	Case 2:18-cv-05			1/1,9/18 Page 1 of	8
JS 44 (Rev 06/17)	C K K K K K K K K K K K K		VER SHEET	10 · CV/ O	
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil d	t This form, approved by the ocket sheet (SEF INSTRUCT)	herein neither replace nor of he Judicial Conference of t TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF 1	the United States in Septemb THIS FORM)	rvice of pleadings or other papers ber 1974, is required for the use of	f the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	TS 18	5015
Shanell Travis		\land	Asociacion Pue	ertorriquenos en Marcha, In	
(b) County of Residence of (E.	of First Listed Plaintiff			ence of First Listed Defendant (IN US PLAINTIFF ASES (D CONDEMNATION CASES, USE T ACT OF LAND INVOLVED	ONLY,
(C) Attorneys (Firm Name,)	Address, and Telephone Number		Attorneys (If Kno		
Winebrake & Santillo, LL 19025, Ph: (215) 884-24		Suite 211, Dresher, F	PA Unknown		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ETION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box (Inly)		F PRINCIPAL PARTIES	
D 1 U S Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government ?	Noi a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Or Citizen of This State	PTF DEF J I J I Incorporated or Pro of Business In J	
3 2 U.S. Government Defendant	J 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item [1])	Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	CI 3 CI 3 Foreign Nation	36 36
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					of Suit Code Descriptions
CONTRACT	to the second			TY BANKRUPTCY	
 1:0 Insurance 1:20 Manne 1:30 Miller Act 1:40 Negohable Instrument 1:50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 1:51 Medicare Act 1:52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Lability 320 Assault, Libei & Stander 330 Pederal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Notor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS ** * 440 Other Crvil Rights 441 Voting 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer w/Disabilities - Dither 446 Amer w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	 PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Heath Care/ Pharmaceutcal Personal Injury 764 Pharmaceutical Personal Injury 77 Heath Care/ Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 780 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detaince 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penality Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 	 ☐ 625 Drug Related Serzure of Property 21 USC 8 ☐ 690 Other 	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark □ 806 TAL SECURITY □ 861 HLA (1395ff) □ 863 DIWC/DIW W(405(g)) □ 863 SID Tute XVI □ 863 SIST (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U S Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 870 Taxes (U S Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment
	moved from 7 3	Remanded from T 4 Appellate Court	Reopened An	ansferred from \Box 6 Multidist other District Litigation <i>ectfy</i> Transfer	
VI. GAUSE OF ACTION	Fair Labor Standa	ards Act	(spo filing (Do not cite jurisdictiona	377	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Failure to pay ove CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions)	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	<u> </u>
DATE	A.L	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD	2011	
11/19/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	٢٢٠	while	PETER WINER	SKALE	
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JLDGE MAG JUDGE					
NOV 19 2018					

Case 2:18-cv-05015-RK Document 1 Filed 11/19/18 Page 2 of 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA DESIGNATION FORM (to be used by doursely pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar) Address of Plaintiff
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:
Case Number Judge Date Terminated
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions
I Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No
2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Ver pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
3 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier Yes No Ver
4 Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No 🖌
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case \Box is is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above DATE $11/19/2018$ DATE $11/19/2018$ DAT
CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only)
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4 Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Securities Act(s) Cases 10 Social Security Review Cases
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair Labor Standards Act
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair_Labor Standards Act ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair_Labor Standards Act
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair_Labor Standards Act ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration)
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration) I,, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration) I,, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs

Civ 609 (5/2018)

NOV 19 2018

IN THE UNITED ST.	ATES DISTRICT COUR STRICT OF PENNSYLV		
CASE MANAGEMENT T	RACK DESIGNATION	FORM	
SHANELL TRAVIS	:	CIVIL ACT	ION
v.	:	18	5015
ASO CIACION PUETETO RRIQUENOS EN MARCHA, INC.	· · ·	NO.	
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense a plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Tr	and Delay Reduction Plai	n of this cour all civil cases	t, counsel for at the time of

2:18-cv-05015-RK Document 1 Filed 11/19/18 Page 3 of 8

plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.
- (c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.
- (e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)
- (f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

LAINTIFF TEK WINEBRAKE Attorney for Attorney-at-law owinebrake winebrake aw. com (215) 884-2492

Telephone

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

()

()

()

Case 2:18-cv-05015-RK	Document 1	Filed 11/19/18	Page 4 of 8

2	~	
KI	JÓJ	/
9	1/	
1		
/		

9960

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



SHANELL TRAVIS, on behalf of herself and : others similarly situated, : Plaintiff, : v. :

ASOCIACION PUERTORRIQUENOS EN MARCHA, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION	18	5	0	1	5
CLASS/COLLEC					
JURY TRIAL DE	MANDED	E		1	
	NOV 1	-	of the second se	,	

COMPLAINT - CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTEON

Shanell Travis ("Plaintiff") brings this lawsuit against Asociacion Puertorriquenos en Marcha, Inc. ("Defendant"), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, <u>et seq.</u>, and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. §§ 333.101, <u>et seq.</u> Plaintiff's FLSA claim is asserted as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), while her PMWA claim is asserted as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. <u>See Knepper v. Rite Aid Corp.</u>, 675 F.3d 249 (3d Cir. 2012) (collective and class claims may proceed together in the same lawsuit).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction over the FLSA claim is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28

U.S.C. § 1331.

- 2. Jurisdiction over the PMWA claim is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff resides at 7438 North 20th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19138.
- 5. Defendant is a corporate entity headquartered at 1900 North 9th Street, Suite 102,

Philadelphia, PA 19122.

٨

2

6. Plaintiff is an employee covered by the FLSA and PMWA.

7. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA and PMWA.

FACTS

8. Defendant, operating through a contract with the City of Philadelphia and overseen by the City's Department of Human Services, provides various child welfare services to the City's families and youths.

9. Defendant directly employs individuals who are paid a salary and hold the job title of Case Manager.

10. Defendant directly employs Plaintiff as a Case Manager and pays her a gross weekly salary of around \$865.

11. The Case Manager position carries no managerial or supervisory responsibilities and does not require any involvement in or knowledge of Defendant's general business operations.

12. The Case Manager position does not require a Master's Degree.

13. Plaintiff does not have a Master's Degree.

14. The Case Manager position does not require specialized academic training. For example, according to the job description on Defendant's website, Case Managers may have Bachelor's Degrees in a non-exclusive list of fields that includes "social work, sociology, psychology, theology, education, criminal justice and public health administration."

 Plaintiff holds a Bachelor's Degree in Speech Communication from Millersville University.

16. Case Managers regularly work over 40 hours per week. For example, Plaintiff

2

often works 43-50 hours per week and sometimes works over 50 hours.

in.

17. Plaintiff and other Case Managers unlike the Case Managers employed and paid directly by the City of Philadelphia do not receive any overtime premium compensation for hours worked over 40 per week.

CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

18. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and brings her PMWA claim as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. She sues on behalf of all Case Managers employed by Defendant during any week within the past three years.

19. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because she and other putative collective members, having worked pursuant to the common compensation policies described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.

20. Class action treatment of Plaintiff's PMWA claim is appropriate because, as alleged below, all of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23's class action requisites are satisfied.

21. The class, upon information and belief, includes over 100 individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendant's payroll records and are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.

22. Plaintiff is a class member, her claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and she has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.

23. Plaintiff and her lawyers will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests.

3

\$

٠

24. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, because, *inter alia*, this action concerns Defendant's common compensation policies, as described herein. The legality of these policies will be determined through the application of generally applicable legal principles to common facts.

25. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

COUNT I (Alleging FLSA Violations)

26. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

27. The FLSA requires that employees receive overtime premium compensation "not less than one and one-half times" their regular pay rate for hours worked over 40 per week. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

28. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA collective overtime premium compensation for all hours worked over 40 per week.

29. In violating the FLSA, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions and, as such, willfully violated the FLSA.

COUNT II (Alleging PMWA Violations)

30. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

31. The PMWA requires that employees receive overtime premium compensation "not less than one and one-half times" the employee's regular pay rate for hours worked over 40 per week. *See* 43 P.S. § 333.104(c).

4

32. Defendant violated the PMWA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the Rule 23 class

overtime premium compensation for all hours worked over 40 per week.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial as to all claims so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the class/collective,

seeks the following relief:

. •

- A. Unpaid overtime wages and prejudgment interest;
- B. Liquidated damages to the fullest extent permitted under the FLSA;
- C. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees; and
- D. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Date: November 19, 2018

Respectfully,

Peter Winebrake R. Andrew Santillo Mark J. Gottesfeld Winebrake & Santillo, LLC 715 Twining Road, Suite 211 Dresher, PA 19025 (215) 884-2491

Plaintiff's Counsel

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Case Worker for Asociacion Puertorriquenos en Marcha Shorted on OT Pay, Lawsuit Alleges</u>