Case 6:18-cv-00459-JA-DCI Document 1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 1 of 9 PageID 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

CASE NO .: 6'. 18-CV-459-01-28DC1

RONALD WARD, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,

Collective Action

ehalf of all those similarly situated

Plaintiff,

VS.

FLORIDA BC HOLDINGS, LLC d/b/a SYNERGY EQUIPMENT,

/

### **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, RONALD WARD ("Mr. Ward"), individually, as Class Representative, and on behalf of all other similarly situated employees, by and through his undersigned counsel files his individual claim in Count I and a Representative Action in Count II of this Complaint against Defendant, FLORIDA BC HOLDINGS, LLC d/b/a SYNERGY EQUIPMENT ("Synergy"), and states as follows:

### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. Mr. Ward brings his cause of action raising an individual claim for unpaid overtime wages pursuant 29 U.S.C. § 207 (overtime provisions of the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")) and a Representative Action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (authorizing representative actions under the FLSA) seeking to represent a class of employees denied unpaid overtime pursuant to the FLSA.

- 2. The FLSA prevents the exploitation of a class of workers who are in an unequal position with respect "to bargaining power and are thus relatively defenseless against the denial of a living wage is not only detrimental to their health and well being but casts a direct burden for their support upon the community. What these workers lose in wages the taxpayers are called upon to pay." *West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish*, 300 U.S. 379, 399 (1937)(Hughes, C.J).
- 3. Synergy utilized its unequal bargaining power to render defenseless Mr. Ward, individually and all other similarly situated employees, against the denial of the living wage they are entitled to receive and protected by 29 U.S.C. § 207.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
  - 5. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

### III. THE PARTIES

- 6. Mr. Ward was an employee of Synergy within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 7. Mr. Ward was employed as a Sales Coordinator by Synergy from approximately March 2016 to September 2017.
  - 8. As a sales coordinator, Mr. Ward was paid a salary and commissions.
- 9. As a sales coordinator, Mr. Ward's job duties included, but were not limited to, working the inside counter of Synergy's facility.
- 10. Synergy maintains and operates approximately eleven (11) facilities throughout Florida and two (2) in Georgia.

- 11. Synergy provides heavy and compact rental equipment, sales, and services for contractors and developers.
- 12. Mr. Ward performed work for Synergy at its facilities in Daytona Beach, Jacksonville, and St. Augustine Florida locations.
- 13. At all times relevant to his employment, Mr. Ward regularly used the instrumentalities of interstate commerce while performing his work. At all times relevant to his employment, Mr. Ward also regularly used the channels of commerce while performing his work.
- 14. Synergy is an "employer" as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Synergy has employees subject to the provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, in the facilities where Mr. Ward performed work.
- 15. Synergy has employed two or more persons, including Mr. Ward, "engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce," or has "had employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by a person," as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(i).
- 16. Mr. Ward avers that at all times relevant to the violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, Synergy was an enterprise whose annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000, in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(ii).
- 17. Mr. Ward has retained LaBar & Adams, P.A. to represent him and the Class in this matter and has agreed to pay said firm a reasonable attorney's fee and costs for its services.

### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. Synergy provides heavy and compact rental equipment, sales, and services for contractors and developers.
- 19. At all times relevant to the violation of the FLSA, Synergy was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.
- 20. Mr. Ward performed work at Synergy at its facilities in Daytona Beach, Jacksonville, and St. Augustine, Florida locations.
- 21. Throughout his employment, Mr. Ward worked numerous workweeks where his hours exceeded forty but he was not paid time-and-a-half for each overtime hour worked.
- 22. Mr. Ward worked numerous weeks in which he was not paid time-and-a-half for each overtime hour worked because Synergy had misclassified Mr. Ward as an employee exempt from the FLSA.
- 23. Mr. Ward, as well as similarly situated employees, worked numerous weeks in which they were not paid time-and-a-half for each overtime hour worked because Synergy misclassified their position as exempt from the FLSA.
- 24. During Mr. Ward's employment, Synergy reclassified him as non-exempt from the FLSA and commenced paying him overtime.
- 25. Synergy failed to pay Mr. Ward proper overtime once he was reclassified by failing to include all hours worked and failing to pay him at the correct overtime rate.
- 26. Synergy had knowledge that Mr. Ward as well as other similarly situated employees, were working overtime without proper compensation.

- 27. Synergy failed to make a good faith effort to determine if Mr. Ward and similarly situated employees were compensated appropriately pursuant to the FLSA.
- 28. Synergy failed to maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act. See e.g. 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c); 215(a); 29 C.F.R. § 516, et. al.
- 29. Synergy also failed to post the required notice pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act.
- 30. Synergy's unlawful compensation practices are in willful disregard of the rights of Mr. Ward and other similarly situated employees.
- 31. Synergy is currently a Defendant in a collective action pursuant to the FLSA pending in this Court. See Jay E. Reese, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated v. Florida BC Holdings LLC., d/b/a Synergy Equipment, Case No.: 6:17-cv-1574-CEM-GJK (M.D. Fla. 2017).

### V. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 32. Mr. Ward, as Class Representative, brings Count II of his action on behalf of himself and on behalf of similarly situated employees. Specifically, Mr. Ward brings the class' claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act as a collective action, and will request the Court to grant conditional class certification under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 33. Mr. Ward, as Class Representative, will seek class certification of all employees of Synergy who (1) are or were employed by Synergy as "Sales Coordinators" during the preceding three years; (2) were misclassified as exempt from the FLSA; and (3) worked more than forty hours in a work week without being paid proper overtime compensation.

- 34. Mr. Ward, as Class Representative, will seek class certification of all employees of Synergy who: (1) are or were employed by Synergy as "Sales Coordinators" since November 2016; (2) worked more than forty hours in a work week; and (3) did not receive proper overtime because (a) Synergy failed to divide all weekly remuneration by all hours worked to obtain Sales Coordinators' regular rate of pay and (b) failed to include all hours worked when calculating overtime owed.
- 35. While working for Synergy, Mr. Ward and the similarly situated employees, were subject to Synergy's pattern and standard practice of misclassifying employees and denying proper overtime compensation to its Sales Coordinators.

# COUNT I PLAINTIFF, RONALD WARD'S INDIVIDUAL CLAIM FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE OVERTIME PROVISION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 36. Plaintiff, Mr. Ward, re-alleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 4-30 above.
- 37. Mr. Ward was employed as a "Sales Coordinator" by Synergy from approximately March 2016 to September 2017.
- 38. From approximately March 2016 to September 2017, Synergy repeatedly and willfully violated § 7 and § 15 of the Fair Labor Standards Act by failing to compensate Mr. Ward at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he was employed for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours. Specifically, Plaintiff worked numerous weeks in excess of forty (40) hours a week, yet was not compensated for all work in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he was employed.

- 39. Synergy willfully failed to maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act.
- 40. Synergy failed to post the required notice pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Ward demands a judgment against Synergy for the following:

- (a) Unpaid overtime wages found to be due and owing;
- (b) An additional equal amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages found to be due and owing as liquidated damages;
- (c) Prejudgment interest;
- (d) A reasonable attorney's fee and costs; and,
- (e) Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

### **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

Mr. Ward demands a jury trial on all issues contained in Count I.

## COUNT II COLLECTIVE ACTION BY CLASS REPRESENTATIVE, RONALD WARD, FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE OVERTIME PROVISION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 41. Mr. Ward, as Class Representative, re-alleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 4-35 above.
- 42. Throughout the employment of the Class Representative, Mr. Ward and all other similarly situated employees, the Defendant, Synergy, repeatedly and willfully violated § 7 and § 15 of the Fair Labor Standards Act by failing to compensate the Class Representative and all other similarly situated employees, at a rate not less than one and

one-half times the regular rate at which they were employed for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours.

- 43. Specifically, Class Representative, Mr. Ward, and all other similarly-situated "Sales Coordinators," worked numerous weeks throughout their employment in excess of forty (40) hours a week, yet were not compensated for all work in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which they were employed.
- 44. Synergy failed to maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act. See e.g. 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c); 215(a); 29 C.F.R. § 516, et. al.
- 45. Synergy failed to post the required notice pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act.

WHEREFORE, Class Representative, Mr. Ward on behalf of himself and similarly situated employees, demands judgment against Synergy for the following:

- (a) Unpaid overtime wages found to be due and owing;
- (b) An additional equal amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages found to be due and owing as liquidated damages;
- (c) Prejudgment interest;
- (d) Reasonable attorney's fee and costs; and,
- (e) Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

#### **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

Class Representative, Mr. Ward, on behalf of himself and similarly situated employees, demands a jury trial on all issues contained in Count II.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:  $\frac{3/24/18}{}$ 

SCOTT C. ADAMS, ESQ. Florida Bar No.: 0573442

Email: sadams@labaradams.com

N. RYAN LABAR, ESQ. Florida Bar No.: 0010535

Email: rlabar@labaradams.com

•

LABAR & ADAMS, P.A. 2300 East Concord Street

Orlando, Florida 32803 (407) 835-8968 (phone)

(407) 835-8969 (facsimile)

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. 188.1. 18.	BINDE HONS ON MENT INGI	. Cor ring r corani,									
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS FLORIDA BC HOLDINGS, LLC d/b/a SYNERGY EQUIPMENT										
RONALD WARD, individ	ually and on behalf of	all those similarly s	ituated,		HOLL	AINGS, L	LC d/b/a STN	ERGT EQUI	PIVICIŅI	l	
(b) County of Residence (E.		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.									
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Scott C. Adams, Esq. Lal 2300 E. Concord Street, (407) 835-8968	Attorneys (If Known)										
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP O		INCIPA	AL PARTIES				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<b>★</b> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Pariy)		(For Diversity Cases Or en of This State	<i>PTF</i> <b>2X</b> 1		Incorporated or Proof Business In Thi		or Defenda PTF 3 4	<i>mt)</i> DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	<b>5</b> 2	2 0 2	Incorporated and l of Business In .		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	<b>J</b> 3	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			a varians voi		Tive sometime	in a series of the series of t	IVAN VINANCA V		HOZVINI WAY	wo I	
<ul> <li>□ 110 Insurance</li> <li>□ 120 Marine</li> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> <li>&amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted</li> <li>Student Loans</li> </ul>	ine			25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 90 Other	881 0	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark		□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit			
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability  196 Franchise	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability	271 2 71 2 72 2 74 2 75 2 75 2 75 2 75 2 75 2 75	LAHOR  10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relatior 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Empl. Ret. Inc.	ons C	■ SOCIAL SECURITY  ■ 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ■ 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 865 RSI (405(g))		490 Cable/Sat TV 5850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIERIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	PRISONER-PETTEIO  □ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Otl □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	her	IMMIGRATION  2 Naturalization Applica 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) 5 Other Immigration Actions	370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   Act/R Agen   950 Const   26 USC 7609   State				nistrative Procedure eview or Appeal of sy Decision itutionality of Statutes		
Ø 1 Original       ☐ 2 Real     ☐ 3 Real     ☐		Remanded from CAppellate Court		istated or $\cup$ 3 an	ransfer nother	red from district	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	N 29 U.S.C. Section Brief description of ca			-	al statu	tes unless d	iversity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND S	-		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complair  No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER		<del></del>		
DATE 3/26//	8 /	SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNEY	OF RECORD			,			ig.	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						=			٠ %	<u>61.4</u>	
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	3E		MAG. JUI	DGE			

### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <a href="Synergy Equipment Facing Sales Coordinators">Synergy Equipment Facing Sales Coordinators</a> 'Wage and Hour Suit