# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JEWELL WEEKES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

COHEN CLEARY, P.C,

Defendant.

Case No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

Jury Trial Demanded

Representative Plaintiff Jewell Weekes ("Representative Plaintiff") brings this class action complaint against Defendant Cohen Cleary, P.C. ("Defendant") to recover damages, injunctive and equitable relief on behalf of a Class of persons whose personally identifiable information ("PII") and protected health information ("PHI") was accessed without authorization by criminals as a result of Defendant's unreasonable and deficient data security practices (the "Data Breach").

Representative Plaintiff makes these allegations on personal information as to those allegations pertaining to itself, and upon information and belief, the investigation of counsel, and facts that are a matter of public record on all other matters.

# NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this class action against Defendant for failure to properly secure and safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI stored within Defendant's information network including, without limitation: name, address, date of birth, Social Security number, medical information, and health insurance information.

2. With this action, Representative Plaintiff seeks to hold Defendant responsible for the harms it caused and will continue to cause Representative Plaintiff and, at least 12,478 others similarly situated persons in the massive and preventable cyberattack purportedly discovered by

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 2 of 30

Defendant on September 30, 2022, by which cybercriminals infiltrated Defendant's inadequately protected network servers and accessed highly sensitive PHI/PII belonging to both adults and children, which was being kept unprotected.

3. While Defendant claims to have discovered the breach as early as September 30, 2022, Defendant did not begin informing victims of the Data Breach until November 23, 2022 and failed to inform victims when or for how long the Data Breach occurred. Indeed, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members were wholly unaware of the Data Breach until they received letters from Defendant informing them of it. The notice received by Representative Plaintiff was dated November 23, 2022.

4. Defendant acquired, collected, and stored Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII. Therefore, at all relevant times, Defendant knew, or should have known, that Representative Plaintiff and Class Members would use Defendant's services to store and/or share sensitive data, including highly confidential PHI/PII.

5. By obtaining, collecting, using, and deriving a benefit from Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties to those individuals. These duties arise from state and federal statutes and regulations as well as common law principles.

6. Defendant disregarded the rights of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take and implement adequate and reasonable measures to ensure that Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII was safeguarded, failing to take available steps to prevent an unauthorized disclosure of data, and failing to follow applicable, required, and appropriate protocols, policies, and procedures regarding the encryption of data, even for internal use. As a result, the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members was compromised through disclosure to an unknown and unauthorized third

#### Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 3 of 30

party—an undoubtedly nefarious third party that seeks to profit off this disclosure by defrauding Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in the future. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have a continuing interest in ensuring that their information is and remains safe, and they are entitled to injunctive and other equitable relief.

#### PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

7. Representative Plaintiff is an adult individual and, at all relevant times herein, a resident and citizen of this State. Defendant received highly sensitive PHI/PII from Representative Plaintiff in connection with legal services Representative Plaintiff received or requested. As a result, Representative Plaintiff's information was among the data accessed by an unauthorized third party in the Data Breach.

8. Representative Plaintiff received—and was a "consumer" for purposes of obtaining services from Defendant within this State. At all times herein relevant, Representative Plaintiff is and was a member of each of the Classes.

9. As required in order to obtain services from Defendant, Representative Plaintiff provided Defendant with highly sensitive PHI/PII. Representative Plaintiff's PHI/PII was exposed in the Data Breach because Defendant stored and/or shared Representative Plaintiff's PHI/PII. This PHI/PII was within the possession and control of Defendant at the time of the Data Breach.

10. Representative Plaintiff received a letter from Defendant, dated on or about November 23, 2022, stating that her PHI/PII was involved in the Data Breach (the "Notice").

11. As a result, Representative Plaintiff spent time dealing with the consequences of the Data Breach, which included and continues to include, time spent verifying the legitimacy and impact of the Data Breach, exploring credit monitoring and identity theft insurance options, self-monitoring accounts and seeking legal counsel regarding Representative Plaintiff's options

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 4 of 30

for remedying and/or mitigating the effects of the Data Breach. This time has been lost forever and cannot be recaptured.

12. Representative Plaintiff suffered actual injury in the form of damages to and diminution in the value of Representative Plaintiff's PHI/PII—a form of intangible property that Representative Plaintiff entrusted to Defendant, which was compromised in and as a result of the Data Breach. Representative Plaintiff suffered lost time, annoyance, interference, and inconvenience as a result of the Data Breach and has anxiety and increased concerns for the loss of privacy, as well as anxiety over the impact of cybercriminals accessing, using, and selling Representative Plaintiff's PHI/PII. Representative Plaintiff suffered imminent and impending injury arising from the substantially increased risk of fraud, identity theft, and misuse resulting from Representative Plaintiff's PHI/PII, in combination with Representative Plaintiff's name, being placed in the hands of unauthorized third parties/criminals. Representative Plaintiff has a continuing interest in ensuring that Representative Plaintiff's PHI/PII, which, upon information and belief, remains backed up in Defendant's possession, is protected, and safeguarded from future breaches.

13. Defendant Cohen Cleary, P.C is a Massachusetts professional corporation with its principal place of business located at 122 Dean Street, Tauton, MA, 02780.

14. Defendant provides legal services in various areas of law including criminal law, family law, estate law, labor and employment law, consumer protection, debt collection, and civil litigation.

15. The true names and capacities of persons or entities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, who may be responsible for some of the claims alleged here are currently unknown to Representative Plaintiff. Representative Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of such responsible parties

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 5 of 30

when its identities become known.

16. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one member of the Class, as defined below is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

17. Supplemental jurisdiction to adjudicate issues pertaining to state law is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

18. Defendant is headquartered and routinely conducts business in the State where this district is located, has sufficient minimum contacts in this State, and has intentionally availed itself of this jurisdiction by marketing and selling services, and by accepting and processing payments for those services within this State.

19. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events that gave rise to Representative Plaintiff's claims took place within this District, and Defendant does business in this Judicial District.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

20. In the course of the Data Breach, one or more unauthorized third parties accessed Class Members' sensitive data including, but not limited to; name, address, date of birth, Social Security number, medical information, and health insurance information. Representative Plaintiff was among the individuals whose data was accessed in the Data Breach. 12,478 persons were affected by the Data Breach.

 Representative Plaintiff was provided the information detailed above upon Representative Plaintiff's receipt of a letter from Defendant, dated on or about November 23,
 2022. Representative Plaintiff was not aware of the Data Breach until receiving that letter.

22. Upon information and belief, the unauthorized third-party cybercriminals gained

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 6 of 30

access to Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII with the intent of engaging in misuse of the PHI/PII, including marketing and selling Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII.

23. Not until roughly one month after Defendant claims to have discovered the Data Breach did it begin sending the Notice to persons whose PHI/PII Defendant confirmed was potentially compromised as a result of the Data Breach. The Notice provided basic details of the Data Breach and Defendant's recommended next steps.

24. The Notice included, *inter alia*, the claims that Defendant had learned of the Data Breach on September 30, 2022, and had taken steps to respond.

25. Upon information and belief, the unauthorized third-party cybercriminals gained access to Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII with the intent of engaging in misuse of the PHI/PII, including marketing and selling Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII.

26. Defendant had and continues to have obligations created by applicable federal and state law as set forth herein, reasonable industry standards, common law, and its own assurances and representations that it would keep Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII confidential and to protect such PHI/PII from unauthorized access.

27. Despite this, Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members remain, even today, in the dark regarding what particular data was stolen, the particular malware used, and what steps are being taken, if any, to secure their PHI/PII going forward. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are, thus, left to speculate as to where their PHI/PII ended up, who has used it, and for what potentially nefarious purposes. Indeed, they are left to further speculate as to the full impact of the Data Breach and how exactly Defendant intends to enhance its information security systems and monitoring capabilities to prevent further breaches.

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 7 of 30

28. Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII may end up for sale on the dark web, or simply fall into the hands of companies that will use the detailed PHI/PII for targeted marketing without the approval of Representative Plaintiff and/or Class Members. Either way, unauthorized individuals can now easily access the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

29. Defendant acquired, collected, stored, and assured reasonable security over Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII.

30. As a condition of its relationships with Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant required that Representative Plaintiff and Class Members entrust Defendant with highly sensitive and confidential PHI/PII. Defendant, in turn, stored that information on Defendant's system that was ultimately affected by the Data Breach.

31. By obtaining, collecting, and storing Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that it was thereafter responsible for protecting Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII from unauthorized disclosure.

32. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have taken reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of their PHI/PII. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members relied on Defendant to keep their PHI/PII confidential and securely maintained, to use this information for legal services only, and to make only authorized disclosures of this information.

33. Defendant could have prevented the Data Breach, which began as early as January17, 2022, by properly securing and encrypting and/or more securely encrypting its serversgenerally, as well as Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII.

34. Defendant's negligence in safeguarding Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII is exacerbated by repeated warnings and alerts directed to protecting and

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 8 of 30

securing sensitive data, as evidenced by the trending data breach attacks in recent years.

35. Defendant was also prohibited by the Federal Trade Commission Act (the "FTC Act") (15 U.S.C. § 45) from engaging in "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce." The Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") has concluded that a company's failure to maintain reasonable and appropriate data security for consumers' sensitive personal information is an "unfair practice" in violation of the FTC Act. See, e.g., *FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp.*, 799 F.3d 236 (3d Cir. 2015).

36. In addition to its obligations under federal and state laws, Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting, and protecting the PHI/PII in Defendant's possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed, and misused by unauthorized persons. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to provide reasonable security, including consistency with industry standards and requirements, and to ensure that its computer systems, networks, and protocols adequately protected the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

37. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to design, maintain, and test its computer systems, servers, and networks to ensure that the PHI/PII in its possession was adequately secured and protected.

38. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to create and implement reasonable data security practices and procedures to protect the PHI/PII in its possession, including not sharing information with other entities who maintained sub-standard data security systems.

39. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to implement processes that would immediately detect a breach on its data security systems in a

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 9 of 30

timely manner.

40. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to act upon data security warnings and alerts in a timely fashion.

41. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to disclose if its computer systems and data security practices were inadequate to safeguard individuals' PHI/PII from theft because such an inadequacy would be a material fact in the decision to entrust this PHI/PII to Defendant.

42. Defendant owed a duty of care to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members because they were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate data security practices.

43. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to encrypt and/or more reliably encrypt Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and monitor user behavior and activity in order to identify possible threats.

44. PHI/PII are valuable commodities for which a "cyber black market" exists in which criminals openly post stolen payment card numbers, Social Security numbers, and other personal information on a number of underground internet websites. Unsurprisingly, the legal industry is at high risk for and acutely affected by cyberattacks.

45. These criminal activities, such as fraud and identity theft, have and will result in devastating financial and personal losses to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. Fraud will be an omnipresent threat for Representative Plaintiff and Class Members for the rest of their lives. They will need to remain constantly vigilant.

46. The FTC defines identity theft as "a fraud committed or attempted using the identifying information of another person without authority." The FTC describes "identifying information" as "any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific person," including, among other things; "[n]ame, Social

# Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 10 of 30

Security number, date of birth, official State or government issued driver's license or identification number, alien registration number, government passport number, employer or taxpayer identification number."

47. Identity thieves can use PHI/PII, such as that of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members which Defendant failed to keep secure, to perpetrate a variety of crimes that harm victims. For instance, identity thieves may commit various types of government fraud such as immigration fraud, obtaining a driver's license or identification card in the victim's name but with another's picture, using the victim's information to obtain government benefits, or filing a fraudulent tax return using the victim's information to obtain a fraudulent refund.

48. The ramifications of Defendant's failure to keep secure Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII are long lasting and severe. Once PHI/PII is stolen, particularly identification numbers, fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may continue for years. Indeed, the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members was taken by hackers to engage in identity theft or to sell it to other criminals who will purchase the PHI/PII for that purpose. The fraudulent activity resulting from the Data Breach may not come to light for years.

49. Here, Defendant knew of the importance of safeguarding PHI/PII and of the foreseeable consequences that would occur if Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII was stolen, including the significant costs that would be placed on Representative Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of a breach of this magnitude. As detailed above, Defendant knew, or should have known, that the development and use of such protocols was necessary to fulfill its statutory and common law duties to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. Its failure to do so is, therefore, intentional, willful, reckless, and/or grossly negligent.

50. Defendant disregarded the rights of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 11 of 30

by, *inter alia*, (i) intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take adequate and reasonable measures to ensure that its network servers were protected against unauthorized intrusions; (ii) failing to disclose that it did not have adequately robust security protocols and training practices in place to adequately safeguard Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII; (iii) failing to take standard and reasonably available steps to prevent the Data Breach; (iv) concealing the existence and extent of the Data Breach for an unreasonable duration of time; and (v) failing to provide Representative Plaintiff and Class Members prompt and accurate notice of the Data Breach.

## **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

51. Representative Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to the provisions of Rules 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of Representative Plaintiff and the following classes/subclass(es) (collectively, the "Class"):

## Nationwide Class:

"All individuals within the United States of America whose PHI/PII was exposed to unauthorized third parties as a result of the data breach discovered on September 30, 2022."

## Massachusetts Subclass:

"All individuals within the State of Massachusetts whose PHI/PII was stored by Defendant and/or was exposed to unauthorized third parties as a result of the data breach discovered by Defendant on September 30, 2022."

52. Excluded from the Classes are the following individuals and/or entities: Defendant and Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors, and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; all individuals who make a timely election to be excluded from this proceeding using the correct protocol for opting out; any and all federal, state or local governments, including but not limited to its departments, agencies, divisions, bureaus, boards, sections, groups, counsels and/or subdivisions; and all judges assigned to hear any aspect of this litigation, as well as its immediate family members.

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 12 of 30

53. Also, in the alternative, Representative Plaintiff requests additional Subclasses as necessary based on the types of PHI/PII that were compromised.

54. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 23 because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and membership in the proposed classes is easily ascertainable.

55. **Numerosity:** A class action is the only available method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The members of the Plaintiff Classes are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical, if not impossible. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that the total number of Class Members is in the tens of thousands of individuals. Membership in the classes will be determined by analysis of Defendant's records.

56. **Commonality and Predominance**: Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members share a community of interests in that there are numerous common questions and issues of fact and law which predominate over any questions and issues solely affecting individual members, including, but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- a. Whether Defendant had a legal duty to Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using and/or safeguarding their PHI/PII;
- b. Whether Defendant knew or should have known of the susceptibility of its data security systems to a data breach;
- c. Whether Defendant's security procedures and practices to protect its systems were reasonable in light of the measures recommended by data security experts;
- d. Whether Defendant's failure to implement adequate data security measures allowed the Data Breach to occur;

- e. Whether Defendant failed to comply with its own policies and applicable laws, regulations, and industry standards relating to data security;
- f. Whether Defendant adequately, promptly, and accurately informed RepresentativePlaintiff and Class Members that their PII/PHI had been compromised;
- g. How and when Defendant actually learned of the Data Breach;
- Whether Defendant's conduct, including its failure to act, resulted in or was the proximate cause of the breach of its systems, resulting in the loss of the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- i. Whether Defendant adequately addressed and fixed the vulnerabilities which permitted the Data Breach to occur;
- j. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive practices by failing to safeguard the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- k. Whether Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to actual and/or statutory damages and/or whether injunctive, corrective and/or declaratory relief and/or an accounting is/are appropriate as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.

57. **Typicality**: Representative Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Plaintiff Classes. Representative Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Classes sustained damages arising out of and caused by Defendant's common course of conduct in violation of law, as alleged herein.

58. Adequacy of Representation: Representative Plaintiff in this class action is an adequate representative of each of the Plaintiff Classes in that the Representative Plaintiff has the same interest in the litigation of this case as the Class Members, is committed to vigorous prosecution of this case, and has retained competent counsel who are experienced in conducting

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 14 of 30

litigation of this nature. Representative Plaintiff is not subject to any individual defenses unique from those conceivably applicable to other Class Members or the classes in their entirety. Representative Plaintiff anticipates no management difficulties in this litigation.

59. **Superiority of Class Action:** Since the damages suffered by individual Class Members, while not inconsequential, may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation by each member makes or may make it impractical for members of the Plaintiff Classes to seek redress individually for the wrongful conduct alleged herein. Should separate actions be brought or be required to be brought by each individual member of the Plaintiff Classes, the resulting multiplicity of lawsuits would cause undue hardship and expense for the Court and the litigants. The prosecution of separate actions would also create a risk of inconsistent rulings which might be dispositive of the interests of the Class Members who are not parties to the adjudications and/or may substantially impede their ability to adequately protect their interests.

60. This class action is also appropriate for certification because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Class Members, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the Class Members and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Class in its entirety. Defendant's policies and practices challenged herein apply to and affect Class Members uniformly and Representative Plaintiff's challenge of these policies and practices hinges on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Class in its entirety, not on facts or law applicable only to Representative Plaintiff.

61. Unless a Class-wide injunction is issued, Defendant may continue in its failure to properly secure the PHI/PII of Class Members, and Defendant may continue to act unlawfully as set forth in this Complaint. Further, Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 15 of 30

applicable to the Classes and, accordingly, final injunctive or corresponding declaratory relief with regard to the Class Members as a whole is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

## **CAUSES OF ACTION**

# <u>COUNT I</u> Negligence

62. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1–55 as if fully set forth herein.

63. At all times herein relevant, Defendant owed Representative Plaintiff and Class Members a duty of care, *inter alia*, to act with reasonable care to secure and safeguard their PHI/PII and to use commercially reasonable methods to do so. Defendant took on this obligation upon accepting and storing the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in its computer systems and on its networks.

- 64. Among these duties, Defendant was expected:
  - a. to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding,
    deleting, and protecting the PHI/PII in its possession;
  - to protect Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII using reasonable and adequate security procedures and systems that were/are compliant with industry-standard practices;
  - to implement processes to quickly detect the Data Breach and to timely act on warnings about data breaches; and
  - d. to promptly notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members of any data breach, security incident, or intrusion that affected or may have affected its PHI/PII.
- 65. Defendant knew that the PHI/PII was private and confidential and should be

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 16 of 30

protected as private and confidential and, thus, Defendant owed a duty of care not to subject Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to an unreasonable risk of harm because they were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices.

66. Defendant knew, or should have known, that its data systems and networks did not adequately safeguard Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII.

67. Only Defendant was in the position to ensure that its systems and protocols were sufficient to protect the PHI/PII that Representative Plaintiff and Class Members had entrusted to it.

68. Defendant breached its duties to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

69. Because Defendant knew that a breach of its systems could damage thousands of individuals, including Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant had a duty to adequately protect its data systems and the PHI/PII contained therein.

70. Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' willingness to entrust Defendant with their PHI/PII was predicated on the understanding that Defendant would take adequate security precautions. Moreover, only Defendant had the ability to protect its systems and the PHI/PII it stored on them from attack. Thus, Defendant had a special relationship with Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

71. Defendant also had independent duties under state and federal laws that required Defendant to reasonably safeguard Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and promptly notify them about the Data Breach. These "independent duties" are untethered to any contract between Defendant and Representative Plaintiff and/or the remaining Class Members.

72. Defendant breached its general duty of care to Representative Plaintiff and Class

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 17 of 30

Members in, but not necessarily limited to, the following ways:

- a. by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- b. by failing to timely and accurately disclose that Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII had been improperly acquired or accessed;
- c. by failing to adequately protect and safeguard the PHI/PII by knowingly disregarding standard information security principles, despite obvious risks, and by allowing unmonitored and unrestricted access to unsecured PHI/PII;
- d. by failing to provide adequate supervision and oversight of the PHI/PII with which it was and is entrusted, despite the known risk and foreseeable likelihood of breach and misuse, which permitted an unknown third party to gather PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, misuse the PHI/PII and intentionally disclose it to others without consent;
- e. by failing to adequately train its employees to not store PHI/PII longer than absolutely necessary;
- f. by failing to consistently enforce security policies aimed at protecting Representative Plaintiff's and the Class Members' PHI/PII;
- g. by failing to implement processes to quickly detect data breaches, security incidents, or intrusions; and
- by failing to encrypt Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and monitor user behavior and activity in order to identify possible threats.

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 18 of 30

73. Defendant's willful failure to abide by these duties was wrongful, reckless, and grossly negligent in light of the foreseeable risks and known threats.

74. As a proximate and foreseeable result of Defendant's grossly negligent conduct, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages and are at imminent risk of additional harms and damages (as alleged above).

75. The law further imposes an affirmative duty on Defendant to timely disclose the unauthorized access and theft of the PHI/PII to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members so that they could and/or still can take appropriate measures to mitigate damages, protect against adverse consequences, and thwart future misuse of their PHI/PII.

76. Defendant breached its duty to notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members of the unauthorized access by waiting months after learning of the Data Breach to notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members and then by failing and continuing to fail to provide Representative Plaintiff and Class Members sufficient information regarding the breach. To date, Defendant has not provided sufficient information to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members regarding the extent of the unauthorized access and continues to breach its disclosure obligations to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

77. Further, through its failure to provide timely and clear notification of the Data Breach to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant prevented Representative Plaintiff and Class Members from taking meaningful, proactive steps to secure their PHI/PII, and to access their medical records and histories.

78. There is a close causal connection between Defendant's failure to implement security measures to protect the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members and the harm suffered, or risk of imminent harm suffered, by Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII was accessed as the proximate

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 19 of 30

result of Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding such PHI/PII by adopting, implementing, and maintaining appropriate security measures.

79. Defendant's wrongful actions, inactions, and omissions constituted (and continue to constitute) common law negligence.

80. The damages Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered (as alleged above) and will suffer were and are the direct and proximate result of Defendant's grossly negligent conduct.

81. Additionally, 15 U.S.C. § 45 (FTC Act, Section 5) prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce," including, as interpreted, and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or practice by businesses, such as Defendant failing to use reasonable measures to protect PHI/PII. The FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the basis of Defendant's duty in this regard.

82. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 45 by failing to use reasonable measures to protect PHI/PII and not complying with applicable industry standards, as described in detail herein. Defendant's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of PHI/PII it obtained and stored and the foreseeable consequences of the immense damages that would result to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

83. Defendant's violation of 15 U.S.C. § 45 constitutes negligence per se.

84. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer injury, including, but not limited to; (i) actual identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity of how their PHI/PII is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PHI/PII; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PHI/PII; (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 20 of 30

the loss of productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to, efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from embarrassment and identity theft; (vi) lost continuity in relation to their healthcare; (vii) the continued risk to their PHI/PII, which may remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII in its continued possession; and (viii) future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PHI/PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

85. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm, including, but not limited to, anxiety, emotional distress, loss of privacy, and other economic and non-economic losses.

86. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered, and will suffer, the continued risks of exposure of their PHI/PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and are subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PHI/PII in its continued possession.

## **<u>COUNT II</u>** Breach of Confidence

87. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1–80 as if fully set forth herein.

88. At all times during Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' interactions with Defendant, Defendant was fully aware of the confidential nature of the PHI/PII that

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 21 of 30

Representative Plaintiff and Class Members provided to it.

89. As alleged herein and above, Defendant's relationship with Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members was governed by promises and expectations that Representative Plaintiff and Class Members' PHI/PII would be collected, stored, and protected in confidence, and would not be accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by, and/or viewed by unauthorized third parties.

90. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members provided their respective PHI/PII to Defendant with the explicit and implicit understandings that Defendant would protect and not permit the PHI/PII to be accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by, and/or viewed by unauthorized third parties.

91. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members also provided their PHI/PII to Defendant with the explicit and implicit understanding that Defendant would take precautions to protect their PHI/PII from unauthorized access, acquisition, appropriation, disclosure, encumbrance, exfiltration, release, theft, use, and/or viewing, such as following basic principles of protecting its networks and data systems.

92. Defendant voluntarily received, in confidence, Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII with the understanding that the PHI/PII would not be accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by and/or viewed by the public or any unauthorized third parties.

93. Due to Defendant's failure to prevent, detect, and avoid the Data Breach from occurring by, *inter alia*, not following best information security practices to secure Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII, Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII was accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by and/or viewed by unauthorized third parties beyond

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 22 of 30

Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' confidence, and without its express permission.

94. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's actions and/or omissions, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages, as alleged therein.

95. But for Defendant's failure to maintain and protect Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII in violation of the parties' understanding of confidence, their PHI/PII would not have been accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by and/or viewed by unauthorized third parties. The Data Breach was the direct and legal cause of the misuse of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII, as well as the resulting damages.

96. The injury and harm Representative Plaintiff and Class Members suffered and will continue to suffer was the reasonably foreseeable result of Defendant's unauthorized misuse of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII. Defendant knew its data systems and protocols for accepting and securing Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII had security and other vulnerabilities that placed Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII in jeopardy.

97. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of confidence, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer injury, as alleged herein, including, but not limited to; (a) actual identity theft; (b) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PHI/PII; (c) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft and/or unauthorized use of their PHI/PII; (d) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including, but not limited to, efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from identity theft; (e) the continued risk to their PHI/PII, which remains in Defendant's possession and is

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 23 of 30

subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Class Members' PHI/PII in its continued possession; (f) future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended as result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members; (g) the diminished value of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII; and (h) the diminished value of Defendant's services for which Representative Plaintiff and Class Members paid and received.

# <u>COUNT III</u> Breach of Implied Contract

98. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1–91 as if fully set forth herein.

99. Through their course of conduct, Defendant, Representative Plaintiff, and Class Members entered into implied contracts for Defendant to implement data security adequate to safeguard and protect the privacy of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII.

100. Defendant required Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to provide and entrust their PHI/PII as a condition of obtaining Defendant's services.

101. Defendant solicited and invited Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to provide their PHI/PII as part of Defendant's regular business practices. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members accepted Defendant's offers and provided their PHI/PII to Defendant.

102. As a condition of being direct customers of Defendant, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members provided and entrusted their PHI/PII to Defendant. In so doing, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members entered into implied contracts with Defendant by which Defendant agreed to safeguard and protect such non-public information, to keep such information secure and confidential, and to timely and accurately notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members if its data had been breached and compromised or stolen.

103. A meeting of the minds occurred when Representative Plaintiff and Class Members agreed to, and did, provide their PHI/PII to Defendant, in exchange for, amongst other

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 24 of 30

things, the protection of their PHI/PII.

104. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members fully performed their obligations under the implied contracts with Defendant.

105. Defendant breached the implied contracts it made with Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to safeguard and protect their PHI/PII and by failing to provide timely and accurate notice to them that their PHI/PII was compromised as a result of the Data Breach.

106. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach of implied contract, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered (and will continue to suffer) (a) ongoing, imminent, and impending threat of identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; (b) actual identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; (c) loss of the confidentiality of the stolen confidential data; (d) the illegal sale of the compromised data on the dark web; (e) lost work time; and (f) other economic and non-economic harm.

# <u>COUNT IV</u> Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

107. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1–100 as if fully set forth herein.

108. Every contract in this state has an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. This implied covenant is an independent duty and may be breached even when there is no breach of a contract's actual and/or express terms.

109. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have complied with and performed all conditions of their contracts with Defendant.

110. Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing to maintain adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard PHI/PII, failing

## Case 1:23-cv-10817 Document 1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 25 of 30

to timely and accurately disclose the Data Breach to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, and continued acceptance of PHI/PII and storage of other personal information after Defendant knew, or should have known, of the security vulnerabilities of the systems that were exploited in the Data Breach.

111. Defendant acted in bad faith and/or with malicious motive in denying Representative Plaintiff and Class Members the full benefit of their bargains as originally intended by the parties, thereby causing them injury in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Representative Plaintiff, on behalf of Representative Plaintiff and each member of the proposed National Class and the Massachusetts Subclass, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in their favor and for the specific relief against Defendant as follows:

- A. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that this action is a proper class action and certify each of the proposed classes and/or any other appropriate subclasses under F.R.C.P. Rule 23 (b)(1), (b)(2), and/or (b)(3), including appointment of Representative Plaintiff's counsel as Class Counsel;
- B. For an award of damages, including actual, nominal, and consequential damages, as allowed by law in an amount to be determined;
- C. That the Court enjoin Defendant, ordering it to cease and desist from unlawful activities;
- D. For equitable relief enjoining Defendant from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete, any accurate disclosures to Representative

Plaintiff and Class Members;

- E. For injunctive relief requested by Representative Plaintiff, including but not limited to, injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to protect the interests of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, including but not limited to an Order:
  - prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the wrongful and unlawful acts described herein;
  - requiring Defendant to protect, including through encryption, all data collected through the course of business in accordance with all applicable regulations, industry standards, and federal, state, or local laws;
  - requiring Defendant to delete and purge the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members unless Defendant can provide to the Court reasonable justification for the retention and use of such information when weighed against the privacy interests of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
  - requiring Defendant to implement and maintain a comprehensive Information Security Program designed to protect the confidentiality and integrity of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII;
    - requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors and internal personnel to run automated security monitoring, simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Defendant's systems on a periodic basis;

- prohibiting Defendant from maintaining Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII on a cloud-based database;
- requiring Defendant to segment data by creating firewalls and access controls so that, if one area of Defendant's network is compromised, hackers cannot gain access to other portions of Defendant's systems;
- requiring Defendant to conduct regular database scanning and securing checks;
  - requiring Defendant to establish an information security training program that includes at least annual information security training for all employees, with additional training to be provided as appropriate based upon the employees' respective responsibilities with handling PHI/PII, as well as protecting the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
    - requiring Defendant to implement a system of tests to assess its respective employees' knowledge of the education programs discussed in the preceding subparagraphs, as well as randomly and periodically testing employees' compliance with Defendant's policies, programs, and systems for protecting personal identifying information;
    - requiring Defendant to implement, maintain, review, and revise as necessary a threat management program to appropriately monitor Defendant's networks for internal and external threats, and assess whether monitoring tools are properly configured, tested, and

updated;

- requiring Defendant to meaningfully educate all Class Members about the threats that they face as a result of the loss of its confidential personal identifying information to third parties, as well as the steps affected individuals must take to protect themselves;
- F. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded, at the prevailing legal rate;
- G. For an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as allowed by law;
- H. For all other Orders, findings, and determinations identified and sought in this Complaint.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Representative Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class hereby demands a trial

by jury for all issues triable by jury.

Plaintiff Jewell Weekes, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

By: <u>/s/ Martin R. Sabounjian</u> Martin R. Sabounjian BBO #703893 **Joel H. Schwartz, P.C.** 1 Washington Mall, 16 Boston, MA 02108 (617) 660-2270 mrs@joelhschwartz.com

> Daniel Srourian, Esq.\* **Srourian Law Firm, P.C.** 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1710 Los Angeles, CA 90010 (213) 474-3800 (213) 471-4160 *daniel@slfla.com*

Scott Edward Cole, Esq.\* Laura Grace Van Note, Esq.\* Molly Munson Cherala, Esq.\* **Cole & Van Note** 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1725 Oakland, CA 94607 (510) 891-9800 (510) 891-7030 sec@colevannote.com lvn@colevannote.com mmc@colevannote.com

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Cohen Cleary, P.C. Hit with Class Action</u> <u>Over September 2022 Data Breach</u>