UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NODIRA TAGAEVA, KHALIMA NIX DEKHKANOVA and NAZOKAT R ATAKHANOVA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Index No.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs,

- against -

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION AND RULE 23 CLASS ACTION

BNV HOME CARE AGENCY, INC. a/k/a BNV HOME CARE SERVICES, INC. and BNV HOME CARE SERVICES INC (BKLYN)

Defendants.

Plaintiffs NODIRA TAGAEVA, KHALIMA NIX DEKHKANOVA, and NAZOKAT R ATAKHANOVA, by and through their attorneys, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, allege, upon personal knowledge as to themselves and their own acts, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiffs bring this action, on behalf of themselves and other employees similarly situated, to remedy violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"). Plaintiffs seek, for themselves and similarly situated employees, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and all other appropriate legal and equitable relief, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b) and 217, and other applicable federal law.

2. Plaintiffs also bring this action, on behalf of themselves and other employees similarly situated, to remedy violations of the New York State Labor Law, including N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 190 et seq., §§ 650 et seq. ("NYLL"), and 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2. Plaintiffs seek, for themselves and all other similarly situated employees, unpaid overtime wages, statutory damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, liquidated and other damages, and all other appropriate legal and equitable relief, pursuant to the NYLL §§ 198, 663.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction of the Court over Plaintiffs' FLSA claims is invoked pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court over Plaintiffs' NYLL claims is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) in that the NYLL claims are so related to Plaintiffs' FLSA claims as to form the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 5. Venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this District. Venue is further proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendants may be found in this District.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff NODIRA TAGAEVA ("Plaintiff TAGAEVA") resides in the County of Kings in the State of New York. At all relevant times, Plaintiff TAGAEVA was employed by Defendants as home health aide and/or home attended ("home health aide"), as described herein, from in or around 2010 to the present.

- 7. Plaintiff KHALIMA NIX DEKHKANOVA ("Plaintiff DEKHKANOVA") resides in the County of Kings in the State of New York. At all relevant times, Plaintiff DEKHKANOVA was employed by Defendants as a home health aide, as described herein, from in or around 2013 until in or around February 2016.
- 8. Plaintiff NAZOKAT R ATAKHANOVA ("Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA") resides in the County of Kings in the State of New York. At all relevant times, Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA was employed by Defendants as a home health aide, as described herein, from in or around July or August 2010 until in or around August or September 2015.
 - 9. Plaintiffs' written consent to sue is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 10. Defendant BNV HOME CARE AGENCY, INC. aka BNV HOME CARE SERVICES, INC is a New York State domestic corporations licensed to do business in the State of New York, with an office and place of business at 1009 Brighton Beach Avenue, 4th Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11235.
- 11. Defendant BNV HOME CARE SERVICES INC (BKLYN), is a conducts business in New York, with an office and place of business at 1009 Brighton Beach Avenue, 4th Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11235.
- 12. Defendants BNV HOME CARE AGENCY, INC. aka BNV HOME CARE SERVICES, INC., and BNV HOME CARE SERVICES INC (BKLYN) are herein collectively referred to as "Defendants".
 - 13. Defendants grosses more than \$500,000.00.
- 14. At all relevant times, Defendants have been, and continue to be an "employer" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the "production of goods" for "commerce", within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203 and the NYLL. At all relevant times,

Defendants employed "employee[s]", including Plaintiffs, each of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Defendants provide home health care to individuals who live in New York City.
- 16. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants employed Plaintiffs as a non-exempt home health aides.
- 17. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants employed the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members as non-exempt home health aides.
- 18. From in or around January 1st 2015 until in or around October, 2015, Defendants scheduled Plaintiff TAGAEVA to work and Plaintiff TAGAEVA worked- 7 days a week, working 10 hours a day, seventy (70) hours per week.
- 19. Defendants paid Plaintiff TAGAEVA \$10 per hour worked up to forty (40) work hours per week.
- 20. Defendants paid Plaintiff TAGAEVA \$13.13 for every hour worked in excess of forty (40) work hours per week, which is below the overtime rate of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate as required by law for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 21. From January until in or around October or November 2015, Defendants scheduled Plaintiff DEKHKANOVA to work and Plaintiff DEKHKANOVA worked-seven (7) days a week, working twelve (12) hours a day, eighty-four (84) hours per work week.

- 22. From January until in or around October or November 2015, Defendants paid Plaintiff DEKHKANOVA \$10 per hour worked up to forty (40) work hours per week.
- 23. From January until in or around October or November 2015, Defendants paid Plaintiff DEKHKANOVA \$13.13 for every hour worked in excess of forty (40) work hours per week, which is below the overtime rate of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate as required by law for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 24. From in or around January 1st 2015 until in or around August or September, 2015, Defendants scheduled Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA to work and Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA worked varied hours per week, regularly exceeding forty (40) work hours per week, for example: (1) for the work week of September 6th to September 12th, 2015, the Defendants scheduled Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA to work and Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA worked forty-five (45) hours; (2) for the work week of August 30th to September 4th, 2015, the Defendants scheduled Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA to work and Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA worked forty-two (42) hours.
- 25. Defendants paid Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA between \$10 to \$11 per hour worked up to forty (40) work hours per week.
- 26. Defendants paid Plaintiff ATAKHANOVA \$13.13 for every hour worked in excess of forty (40) work hours per week, which is below the overtime rate of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate as required by law for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 27. Defendants scheduled the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members to work over 40 hours a work week.

- 28. Plaintiffs, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours a work week.
- 29. Defendants did not pay Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs overtime premiums as required by the FLSA.
- 30. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the required overtime premiums of one and one half times their regular hourly rates of pay for all of the hours they worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 31. Defendants violated NYLL § 195(3) by failing to furnish Plaintiffs and the Class Members with an accurate statement with every payment of wages, listing, among other things, hours worked, rates paid, gross wages, deductions and net wages, and an explanation of how such wages were computed.
- 32. Defendants knew of, and/or showed reckless disregard for, the practices by which Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees of Defendants were not paid overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a week. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime premiums would economically injure Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and that they violated the FLSA and the NYLL.
- 33. Defendants committed the foregoing acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully against the Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

34. Plaintiffs bring the First Claim for Relief as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons employed by Defendants as a Home

Health Aide from January 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015. All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the "FLSA Collective Plaintiffs".

- 35. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements, job duties and pay provisions, and are and have been subject to Defendants' decision, policy, plan, practice, procedure, routine and rules to willfully fail and refuse to pay them the legally required overtime premium for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek. The claims of the Plaintiffs herein are essentially the same as those of the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.
- 36. Other home health aides currently or formerly employed by Defendants should have the opportunity to have their claims for violations of the FLSA heard. Certifying this action as a collective action under the FLSA will provide other non-exempt home health aides to receive notice of the action and allow them to opt in to such an action if they so choose.
- 37. The First Claim for Relief is properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to §216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendants. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last addresses known to Defendants.

RULE 23 CLASS ALLEGATIONS – NEW YORK

38. Plaintiffs bring the Second and Third Claims for Relief pursuant to CPLR Article 9, to recover unpaid overtime pay and other damages on behalf of all individuals

employed in the State of New York by Defendants as home health aides, from January 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015 (the "Class Period"). All said persons, including Plaintiffs, are referred to as the "Class Members" and/or the "Class".

- 39. The number, names and addresses of the Class Members are readily ascertainable from the records of the Defendants. The dates of employment and the rates of pay for each Class Member, the hours assigned and worked, and the wages paid to them, are also determinable from Defendants' records. Notice can be provided by means permissible under CPLR Article 9.
- 40. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the Court. While the precise number of such persons is unknown to Plaintiffs and is presently within the sole control of Defendants, Plaintiffs believe that through discovery they will obtain evidence to establish that there are at least forty members of the Class.
- 41. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the other Class Members, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each Class Member in separate actions. All the Class Members were subject to the same corporate practices of Defendants, in that they were not compensated for (i) overtime hours worked as required by 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.2; and (ii) failed to provide them with proper wage statements as required by NYLL § 195. Defendants' corporate-wide policies and practices affected all Class Members similarly, and Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each Class Member.

- 42. Plaintiffs and the other Class Members sustained similar losses, injuries and damages arising from the same unlawful policies, practices, and procedures caused by Defendants violations of the NYLL.
- 43. Plaintiffs are able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and have no interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiffs have retained Naydenskiy Law Group, P.C., an experienced employment and class and collective action litigation firm.
- A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient 44. adjudication of the controversy-particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate Defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender. Because the losses, injuries and damages suffered by each of the individual Class Members are relatively small in the sense pertinent to a class action analysis, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it extremely difficult or impossible for the individual Class Members to redress the wrongs done to them. On the other hand, important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The adjudication of individual litigation claims would result in a great expenditure of Court and public resources; however, treating the claims as a class action would result in a significant saving of these costs. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants and resulting in the impairment of Class

Members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. The issues in this action can be decided by means of common, class-wide proof. In addition, if appropriate, the Court can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this action as a class action.

- 45. Upon information and belief, employees of the Defendants are often afraid to individually assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation and former employees are fearful of bringing individual claims because the fear that doing so could harm their employment, future employment, and future efforts to secure employment. A class action provides Class Members who are not named in the Complaint a degree of anonymity which allows for the vindication of their rights while eliminating or reducing these risks.
- 46. The questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members, including: (a) whether Defendants failed to adequately compensate the Class Members for overtime hours worked as required by 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, and (b) whether Defendants provided Class Members with sufficiently detailed wage statements as required by NYLL § 195(3).
- 47. Absent a class action, many of the Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendants will retain the proceeds of their violations of the NYLL.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Failure to Pay Overtime Wages – FLSA, Brought by Plaintiffs on Behalf of Themselves and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs)

- 48. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, reallege and incorporate by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 49. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek and continue to do so.
- 50. At all relevant times, Defendants willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the required overtime rates for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 51. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, seek damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated (double) damages as provided by the FLSA for overtime violations, attorneys' fees and costs, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Failure to Pay Overtime Wages – NYLL, Brought by Plaintiffs on Behalf of Themselves and the Class Members)

- 52. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class Members, reallege and incorporate by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 53. It is unlawful under New York law for an employer to suffer or permit a non-exempt employee to work without paying overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any workweek.

- 54. Defendants willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Class Members the required overtime rates for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiffs and the Class Members have sustained damages, including loss of earnings, in an amount to be established at trial.
- 56. Plaintiffs and the Class Members seek damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to NYLL, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Wage Statement Violations – NYLL §195, Brought by Plaintiffs on Behalf of Themselves and the Class Members)

- 57. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class Members, reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 58. Defendants willfully failed to supply each Plaintiff and the Class Members with an accurate statement of wages as required by NYLL § 195, containing the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; the number of hours worked, including overtime hours worked if applicable; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages.

59. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to recover damages and/or statutory penalties from Defendants, as provided for by NYLL § 198, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, injunctive and declaratory relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members, pray for relief as follows:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (b) Certification of this action as a class action;
- (c) Designation of the Named Plaintiffs as Representatives of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and Class Representatives of the Class;
- (d) An award of damages, according to proof, including FLSA and NYLL liquidated damages, and interest, to be paid by Defendants;
- (e) Costs of action incurred herein, including expert fees;
- (f) Attorneys' fees, including fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 663, 198 and other applicable statutes;
- (g) Pre-Judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and

(h) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: December 13th, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

NAYDENSKIY LAW GROUP, P.C.

Gennadiy Naydenskiy (GN5601) 1517 Voorhies Ave, 2nd fl.

Brooklyn, NY 11235

(718) 808-2224

naydenskiylaw@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs, Proposed Collective Action Plaintiffs and Proposed Class

Members

EXHIBIT A

I am a current or former employee of BNV HOME CARE SERVICES, INC. and BNV HOME CARE AGENCY, INC., and/or related entities/individuals. I hereby consent and agree to be a party Plaintiff in this Action to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

I hereby designate Naydenskiy Law Group, P.C. to represent me in this Action and I also consent and agree, if such is necessary, to file this claim on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Signed this $\underline{12}$ day of $\underline{04}$, 2016.

Signature

Full Legal Name (print)

I am a current or former employee of BNV HOME CARE SERVICES, INC. and BNV HOME CARE AGENCY, INC., and/or related entities/individuals. I hereby consent and agree to be a party Plaintiff in this Action to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

I hereby designate Naydenskiy Law Group, P.C. to represent me in this Action and I also consent and agree, if such is necessary, to file this claim on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Signed this 20 day of November, 2016.

Signature

Nazokał Hłakhanova Full Legal Name (print) I am a current or former employee of BNV HOME CARE SERVICES, INC. and BNV HOME CARE AGENCY, INC., and/or related entities/individuals. I hereby consent and agree to be a party Plaintiff in this Action to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

I hereby designate Naydenskiy Law Group, P.C. to represent me in this Action and I also consent and agree, if such is necessary, to file this claim on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Signed this \underline{g} day of $\underline{l2}$, 2016.

Signature

KHahima Nx Dekhka no Va Full Legal Name (print) JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

NODIRA TAGAEVA, K ATAKHANOVA, individ	HALIMA NIX DEKHKA lually and on behalf of	ANOVA and NAZOKA all others similarly situ		RE AGENCY, INC. aka F	BNV HOME CARE SERVICES INC (BKLYN)	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF	KINGS CASES)	County of Residen	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant KINGS (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name NAYDENSKIY LAW GF 1517 Voorhies Ave, 2nd 7188082224	e. Address, and Telephone Num ROUP P.C. I fl.	ber)	Attomeys (If Know)	CT OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Plans on "V")	0.0.1	U CVD13			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaint:ff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		over a process of the control of the	PRINCIPAL PARTIES PTF DEF D 1 D 1 Incorporated or 1 of Business In	S (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place	
Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens	ship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	D 2 D 2 Incorporated ana		
IV NATURE OF SW			Citizen or Subject of a foreign Country	7 3 7 3 Foreign Nation	a 6 a 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI		ORTS				
12 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
& Enforcement of Judgmen 3 151 Medicare Act 5 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	t Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers` Liability ☐ 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	LABOR	1	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	₹ 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 1 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending	Act 720 Labor Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
195 Contract Product Liability196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	↓□ 891 Agricultural Acts	
the 1700 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act	- (No No (No (g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation		Act ☐ 896 Arbitration	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	463 Alien Detainee	meonic Security Act	or Defendant)	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
3 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		20 CSC 7009	State Statutes	
	Employment	Other	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application			
	☐ 446 Amer. w.Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	10 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions			
	noved from 🗇 3	Remanded from 13.4	Reinstated or 3 5 Transfe		ct	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat	Appellate Court tute under which you are fil	Reopened Another (specify) ing (Do not cite jurisdictional state	District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of car UNPAID OVERTII	use.				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS I UNDER RULE 23	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes ※ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	- 103 X NO	
DATE 12/13/16		SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	EY OF RECORD	- Setter HOMBER		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT# AMO	OUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDO	GE	

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY
rovides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150.
are eligible for several and the excess of \$150.

		n Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, rest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a ne contrary is filed.					
I, GE inelig	NNADIY NAY gible for	compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):					
	\boxtimes	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,					
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason					
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1					
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:					
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)					
because same ju	e the cases idge and m A) involves	s that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) rivil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the					
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)					
1.)	Is the ci County:	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk					
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? NO						
	b) Did to District?	he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern					
	olk County						
	(No	ote: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).					
		BAR ADMISSION					
am cu	rrently adı	nitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No					
Are you	currently	the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No					
		acy of all information provided above.					
ignatu	re: 🔨						

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	Eastern Distric	ct of New York
NODIRA TAGAEVA, KHALI and NAZOKAT R ATAKHAN Plainti, v. Bnv Home Care Agency, In Services, inc. and Bnv Home	IOVA, individually and o, If Ic. aka Bnv Home Care Ic Care Services Inc (BKLYN))) Civil Action No.))
	SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address	DIAM LIGHT OVICE SEUAIC	CY, INC. aka BNV HOME CARE SERVICES, INC. and CES INC (BKLYN) ue, 4th Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11235
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	ervice of this summons on you ed States agency, or an officer	u (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you received it) — or 60 days if you received it) — or 60 days if you received in Fed. R. Civ. wer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, j You also must file your answer	udgment by default will be en or motion with the court.	ntered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: New York Home Health Aides Sue for Unpaid Overtime Wages