1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 24920 ak@kazlg.com 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 [ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL ON SIGNATURE PAGE] Attorneys for Plaintiff, Toni Welk UNITED STATE	03) S DISTRICT COURT						
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
11	TONI WELK, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly	Case No.: 17CV2266 BEN KSC						
12 13	On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:						
14	Plaintiff,	1) VIOLATION OF THE						
15		CONSUMERS LEGAL						
16	V.	<b>REMEDIES ACT (CAL. CIVIL</b>						
17	NUTRACEUTICAL	CODE §§ 1750, <i>ET SEQ</i> .);						
18	CORPORATION D/B/A BIOGENESIS	<ol> <li>CALIFORNIA BUS. &amp; PROF. §§ 17500 ET SEQ.;</li> </ol>						
19	NUTRACEUTICALS, INC. d/b/a NUTRABIOGENESIS d/b/a	3) CALIFORNIA'S HEALTH AND						
20	BIOGENESIS,	SAFETY CODE § 110660;						
20 21	Defendent	4) CALIFORNIA BUS. & PROF. §§						
21	Defendant.	17200 <i>ET SEQ</i> ; 5) NEGLIGENT						
22 23		MISREPRESENTATION;						
		6) INTENTIONAL						
24		MISREPRESENTATION.						
25 26								
26 27		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED						
27								
28								
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	$\mathbf{P}_{ACE} = 1 \text{ of } 20$						

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, SUITE D1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

consumable liquid B vitamin supplements (the "Products").<sup>1</sup> 2. Defendant promotes that its Products contain a certain amount of vitamin B12 as Methylcobalamin ("MeCO") per serving, when in fact, Defendant's Products become unstable upon opening and degrade over time; and, therefore Defendant's Products fail to provide the amount of vitamin B12 as MeCO as stated on the Products' label's serving size. The unlawfully labeled consumable Products are sold online and in various stores throughout the United States. 3. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorneys. 4. As stated by the California Supreme Court in Kwikset v. Superior Court (January 27, 2011) 51 Cal. 4th 310, 328-29:

challenge

the

NUTRACEUTICAL

deceptive

**Simply stated: labels matter**. The marketing industry is based on the premise that labels matter, that consumers will choose one product over another similar product based on its label and various tangible and intangible qualities...

1. TONI WELK ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Welk") brings this Class Action Complaint to

advertising

NUTRACEUTICALS, INC. d/b/a NUTRABIOGENESIS d/b/a BIOGENESIS,

("Defendant") with regard to Defendant's false and misleading promotion of its

CORPORATION

and

business

d/b/a

practices

**BIOGENESIS** 

of

20

21

<sup>23</sup> Although Plaintiff purchased Defendant's mislabeled "Biogenesis - Methyl 24 Factors 2 oz" product, which is advertised via its label as, among others, containing 1,000 micrograms of liquid vitamin B12 per serving, Plaintiff seeks 25 class wide relief on behalf of all purchasers of any of Defendant's Products that 26 are substantially similar to the product purchased by Plaintiff (i.e., all of Defendant's liquid vitamin B12 products, regardless of the brand they are 27 advertised under and the exact amount of liquid B12 vitamin advertised per 28 serving).

5. This nationwide sale and advertising of deceptively labeled products constitutes violations of: (1) California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 *et seq.*; (2) California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"), Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 *et seq.*; (3) California's Health and Safety Code § 110660; (4) California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*; (5) negligent misrepresentation; and (6) intentional misrepresentation. This conduct caused Plaintiff, and others similarly, situated damages, and requires restitution and injunctive relief to remedy and prevent further harm.

6. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of Defendant's name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers of the named Defendant.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) because the amount in controversy in this matter exceeds \$5,000,000.00<sup>2</sup> as to all putative Class members, inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, and injunctive relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

8. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 for the following reasons: (i) Plaintiff resides in the State of California, and within this judicial district; (ii) the conduct complained of herein occurred within this judicial district; and, (iii)

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> <sup>2</sup> On information and belief, Defendant sells its Products in bricks and mortar stores and on websites throughout the Nation. Based upon the advertised price of Defendant's Products and their nationwide availability, Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges the class damages exceed the \$5,000,000 threshold as set by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this district because Defendant:

- (a) is authorized to conduct business in this district and has intentionally availed itself of the laws and markets within this district;
  - (b) does substantial business within this district;
  - (c) is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district because it has availed itself of the laws and markets within this district; and,
  - (d) the harm to Plaintiff occurred within this district.

#### PARTIES

9. Plaintiff is an individual residing in El Cajon, California.

10.Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation that is organized and exists under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business in Utah, and does business within the State of California and within this district.
11.Upon information and belief, NUTRACEUTICAL CORPORATION purchased NUTRABIOGENESIS in 2014.

12.Defendant is an American conglomerate that manufactures and/or distributes various products, including consumable consumer packaged goods such as dietary supplements and over the counter pharmaceutical products. Defendant conducts business through Internet sales and enjoys wide retail distribution at numerous stores within the United States.

#### NATURE OF THE CASE

13.At all times relevant, Defendant made, and continues to make, affirmative misrepresentations regarding the liquid B vitamin supplement it manufactures, markets and sells. Specifically, Defendant packaged, advertised, marketed, promoted, and sold its Products to Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated with the false representation that its Products contains a specified amount of liquid B vitamins per serving.

14.Defendant's Products, however, do not contain the amount of vitamin B per serving as represented on their labels, as the Products become unstable immediately upon opening, starts degrading over time such that the amount of vitamin B12 becomes negligible and ineffective.

15.In some of Defendant's Products Defendant used MeCO—as opposed to other forms of vitamin B12 that may have been more stable, but less appealing to consumers. Defendant prominently displays MeCO both on the front panel and Supplement Facts panel of its Products because Defendant knows and understands consumers' preference for MeCO as opposed to other forms of vitamin B12. Furthermore, Defendant created vitamin B12 as a liquid because it knows many consumers that need vitamin B12 are also unable to swallow tablets or capsules, which may have been more stable.

16.Defendant advertises the benefits of its Methyl Factors on amazon.com, stating that it, "provides support your body needs to produce optimal homocysteine levels for improved cardiovascular health...promotes proper neurological function...helps give your body what it needs for normal production of red blood cells." However, Defendant does not clarify that these benefits are not obtainable once the MeCO form of vitamin B12 is degraded to a negligible amount.

17.As a consequence of Defendant's unfair and deceptive practices, Plaintiff and other similarly situated consumers purchased Defendant's Products under the false impression that the Products contained a specific amount of micrograms of vitamin B per serving as stated on their labels.

18.Each consumer, including Plaintiff, was exposed to virtually the same material misrepresentations, as the identical labels were prominently placed on all of bottles of Defendant's liquid B12 vitamin Products that were sold, and are currently being sold, throughout the United States and the State of California.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

19.As a result of Defendant's misrepresentations, Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated overpaid for the Products, and/or purchased the Products under the false belief that the supplement they purchased would deliver the specific amount of B12 vitamins per serving advertised on Defendant's labels. Had Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated been informed that Defendant's Products becomes unstable and degrades as soon as it is opened, they would not have purchased the Products, would have paid less for them, or would have purchased different B vitamin products.

20.As a result of Defendant's false and misleading statements and failure to disclose (or adequately disclose), as well as Defendant's other conduct described herein, Plaintiff and other similarly situated consumers purchased thousands, if not millions, of bottles of Defendant's liquid B vitamin Products and have suffered, and continue to suffer, injury in fact including the loss of money and/or property.

21.Defendant's conduct as alleged herein violates several California laws, as more fully set forth herein.

22. This action seeks, among other things, equitable and injunctive relief; restitution of all amounts illegally retained by Defendant; and disgorgement of all ill-gotten profits from Defendant's wrongdoing alleged herein.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

23.Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

and/or 24.Defendant manufactures, markets sells licensed healthcare 23 а professional-only line with over one hundred nutritional supplement products 24 prescribed by healthcare providers nationwide. Specific to this Complaint, 25 Defendant sells Products containing liquid B12 vitamin supplement and 26 advertises, via the Products' labels, that it contains a specific amount of 27 micrograms of B12 per serving. 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25.Vitamin B12 is believed to be important to maintaining the health of one's metabolism, blood cells, and nerves, as serious vitamin B12 deficiency may result in stomach/intestine problems, low red blood cell count (anemia), and permanent nerve damage. Vitamin B12 deficiency may occur in certain health conditions, such as: poor nutrition, cancer, HIV infection, pregnancy, old age, and alcoholism. It may also occur in people who follow a strict vegetarian or vegan diet. Although most people receive enough vitamin B12 through their diet, special circumstances may require some individuals to supplement their vitamin B12 intake.

26.On or about July 15, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a bottle of Defendant's
"BioGenesis —Methyl Factors 2 oz" liquid B12 vitamin supplement for \$21.50
from the online store amazon.com.

27. The following label was attached to Defendant's Methyl Factors 2 oz vitamin B12 supplement:



28.In making the decision to purchase Defendant's supplement, Plaintiff relied upon Defendant's labeling and packaging materials prepared and approved by Defendant and/or its agents and disseminated through its Product's packaging containing the misrepresentations alleged herein.

29.Based on these misrepresentations that the supplement contained 1,000 micrograms of liquid vitamin B12 per serving, Plaintiff believed Defendant's supplement actually contained that advertised amount of vitamin B12 and maintained this amount throughout its shelf life, and relied upon said misrepresentations when purchasing Defendant's product.

30.Furthermore, Defendant advertises on amazon.com that its Product, "provides support your body needs to produce optimal homocysteine levels for improved cardiovascular health...promotes proper neurological function...helps give your body what it needs for normal production of red blood cells." Plaintiff believed Defendant's supplement would provide these benefits until the contents of the Product were exhausted.

31.Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that vitamin B12 as MeCO, in liquid form, undergoes degradation at an unknown rate.

32.Plaintiff's claim does not seek to bring a private action against the Product's formal name and labeling in areas for which the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") has promulgated regulations implementing the Federal Food and Drug and Cosmetic Act ("FFDCA"). Plaintiff's claim is, instead, predicated on the fact that the labeling and associated advertising is misleading and deceptive even if in compliance with the minimum requirements set forth by the FDA. Indeed, compliance with the minimum requirements is necessary, but it is not sufficient to determine whether a product's label is false and misleading, and simply does not provide a shield from liability. *See* e.g., *Wyeth v. Levine*, 129 S. Ct 1187, 1202 (2009).

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

33.As a result of Defendant's misrepresentations regarding its liquid B vitamin supplements, Plaintiff and other putative class members were induced into purchasing and overpaying for Defendant's Products under the belief that the supplements they purchased contained the specified amount of B vitamins per serving, as stated on the Products' label, and maintained that specific advertised amount of B vitamins for the duration of the Products' shelf life. Had Plaintiff and putative class members been informed that Defendant's Products did not in fact contain and maintain the amount of B vitamins per serving as advertised, they would not have purchased the Products, would have paid less for it, or would have purchased a different product. In other words, Plaintiff would not have purchased Defendant's liquid B12 vitamin supplement, but for the representations on the Products' label and on amazon.com.

34.During the "Class Period," as defined below, Plaintiff and others similarly situated were exposed to and saw Defendant's advertising, marketing, and packaging claims disseminated by Defendant for the purpose of selling goods. Plaintiff and putative class members purchased Defendant's Products in reliance on these claims, and thereby suffered injury in fact and lost money and/or property as a result of Defendant's unfair, misleading and unlawful conduct described herein.

35.Defendant's misleading advertising was and is publicly disseminated on a widespread and continuous basis during the Class Period as the offending labels, containing the inaccurate amount per serving of B vitamins, were affixed to all of Defendant's liquid B vitamin supplement bottles Defendant sold 23 throughout the State of California and throughout the United States. 24

36.Defendant's label was untrue, false, and misleading to Plaintiff and putative 25 class members, as a reasonable consumer would expect Defendant's Products to 26 contain and maintain the advertised amount of vitamin B. Specifically, 27 Plaintiff, a reasonable consumer, expected Defendant's claim of 1,000 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

micrograms of vitamin B12 per serving to mean that the product contained such amount of vitamin B12 when purchased and maintained that amount for the duration of its shelf life. Accordingly, the reasonable consumer would have been misled into believing Defendant's B vitamin supplements contain and maintain the specified amount of B vitamin per serving, when in fact Defendant's Products do not.

37.Defendant received a demand letter from Plaintiff's counsel on or about July 31, 2015, informing the Defendant that their conduct was in violation of several California laws and that Defendant needed to take corrective action. Defendant has yet to take any meaningful response.

38.Defendant knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, its labels were misleading. Defendant could have easily disclosed on its packaging that its Product become unstable upon opening and degrade over time, such that the amount of B vitamins becomes negligible and ineffective. However, Defendant deliberately chose to omit such text and intentionally or negligently retained a false claim within its Products' packaging for the purpose of selling its Products.

39.Defendant made a tactical decision to deceive consumers with the intent of reaping the financial benefit of the false, misleading, and deceptive advertising regarding the amount per serving of B vitamin contained and maintained in its Products, intentionally capitalizing on a reasonable consumer's trust in a nationally branded company perceived to supply quality vitamin products.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

40.Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

41.Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated against Defendant, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3). 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

42.Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and/or discovery, the proposed "Class" consists of:

All persons within California who purchased one or more of Defendant's Products within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, regardless of the brand under which Defendant markets the Product, and regardless of the specific amount of B vitamins advertised on the Products' label.

43.Excluded from the Class are Defendant and any of its officers, directors, and employees, or anyone who purchased Defendant's Products for the purposes of resale. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the Class definition before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

44.The "Products" include "BioGenesis —Methyl Factors 2 oz", and substantially similar products, including but not limited to BioGenesis —Methyl Factors 2 oz (2,500 mcg of Methylcobalamin); LifeTime – Liquid B-Complex with Vitamin C; Kal – B12 Methylcobalamin ActivSpray; Kal – B12 ActiveSpray; and All Once – Liquid Life.

# 45. The "Class Period" means four years prior to the filing of the Complaint in this action.

- 46.<u>Ascertainability</u>. The members of the Class are readily ascertainable from Defendant's records and/or Defendant's agent's records regarding retail and online sales, as well as through public notice.
- 47.<u>Numerosity</u>. The members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes, that the product is an Amazon's choice product, and on that basis alleges, that the proposed class consists of thousands of members, if not millions.

48.<u>Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact</u>. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. All members of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the Class have been subject to the same conduct and their claims are based on the same standardized marketing, advertisements and promotions. The common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following: Whether Defendant's liquid B vitamin supplements becomes a. unstable upon opening and degrade over time; Whether Defendant's liquid B vitamin supplements actually contain b. the advertised amount of B vitamins per serving; Whether Defendant's liquid B vitamin supplements maintain the C. advertised amount of B vitamins per serving throughout their shelf life; d. Whether Defendant's claims and representations above are untrue, or are misleading, or reasonably likely to deceive; Whether Defendant's conduct violates California Civil Code §§ e. 1750; Whether Defendant's advertising is false, untrue, or misleading f. within the meaning of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.; Whether Defendant's conduct is an unlawful act or practice within g. the meaning of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et

- h. Whether Defendant's conduct is a fraudulent act or practice within the meaning of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*;
- i. Whether Defendant's conduct is in violation of California's Health and Safety Code § 110660;
- j. Whether Defendant's conduct is an unfair act or practice within the meaning of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*;

seq.;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

- Whether Defendant's advertising is unfair, deceptive, untrue or k. 1 misleading within the meaning of California Business & Professions 2 Code §§ 17200 et seq.; 3 Whether Defendant acted negligently or intentionally in making the 1. 4 misrepresentations contained in its Products' label; 5 Whether Defendant, through its conduct, received money that, in 6 m. equity and good conscience, belongs to Plaintiff and members of the 7 Class; 8 Whether Plaintiff and proposed members of the Class are entitled to 9 n. equitable relief, including but not limited to restitution and/or 10 disgorgement; and 11 Whether Plaintiff and proposed members of the Class are entitled to 12 0. injunctive relief sought herein. 13 49. Typicality. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the 14 Class in that Plaintiff is a member of the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent. 15 Plaintiff, like members of the proposed Class, purchased Defendant's liquid B 16 vitamin supplement after exposure to the same material misrepresentations 17 and/or omissions appearing in the Products' labeling, and received a Product 18 that becomes unstable and degrades upon opening such that the amount of 19 vitamin B becomes negligible and ineffective over time. Plaintiff is advancing 20the same claims and legal theories on behalf of herself and all absent members 21 of the Class. Defendant has no defenses unique to the Plaintiff. 22 50. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the 23 interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel 24 experienced in consumer protection law, including class actions. Plaintiff has 25 no adverse or antagonistic interest to those in the Class, and will fairly and 26
- 28 no interests adverse or antagonistic to those of Plaintiff and proposed Class.

adequately protect the interests of the Class. Plaintiff's attorneys are aware of

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

51. Superiority. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent and/or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be entailed by individual litigation of the claims against the Defendant. The injury suffered by each individual member of the proposed class is relatively small in comparison to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by Defendant's conduct. It would be virtually impossible for members of the proposed Class to individually redress effectively the wrongs to them. Even if the members of the proposed Class could afford such litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation of the complex legal and factual issues of such a case increases the delay and expense to all parties, including the court. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. Therefore, a class action is maintainable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).

52.Unless the Class is certified, Defendant will retain monies received as a result of Defendant's unlawful and deceptive conduct alleged herein. Unless a classwide injunction is issued, Defendant will also likely continue to, or allow its resellers to, advertise, market, promote and package Defendant's liquid B vitamin Product in an unlawful and misleading manner, and members of the Class will continue to be misled, harmed, and denied their rights under California law.

53.Further, Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that are generally applicable to the class so that declaratory and injunctive relief is appropriate to

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 the Class as a whole, making class certification appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2).

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

#### CAL. CIV. CODE SECTION 1750, ET SEQ.

54.Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

55.California Civil Code Section 1750 *et seq.*, entitled the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (hereinafter "CLRA"), provides a list of "unfair or deceptive" practices in a "transaction" relating to the sale of "goods" or "services" to a "consumer." The Legislature's intent in promulgating the CLRA is expressed

in Civil Code Section 1760, which provides, *inter alia*, that its terms are to be:

Construed liberally and applied to promote its underlying purposes, which are to protect consumers against unfair and deceptive business practices and to provide efficient and economical procedures to secure such protection.

56.Defendant's products constitute "goods" as defined pursuant to Civil Code Section 1761(a).

57.Plaintiff, and the Class members, are each a "consumer" as defined pursuant to Civil Code Section 1761(d).

58.Each of Plaintiff's and the Class members' purchases of Defendant's products constituted a "Transaction" as defined pursuant to Civil Code Section 1761(e).

59.Civil Code Section 1770(a)(2), (5), (7) and (9) provide that:

The following unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices undertaken by any person in a transaction intended to result or which results in the sale or lease of goods or services to any consumer are unlawful: [m]isrepresenting the source, sponsorship, approval, or

certification of goods or services,

12 13 145 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 245 Fische

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

[r]epresenting that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have ...., [r]epresenting that goods or services are of a particular

[r]epresenting that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade... if they are of another, [and] [a]dvertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised."

- 60. Defendant violated Civil Code Section 1770(a)(2), (5), (7) and (9) by marketing and representing that its Products have a certain amount of B vitamins in liquid form, when, in fact, each and every liquid dietary supplement containing vitamin B12 produced and sold by Defendant does not contain the amount of vitamin B12 per serving as advertised.
- 61. On information and belief, Defendant's Product degrade or become unstable immediately upon opening, rapidly degrading over time such that the amount of liquid B12 vitamins become negligible, thereby rendering Defendant's Product ineffective and misbranded.
- 62.On information and belief, Defendant's violations of the CLRA set forth herein were done with awareness of the fact that the conduct alleged was wrongful and was motivated solely for Defendant's self-interest, monetary gain and increased profit. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant committed these acts knowing the harm that would result to Plaintiff and Defendant engaged in such unfair and deceptive conduct notwithstanding such knowledge.
- 63.Plaintiff suffered an "injury in fact" because Plaintiff's money was taken by Defendant, as a result of Defendant's false representations set forth on Defendant's actual Products' label, specifically the Supplemental Facts.
- 64.As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the CLRA, Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to a declaration that Defendant violated the Consumer Legal Remedies Act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

65.As of the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has not complied with Plaintiff's demand letter pursuant to California Civil Code § 1782, which was served on or about July 31, 2015 via United States Postal Service return receipt requested.
66.Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future and to recover money damages.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE BUS. & PROF. CODE, SECTION 17500 *et seq*.

67.Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

68.Plaintiff and Defendant are both "person[s]" as defined by California Business & Professions Code § 17506. California Business & Professions Code § 17535 authorizes a private right of action on both an individual and representative basis.

69.Defendant states that Biogensis-Methyl Factors 2 oz contains 1,000 micrograms of vitamin B12 in MeCO form, when, in fact, it contains much less due to the its degradation. Defendant makes similar statements regarding specific amounts of vitamin B12 in its Products, despite all of them degrading.

70. These misrepresentations, acts, and non-disclosures by Defendant of the material facts detailed above constitute false and misleading advertising and therefore violate Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 *et seq*.

71.At all times relevant, Defendant's advertising and promotion regarding its Products were untrue, misleading and likely to deceive the reasonable consumer and the public; and, in fact, Defendant has deceived Plaintiff and consumers similarly situated by representing that its Products contained a specific amount of micrograms of liquid B vitamins per serving when Defendant knew and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

failed to disclose that its Products become unstable once opened and degrade over time until the amount of vitamin B is negligible and ineffective.

72. State law claims based on a food product's misleading and deceptive labels are expressly permitted when they impose legal obligations identical to those of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act ("FFDCA") and its implementing FDA regulations, including FDA regulations concerning naming and labeling. *See* e.g., *In re Farm Raised Salmon Cases*, 22 Cal. 4th 1077, 1094-95 (2008). Plaintiff's § 17500 claim that the labels of Defendant's Products are false or misleading imposes legal obligations identical to 21 U.S.C. § 343(a) of the FFDCA, which states that, "a food shall be deemed to be misbranded...[i]f (1) its labeling is false or misleading in any particular[.]" Further, section 343(a) of the FFDCA is not subject to express preemption provision set forth in 21 U.S.C. § 343-1 of the FFDCA.

73.Defendant engaged in the false and/or misleading advertising and marketing as alleged herein with the intent to directly or indirectly induce the purchase of its Products that Defendant knew, or had reason to know, did not contain and maintain the advertised micrograms of B vitamins per serving.

74. In making and publicly disseminating the statements and/or omissions alleged herein, Defendant knew or should have known that the statements and/or omissions were untrue or misleading, and acted in violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 *et seq*.

75.Plaintiff and members of the putative Class have suffered injury in fact and have lost money and/or property as a result of Defendant's false advertising, as more fully set forth herein. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured because they were induced to purchase Defendant's Products on the belief that Defendant's Products contained and maintained a specific amount of micrograms of B vitamins per serving. Plaintiff and members of the putative Class have been injured because if they were informed that Defendant's liquid

vitamin B Products become unstable upon opening and degrade thereafter, they
would not have purchased the supplements, would have paid less for them, or
would have purchased a different product from another manufacturer.

76.At a date presently unknown to Plaintiff, but at least four years prior to the filing of this action, and as set forth above, Defendant has committed acts of untrue and misleading advertising and promotion of its Products, as defined by Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 *et seq.*, by engaging in the false advertising and promotion of its supplements as containing and maintaining a certain amount of micrograms of B vitamins per serving on its Product's labeling.

77. The false and misleading advertising of Defendant, as described above, presents a continuing threat to consumers, as Defendant continues to use the deceptive labels and advertising, which will continue to mislead consumers who purchase Defendant's liquid vitamin B Products under false premises.

78.As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and representations of Defendant, Defendant received and continues to hold monies rightfully belonging to Plaintiff and other similarly situated consumers who were led to purchase Defendant's Products, due to the unlawful acts of Defendant, during the Class Period.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S SHERMAN LAW CAL. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §§ 110660

79.Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations as if fully stated herein.

80.Section 110660 states, "any food is misbranded if its labeling is false or misleading in any particular." Section 110660 is part of California's Sherman

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Food, Drug and Cosmetic law, California Health & Safety Code § 109875, *et seq.* (the "Sherman law").

81.Defendant has violated Section 110660 by labeling its consumable supplement Products as containing a specific amount of micrograms of vitamin B12, despite knowing that this vitamin begins degrading rapidly after the container is opened. These Products' label misleads and deceives consumers into believing that Defendant's Products contain and maintain a 1,000 mcg of B vitamins.

82.State law claims based on a food product's misleading and deceptive label are expressly permitted when they impose legal obligations identical to the FFDCA and its implementing FDA regulations, including FDA regulations concerning naming and labeling. *See* e.g., In re Farm Raised Salmon Cases, 22 Cal. 4th 1077, 1094-95 (2008). The Sherman law expressly incorporates into California law all of the food labeling regulations adopted pursuant to the FFDCA. Plaintiff's claim that the labels of Defendant's Products violate California Health & Safety Code § 110660 imposes legal obligations identical to 21 U.S.C. § 343(a) of the FFDCA. Since § 110660 imposes the identical legal obligation that "any food is misbranded if its labeling is false or misleading in any particular," part of Plaintiff's section 17200 claim (*infra*), which is based in part on § 110660, is expressly permitted and not preempted by the FFDCA. Further, § 343(a) of the FFDCA is not subject to express preemption provision set forth in 21 U.S.C. § 343-1 of the FFDCA.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE BUS. & PROF. CODE, SECTION 17200, *et seq*.

83.Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

84.Plaintiff and Defendant are each "person[s]" as defined by California Business & Professions Code § 17201. California Business & Professions Code § 17204 authorizes a private right of action on both an individual and representative basis.

85."Unfair competition" is defined by Business and Professions Code Section § 17200 as encompassing several types of business "wrongs," including: (1) an "unlawful" business act or practice, (2) an "unfair" business act or practice, (3) a "fraudulent" business act or practice, and (4) "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising." The definitions in § 17200 are drafted in the disjunctive, meaning that each of these "wrongs" operates independently from the others.

86.By and through Defendant's conduct alleged in further detail above and herein, Defendant engaged in conduct which constitutes unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business practices, and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising prohibited by Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq*.

#### A. "Unlawful" Prong

87.Beginning at a date currently unknown through the time of the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has committed acts of unfair competition, including those described above, by engaging in a pattern of "unlawful" business practices, within the meaning of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.* by manufacturing, distributing, and/or marketing Defendant's Products in violation of California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Civil Code Section 1759, *et seq.*, California's False Advertising Law, Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 *et seq.* and California's Health & Safety Code §§ 110660 by falsely representing that the Products referenced herein contain a specific amount of micrograms of B vitamins per serving, when, in fact, the Products do not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

contain or maintain the advertised amount, as they become unstable upon opening and degrade over time.

#### **B. "Unfair" Prong**

88.Beginning at a date currently unknown and continuing up through the time of this Complaint, Defendant has committed acts of unfair competition that are prohibited by Bus. & Prof. Code section 17200 *et seq*. Defendant engaged in a pattern of "unfair" business practices that violate the wording and intent of the abovementioned statutes by engaging in conduct and practices that threaten an incipient violation of law/s or violate the policy or spirit of law/s by manufacturing, distributing, and/or marketing Defendant's Products as containing a specific amount of micrograms of B vitamins per serving, when, in fact, the Products do not contain or maintain the advertised amount, as they become unstable upon opening and degrade over time.

89. Alternatively, Defendant engaged in a pattern of "unfair" business practices that violate the wording and intent of the abovementioned statute/s by engaging in practices that are immoral, unethical, oppressive or unscrupulous, the utility of such conduct, if any, being far outweighed by the harm done to consumers and against public policy by manufacturing, distributing, and/or marketing Defendant's Products as containing 1,000 micrograms of B vitamins per serving, when, in fact, the Products do not contain or maintain that advertised amount, as they become unstable upon opening and degrade over time.

90.Alternatively, Defendant engaged in a pattern of "unfair" business practices that violate the wording and intent of the abovementioned statute/s by engaging in practices, including manufacturing, distributing, marketing, and/or advertising Defendant's Products as containing a certain amount of B vitamins per serving, when, in fact, the Products do not contain or maintain that advertised amount, as they become unstable upon opening and degrade over time; wherein: (1) the

28 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

injury to the consumer was substantial; (2) the injury was not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or competition; and (3) the injury was not of the kind that consumers themselves could not have reasonably avoided.

#### C. "Fraudulent" Prong

91.Beginning at a date currently unknown and continuing up through the time of this Complaint, Defendant engaged in acts of unfair competition, including those described above and herein, prohibited and in violation of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.*, by engaging in a pattern of "fraudulent" business practices within the meaning of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.*, by manufacturing, distributing, and/or marketing Defendant's Products in violation of California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Civil Code Section 1759, *et seq.*, California's False Advertising Law, Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 *et seq.* and California's Health & Safety Code §§110660 by falsely representing that the Products referenced herein contain a certain amount of B vitamins per serving, when, in fact, the Products do not contain or maintain the advertised amount, as they become unstable upon opening and degrade over time.

92.Plaintiff reserves the right to allege further conduct that constitutes other fraudulent business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

#### D. "Unfair, Deceptive, Untrue or Misleading Advertising" Prong

93.Defendant's advertising is unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading in that consumers are led to believe that Defendant's Products contain a certain amount of B vitamins per serving, when, in fact, the Product does not contain or maintain the advertised amount, as they become unstable upon opening and degrade over time.

94.Plaintiff, a reasonable consumer, and the public would likely be, and, in fact were, deceived and misled by Defendant's advertising as they would, and did, interpret the representation in accord with its ordinary usage, that the Product contains the advertised number of micrograms of B vitamins per serving.

95.Defendant's unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising presents a continuing threat to the public in that Defendant continues to engage in unlawful conduct resulting in harm to consumers.

96.Defendant engaged in these unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices motivated solely by Defendant's self-interest with the primary purpose of collecting unlawful and unauthorized monies from Plaintiff and all others similarly situated; thereby unjustly enriching Defendant.

97.Such acts and omissions by Defendant are unlawful and/or unfair and/or fraudulent and constitute a violation of Business & Professions Code section 17200 *et seq*. Plaintiff reserves the right to identify additional violations by Defendant as may be established through discovery.

98.As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and representations described above and herein, Defendant received and continues to receive unearned commercial benefits at the expense of its competitors and the public.

99.As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful, unfair and fraudulent conduct described herein, Defendant has been and will continue to be unjustly enriched by the receipt of ill-gotten gains from customers, including Plaintiff, who unwittingly provided money to Defendant based on Defendant's misleading representations.

100. Plaintiff suffered an "injury in fact" because Plaintiff's money was taken by Defendant as a result of Defendant's false representations set forth on the Defendant's Products.

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, SUITE D1 COSTAMESA, CA 92626 101. In prosecuting this action for the enforcement of important rights affecting the public interest, Plaintiff seeks the recovery of attorneys' fees, which is available to a prevailing plaintiff in class action cases such as this matter.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR Negligent Misrepresentation

- 102. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations as if fully stated herein.
- 103. At a date presently unknown to Plaintiff, but at least four years prior to the filing of this action, and as set forth above, Defendant represented to the public, including Plaintiff, by packaging and other means, that Defendant's Products contain a certain amount B vitamins per serving as described herein.
- 104. Defendant made the representations herein alleged with the intention of inducing the public, including Plaintiff and putative class members, to purchase Defendant's Products because the misrepresentations were placed on the product itself, as well as, in the Products' descriptions online.
- 105. Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons saw, believed, and relied upon Defendant's advertising representations and, in reliance on them, purchased the Product, as described herein.
- 106. At all times relevant, Defendant made the misrepresentations herein alleged when Defendant should have known these representations to be untrue, and Defendant had no reasonable basis for believing the representations to be true.
- 107. As a proximate result of Defendant's negligent misrepresentations, Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated were induced to purchase, purchase more of, or pay more for Defendant's Products, due to the unlawful acts of Defendant, in an amount to be determined at trial, during the Class Period.

108. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the above allegations as if fully stated herein.

109. At a date presently unknown to Plaintiff, but at least four years prior to the filing of this action, and as set forth above, Defendant intentionally represented to the public, including Plaintiff, by promoting and other means, that Defendant's Products contain a certain amount of B vitamins per serving in the Products' labeling, as described herein. Defendant's representations were untrue.

- 110. Defendant made the representations herein alleged with the intention of inducing the public, including Plaintiff, to purchase Defendant's Product, for Defendant's own financial gain.
- 111. Defendant intentionally made such misrepresentations by printing a specified amount of liquid vitamin B under the amount per serving on its Products' label, including, but not limited to, 1,000 micrograms of liquid B12 vitamin.
- 112. The statement regarding Defendant's Products containing a specific amount of B vitamins per serving was misleading because vitamin B, in liquid form, becomes unstable and degrades over time. The supplement therefore does not contain the advertised amount of vitamin B per serving as Defendant advertises on its Products' label.
- 113. Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons saw, believed, and relied upon Defendant's advertising representations and, in reliance on such representations, purchased the Products, as described above.

- 114. At all times relevant, Defendant intentionally made the misrepresentations herein alleged, allowed the misrepresentations to continue to be made by its resellers and Defendant knew the representations to be false.
- 115. As a proximate result of Defendant's intentional misrepresentations, Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated were induced to spend an amount of money to be determined at trial on Defendant's misrepresented Products.
- 116. Defendant knew that its Products did not contain the advertised amount of B vitamins per serving, but nevertheless made representations that it did with the intention that consumers rely on Defendant's representations.
- 117. Defendant also knew that retailers were advertising its Products as containing a certain amount of B vitamins per serving, as Defendant designed, manufactured, and affixed the product labeling to its Products before supplying them to the retailers.
- 118. Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated, in purchasing and using the Products as herein alleged, did rely on Defendant's representations, including the representations on Defendant's Products' label, all to their damage and/or detriment as herein alleged.
- 119. Plaintiff alleges the "who, what, when, where, and how" of the alleged deception by Defendant as follows:
- 20
- i. The "who" is Defendant;
- ii. The "what" is the representation that Defendant's liquid B vitamin Products contain a specific amount of micrograms of B vitamins per serving;
- iii. The "when" is the date Plaintiff purchased the product and the ClassPeriod of four years prior to the filing of the Complaint;
- iv. The "where" is in Defendant's product labeling; and
- v. The "how" is the allegation that Defendant did not disclose that its Products do not actually deliver the advertised micrograms of B

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

vitamins per serving because they become unstable and degrade over time.

120. By engaging in the acts described above, Defendant is guilty of malice, oppression, and fraud, and Plaintiff and the Class are therefore entitled to recover exemplary or punitive damages.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and the Class members the following relief against Defendant:

- That this action be certified as a Class Action, Plaintiff be appointed as the representatives of the Class, and Plaintiff's attorneys be appointed Class counsel;
- That Defendant's wrongful conduct alleged herein be adjudged and decreed to violate the consumer protection statutory claims asserted herein;
- A temporary, preliminary and/or permanent order for injunctive relief requiring Defendant to: (i) discontinue advertising, marketing and otherwise representing its liquid B vitamin Products as containing a specified amount of B vitamins per serving; (ii) disclose the instability and degradation that Defendant's liquid B vitamin Products undergo when opened; (iii) correct any erroneous impression consumers may have derived concerning the amount of vitamin B contained in Defendant's Products, including without limitation, the placement of corrective advertising and providing written notice to the public;
- An order requiring imposition of a constructive trust and/or disgorgement of Defendant's ill-gotten gains and to pay restitution to Plaintiff and all members of the Class and, also, to restore to Plaintiff and members of the class all funds acquired by means of any act or practice declared by this court to be an unlawful, fraudulent, or unfair business act or practice, in

24

25

26

27

violation of laws, statutes or regulations, or constituting unfair 1 competition; 2 Distribution of any monies recovered on behalf of members of the Class 3 via fluid recovery or cy pres recovery where necessary and as applicable, 4 to prevent Defendant from retaining the benefits of their wrongful 5 conduct; 6 Prejudgment and post judgment interest; 7 Special, general, and compensatory damages to Plaintiff and the Class for 8 negligent and/or intentional misrepresentations; 9 Exemplary and/or punitive damages for intentional misrepresentations 10 pursuant to, inter alia, Cal. Civ. Code § 3294; 11 Costs of this suit; 12 Reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to, inter alia, California Code of 13 Civil Procedure § 1021.5; and 14 Awarding any and all other relief that this Court deems necessary or 15 appropriate. 16 **TRIAL BY JURY** 17 Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States 18 121. of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury. 19 20 Dated: November 7, 2017 Respectfully submitted, 21 22 **KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC** 23 /s/ Abbas K By: 24 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF 25 26 27 28

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	[ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL] HYDE & SWIGART Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557) josh@westcoastlitigation.com 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101 San Diego, CA 92108-3551 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
APC B1	13	
KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 FISCHER AVENUE, SUITE D1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626	14	
LAW G Avenui Esa, C <i>i</i>	15	
OUNI SCHER DSTA M	16	
KAZER 245 Fi Co	17	
-	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

#### Case 3:17-cv-02266-BEN-KSC Document 1-1 Filed 11/07/17 PageID.31 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

L (a) PLAINTIFFS TONI WELK, Individually On Behalf of All Others S Situated, (b) County of Residence of	a	DEFENDANTS NUTRACEUTICAL CORPORATION D/B/A BIOGENSIS NUTRACEUTICALS, INC. d/b/a NUTRABIOGENESIS d/b/a BIOGENESIS County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Salt Lake, Utah								
(E)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.								
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, / KAZEROUNI LAW GROU Costa Mesa, California 9		Attorneys (If Known)								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		II. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff								
I U.S. Government Plaintiff										ant) DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	of Parties in Item III)				of Business In Another State				<b>X</b> 5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country		J 3 F	oreign Nation		06	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			E E				ere for: Nature o			
CONTRACT  CONTRACT  110 Insurance  120 Marine  130 Miller Act  140 Negotiable Instrument  150 Recovery of Overpayment  & Enforcement of Judgment  151 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment  of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Education	Personal Injury Personal Injury Product Liability Injury Product Liability <b>PERSONAL PROPER</b> 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability <b>PRISONER PETITION</b> Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 533 Death Penalty Other:	x = 62 (1 69 (1 69 (1 70 (1 72 (1 72 (	SPETTURE/PENALTY     S Drug Related Seizure     of Property 21 USC 88     O Other      LABOR     O Fair Labor Standards     Act     O Labor/Management     Relations     O Railway Labor Act     I Family and Medical     Leave Act     O Other Labor Litigation     Employee Retirement     Income Security Act     IMMIGRATION     Naturalization Applicati     S Other Immigration     Actions	□         422           □         423           ■         820           □         830           □         840           ■         862           □         863           □         864           □         865           ■         FE           □         870           □         871	2 Appeal 2 3 Withdrav 28 USC OOPERT 0 Copyrigl 9 Patent - 5 Patent - 1 New Dra 0 Tradema CIAL SE 1 HIA (13 2 Black Lu 3 DIWC/E 3 OIWC/E 5 RSI (405 0 DERAL 0 Taxes (Lorent et al. 1997) 0 DERAL 0 Taxes (Lorent et al. 1997) 0 DERAL	157     Y RIGHTS     hts     Abbreviated     ug Application     ark     CURITY     95ff)     ung (923)     DIWW (405(g))     itle XVI     5(g))     TAX SUITS     J.S. Plaintiff     ndant)     hird Party	□ 480 Consum □ 490 Cable/S □ 850 Securiti Exchan ★ 890 Other S □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedon Act □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Admini: Act/Rev	laims Act n (31 USC )) aapportion it nd Bankin rece tion er Influen Organizat her Credit at TV es/Comme ge tatutory A tural Acts mental Ma n of Inforr ion strative Prr iew or Ap Decision ationality of	ment ng ced and ions odities/ etions tters nation ocedure ipeal of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	Confinement								
X1 Original □ 2 Ren	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reor	stated or D 5 Tran bened Anot (spec.	ther Distri	om 🗆 ict	D 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatic Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	N Consumers Lega Brief description of ca	tute under which you an I Remedies Act, Ca nuse: ne amount of vitam	I. Civ. C	ode §§ 1750, et s	eq., Cal	Bus. 8		1332 (kn 500 et seq,	o) et al.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		CHE	ECK YES only RY DEMAND:	if demanded in X Yes	complai	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	<b>C(S)</b> (See instructions):	JUDGE			DO	OCKET 1	NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT								
11/07/2017 /s/ Abbas Kazerounian FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE								

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Suit Claims Nutraceutical Corporation Falsely Labels Vitamin B12 Supplements</u>