay the proper overtime compensation to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective after the effective date of the regulation.
- 31. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard of the law, maintained an illegal practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective proper overtime compensation for all hours worked over 40.

VIII.

COUNT ONE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT—OVERTIME WAGES

- 32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 33. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207, requires employers to pay non-exempt employees 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 hours per workweek.
- 34. Defendant suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members to routinely work more than 40 hours in a workweek without proper overtime compensation as required by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations.
- 35. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for the fact, that it failed to pay these individuals proper overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA.
- 36. Defendant's failure to comply with the FLSA overtime protections caused Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members to suffer loss of wages and interest thereon.
- 37. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members are entitled to unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, and attorney's fees and costs under the FLSA.

RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. Permitting this case to proceed as a collective action under § 216(b) of the FLSA and ordering notice to the putative plaintiffs at the earliest opportunity to ensure their claims are not lost to the FLSA statute of limitations;
- 2. Judgment that Plaintiff and those similarly situated are entitled to the overtime protections under the FLSA;
 - 3. Judgment against Defendant for violation of the overtime provisions of the FLSA;
 - 4. Judgment that Defendant's violations of the FLSA were willful;

5. An award to Plaintiff and those similarly situated in the amount of unpaid overtime wages and liquidated damages;

6. An award of prejudgment interest (to the extent liquidated damages are not awarded);

7. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;

8. Leave to add additional plaintiffs and/or state law claims by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by the Court; and

9. For such further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Dated: December 16, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

CRUMLEY ROBERTS, LLP (Local Counsel)

By: s// C. Stevens McLaurin
C. Stevens McLaurin
Federal Bar No: 06181
csmclaurin@crumleyroberts.com
1051 E. Morehead Street, Suite 100
Charlotte, NC 28204
Phone: (704) 567-4529

Fax: (704) 749-2966 Attorney for Plaintiff 3:16-cv-03937-JFA Date Filed 12/16/16 Entry Number 1-1 Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT "A"

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Name Majorie Whitehead

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against <u>The Lutheran Church</u> to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firms and attorneys at BOHRER BRADY, LLC and CRUMLEY ROBERTS, LLP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at BOHRER BRADY, LLC and CRUMLEY ROBERTS, LLP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: Mayou Watalow	Date Signed: 16-28-16
Marjage War tehend	
Primed Name	Telephone No.
Address	Cell Phone No.
City. State. Zip	Email Address
Date of Birth	
Social Security No.	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lutheran Homes of South Carolina Hit with FLSA Class Action</u>