UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

JESSICA TOWNSEND and DAWN LANE on their own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO .:

v.

EXXACT EXPRESS, INC, a Florida Profit Corporation

Defendants.

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs, JESSICA TOWNSEND and DAWN LANE, on their own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals, by and through the undersigned attorney, and sues the Defendant, EXXACT EXPRESS, INC., for failing to pay overtime wages for every hour worked, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) (FLSA).

NATURE OF CASE

1. Defendant, EXXACT EXPRESS, INC., (hereinafter "EXXACT") is a logistics company located in Lakeland, Polk County, Florida.

2. As part of its enterprise, EXXACT employs employees to perform the different job functions required to operate its business.

3. These jobs include driver managers, inside sales associates and customer service positions.

4. Plaintiffs allege EXXACT violated the FLSA by failing to pay the employees occupying these positions overtime wages based on their regular hourly rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Dawn Lane is a resident of Hillsborough County, Florida and previously employed by Defendant and was previously employed by EXXACT as an inside sales associate and customer service representative.

6. Plaintiff Jessica Townsend is a resident of Polk County, Florida and previously employed by EXXACT as a driver manager.

7. Defendant EXXACT is a Florida corporation which operates and conducts business in, among others, Polk County, Florida and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of this Court.

8. Plaintiffs bring this FLSA collective action individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, including present and former employees of Defendant EXXACT, to recover overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

9. This action is brought under Federal law to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

10. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as they arise under the FLSA.

11. This Court has jurisdiction and venue over this complaint as each of Defendant's violations of the FLSA complained of took place in Polk County, Florida.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiffs worked for Defendant EXXACT at 1025 N. Chestnut Road, Lakeland,
 FL 33805.

13. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant EXXACT was an enterprise subject to the FLSA's provisions requiring overtime compensation.

14. At all material times, Plaintiffs and others working for Defendant EXXACT were "employees" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.

15. At all material times, Defendant EXXACT was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA and Defendant continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

16. At all material time, Defendant EXXACT was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

17. During their employment, Plaintiffs handled goods such as computers, software, communication equipment, paper, and office equipment which had traveled in interstate commerce.

18. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant EXXACT has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00).

19. Defendant EXXACT is a logistics company, moving freight inside and outside the State of Florida.

20. Plaintiff Townsend was employed as a driver manager from September 15, 2015 through January 8, 2016. As a driver manager, Plaintiff Townsend's primary tasks included assigning routes to drivers, matching loads to drivers, locating available trailers and repeatedly interfacing with customers regarding the status of specific loads in transit. Plaintiff Townsend's job duties were an integral part EXXACT's business and profitability.

Case 8:17-cv-02261-SDM-AAS Document 1 Filed 09/28/17 Page 4 of 7 PageID 4

21. By Plaintiff Townsend's estimates, she routinely worked 45 hours or more in a work week.

22. Plaintiff Dawn Lane was employed by EXXACT from February 16, 2015 until June 24, 2016. During her employment, Plaintiff Lane worked as both an inside sales associate and as a customer service representative. As an inside sales associate, Plaintiff Lane's job duties included generating new business leads, tracking the sales cycle, updating customers on new pricing and working internally to satisfy customer expectations. As a customer service representative, Plaintiff Lanes' job duties included appointment setting, confirming appointments and scheduling deliveries. In both roles, Plaintiff Lane's job functions were an integral part of EXXACT's business operations.

23. By Plaintiff Lane's estimates, she routinely worked over 45 hours per week while she was employed by EXXACT.

24. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Defendant did not pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.

25. During their employment with Defendant, Plaintiffs were not paid time and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.

26. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiffs are in the possession and custody of Defendant.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

27. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraph 1-26

above.

28. Plaintiffs were entitled to be paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.

29. During their employment with Defendant, Plaintiffs regularly worked overtime hours but were not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.

30. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiffs time and one-half their regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiffs have suffered damages and are incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

31. Defendant was aware Plaintiffs performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to pay Plaintiffs overtime for hours worked over forty (40).

32. Defendant did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.

33. Defendant failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.

34. Defendant's conduct was willful and in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

35. Defendant willfully violated the FLSA.

36. Plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendant for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked for which Defendant did not properly compensate them, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION <u>COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF THE FLSA</u> (RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION)

37. Plaintiffs reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-26 above.

38. At all times material, Defendant employed numerous other non-exempt employees who worked as driver mangers, inside sales associates and customer service associates and who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty (40) per week.

39. Throughout their employment, those employees were similarly situated to Plaintiffs and were subject to the same unlawful pay practices.

40. Defendant failed to pay those individuals, who are similarly situated to Plaintiffs, one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in each week, in violation of the FLSA.

41. Defendant's failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.

42. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendant's unlawful acts, individuals similarly situated to Plaintiffs have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, demand judgment against Defendant for unpaid overtime compensation, an additional and equal amount of liquidated damages or if liquidated damages are not awarded then pre and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate. Case 8:17-cv-02261-SDM-AAS Document 1 Filed 09/28/17 Page 7 of 7 PageID 7

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated this 26th day of September, 2017.

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

<u>/s/ Marc R. Edelman</u>

Marc R. Edelman, Esq. Fla. Bar No. 0096342 Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 700 Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: 813-223-5505 Fax: 813-257-0572 Email: MEdelman@forthepeople.com *Attorney for Plaintiff* JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

r r								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JESSICA TOWNSEND and DAWN LANE on their own behalf, and o behalf of all similarly situated individuals,				DEFENDANTS EXXACT EXPRESS, INC, a Florida Profit Corporation				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Marc R. Edelman, Esq. 201 N. Franklin Ave. Morgan & Morgan Tampa, FL 33602				Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				-	TF DEF ¥1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Image: Constraint of the second				Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of 5 of Business In Another State				
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place an "X" in One Box Or	ily)	STATUS AND T	DRIEHURS/DENALSTS	DAN	POTOV	OTHER STATUTES	
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEL 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONERPETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	x = 62 = 69 x = 7 = 72 = 74 = 75 x = 755 x	Control Contro Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Co	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With □ 820 Copy □ 820 Copy □ 840 Trade □ 861 HiA □ 862 Blach □ 861 HiA □ 865 RSI (□ 865 RSI (□ 870 Taxe □ 870 Taxe □ 871 IRS- □ 26 U	al 28 USC 158 drawal (SC 157 RTY RIGHTS) rrights tt emark (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketcer Influenced and 	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)	Confinement			<u> </u>		1	
	moved from \square 3 ate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court		istated or 5 Transi pened Anoth (specifi	er District	6 Multidistr Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Fair Labor Stands Brief description of ca Unpaid Overtime		re filing (1	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	·····	iversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes 🗇 No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	11	Λ	DOCKE	ET NUMBER		
DATE 9-24-17		SIGNATURE OF AT	TRANER	FRECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	MOUNT			JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE	
_		•	-		_			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Claims Exxact Express' Pay Practices Aren't Exactly Legal</u>