

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

**RICHARD VARGAS, and similarly
situated individuals,**

Plaintiff,

vs.

**GUILIANO ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC,
and all other affiliated Entities and/or Joint
Employers, CHRISTOPHER GUILIANO,
individually, and ROBERT FISTER,
individually,**

Defendants.

**COLLECTIVE AND
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Case No.:

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff, RICHARD VARGAS (“Vargas” or “Plaintiff”), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated (collectively “Plaintiffs”), by and through his attorneys, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, brings this Collective Action Complaint against Defendants GUILIANO ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC, and all other affiliated entities and/or joint employers, (collectively “Defendants” or “Guiliano”), CHRISTOPHER GUILIANO, individually, and ROBERT FISTER, individually (collectively “Guiliano Defendants”), and alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This action is brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and a putative class of individuals who worked as roofers, demolition laborers, and other construction-related trades, and snow removal laborers, for GUILIANO and/or any other entities affiliated with, controlling, or controlled by Guiliano Defendants, to recover statutory wage and overtime payments, payment for unpaid supplemental benefits that Plaintiff and the members of the putative class were statutorily and

contractually entitled to receive for work they performed on numerous privately financed projects (hereinafter referred to as the “Private Projects”) and publicly financed projects (the “Public Works Projects”) pursuant to contracts with various government entities (“Government Entities”). The Government Entities include, but are not limited to, the following: Union County, New Jersey, and Bergen County, New Jersey, as well as the State of New Jersey.

2. The Public Works Projects were undertaken and performed by the Guiliano Defendants in accordance with the terms and conditions of certain “Public Works Contracts” entered into with the Government Entities between January 2013 and the present.

INTRODUCTION

3. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit seeking recovery against Defendants for Defendants’ violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (the “FLSA” or the “Act”), 29 U.S.C. §201 *et. seq.*, the New Jersey State Wage and Hour Law, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a *et seq.* (“NJWHL”), and the New Jersey State Prevailing Wage Act., N.J.S.A. 34:11-56.25 *et seq.* (“NJPWA”).

4. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit against Defendants as a collective and class action on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated –non-exempt roofers and laborers– who suffered damages as a result of Defendants’ violations of the FLSA pursuant to the collective action provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the New Jersey State Wage and Hour Law, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a *et seq.* (“NJWHL”), and the New Jersey State Prevailing Wage Act., N.J.S.A. 34:11-56.25 *et seq.* (“NJPWA”).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's NJWHL and NJPWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1367.

7. Venue is proper in the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff performed non-exempt roofing and construction duties for the Defendants in New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, and in several other states in the country, including, but not limited to, Pennsylvania, Maryland, and Georgia and based from Defendants' headquarters in Sayreville, Middlesex County, NJ. Defendants are therefore within the jurisdiction and venue of this Court.

9. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Guiliano is an enterprise which is engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of interstate goods for commerce as defined by the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s). Defendants routinely purchase materials and products from out of state, these which are delivered through the channels of interstate commerce. Defendants routinely perform roofing work throughout the eastern United States and thus use the channels of interstate commerce to perform their jobs. Defendants further routinely accept credit card payments, which involves interstate business and financing transactions. Alternatively, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees worked in interstate commerce, i.e., using the tools and products which have moved through interstate channels so as to produce an end product for Defendants' consumers. Further, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees worked in interstate commerce, performing their job duties in various states throughout the eastern United States. Thus, Defendants and Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees fall within the protections of the Act.

10. This action is properly maintainable as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the New Jersey Rules of Court § 4:32, N.J. Stat. § 34:11-56.A25, and N.J. Stat. § 34:11-56.40 (“Any workman shall be entitled to maintain [an action for underpayment of prevailing wages] for and on behalf of himself or other workmen similarly situated, and such workman and workmen may designate an agent or representative to maintain such action for and on behalf of all workmen similarly situated.”)

11. This action is brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and a putative class consisting of each and every other person who performed work in trades including but not limited to roofers, demolition laborers, and other related construction trades, as well as snow removal laborers, for the Guiliano Defendants and/or other entities controlled by the Guiliano Defendants on the sites of the Public Works Projects and the Private Projects.

12. The putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The size of the putative class is believed to be in excess of 50 similarly situated individuals. In addition, the names of all potential members of the putative class are not known.

13. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.

14. The claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the putative class.

15. The Plaintiffs and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.

16. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

17. Under New Jersey law, this action is properly maintainable as a class action

pursuant to the New Jersey Prevailing Wage Act (N.J. Stat. § 34:11-56.40), the New Jersey wage and hour law (N.J. Stat. § 34:11-56.A25), and the New Jersey Rules of Court § 4:32.

18. Upon information and belief, pursuant to N.J. Stat. §§ 34:11-56.27; 34:11-56.28, each of the Public Works Contracts for work to be performed in New Jersey contained a provision specifying the prevailing wage rate to be paid to all workmen on the Public Works Projects and mandating the payment of same to Plaintiffs and other members of the putative class.

19. The “prevailing rate of wage” and “supplemental benefit” is the rate of wage and benefit paid in the locality by virtue of collective bargaining agreements between bona fide labor organizations and employers of the private sector. See N.J. Stat. §§ 34:11-56.27; 34:11-56.28.

20. Upon information and belief, beginning in or about 2013, the Guiliano Defendants entered into a number of Public Works Contracts to perform, inter alia, roofing, demolition, and other related construction work with the Government Entities, or prime contractors, at the sites of the Public Works Projects.

21. Upon information and belief, a schedule of prevailing rates of wages and supplemental benefits (“Prevailing Wage Schedule”) to be paid was annexed to and was made a part of each contract.

22. This promise to pay and ensure payment of the prevailing wage and supplemental benefit rates in the contracts between the Guiliano Defendants and the Government Entities and/or the prime contractors of record was made for the benefit of all workers furnishing labor on the sites of the Public Works Projects and, as such, the workers furnishing labor on the sites of the Public Works Projects are the beneficiaries of that promise.

23. At all times relevant to this action, the Guiliano Defendants were officers,

and/or shareholders of Guiliano. Plaintiffs and other members of the putative class performed labor at the Public Works Projects and Private Projects, for the benefit of and at the direction of the Guiliano Defendants.

PARTIES

24. Plaintiff Vargas is an adult individual who is a resident of North Bergen, Hudson County, New Jersey.

25. Plaintiff Vargas was employed by Defendants full time as a crew foreman and worked performing roofing, demolition, other construction related duties, and snow removal, from in or about 2008, through in or about September, 2018.

26. Upon information and belief, the Guiliano Defendants own and/or maintain a roofing, demolition, and snow removal business which operates throughout the State of New Jersey, as well as neighboring states and states throughout the Eastern United States.

27. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' corporate headquarters are located in Sayreville, Middlesex County, New Jersey.

28. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, all Defendants employ individuals to perform labor services on behalf of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the corporate Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00.

29. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Guiliano was and is an employer engaged in interstate commerce under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

30. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendant Christopher Guiliano is a New Jersey state resident.

31. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Christopher Guiliano has been an owner, partner, officer and/or manager of the Defendant Guiliano.

32. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Christopher Guiliano has had power over personnel decisions at the Defendant Guiliano's business.

33. Defendant Christopher Guiliano was present at the Guiliano headquarters every day, and managed the day to day operations, controlled the employees, pay practices and had the power to change same, as well as the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and otherwise control the terms of their employment.

34. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendant Robert Fister is a New Jersey state resident.

35. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Robert Fister has been an owner, partner, officer and/or manager of the Defendant Guiliano.

36. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Robert Fister has had power over personnel decisions at the Defendant Guiliano's business.

37. Defendant Robert Fister was present at Guiliano every day, and managed the day to day operations, controlled the employees, pay practices and had the power to change same, as well as the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and otherwise control the terms of their employment.

FACTS

38. Under New Jersey law, this action is properly maintainable as a class action pursuant to the New Jersey Prevailing Wage Act (N.J. Stat. § 34:11-56.40), the New Jersey wage and hour law (N.J. Stat. § 34:11-56.A25), and the New Jersey Rules of Court § 4:32.

39. Upon information and belief, pursuant to N.J. Stat. §§ 34:11-56.27; 34:11-56.28, each of the Public Works Contracts for work to be performed in New Jersey contained a provision specifying the prevailing wage rate to be paid to all workmen on the Public Works Projects and mandating the payment of same to Plaintiffs and other members of the putative class.

40. The “prevailing rate of wage” and “supplemental benefit” is the rate of wage and benefit paid in the locality by virtue of collective bargaining agreements between bona fide labor organizations and employers of the private sector. See N.J. Stat. §§ 34:11-56.27; 34:11-56.28.

41. Upon information and belief, pursuant to N.J. Stat. §§ 34:11-56.27; 34:11-56.28, the prevailing wage rate must be paid to all workmen on Public Works Projects, such as those worked on by the Plaintiffs and other members of the putative class.

42. At all times pertinent to this complaint, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, as well as applicable provisions of the NJWHL, in that Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees performed services and labor for Defendants for which Defendants made no provision to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees compensation to which they were lawfully entitled for all of the hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.

43. Upon information and belief, beginning in or about 2013, the Guiliano Defendants entered into a number of Public Works Contracts to perform, inter alia, demolition work, roofing work, and other related construction work with the Government Entities, or prime contractors, at the sites of the Public Works Projects.

44. Upon information and belief, a schedule of prevailing rates of wages and supplemental benefits (“Prevailing Wage Schedule”) to be paid was annexed to and was made a part of each contract.

45. This promise to pay and ensure payment of the prevailing wage and supplemental benefit rates in the contracts between Guiliano and the individual Defendants, and the Government Entities and/or the prime contractors of record was made for the benefit of all workers furnishing labor on the sites of the Public Works Projects and, as such, the workers furnishing labor on the sites of the Public Works Projects are the beneficiaries of that promise.

46. Upon information and belief, beginning in or about 2013, the Guiliano Defendants entered into a number of contracts to perform work on the Private Projects where payment of time and one-half an employee’s regular hourly rate of pay was required for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a consecutive seven day workweek.

47. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Christopher Guiliano was an officer, president, owner and/or shareholder of Defendant Guiliano. Plaintiff and other members of the putative class performed labor at the Public Works Projects and Private Projects, for the benefit of and at the direction of Defendant Christopher Guiliano.

48. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Robert Fister was an officer, president, owner and/or shareholder of Defendant. Plaintiff and other members of the putative class performed labor at the Public Works Projects and Private Projects, for the benefit of and at the direction of Defendant Robert Fister.

49. Based upon the information preliminarily available, and subject to discovery in this cause, the Defendants did not properly compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated employees based on the contracted prevailing wage and supplemental benefit rates.

50. Based upon the information preliminarily available, and subject to discovery in this cause, the Defendants did not properly compensate Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees for all overtime hours worked in a work week.

51. Plaintiff Vargas was paid varying amounts each week by Defendants, at an hourly rate of \$26.00.

52. Plaintiff Vargas was typically paid with a payroll check by Defendants for his first forty (40) hours worked in a work week.

53. Plaintiff Vargas generally received cash payments from Defendants for his overtime hours worked at his regular rate of \$26.00 and Plaintiff Vargas generally did not receive payment at time and one-half his regular rate of pay from Defendants for his work performed in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

54. Plaintiff Vargas routinely worked roofing and demolition jobs from the beginning of March through the end of December each year. During the winter months, Plaintiff Vargas also performed snow removal work for Defendants.

55. Plaintiff Vargas routinely worked roofing and demolition jobs six (6) to seven (7) days per week.

56. Plaintiff Vargas routinely worked roofing and demolition construction jobs during the overnight hours, or from 4 p.m. to 12 p.m., however, this time varied.

57. Plaintiff Vargas's daily hours normally ranged from eight and a half (8 1/2) hours per day to as much as twenty-one (21) hours per day, depending upon the job and its location.

58. Plaintiff Vargas was generally not paid for the extraordinarily lengthy travel time he spent going to and returning from Defendants' jobs, which were routinely located anywhere from one (1) hour to eight (8) plus hours from Defendants' corporate location in Sayreville, NJ.

59. Nor was Plaintiff Vargas generally paid for his extraordinarily lengthy travel time to and from jobsites when, after arriving at a job, the job was cancelled, which occurred at times.

60. Nor was Plaintiff Vargas generally paid at one and one half times his regular rate of pay when he performed snow removal jobs and worked more than forty (40) hours in a workweek.

61. During the winter months, Plaintiff Vargas routinely worked more than forty (40) hours per week performing snow removal and other labor jobs for which he was not paid time and a half.

62. Upon information and belief, employees similarly situated to Plaintiff were also hourly employees and were also deprived of overtime pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek as described above in paragraphs 55 through 61.

63. Defendants have engaged in a widespread pattern, policy, and practice of violating the FLSA, NJWHL, and NJPWA as described in this Complaint.

64. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees were performing their duties for the benefit of and on behalf of Defendants.

65. The records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees as Plaintiff, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

66. The records, if any, concerning the compensation actually paid to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

67. Plaintiff has retained the law office of Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A. to represent him individually and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs in bringing this action. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT I
RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION
PURSUANT TO THE FLSA

68. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 67 above.

69. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid additional compensation for each of his overtime hours worked per work period.

70. All similarly situated employees of the Defendants are similarly owed their overtime rate for each and every overtime hour they worked and were not properly paid.

71. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and the other similarly situated to them at one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all of their overtime worked in a work week.

72. By reason of the said intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees have suffered damages plus incurring costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

73. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the Act, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees are entitled to liquidated damages.

COUNT II
RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION
PURSUANT TO THE NJWHL

74. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 73 above.

75. Defendants' aforementioned conduct is in violation of New Jersey Statutes §§ 34:11-56a4 et seq., the Fredco Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs and the other members of the putative class their statutorily required overtime compensation for the time they worked in

excess of forty hours a week for the Defendant Contractors on the New Jersey Public Works Projects and on the Private Projects.

76. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees suffered damages, including but not limited to past lost earnings.

COUNT III
RECOVERY OF PREVAILING WAGE COMPENSATION
PURSUANT TO THE NJPWA

77. The Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 76 hereof.

78. New Jersey Statute 34:11-56.40 provides that "if any workman is paid less than the prevailing wage to which such workman is entitled under the provisions of this act such workman may recover in a civil action the full amount of such prevailing wage less any amount actually paid to him or her by the employer, together with costs and such reasonable attorney's fees."

79. Defendants willfully paid Plaintiffs and the other members of the putative class less than the prevailing rates of wages and supplemental benefits to which Plaintiffs and the other members of the putative class were entitled for the labor which they furnished to Defendants on the sites of the Public Works.

COUNT IV
RECOVERY OF MONIES RECEIVED BY DEFENDANT
PURSUANT TO N.J.S.T. 2A:44-148

80. The Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 79 hereof.

81. New Jersey Law imposes a trust on all monies "paid by the state of New Jersey or by any agency, commission or department thereof, or by any county, municipality or school district in the state, to any person pursuant to the provisions of any contract for any public improvement

made between any such person and the state of New Jersey or by any agency, commission or department thereof, or by any county, municipality or school district in the state.”

82. This trust is created in the hands of the person receiving such monies “pursuant to the provisions of any contract for any public improvement made between any such person and the state of New Jersey or by any agency, commission or department thereof, or by any county, municipality or school district in the state,” as contractor, for the benefit of the workmen who provided labor on the Projects, “until all claims for labor, materials and other charges incurred in connection with the performance of such [government contracts] shall have been fully paid.”

83. Upon information and belief, the Defendants received monies for work and services provided pursuant to the government or utility company contracts.

84. As previously alleged, the Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiffs the prevailing rate of wages for work already performed on the Public Works Projects.

COUNT V
RECOVERY AGAINST DEFENDANT
PURSUANT TO DEFENDANT’S BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

85. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 84 hereof.

86. New Jersey law imposes a trust on all monies paid to contractors for the benefit of workers and materialmen who provide labor and materials on construction projects.

87. Consequently, the Defendants, individually and jointly, have a fiduciary responsibility to Plaintiffs to ensure the payment of prevailing wages to the Plaintiff and workers who comprise the proposed class.

88. On information and belief, the Defendants have diverted the monies received from the State of New Jersey, the public utility, or other government entity and allocated such funds for

improper purposes or purposes other than the payment of wages due the Plaintiff and proposed class.

89. By reason of these willful violations, the Defendants are individually and jointly liable to plaintiffs and the other members of the putative class for an amount to be determined at trial, plus costs, fees and interest.

JURY TRIAL

90. Plaintiff and similarly situated employees demand a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff RICHARD VARGAS and those similarly situated to him, who have or will become part of this collective action, demand judgment, against Defendants GUILIANO ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC, and all other affiliated Entities and/or Joint Employers, CHRISTOPHER GUILIANO, individually, and ROBERT FISTER, individually, individually, for the payment of compensation for all wages due them for the time worked by them for which they have not been properly compensated, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, and for all other appropriate relief.

Dated: January 14, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Andrew I. Glenn

Andrew I. Glenn, Esquire

E-mail: Aglenn@JaffeGlenn.com

New Jersey Bar No.: 026491992

Jodi J. Jaffe, Esquire

E-mail: Jjaffe@JaffeGlenn.com

New Jersey Bar No.: 022351993

JAFFE GLENN LAW GROUP, P.A.

301 N. Harrison Street, Suite 9F, #306

Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Telephone: (201) 687-9977

Facsimile: (201) 595-0308

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Richard Vargas,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hudson (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A. 301 N. Harrison Street, Suite 9F, #306 Princeton, NJ 08540

DEFENDANTS

Guiliano Environmental, LLC, and all other affiliated entities and/or Joint Employers, Christopher Guiliano, individually, and Robert Fister,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

29 USC 216(b)
Brief description of cause:
Action for Unpaid Wages

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 01/15/2019 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s Andrew I. Glenn

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey



Richard Vargas,

Plaintiff

v.

Guiliano Environmental, LLC, and all other affiliated Entities and/or Joint Employers, Christopher Guiliano,

Defendant

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Guiliano Environmental , LLC
c/o Registered Agent Nancy
40 Red Fox Road
Freehold, NJ 07728

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A.
301 N. Harrsion Street, Suite 9F, #306
Princeton, NJ 08540

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify):* _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey



Richard Vargas,

Plaintiff

v.

Guiliano Environmental, LLC, and all other affiliated Entities and/or Joint Employers, Christopher Guiliano,

Defendant

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Christpoher Guiliano
222 Jernee Mill Road
Sayerville, NJ 08872

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A.
301 N. Harrsion Street, Suite 9F, #306
Princeton, NJ 08540

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey



Richard Vargas,

Plaintiff

v.

Guiliano Environmental, LLC, and all other affiliated Entities and/or Joint Employers, Christopher Guiliano,

Defendant

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Robert Fister
222 Jernee Mill Road
Sayerville, NJ 08872

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A.
301 N. Harrsion Street, Suite 9F, #306
Princeton, NJ 08540

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify):* _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Ex-Crew Foreman Sues Guiliano Environmental Over Unpaid Wage Claims](#)
