UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LUIS UREÑA, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

PARAMOUNT CHOICE SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Luis Ureña ("Mr. Ureña" or "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by and through his counsel, Bell Law Group PLLC, as and for his Complaint in this action against Defendant Paramount Choice Services, Inc. ("Paramount" or "Defendant"), hereby alleges as follows:

# PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This action is brought to redress Defendant's unlawful wage practices.

2. In particular, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated proper overtime wages in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* and the New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), §§ 650, *et seq.* 

3. Defendants also failed to provide Plaintiff and all others similarly situated with accurate wage statements in violation of NYLL § 195.

4. Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA are brought as a collective action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other similarly situated persons who were/are employed by Defendant in the same or similar positions as Plaintiff who were/are not paid overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek for the period of three (3) years before the date of this

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 2 of 14 PageID #: 2

filing to the date of the final disposition of this action (the "FLSA Collective Period"). Plaintiff and all such other similarly situated persons are jointly referred to herein as the "FLSA Collective."

5. Members of the FLSA Collective are similarly situated because they were all subject to Defendant's common policy and/or practice that resulted in not paying overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek during the FLSA Collective Period.

6. Plaintiff's claims under the NYLL are brought as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 23 on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other similarly situated persons who were/are employed by Defendant in the same or similar positions as Plaintiff who were/are not: (1) paid overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek; and (2) provided accurate wage statements, for the period of six (6) years before the date of this filing to the date of the final disposition of this action (the "NYLL Class Period"). Plaintiff and all other such similarly situated persons are jointly referred to herein as the "NYLL Class."

7. Members of the NYLL Class are similarly situated because they were all subject to Defendant's common policy and/or practice that resulted in not paying overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek and were not provided accurate wage statements during the NYLL Class Period.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action because the action involves the deprivation of Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 3 of 14 PageID #: 3

1343. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the NYL pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is a domestic business corporation doing business in the State of New York and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this district.

#### **PARTIES**

Plaintiff Luis Ureña is a resident of the State of New York, residing at 770 East
 166th Street, Bronx, NY 10456.

11. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of all applicable statutes.

12. Defendant Paramount Choice Services, Inc. is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at LaGuardia Airport in Queens, NY.

13. At all relevant times, Defendant was an "employer" within the meaning of all applicable statutes, and an enterprise engages in commerce as defined by FLSA §§ 203(r) and (s), with annual gross volume business in an amount not less than \$500,000.

# FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14. Plaintiff began working for Defendant on or about June 3, 2014.

15. For more than the first year of his employment, Plaintiff worked seven (7) days per week, at least eight (8) hours per day, thus working a minimum of fifty-six (56) hours per week.

16. Plaintiff would sometimes be assigned a day shift and a night shift in the same day, bringing his workday to sixteen (16) hours, with a weekly hourly total of approximately seventy (70) hours.

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 4 of 14 PageID #: 4

17. Starting in 2016, Plaintiff began working six (6) days per week, for a minimum of eight (8) hours per day, for a total of at least forty-eight (48) hours per week.

18. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective and NYLL Class were/are paid by check for the first forty (40) hours they worked, with the remainder being paid in cash.

19. Plaintiff was paid \$10 per hour for each hour worked, regardless of whether he worked overtime.

20. Defendant also failed to provide Plaintiff and the NYLL Class with an accurate statement or notice of their wages, hour worked, rate of pay, overtime rate of pay, or other information required by NYLL § 195.

# **FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

21. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA on behalf of himself and on behalf of the FLSA Collective.

22. The basic job duties of the FLSA Collective were/are the same as or substantially similar to those of Plaintiff, and the FLSA Collective were/are paid in the same manner and under the same common policies, plans and practices as Plaintiff.

23. The FLSA Collective, like Plaintiff, all have been subject to the same unlawful policies, plans and practices of Defendant, including failing to pay overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

24. During the FLSA Collective Period, Defendant was fully aware of the duties performed by Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, and that those duties were not exempt from the overtime provisions of the FLSA.

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 5 of 14 PageID #: 5

25. As a result of Defendant's conduct as alleged herein, Defendant violated 29U.S.C. § 207.

26. Defendant's violations of the aforementioned statute were willful, repeated, knowing, intentional and without a good faith basis, and significantly damaged Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.

27. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective for the full amount of their overtime, an additional equal amount in liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective and pre- and post-judgment interest.

28. While the exact number of members of the FLSA Collective is unknown to Plaintiff at the present time, upon information and belief, there are over 20 other similarly situated persons who were/are employed by Defendant in similar positions during the FLSA Collective Period.

29. Plaintiff is currently unaware of the identities of the FLSA Collective. Accordingly, Defendant should be required to provide Plaintiff with a list of all persons employed by Defendant in similar positions during the FLSA Collective Period, along with their last known addresses, telephone numbers and e-mail addresses so Plaintiff can give the FLSA Collective notice of this action and an opportunity to make an informed decision about whether or not to participate in it.

# **RULE 23 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

30. Plaintiff brings his NYLL claims as a class action pursuant to FRCP 23 on behalf of himself and on behalf of the NYLL Class.

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 6 of 14 PageID #: 6

31. The basic job duties of the NYLL Class were/are the same as or substantially similar to those of Plaintiff, and the NYLL Class were/are paid in the same manner and under the same common policies, plans and practices as Plaintiff.

32. The NYLL Class, like Plaintiff, all have been subject to the same unlawful policies, plans and practices of Defendant, including failing to pay overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek and failing to provide accurate wage statements.

33. During the NYLL Class Period, Defendant was fully aware of the duties performed by Plaintiff and the NYLL Class, and that those duties were not exempt from the overtime or other provisions of the NYLL.

34. As a result of Defendant's conduct as alleged herein, Defendant violated the NYLL.

35. Defendant's violations of the aforementioned statutes were willful, repeated, knowing, intentional and without a good faith basis, and significantly damaged Plaintiff and the NYLL Class.

36. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the NYLL Class for the full amount of their overtime, an additional equal amount in liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff and the NYLL Class and pre- and post-judgment interest in the statutory amount of 9% per annum.

37. Certification of the NYLL Class members' claims as a class action is the most efficient and economical means of resolving the questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff's claims and the claims of the NYLL Class. Plaintiff has standing to seek such relief because of the adverse effect that Defendant's unlawful compensation policies and practices have had on

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 7 of 14 PageID #: 7

him individually and on members of the NYLL Class. Without class certification, the same evidence and issues would be subject to re-litigation in a multitude of individual lawsuits with the attendant risk of inconsistent adjudications and conflicting obligations. Certification of the NYLL Class is the most efficient and judicious means of presenting the evidence and arguments necessary to resolve such questions for Plaintiff, the NYLL Class and Defendant.

38. Plaintiff's claims raise questions of law and fact common to the NYLL Class,

including, but not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the NYLL Class overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek during the NYLL Class Period;
- b. Whether Defendant's failure to pay overtime to Plaintiff and the NYLL Class constitutes a violation of NYLL §§ 650, *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.2;
- c. Whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and the NYLL Class with accurate wage statements;
- d. Whether Defendant's failure to provide accurate wage statements constitutes a violation of NYLL § 195; and
- e. Whether Defendant's violations of the NYLL and/or its regulations were willful.
- 39. These common questions of law and fact arise from the same course of events,

and each class member will make similar legal and factual arguments to prove liability.

40. Plaintiff is a member of the NYLL Class that he seeks to represent. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the NYLL Class. The relief Plaintiff seeks for the unlawful policies and practices complained of herein are also typical of the relief which is sought on behalf of the NYLL Class.

41. Plaintiff's interests are co-extensive with those of the NYLL Class that he seeks to represent. Plaintiff is willing and able to represent the NYLL Class fairly and to vigorously pursue their similar individual claims in this action. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 8 of 14 PageID #: 8

qualified and experienced in employment class action litigation, and who are able to meet the time and fiscal demands necessary to litigate a class action of this size and complexity. The combined interests, experience and resources of Plaintiff and his counsel to litigate the individual and NYLL Class claims at issue in this case satisfy the adequacy of representation requirement of FRCP 23(a)(4).

42. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the NYLL Class, making final injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate with respect to the NYLL Class as a whole.

43. Injunctive and declaratory relief are the predominant relief sought in this case because they are the culmination of the proof of Defendant's individual and class-wide liability and the essential predicate for Plaintiff's and the NYLL Class's entitlement to monetary and nonmonetary remedies to be determined at a later stage of the proceedings.

44. The common issues of fact and law affecting Plaintiff's claims and those of the NYLL Class, including the common issues identified above, predominate over any issues affecting only individual claims.

45. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of Plaintiff's claims and the claims of the NYLL Class. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

46. The cost of proving Defendant's violations of the NYLL and its regulations makes it impracticable for Plaintiff and the NYLL Class to pursue their claims individually. Maintenance of a class action promotes judicial economy by consolidating a large class of Plaintiffs litigating identical claims. The claims of the NYLL Class interrelate such that the interests of the members will be fairly and adequately protected in their absence. Additionally,

#### Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 9 of 14 PageID #: 9

the questions of law and fact common to the NYLL Class arise from the same course of events and each class member makes similar legal and factual arguments to prove Defendant's liability.

47. The NYLL Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number of the NYLL Class is unknown to Plaintiff at the present time, upon information and belief, there are at least 20 other similarly situated persons who were/are employed by Defendant in similar positions during the NYLL Class Period.

48. Plaintiff is currently unaware of the identities of the NYLL Class. Accordingly, Defendant should be required to provide Plaintiff with a list of all persons employed by Defendant in similar positions during the NYLL Class Period, along with their last known addresses, telephone numbers and e-mail addresses so Plaintiff can give the NYLL Class notice of this action and an opportunity to make an informed decision about whether or not to participate in it.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Pay Overtime in Violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207)

49. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective, hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation as contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth and contained herein.

50. The FLSA requires covered employers, such as Defendant, to pay all non-exempt employees at a rate not less than one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective were not exempt from the requirement that Defendant pay them overtime under the FLSA.

51. During the FLSA Collective Period, Defendant knew that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective worked more than forty (40) hours per workweek for Defendant. However,

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 10 of 14 PageID #: 10

Defendant intentionally did not properly pay them overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek.

52. As a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, Defendant violated the FLSA.

53. The foregoing conduct of Defendant constitutes willful violations of the FLSA.

54. Defendant's violations of the FLSA have significantly damaged Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective and entitles them to recover the total amount of their unpaid overtime wages, an additional equal amount in liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs and pre- and post-judgment interest.

# **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Failure to Pay Overtime in Violation of NYLL §§ 650, et seq. and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.2)

55. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the NYLL Class, hereby repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation as contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth and contained herein.

56. NYLL §§ 650, *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.2 require a covered employer, such as Defendant, to pay all non-exempt employees at a rate not less than one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek in the manner and methods provided in the FLSA. Plaintiff and the NYLL Class were not exempt from the requirement that Defendant pay them overtime under the NYLL and/or its regulations.

57. During the NYLL Class Period, Defendant knew that Plaintiff and the NYLL Class worked more than forty (40) hours per workweek for Defendant. However, Defendant intentionally did not properly pay them overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek.

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 11 of 14 PageID #: 11

58. As a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and the NYLL Class overtime at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, Defendant violated the NYLL and its regulations.

59. The foregoing conduct of Defendant constitutes willful violations of the NYLL and its regulations.

60. Defendant's violations of the FLSA have significantly damaged Plaintiff and the NYLL Class and entitles them to recover the total amount of their unpaid overtime wages, an additional equal amount in liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs and pre- and post-judgment interest at the statutory rate of 9% per annum.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements in Violation of NYLL § 195)

61. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the NYLL Class, hereby repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation as contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth and contained herein.

62. NYLL § 195 requires a covered employer, such as Defendant, furnish accurate wage statements to their employees with every payment of wages. Plaintiff and the NYLL Class were not exempt from this requirement.

63. Defendant failed to furnish accurate wage statements to Plaintiff and the NYLL Class, in violation of NYLL § 195 by, *inter alia*, failing to provide Plaintiff and the NYLL Class with accurate statements of their full wages, hours worked, rates of pay, overtime rates of pay or other information required by NYLL § 195.

64. The foregoing conduct of Defendant constitutes willful violations of the NYLL and/or its regulations.

65. Defendant's violations of the NYLL have significantly damages Plaintiff and the NYLL Class and entitles them to recover damages of \$250 per person affected for each day that such violations occurred, up to a total of \$5,000 per person affected, an additional equal amount in liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs and pre- and post-judgment interest at the statutory rate of 9% per annum.

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, the FLSA Collective and the NYLL Class, respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Declare that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under applicable federal and state law;

B. Declare this action to be maintainable as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, and direct Defendant to provide Plaintiff with a list of all persons who were/are employed by Defendant in similar positions to Plaintiff during the FLSA Collective Period, including all last known addresses, telephone numbers and e-mail addresses of each such person so Plaintiff can give such persons notice of this action and an opportunity to make an informed decision about whether to participate in it;

C. Determine the damages sustained by Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective as a result of Defendant's violations of the FLSA, and award those damages against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, an additional equal amount in liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs and such pre- and post-judgment interest as may be allowed by law;

D. Declare this action to be maintainable as a class action pursuant to FRCP 23, and direct Defendant to provide Plaintiff with a list of all persons who were/are employed by Defendant in similar positions to Plaintiff during the NYLL Class Period, including all last

# Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 13 of 14 PageID #: 13

known addresses, telephone numbers and e-mail addresses of each such person so Plaintiff can give such persons notice of this action and an opportunity to make an informed decision about whether to participate in it;

E. Designate Plaintiff as representative of the NYLL Class, and his counsel of record as class counsel;

F. Determine the damages sustained by Plaintiff and the NYLL Class as a result of Defendant's violations of the NYLL, and award those damages against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, an additional equal amount in liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs and such pre- and post-judgment interest as may be allowed by law;

G. Enjoin Defendant from engaging in any acts of illegal retaliation; and

H. Grant Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective and the NYLL Class such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

# JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and on behalf of the FLSA Collective and the NYLL Class, hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues of fact and damages.

Dated: August 8, 2017 Garden City, New York

Respectfully submitted,

BELL LAW GROUP, PLLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_

Brian A. Bodansky, Esq.

100 Quentin Roosevelt Boulevard, Suite 208 Garden City, NY 11530 Tel: (516) 280-3008 Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1 Filed 08/08/17 Page 14 of 14 PageID #: 14

Fax: (212) 656-1845 bb@belllg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herain neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Luis Urena, on behalf of	DEFENDANTS Paramount Choice Services, Inc.									
(b) County of Residence of (E)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Queens (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.								
(c) Attorncys (Firm Name, 2 Brian A. Bodansky, Esq. Bell Law Group, PLLC, 1 Garden City, NY 11530,	00 Quentin Roosevell			Attorneys (IJ'Know	en)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		CIPA	L PARTIES			
I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For Diversity Cases Only in of This State	97 PTF [] [	DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		or Defenda PTF I 4	mt) DEF □ 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	<b>D</b> 2		Incorporated and P of Business In A		05	□ 5 ■ 6
				en or Subject of a reign Country	CT 3	13	Foreign Nation		Π 6	□ 6 
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or.	ily) National Contraction	: 11 3 7		QX;  ;203	Click	here for: Nature o	of Suit Code D	escription	5 1995-5-1
<ul> <li>Ito Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Forcelosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tot Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY         310 Airplane         315 Airplane Product         Liability         320 Assault, Libel &         Slander         330 Federal Employers'         Liability         340 Marine         345 Marine Product         Liability         350 Motor Vehicle         355 Motor Vehicle         Product Liability         360 Other Personal         lnjury         Medical Malpractice         CIVIL/RIGHTS5.5         440 Other Civil Rights         441 Voting         442 Employment         443 Housing/         Accommodations         445 Amer, w/Disabilities -         Chei         448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPES 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habens Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	Y = 62 G 69 RTY = 55 RTY = 72 - 74 - 75 NSC = 79 - 46	<ul> <li>5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other</li> <li>7 Description 10 Constraints Act</li> <li>0 Fair Labor Standards Act</li> <li>0 Labor/Management Relations</li> <li>0 Railway Labor Act</li> <li>1 Family and Medical Leave Act</li> <li>0 Other Labor Litigation</li> <li>1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act</li> </ul>		22 Appp 23 With 28 U 20 Copy 30 Patel 35 Patel 35 Patel 35 Patel 40 Trad <b>OCIAL</b> 61 HIA 62 Black 63 DIW 64 SSIE 65 RSI <b>'EDER.</b> 70 Taxe or D 71 IRS-	al 28 USC 158 drawal JSC 157 <b>RTY-RIGHTS</b> == 6 rights it - Abbreviated Drug Application	<ul> <li>375 False C</li> <li>376 Qui Tai 3729(a</li> <li>400 State R</li> <li>410 Antitrue</li> <li>430 Banks a</li> <li>450 Comme</li> <li>460 Deporta</li> <li>470 Rackete Corrupt</li> <li>480 Consun</li> <li>490 Cable/S</li> <li>850 Securiti Exchar</li> <li>890 Agricul</li> <li>895 Freedon Act</li> <li>896 Arbitral</li> <li>899 Admini Act/Rev</li> </ul>	laims Act n (31 USC )) aupportion: at and Banking ree tifon er Influence Organizati her Credit at TV es/Commo ge tatutory Ac tutal Acts mental Mat n of Inforn ion strative Pre- riew or App Decision tionality o	ed and ons dities/ etions tters nation peed of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" b	i One Box Only)									
	te Court	Appellate Court		ened Ano (spec	other Dis	trict	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatic Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	DN FLSA, 29 USC 20 Brief description of co				statutes u	nless di	versity).			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$			THECK YES only URY DEMAND:		1 complai □No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			0	OCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (	)F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AN	JOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	÷		MAG JUD	ØGE		

# CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Brian A. Bodansky. Esq. , counsel for Plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

# **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

# **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

# NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: №
- If you answered "no" above:
   a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?№

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?\_\_\_\_\_

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

# **BAR ADMISSION**

No

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of Nev X Yes	w York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action	on (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

(If yes, please explain)

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

П

Signature: 🕖 🗋

Case 1:17-cv-04649 Document 1-2 Filed 08/08/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 17

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Luis Urena, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Paramount Choice Services, Inc.

Defendant(s)

# .

Civil Action No. 17-cv-4649

# SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Paramount Choice Services, Inc. c/o Legalinc Corporate Services Inc. 1967 Wehrle Drive Buffalo, New York 14221

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Brian A. Bodansky, Esq. Bell Law Group, PLLC 100 Quentin Roosevelt Blvd., Suite 208 Garden City, New York 11530

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

# (This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nat	me of individual and title, if any	<i>y</i>							
was ree	ceived by me on (date)									
	□ I personally served	l the summons on the indi	vidual at <i>(place)</i>							
		; or								
	$\Box$ I left the summons	the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with <i>(name)</i>								
	on (date)      , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or									
		J I served the summons on <i>(name of individual)</i> designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of <i>(name of organization)</i>								
			on (date)							
	$\Box$ I returned the sum	nons unexecuted because		; or						
	□ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00						
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.									
Date:										
2 4101		_	Server's signature							
		_	Printed name and title							

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Paramount Choice Services Hit with Wage and Hour Suit</u>