FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ONLANDO DIVISION

PORTIA WASHINGTON and DIVINE HARWELL, on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO.: 5,17-CV-312-021-229X

vs.

UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company,

Defendant.	/
------------	---

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW the Plaintiffs, PORTIA WASHINGTON and DIVINE HARWELL, on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated ("Plaintiffs"), and sues Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company ("UNITEL" or "Defendant"), under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the "FLSA") for unpaid overtime wage compensation.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action by the Plaintiffs against their former employer for unpaid overtime pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). Plaintiffs seek overtime damages, liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §216(b) (the "FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiffs also seek an Order conditionally certifying this case as a collective action to include all similarly situated "sales representatives" who did not receive proper overtime wages within the last three years.

JURISDICTION

- 3. Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, is a Limited Liability Company formed and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, doing business in Florida and New Jersey, and at all times during Plaintiffs' employment, was an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. §203.
- 4. This action arises under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §210, et. seq. The Court has jurisdiction over the FLSA claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and the FLSA.

VENUE

5. The venue of this Court over this controversy is proper based on the claim arising in Maitland, Florida (Orange County).

COVERAGE

- 6. At all material times relevant to this action (2014-2017), the Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. §203(r) and §203(s).
- 7. At all material times relevant to this action (2014-2017), the Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, made gross earnings of at least \$500,000.00 annually.
- 8. At all material times relevant to this action (2014-2017), the Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, had two or more employees engaged in interstate commerce, producing goods for commerce, or handling, selling or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for such commerce.

- 9. At all material times relevant to this action (2014-2017), Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, had two or more employees who routinely ordered materials or supplies from out of state vendors.
- 10. At all material times relevant to this action (2014-2017), Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, had two or more employees who used the telephone and/or computers to place and accept business calls with out of state customers on a daily basis in the normal course of its business. Defendant required its employees to perform telephone sales for skin care products.
- 11. Additionally, Plaintiffs were employees of UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, and were, at all times relevant to this action (2014-2017), individually engaged in commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a)(1) as they was required to communicate with in and out of state customers on a daily basis for sales.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent that any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiffs are in the possession, custody and control of Defendant.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Defendant, UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, is a company providing sales and telemarketing services.
- 14. UNITEL MARKETING, LLC operates in at least in 2 states: Florida and New Jersey.
 - 15. UNITEL MARKETING, LLC has dozens of employees.

- 16. Defendant employs representatives commonly referred to as "sales representatives."
 - 17. Defendant's sales representatives work in Florida and New Jersey.
- 18. On or about January 11, 2017, Defendant closed its Maitland, Florida office.
- 19. Sales representatives perform sales related services on behalf of Defendant.
 - 20. Sales representatives work in a call center setting.
- 21. Sales representatives are hourly paid employees who are also paid bonuses and commission.
- 22. Sales representatives were not paid overtime, in one or more weeks, if they worked more than forty (40) hours per week.
 - 23. Plaintiffs were employed by Defendant as "sales representatives."
- 24. Plaintiff PORTIA WASHINGTON was employed in this capacity from approximately December 08, 2015 through December 20, 2016.
- 25. Plaintiff DIVINE HARWELL was employed in this capacity from approximately November 2014 through January 11, 2017.
- 26. Plaintiffs were paid an hourly rate for the hours that they worked plus bonuses and commission.
- 27. All sales representatives were paid on an hourly basis, plus commission and bonuses.

- 28. All sales representatives were entitled to be paid for all hours worked for Defendant.
- 29. In order to perform their jobs and meet the sales team goals, Plaintiffs and all sales representatives worked beyond their scheduled hours, including often working through lunches and breaks, during one or more weeks.
- 30. Plaintiffs and all sales representatives were not paid for the time they spent working prior to the beginning of their work shifts and time spent after the end of their work shifts, during one or more weeks.
- 31. This suit seeks payment of all of the time spent prior to the beginning of their work shifts and after the end of their work shifts that resulted in the Plaintiffs, and those similarly situated, working overtime hours but not being compensated at a rate of no less than half of their regular hourly rate for the overtime hours.
- 32. As a result of this compensation practice, Plaintiffs, and all sales persons similarly situated, did not receive full and proper payment of half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week, in one or more weeks.
- 33. Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Plaintiffs performed services for Defendant for which no provisions were made by Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff for those hours worked in excess of 40 within a workweek.
- 34. Defendant employed other individuals who performed the same or similar job duties under the same pay provisions as Plaintiffs.

35. Upon information and belief, the records – to the extent such records exist – concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated to them, are in the possession, custody, or control of Defendant.

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ALLEGATIONS

- 36. Plaintiffs and the other sales representatives ("the class members") performed the same or similar job duties as one another in that they provided sales duties for Defendant.
- 37. Further, Plaintiffs and the class members were subjected to the same pay provisions in that they often worked prior to the beginning of their work shifts and after the end of their work shifts resulting in working over forty (40) hours in a work week, during one or more weeks, without being paid at a rate of at least half their regular rate of pay for those hours. Thus, the class members are owed overtime wages for the same reason as the Plaintiffs.
- 38. Defendant's policy or practice was applicable to Plaintiffs and the class members. Application of this policy or practice does not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiffs or those joining this lawsuit. Rather, the same policy or practice which resulted in the non-payment of overtime wages to Plaintiffs applies to all class members.
- 39. Defendant knowingly, willingly, or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime wages with respect to Plaintiffs and the class members.

- 40. Defendant acted willfully in failing to pay Plaintiffs and the class members in accordance with the law.
- 41. Defendant was aware of the time and record keeping requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act, but willfully or recklessly failed to keep accurate pay and time records as required.
- 42. Defendant did not act in good faith or reliance upon any of the following in formulating its pay practices: (a) case law, (b) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., (c) Department of Labor Wage & Hour Opinion Letters or (d) the Code of Federal Regulations.

COUNT ONE - RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 43. Plaintiffs reassert and incorporate by reference all allegations contained within previous paragraphs 1 through 42.
- 44. During their employment with Defendant, Plaintiffs worked more than forty (40) hours in one or more workweeks while employed by Defendant.
- 45. Defendant failed to properly compensate Plaintiffs for overtime hours that they worked, in violation of the FLSA.
- 46. Defendant acted willfully, intentionally, and/or recklessly in failing to pay Plaintiffs at least time half their regular hourly rate of pay for each hour worked over forty (40) hours in one or more workweeks while employed by Defendant, in violation of the FLSA.

47. Defendant did not act in good faith or reliance upon any of the following in formulating its pay practices: (a) case law, (b) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., (c) Department of Labor Wage & Hour Opinion Letters or (d) the Code of Federal Regulations.

DAMAGES AND RELIEF SOUGHT FOR MEMBERS OF THE CLASS

48. This action is brought by Plaintiffs, for themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, under the provisions of the FLSA for: (i) monetary damages to be paid by the Defendant associated with the above claims; (ii) liquidated damages; and (iii) relief incident and subordinate thereto, including the costs and expenses of this action and an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses to Plaintiff's counsel.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

49. Plaintiff also demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands an Order awarding:

- a. Payment of Plaintiffs', and all class members, overtime wages at the correct rate of half of Plaintiffs' regular rate pursuant to the FLSA;
- b. An equal amount of liquidated damages, or in the alternative, prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law;
- c. Pre-judgment and Post-judgment interest where applicable;
- d. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for all time worked by the attorneys for Plaintiffs in prosecuting this case; and
- e. All other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 22 day of February, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

Aaron Hines, Esquire

FBN 81690

Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 20 N. Orange Ave., 14th Floor

P.O. Box 4979

Orlando, FL 32802-4979

Telephone: (407) 428-6241 Facsimile: (407) 245-3342

Email: ahines@forthepeople.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. ASEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet INEE INSTRUCT	HONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F 11118 FO	ORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS PORTIA WASHINGTON and DIVINE HARWELL, on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated,				DEFENDANTS UNITEL MARKETING, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company,				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Orange (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE. IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 20 N. Orange Ave., 14th Telephone: (407) 428-62	Floor Orlando, FL 328			Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place on "X" in One Box for Plantify	
□ 1 U.S Government Plaintiff	•			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		
□ 2 U.S Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Cutzenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and F of Business In 7		
				en or Subject of a seeign Country	3 7 3	Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1	ARTERIOR PARKET TOTAL		KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Manne ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	Y 2 61	FORFEITURE/PENALTY ☐ 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other		ral 28 USC 158 drawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC ☐ 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
						rights at emark		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Oilier Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise			57 75 0 75	10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	□ 861 HIJA (1395H) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))		☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable Sat TV	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration	
 ○ 220 Foreclosure ○ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ○ 240 Torts to Land ○ 245 Tort Product Liability 	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General	,		or D D 871 IRS-	or Defendant) IRS.—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
□ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	n		State Statutes	
	moved from 3 and 3 te Court	Appellate Court	Reo	(specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ea	nuse	-	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless d	iversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<u>.</u>	overtime wage co IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R. Cv.P.		ation. DEMAND'S KNKWA Ut this time		CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE		JUDGE		TANG		ET NUMBER		
DATE 02/22/2017		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF HECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					_			
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP				MAG. JU	DGE	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Unitel Marketing Slammed with FLSA Suit Over Unpaid Overtime</u>