FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 2817 OCT -4 PM 12: 00

CASE NO.

CAROL VAUGHAN, on behalf of herself and other similarly situated employees,

Plaintiff,

S.17-CV-451.00.30. PRC

v.

T&A OF CITRUS COUNTY, INC., a Florida for profit corporation d/b/a OLIVE TREE RESTAURANT, and PAVLOS PARNOS, an individual,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff, CAROL VAUGHAN, ("VAUGHAN"), by and through her undersigned attorney, and on behalf of other similarly situated employees, files this, her Complaint for Damages against Defendants, T&A OF CITRUS COUNTY, INC., a Florida for-profit corporation d/b/a OLIVE TREE RESTAURANT, and PAVLOS PARNOS, an individual (hereinafter "PARNOS"), and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action to recover unpaid overtime and minimum wage compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et. seq, (hereinafter "FLSA"); and minimum wages under the Florida Minimum Wage Amendment, Article X, §24 of the Florida Constitution and Fla. Stat. §448.110.

JURISDICTION

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and 28 U.S.C. §1331. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, the corporate Defendant, OLIVE TREE RESTAURANT was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, the corporate Defendant regularly owned and operated a business engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined in §3(r) and 3(s) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(r) and 203(s).
- 3. Defendants, OLIVE TREE RESTAURANT and PARNOS operated a restaurant. Plaintiff's work involved handling on a regular and recurrent basis "goods" or "materials," as defined by the FLSA, which were used commercially in Defendants' business, and moved in interstate commerce. Specifically, the Plaintiff handled food and drinks which were originally manufactured outside the State of Florida.
- 4. Upon information and belief, during the relevant time period, the Defendants had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000.00.
- 5. The Defendants are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court because they engage in substantial and not isolated activity within the Middle District of Florida.
- 6. The Defendants are also subject to the jurisdiction of this Court because they operate, conduct, engage in, and/or carry on business in the Middle District of Florida.

VENUE

- 7. The venue of this Court over this controversy is based upon the following:
 - a. The unlawful employment practices alleged below occurred and/or were committed in the Middle District of Florida and,

b. Defendants were and continue to be a corporation and an individual doing business within this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, VAUGHAN was a resident of Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida, and was an "employee" of the Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 9. At all times material hereto, corporate Defendant, OLIVE TREE RESTAURANT, was conducting business in Crystal River, Citrus County, Florida, with its principal place of business in that city.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Defendants were the employers of Plaintiff, VAUGHAN.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendants were and continue to be "employer[s]" within the meaning of the FLSA, the Florida Minimum Wage Amendment, Article X, §24 of the Florida Constitution and Fla. Stat. §448.110.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff, VAUGHAN her lawfully earned wages in conformance with the FLSA.
- 13. Defendants committed a willful, malicious and unlawful violation of the FLSA and, therefore, are liable for monetary damages.
- 14. At all times material hereto, corporate Defendant, OLIVE TREE RESTAURANT was and continue to be an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendants.

16. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or such conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 17. On or about February 2, 2017, Plaintiff, VAUGHAN was hired by the Defendants as a server at the Defendants' restaurant. Her employment terminated on or about June 18, 2017.
- 18. Plaintiff, VAUGHAN was paid any hourly wage of \$3.00 per hour, which was less than the minimum wage, for all her work hours. In addition, Plaintiff, VAUGHAN worked in excess of forty hours per week, but was not paid at the rate of time-and-one-half the minimum wage.
- 19. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying minimum and overtime wages, respectively, for each and every hour worked by Plaintiff in conformance with the applicable law. Specifically, Defendants paid its restaurant workers, including the Plaintiff, and other servers, dishwashers and cashiers, below the applicable state and federal minimum wage.
- 20. Defendant, PARNOS was a supervisor and manager/owner who was involved in the day-to-day operations and/or was directly responsible for the supervision of Plaintiff and other employees. Therefore, he is personally liable for the FLSA violations.
- 21. Defendant, PARNOS was directly involved in decisions affecting employee compensation and/or hours worked by Plaintiff.
- 22. Plaintiff has retained Bober & Bober, P.A. to represent her in this litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 207 (UNPAID OVERTIME)

- 23. Plaintiff, VAUGHAN realleges Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully stated herein.
- 24. Since Plaintiff's date of hire with Defendants, in addition to Plaintiff's normal regular work week, the Plaintiff worked additional hours in excess of forty (40) per week for which she was not compensated at the statutory rate of time and one-half.
- 25. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid at the rate of time and one-half for her hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.
- 26. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation in the lawful amount for hours worked by Plaintiff in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.
- 27. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of the Defendants. Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, she will then seek leave of Court to amend her Complaint for Damages to set forth the precise amount due her.
- 28. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of time and one-half for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when it knew or should have known such was due.
- 29. Defendants failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of her rights under the FLSA.

- 30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.
- 31. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid overtime wages, plus an equal amount as liquidated damages.
- 32. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of her reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in her favor against the Defendants:

- a. Declaring that the Defendants have violated the maximum hour provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 207;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount calculated;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in the amount calculated;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of this litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff post-judgment interest; and
- f. Ordering any other and further relief this Court deems to be just.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 206 (UNPAID MINIMUM WAGES)

33. Plaintiff, VAUGHAN realleges Paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 34. Plaintiff, VAUGHAN's employment with Defendants was to consist of a normal workweek for which she was to be compensated at or above the FLSA minimum wage.
- 35. 29 U.S.C. § 206 and requires that any employee covered by the FLSA be paid their minimum wages.
 - 36. Plaintiff received an hourly wage of \$3.00 per hour.
- 37. Plaintiff received less than the applicable minimum wage for all of her work hours.
 - 38. The Defendants acted willfully.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. judgment in her favor for all unpaid minimum wages due or payable;
- b. liquidated damages;
- c. attorney's fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA;
- d. post-judgment interest; and
- e. all other and further relief this Court deems to be just and proper.

COUNT III

COLLECTIVE ACTION FOR OTHER EMPLOYEES SIMILARLY SITUATED

PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. §216

- 40. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully stated herein.
- 41. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, Plaintiff is provided a statutory right to bring this action on behalf of herself and other employees similarly situated.

- 42. It is the policy of the Defendants not to pay its severs, dishwashers and cashiers in conformance with the FLSA.
- 43. Defendants' servers, dishwashers and cashiers who reside at the Defendants' restaurant were not paid proper minimum wages. Instead, the Defendants paid those workers, including Plaintiff, at a rate less than the statutorily permitted minimum wage.
- 44. Defendants' scheme of requiring paying servers, dishwashers and cashiers was designed to avoid compliance with state and federal wage and hour laws.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests on behalf of other employees similarly situated as aforesaid, that judgment be entered in their favor against the Defendants:

- a. Declaring that Defendants, jointly and severally, violated the minimum wage provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 206;
- b. Awarding other employees similarly situated minimum wage compensation; the recoupment of any monies the Plaintiff or similarly situated employees had to pay to the Defendants to work for the Defendants; and, other benefits in the amount calculated;
- Awarding other employees similarly situated, liquidated damages in the amount calculated;
- d. Awarding said employees similarly situated attorney's fees and costs and expenses of this litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- e. Awarding said employees similarly situated post-judgment interest; and
- f. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT IV

VIOLATION OF ARTICLE X, § 24, FLORIDA CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 448.110 FLORIDA STATUTES

- 45. Plaintiff, VAUGHAN realleges Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully stated herein.
- 46. Pursuant to Article X, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution and Section 448.110 Florida Statutes, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff at least the applicable Florida minimum wage.
- 47. During Plaintiff's employment, Defendants paid her less than the statutory minimum wage for all of her work hours.
 - 48. The Defendants acted willfully.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in her favor against the Defendants:

- a. Declaring that Defendants violated Article X of the Florida Constitution, insofar as failing to pay Plaintiff at or above the minimum wage;
 - b. Awarding Plaintiff all back wages due and owing;
 - c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in the amount equal to her back wages;
 - d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of this litigation pursuant to Article X, Sec. 24, Fla. Const.;
 - e. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Finding that Defendants willfully violated Article X Fla. Const., and ordering Defendants to pay a \$1,000.00 fine to the State of Florida for *each* such willful violation;

- g. declaratory relief pursuant to the Florida Constitution and Florida Statutes finding that employees, including Plaintiff, who worked for the Defendants within the last five years were not paid minimum wage for all hours worked as required; and
 - h. Awarding such other and further relief this Court deems to be just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Date: September 29, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

BOBER & BOBER, P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1930 Tyler Street Hollywood, FL 33020 Phone: (954) 922-2298 Fax: (954) 922-5455 peter@boberlaw.com

samara@boberlaw.com

By: s/. Peter Bober

PETER BOBER FBN: 0122955

SAMARA ROBBINS BOBER

FBN: 0156248

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS T&A OF CITRUS COUNTY, INC., a Florida		
CAROL VAUGHAN,			for-profit corporation d/b/a OLIVE TREE RESTAURANT, and PAVLOS PARNOS, an individual,		
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff Citrus		County of Residence of	f First Listed Defendant	Cirrus
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			,	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	ONLY)
•			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
			LAND II	NVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)		
Bober & Bober, P.A., 1930 Tyler Street,					
Hollywood, Florida 330	20 (954) 922-2298				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
□ 1 U.S. Government			PI		PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government	1 4 Diversity	Citiza	en of Another State	2	rincipal Place D 5 D 5
Defendant Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In A	
	(marine consense) or a line or the or	Citiza	en or Subject of a	3 D 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
			reign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
CONTRACT	TORTS		FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury		10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury- ☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice		525 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability D 365 Personal Injury	· _	of Property 21 USC 881		450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander 368 Asbestos Persons		530 Liquor Laws 540 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	□ 6	550 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER		660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud		590 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	; <u> </u>	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
of Veteran's Benefits [] 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage		710 Fair Labor Standards Act	361 HtA (1395ft) 3862 Black Lung (923)	■ 875 Customer Challenge
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	7 🖳 ء	720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury		730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO	NS 0 7	740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca		790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	، ا	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations		,	26 USC 7609	Act
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot	iher			900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access
D 270 All Other Real Hopelly	Employment				to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	n			☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights			i i	Sidic Statutes
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding Original Original Proceeding Original Original Proceeding Original Original Original Proceeding Original Or					
VI CALISE OF ACTION					
Brief description of cause: Fair Labor Standards Act, 29, U.S.C. 201 et seq., FMWA, Art, X, 24 of the Fla. Constitution and Fla. Stat.					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMANDS CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:					
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: 7 Yes No					
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE SIGNATULE OF ACTORNEY OF RECORD					
9/29/17					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # AMOUNT #1/00 APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE					
OCA 8505					

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Olive Tree Restaurant Server Seeks Unpaid Wages