

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

---

WENDY TABOR, on behalf of herself and all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ELTMAN LAW, P.C.; MERRIMAN  
INVESTMENTS, LLC; and JOHN DOES 1-25,

Defendant(s).

---

Civil Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**CIVIL ACTION**

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT  
AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, WENDY TABOR, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) by and through her undersigned attorney, alleges against the above-named Defendants, ELTMAN LAW, P.C. (“ELTMAN”); MERRIMAN INVESTMENTS, LLC (“MERRIMAN”); JOHN DOES 1-25, their employees, agents, and successors (collectively “Defendants”) the following:

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. Plaintiff brings this action for damages and declaratory relief arising from the Defendants' violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter “FDCPA”), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This is an action for violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*

3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because the acts of the Defendant that give rise to this action, occurred in substantial part, in this district.

### **DEFINITIONS**

4. As used in reference to the FDCPA, the terms “creditor,” “consumer,” “debt,” and “debt collector” are defined in § 803 of the FDCPA and 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.

### **PARTIES**

5. The FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, which prohibits certain debt collection practices provides for the initiation of court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FDCPA and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case.

6. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the County of Burlington, State of New Jersey, and is a “Consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

7. ELTMAN maintains a location at 101 Hudson Street, Suite 2702, Jersey City, New Jersey 07302.

8. MERRIMAN maintains a registered agent located at (Registered Agent Solutions, Inc.) Suite 1008, 99 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York 12260.

9. Upon information and belief, each Defendant uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

10. Each Defendant is a “Debt Collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

11. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and business alleged for the purpose of substituting names of defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

12. Plaintiff brings this action as a state wide class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter “FRCP”), on behalf of herself and all New Jersey consumers and their successors in interest (the “Class”), who were sent debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendants which are in violation of the FDCPA, as described in this Complaint.

13. This Action is properly maintained as a class action. The Class is initially defined as:

- All New Jersey consumers who were sent letters and/or notices from ELTMAN concerning a debt owned by MERRIMAN which contained at least one of the alleged violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* herein.

The class definition may be subsequently modified or refined. The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.

13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:

- Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there may be hundreds and/or thousands of persons who were sent debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendants that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is

complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice. (See **Exhibit A**, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 redacted the financial account numbers and/or personal identifiers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);

- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
  - a. Whether the Defendants violated various provisions of the FDICPA including but not limited to:  
15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e; 1692e(2)(A); 1692e(5); 1692e(10); and 1692f *et seq.*
  - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the Defendants' conduct;
  - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
  - d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.

- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendants' conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy, they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.
- Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

14. Plaintiff is at all times to this lawsuit, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

15. Sometime prior to April 26, 2015, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation to CARE CREDIT ("CARE CREDIT").

16. The CARE CREDIT obligation arose out of a transaction, in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.

17. The CARE CREDIT obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

18. CARE CREDIT is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).

19. At some time prior to April 26, 2016, the CARE CREDIT obligation was purchased by and/or sold to MERRIMAN.

20. At the time the CARE CREDIT obligation was purchased by and/or sold to MERRIMAN, the obligation was in default.

21. On or before April 26, 2016, MERRIMAN referred the CARE CREDIT obligation to ELTMAN for the purpose of collections.

22. At the time MERRIMAN referred the CARE CREDIT obligation to ELTMAN, the obligation was past due.

23. At the time MERRIMAN referred the CARE CREDIT obligation to ELTMAN, the obligation was in default.

24. Defendants caused to be delivered to Plaintiff a letter dated April 26, 2016, which was addressed to Plaintiff. **Exhibit A.**

25. The April 26, 2016 letter was sent to Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the CARE CREDIT obligation.

26. The April 26, 2016 letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

27. The April 26, 2016 letter was the initial written communication sent to Plaintiff by ELTMAN.

28. Upon receipt, Plaintiff read the April 26, 2016 letter.

29. The April 26, 2016 letter provides the following information regarding the total balance claimed due on the CARE CREDIT obligation:

Amount Due: \$4,349.85

As of the date of this letter you owe a balance of \$4,349.85. Because of interest that may vary from day to day, the due on the day you pay may be greater.

30. The April 26, 2016 letter did not itemize the amount of the debt.

31. The outstanding balance claimed to be due by Defendants on the CARE CREDIT obligation as of April 26, 2016 includes an amount for interest.

32. The April 26, 2016 letter did not inform Plaintiff that the amount of the debt included an amount for interest.

33. At all times relevant to this matter, ELTMAN has not held a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.

34. At all times relevant to this matter, ELTMAN has not held a license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance.

35. ELTMAN has never held a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act authorizing it to make consumer loans, or to buy, discount or endorse notes (loans), or to receive interest.

36. At all times relevant to this matter, MERRIMAN has not held a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.

37. At all times relevant to this matter, MERRIMAN has not held a license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance.

38. MERRIMAN has never held a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act authorizing it to make consumer loans, or to buy, discount or endorse notes (loans), or to receive interest.

39. Upon information and belief, CARE CREDIT held a license with the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance at all relevant times herein.

40. At no time was ELTMAN authorized to charge or add interest to Plaintiff's account.

41. At no time was ELTMAN authorized to collect interest on Plaintiff's account.

42. At no time was MERRIMAN authorized to charge or add interest to Plaintiff's account.

43. At no time was MERRIMAN authorized to collect interest on Plaintiff's account.

44. ELTMAN was not permitted by agreement or law to add interest, late charges or other charges to the balance of the CARE CREDIT obligation.

45. MERRIMAN was not permitted by agreement or law to add interest, late charges or other charges to the balance of the CARE CREDIT obligation.

46. As ELTMAN never obtained the appropriate license under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the CARE CREDIT obligation.

47. As MERRIMAN never obtained the appropriate license under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the CARE CREDIT obligation.



**POLICIES AND PRACTICES COMPLAINED OF**

48. It is Defendants' policy and practice to send written collection communications, in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, which violate the FDCPA, by *inter alia*:

- (a) Using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
- (b) Threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken;
- (c) Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt; and
- (d) Making a false representation of the character or amount of the debt.

49. On information and belief, Defendants sent written communications in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, to at least 50 natural persons in the State of New Jersey with one year of this Complaint.

**COUNT I**

**FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. §  
1692 *et seq.* VIOLATIONS**

50. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.

51. Collection letters and/or notices, such as those sent by Defendants, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

52. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused about his or her rights.

53. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with their attempts to collect debts from Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

54. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA in connection with their communications to Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

55. Defendant's statement that "Because of interest that may vary from day to day, the due on the day you pay may be greater" violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA as Defendants were prohibited from charging or collecting interest under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.

56. Section 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from making a false representation of the character, amount or legal status of a debt.

57. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by including an amount for interest in the balance stated in the April 26, 2016 letter.

58. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by making false representations of the character and legal status of the debt.

59. Defendant's statement that "Because of interest that may vary from day to day, the due on the day you pay may be greater" violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) as Defendants were prohibited from charging or collecting interest under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.

60. Section 1692e(5) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.

61. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by attempting to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law.

62. Defendant's statement that "Because of interest that may vary from day to day, the due on the day you pay may be greater" violates Section 1692e(5) of the FDCPA.

63. Section 1692e(10) prohibits the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.

64. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by attempting to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law.

65. Defendant's statement that "Because of interest that may vary from day to day, the due on the day you pay may be greater" violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).

66. The least supplicated consumer upon reading the April 26, 2016, will be misled into believing that she should pay the alleged debt immediately because interest would be accrued and increase the balance, when in fact interest was not going to accrue.

67. The balance of alleged debt was not going to increase due to additional interest.

68. The balance of the alleged debt did not increase due to additional interest being added to the debt.

69. Defendant's statement was purposefully designed to mislead the consumer into to paying the alleged sooner rather than later, based on the false premise that interest would be added to the alleged debt, thus increase the amount owed.

70. Defendants' attempt to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law violated various provisions of the FDCPA including but not limited to: 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e; 1692e(2)(A); 1692e(5); 1692e(10); and 1692f *et seq.*

71. Defendant's statement that the alleged debt was subject to increase due to additional interest, violated various provisions of the FDCPA including but not limited to: 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e; 1692e(2)(A); 1692e(5); 1692e(10); and 1692f *et seq.*

72. Section 1692f *et seq.* of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.

73. Defendants violated Section 1692f *et seq.* of the FDCPA by attempting to collect interest, which it is not authorized or permitted by law to charge or collect.

74. Congress enacted the FDCPA in part to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.

75. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to free from abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.

76. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to receive proper notices mandated by the FDCPA.

77. Plaintiff and others similarly situated were sent letters which could have affected their decision-making with regard to the debt.

78. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have suffered harm as a direct result of the abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices described herein.

79. Plaintiff has suffered damages and other harm as a direct result of the Defendants' actions, conduct, omissions and violations of the FDCPA described herein.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

(a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and the attorneys, Joseph K. Jones, Esq., and Glen H. Chulsky, Esq., as Class Counsel;

(b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;

(c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;

(d) Awarding pre-judgment interest;

(e) Awarding post-judgment interest.

(f) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys'

fees and expenses; and

(g) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: October 17, 2016

*s/ Joseph K. Jones*

Joseph K. Jones, Esq.  
JONES, WOLF & KAPASI, LLC  
375 Passaic Avenue, Suite 100  
Fairfield, New Jersey 07004  
(973) 227-5900 telephone  
(973) 244-0019 facsimile  
jkj@legaljones.com

*s/ Glen Chulsky*

Glen Chulsky, Esq.  
JONES, WOLF & KAPASI, LLC  
375 Passaic Avenue, Suite 100  
Fairfield, New Jersey 07004  
(973) 227-5900 telephone  
(973) 244-0019 facsimile

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

*s/ Joseph K. Jones*

Joseph K. Jones, Esq.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2**

I, Joseph K. Jones, the undersigned attorney of record for Plaintiff, do hereby certify to my own knowledge and based upon information available to me at my office, the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action now pending in any court or in any arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: October 17, 2016

*s/ Joseph K. Jones* \_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph K. Jones, Esq.

# **EXHIBIT A**

**Eltman Law, P.C.**  
Attorneys At Law

101 Hudson Street Suite # 2702, Jersey City, NJ 07302  
Toll Free: (877) 439-8800  
Fax: (212) 660-3101

Office Hours:  
M-TH 8:30AM to 5:00PM (EST)  
Fri 8:30AM to 4:30PM (EST)

Robert Facey, Managing NJ Attorney  
Admitted in NY & NJ

April 26, 2016

Dear Mr./Ms. Tabor:

Please be advised that Eltman Law, P.C. has been retained by Merriman Investments, LLC, purchaser of the above account, for collection of this debt.

Unless you notify this office within thirty (30) days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If, within thirty (30) days after your receipt of this notice, you notify us in writing that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of any judgment, and we will mail to you a copy of such verification or judgment. If you notify this office in writing within thirty (30) days after receiving this letter, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

As of the date of this letter you owe a balance of \$4,349.85. Because of interest that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your check, in which event we will inform you before your check is deposited.

For further information write the undersigned or call (877) 439-8800 and ask to speak with an account representative.

We are a law firm with attorneys admitted to practice in the following states only:

California, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Tennessee, Michigan, and Missouri

Should you wish to communicate with us via e-mail, please visit [www.eltmanlaw.com/emailauthorization](http://www.eltmanlaw.com/emailauthorization) to complete our e-mail authorization form.

**THIS COMMUNICATION IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.**

Very truly yours,

Robert Facey, Esq.  
Admitted to Practice in New York, New Jersey

Account Summary	
Original Account #	
Original Creditor CARE CREDIT	
Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed: Merriman Investments, LLC	
Eltman File #:	Amount Due:
	\$4,349.85

DUN100N

Detach along the dotted line and return bottom portion along with payment. Please include your Eltman file number listed below on your payment

35A Rust Lane  
Boerne, TX 78006-8202



Payment Options:		
Western Union Quick Collect	Internet	Pay by Phone
Code City: ERIN State: NY Locator: (800)305-6000	<a href="http://www.eltmanlaw.123fastpay.com">www.eltmanlaw.123fastpay.com</a> Visa, MasterCard, American Express, Debit, PrePaid	Please Call (877) 439-8800 Visa, MasterCard, American Express, Check by Phone
Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed Merriman Investments, LLC		Original Acct #
Original Creditor CARE CREDIT	Eltman File #	Amount Due \$4,349.85
Amount Enclosed		



WENDY L TABOR



Eltman Law, P.C.  
101 HUDSON ST STE 2702  
JERSEY CITY NJ 07302-3915



CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

WENDY TABOR, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Essex (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

DEFENDANTS

ELTMAN LAW, P.C.; MERRIMAN INVESTMENTS, LLC; and JOHN DOES 1-25

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hudson (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF DEF, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Table with 5 columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, BANKRUPTCY, OTHER STATUTES. Includes various legal categories like Personal Injury, Real Property, Labor, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from another district (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation, 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Brief description of cause: Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23, DEMAND \$, CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE, DOCKET NUMBER

DATE, SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

10/17/2016

Joseph K. Jones

Digitally signed by Joseph K. Jones, DN: cn=Joseph K. Jones, o=Law Offices of Joseph K. Jones, LLC, ou, email=jkj@legaljones.com, c=US Date: 2013.12.17 10:01:01 -0500

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #, AMOUNT, APPLYING IFP, JUDGE, MAG. JUDGE

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

**I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

**II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

**III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

**IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

**V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

**VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553  
Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

**VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

**VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.



Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

Other *(specify):* \_\_\_\_\_.

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00 \_\_\_\_\_.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Eltman Law, Merriman Investments Hit with FDCA Class Action](#)

---