### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

#### **Civil Action No.:**

BENJAMIN SWEITZER and STEVE ROTHERMICH,

individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

J.T. TIMBER FALLING, INC.,

Defendant.

#### **COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Benjamin Sweitzer and Steve Rothermich (collectively "Plaintiffs"), individually, and on behalf of all of those similarly situated, through undersigned counsel of Bachus & Schanker, LLC, make the following allegations in support of this Collective and Class Action Complaint:

#### INTRODUCTION

1. This is a federal collective and state class action brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et. seq. ("FLSA"), the Colorado Wage Act, C.R.S. §§ 8-4-101, et. seq. ("CWCA"), and Colorado common law (under theories of breach of contract, unjust enrichment, and *quantum meruit*) to recover unpaid wages and equitable relief.

- 2. Plaintiffs and those similarly situated worked as "Operators" and were classified under the FLSA and relevant state wage and hour statutes as non-exempt, hourly employees during the relevant periods alleged herein.
- 3. Defendant J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. ("Defendant" or "J.T. Timber") improperly denied wages and compensation for hours worked by Plaintiffs and others similarly situated.
- 4. Upon information and belief, for at least three years prior to the filing of this action and continuing through the date of this action, J.T. Timber has devised and implemented general policies and practices to deprive Operators of compensation to which they are entitled. J.T. Timber routinely requires its hourly-paid Operators to work "off the clock" without proper payment of wages.
- 5. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated former and current hourly-paid Operators, seek unpaid overtime, unpaid regular wages, liquidated damages and/or pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, injunctive and equitable relief, attorney's fees and costs, and any other just remedies permissible under the law.
- 6. Plaintiffs shall request that this Court authorize concurrent notice to all former and current hourly-paid Operators who were employed by J.T. Timber during the applicable time period, informing them of the pendency of this action and of their right to opt-in to this lawsuit pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 7. Plaintiffs also propose a Rule 23 class pursuant to Colorado law brought on behalf of themselves and all other former and current hourly-paid Operators who were employed in Colorado by J.T. Timber.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1) and § 1391(c), as the Defendant conducts business within this District, and the acts complained of occurred in this District.

#### COVERAGE

- 10. At all times material hereto, Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees were "employees" of Defendant within the meaning of FLSA because they were individuals employed by an employer. Also, all similarly-situated employees were "employees" of Defendant within the meaning of FLSA because:
  - a. the Defendant exercised control over Plaintiffs' and all similarlysituated employees' work schedules, work tasks, and work processes;
  - the Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees had no opportunity to experience a profit or loss consistent with the characteristics of being independent businesswomen/ businessmen;
  - the Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees did not invest in Defendant's business, did not include amount of large capital expenditures, such as risk capital and capital investments, not negligible items, or labor itself;
  - d. Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees did not transfer from place to place as particular work is offered to them; Plaintiffs worked for only one employer, Defendant, and such relationship was continuous and of indefinite duration;
  - e. Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees did not "make any independent judgments," and thus did not exercise their skills "in any independent manner";

- f. Plaintiffs' and all similarly-situated employees' services were a necessary component of Defendant's business; and
- g. Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees did not bring their own tools or equipment to work; all tools and equipment were property of Defendant.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA because Defendant acted directly or indirectly in the interest of the employer in relation to an employee.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an employer because it had the ability to do the following with respect to Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees: hire and fire, supervise work schedules and conditions of employment, determined rates and method of payment, and were obligated under the law to maintain employment records.
- 13. Also, at all times material hereto, Defendant was an employer because it had exclusive operational control over Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees, was solely responsible for the day-to-day operations, *and* had direct responsibility for the supervision of the Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees.
- 14. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees.
- 15. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 16. Also, the annual gross revenue of Defendant was in excess of \$500,000 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 17. At all times material hereto, Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated employees were "engaged in commerce" and subject to individual coverage of the FLSA.

#### **PARTIES**

- 18. Plaintiff Benjamin Sweitzer ("Sweitzer") was, at all material times, a resident of Rio Grande County, Colorado. Sweitzer is a former covered, non-exempt employee of J.T. Timber, as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e). During the applicable time period, J.T. Timber employed Sweitzer as an hourly-paid Operator to work in logging operations on various job sites.
- 19. Plaintiff Steve Rothermich ("Rothermich") was, at all material times, a resident of Rio Grande County, Colorado. Rothermich is a former covered, non-exempt employee of J.T. Timber, as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e). During the applicable time period, J.T. Timber employed Rothermich as an hourly-paid Operator to work in logging operations on various job sites.
- 20. Defendant J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado with its principal place of business located at 30378 County Road 16, Blanca, Colorado 81123. Upon information and belief, J.T. Timber conducts business operations primarily in the State of Colorado.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ALLEGATIONS

- 21. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 22. J.T. Timber is a Colorado Sustainable Forestry Initiative certified forestry service company.
- 23. At all times material hereto, J.T. Timber was engaged in commerce in the field of timber logging.

- 24. Upon information and belief, one of J.T. Timber's largest expenses related to its logging operations is the payroll of its hourly-paid employees. To reduce this expense and maximize profits, J.T. Timber maintains a company-wide policy of not paying hourly-paid Operators proper wages for work performed before and after the time spent at the logging site, as well as other work performed "off the clock."
- 25. J.T. Timber employees Operators to conduct logging operations in the field at various job site locations.
- 26. J.T. Timber requires Operators to arrive at the shop to gather supplies, oil, gas and other items for the machines to be used throughout the day's operations.
- 27. As such, Plaintiffs typically arrived at the shop at 6:00 a.m. to begin the day's work.
- 28. Regardless of what time Plaintiffs began working for the benefit of Defendant, J.T. Timber would not pay Plaintiffs and those similarly situated until 7:00 a.m.
- 29. During the applicable time period, Plaintiffs and other Operators regularly worked in excess of 40 hours during a workweek.
- 30. Upon arriving at the shop each morning, Plaintiffs and those similarly situated routinely were required by J.T. Timber to do the following without being permitted to clock in:
  - a. Assemble and collect supplies necessary to carry out required daily tasks
  - b. Fuel up the trucks and equipment
  - c. Attend safety meetings
- 31. Plaintiffs and other operators began their respective workdays at the shop and duly performed activities that were an integral and indispensable part of their employment, yet

- J.T. Timber failed to properly compensate Plaintiffs and the other Operators for work performed at the required straight time and/or overtime rates, resulting in these individuals being forced to work off the clock.
- 32. Despite beginning their principal activity before 7:00 a.m., J.T. Timber specifically chose to exclude said work time from any straight time or overtime calculations.
- 33. These duties are an integral and indispensable part of the Plaintiffs' and other frac fuel operators' principal activities for which J.T. Timber failed to provide the required compensation.
- 34. J.T. Timber knew that Plaintiffs and those similarly situated performed integral and indispensable functions of their jobs without compensation. J.T. Timber chose not to pay hourly wages to Operators in order reduce J.T. Timber's expenses and maximize profits.

#### FEDERAL COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 35. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 36. This is a lawsuit brought by Plaintiffs as a collective action, on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated, pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the "Federal Collective Group"), as follows:

All current and former hourly-paid Operators who were employed by J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. three years prior to the filing of this Complaint who were required to work off the clock before and after the scheduled times on their shifts.

- 37. Plaintiffs have consented in writing to be a part of this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiffs' signed consent forms are attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 38. Plaintiffs are similarly situated to the other members of the Federal Collective Group because they all (a) worked for J.T. Timber as Operators during the applicable

time period; (b) performed the same or similar duties; (c) had limited or no administrative responsibilities; (d) were and are not professionals within the meaning of the FLSA; and (e) were required to work off the clock without compensation. As a result, there are questions of law and fact common to the Federal Collective Group; Plaintiffs have a well-defined community of interest with the Federal Collective Group; and they are adequate representatives of the Federal Collective Group.

- 39. The following questions of law and fact predominate over questions that may affect individual members of the Federal Collective Group:
  - a. Whether J.T. Timber failed to adequately compensate the members of
  - the Federal Collective Group for all hours worked, as required by the FLSA;
  - b. Whether the members of the Federal Collective Group have been
  - damaged and, if so, the damages to which they are entitled; and
  - c. Whether J.T. Timber willfully violated the FLSA and, if so, the liquidated
  - damages to which members of the Federal Collective Group are entitled.
- 40. Notice of this action should be sent to the Federal Collective Group. Upon information and belief, there are numerous similarly-situated current and former employees of J.T. Timber who have suffered from J.T. Timber's practices who would benefit from the issuance of court-supervised notice of this lawsuit and the opportunity to join. Those similarly situated employees are known to J.T. Timber and are readily

#### STATE LAW CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

identifiable J.T. Timber's records.

41. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

42. In addition to bringing this lawsuit as a collective action under the FLSA, Plaintiffs, under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, propose a class on behalf of themselves and all persons who were employed by J.T. Timber in the State of Colorado and paid on an hourly basis ("Colorado Rule 23 class"), as follows:

All current and former hourly-paid Operators who were employed In Colorado by J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. three years prior to the filing of this Complaint who were required to work off the clock before and after the scheduled times on their shifts.

- 43. The Colorado Rule 23 class meets the requirements of Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in that:
  - a. The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all class members would be impracticable. On information and belief, there are more that forty (40) members of the proposed class.
  - b. There are numerous common questions of law and fact at issue. These questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
    - (1) whether class members are employees covered by the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seq., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
    - (2) whether J.T. Timber is an employer covered by the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seq., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
    - (3) whether J.T. Timber required class members to work off-the clock without payment for their work;
    - (4) whether J.T. Timber acted pursuant to a systematic practice in requiring off-the-clock work;
    - (5) whether J.T. Timber failed to pay class members the full amount of wages due under the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seq., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;

- (6) whether J.T. Timber failed to pay wages in the manner prescribed by the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seq., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
- (7) whether J.T. Timber withheld or diverted wages from class members in violation of the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8- 4-101, et seq., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
- (8) whether J.T. Timber, failed to furnish class members with an accurate statement of all deductions made from their wages in accordance with the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seq., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
- (9) whether J.T. Timber failed to pay class members for all hours worked as required by the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4- 101, et seg., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
- (10) whether J.T. Timber failed to pay the appropriate rate of wages to class members under the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seg., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
- (11) whether J.T. Timber failed to make, keep, record, report, maintain and/or preserve complete, accurate, appropriate records of hours worked by and wages paid to class members under the Colorado Wage Act C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seq., and 7 C.C.R. 1103;
- (12) whether J.T. Timber was unjustly enriched by the conduct alleged by class members and, if so, whether class members may recover under the theory of unjust enrichment;
- (13) whether class members are entitled to recover for quantum meruit;
- (14) whether J.T. Timber's actions were in good faith or, conversely, whether they were willful and in reckless disregard for class members' rights;
- (15) whether class members were deprived of wages and suffered other economic injury as a result of J.T. Timber's actions;
- (16) whether J.T. Timber's actions were taken pursuant to a systematic, corporate-wide policy or practice; and

- (17) whether class members are entitled to damages, liquidated damages, interest, attorney's fees and costs, injunctive relief, and/or any other relief.
- c. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of all other class members. Plaintiffs' claims arise under the same acts and conduct as the claims of other class members. Plaintiffs also bring the same claims, under the same legal authority, and seek the same relief, as all other class members.
- d. Plaintiffs will fully and adequately represent the interests of the class. Plaintiffs have retained counsel who are knowledgeable and experienced in prosecuting class actions. There are no known conflicts with class members that would render Plaintiffs or their counsel inadequate.
- 44. The Rule 23 class meets the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in that J.T. Timber has acted, or refused to act, on grounds that apply generally to the class as a whole. As alleged herein, all of J.T. Timber's hourly paid Operators are subjected to the same treatment by J.T. Timber, in that they are all required to work off-the-clock without payment, or face retaliation by J.T. Timber.
- 45. The Rule 23 class also meets the requirements of Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in that the common questions of law or fact predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy. Specifically, the benefits of class treatment outweigh class members' interests in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions; Plaintiffs are aware of no other litigation concerning the controversy that has already been brought by other class members; the efficiencies

to be gained make it desirable to concentrate litigation of the claims in one forum; and there are no particular aspects of the claims that would make a class action unmanageable.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act 29 USC. §201, et. seq. (Plaintiffs and the Federal Collective Group)

- 46. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 47. As an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(a),
- J.T. Timber is subject to the requirements of the FLSA.
- 48. All of J.T. Timber's hourly-paid Operators are considered "non-exempt" from the maximum hour requirements of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 213(a)(1).
- 49. The FLSA requires that minimum compensation of \$7.25 per hour be paid to non-exempt employees. 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 50. The FLSA requires that overtime compensation be paid to non-exempt employees who work more than forty (40) hours in one week. 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 51. As described in the preceding paragraphs, Plaintiffs and the Federal Collective Group routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek without receiving overtime compensation for their overtime hours worked.
- 52. The FLSA requires employers to keep accurate records of the wages, hours, and other terms of employment for each of their employees, including but not limited to the employee's total hours worked each day and each week; the employee's total daily or weekly earnings; the employee's total daily or weekly regular wages; and the employee's total daily or weekly overtime wages.

- 53. By requiring hourly employees to work off the clock, J.T. Timber willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the minimum and overtime compensation provisions of the FLSA.
- 54. By failing to keep accurate records of the time its employees worked, J.T. Timber willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the FLSA's recordkeeping provisions.
- 55. As a result of J.T. Timber's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and all other similarly situated persons within the Federal Collective Group have suffered injury, in that they have been deprived of overtime and regular compensation.
- 56. Plaintiffs and all other similar-situated persons within the Federal Collective Group are entitled to damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the Colorado Wage Act and Failure to Maintain Records C.R.S. § 8-4-101 et. seq.
(Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 Class)

- 57. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 58. J.T. Timber violated the Colorado Wage Act. C.R.S. § 8-4-101 et. seq.
- 59. J.T. Timber is in the commercial support service industry. 7 C.C.R. §1103-1:2(B).
- 60. J.T. Timber is required under Colorado law to pay Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class for their time worked. 7 C.C.R. § 1103-1:4.
- 61. J.T. Timber is required under Colorado law to pay Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class overtime for all hours work over 12 in a workday and all hours worked over 40 in a work week. 7 C.C.R. § 1103-1:4.

- 62. J.T. Timber is required under Colorado law to maintain accurate daily records of all hours worked by its employees, including Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class. 7 C.C.R. § 1103-1:12.
- 63. J.T. Timber failed to properly pay Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class straight time for all hours worked.
- 64. J.T. Timber failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class Colorado's Minimum Wage for all hours worked.
- 65. J.T. Timber failed to pay the Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class overtime for all hours worked over 12 in a day and 40 in a week.
- 66. Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class have been damaged in an amount permitted under the law to be determined at trial.
- 67. As a direct and proximate result of J.T. Timber's failure and refusal to pay for off-the-clock work in violation of the Colorado Wage Act, Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class have been deprived of their rightfully earned wages, including regular time and overtime pay, and any other compensation of which they were deprived, and are entitled to recover from J.T. Timber all such unpaid wages and compensation, penalties, attorney's fees and costs, prejudgment interest, and all other appropriate relief.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Breach of Contract (Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 Class)

- 68. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 69. J.T. Timber, for its benefit, entered into employment contracts, express or implied, with Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class under which J.T. Timber promised to pay

wages to Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class at certain hourly rates (whether a regular rate of pay for 40 or fewer hours worked in a work-week or one-and-one-half times their regular rate of pay for any hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week) for all work, without exception, performed by Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class.

- 70. J.T. Timber made this promise to pay such wages for all work performed by Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class on many occasions, including, but not limited to, at the time of Plaintiffs' and the Colorado Rule 23 class's dates of hire and throughout the tenure of their employment at J.T. Timber.
- 71. Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class accepted J.T. Timber's offer to compensate them at such certain hourly rates for all work performed each time that Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class performed their jobs, duties, and work for J.T. Timber's benefit.
- 72. J.T. Timber failed to fulfill its promises and breached its contracts with Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class by having Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class perform some of their work off the clock and then refusing or otherwise failing to pay Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class for that off-the-clock work.
- 73. There were no conditions precedent, if any, that Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class failed to perform before being entitled to the promised payment of wages for all work performed by Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class for J.T. Timber's benefit.
- 74. As a direct and proximate result of J.T. Timber's conduct, Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class have suffered injury, and are entitled to damages for J.T. Timber's

breach of contract, including payment of all unpaid wages for the work they performed off the clock, interest, and other relief, in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

Unjust Enrichment (Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 Class)

- 75. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 76. Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class, conferred upon J.T. Timber a benefit by performing unpaid work off-the-clock for J.T. Timber, as demanded and required by J.T. Timber.
- 77. J.T. Timber received this benefit of work performed by Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class without paying them for this work.
- 78. J.T. Timber's retention of this benefit of work performed without paying for the work is unjust.
- 79. Through its various sham formal, written (and other) policies uniformly, but falsely, expressing to all of its hourly paid employees that such employees are to be paid for all hours worked, and in performing their work for J.T. Timber, Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class, uniformly expected remuneration, in the form of wages at the appropriate rate, from the J.T. Timber for all of their work performed for J.T. Timber.
- 80. By demanding and requiring Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class to work offthe-clock in transgression of, and by refusing and failing to pay them for all work performed in accordance with J.T. Timber's uniform expressed policies, J.T. Timber significantly reduced its payroll and labor costs, diverted those savings to other company

functions and priorities, and increased its profits to its own benefit and to the detriment of Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class.

- 81. J.T. Timber's acceptance and retention of the benefit of Plaintiffs' and the Colorado Rule 23 class's off-the-clock work without paying for it, and the resultant savings by refusing and failing to pay for such work, by saving and avoiding payroll and labor costs, by diverting those savings to other company functions and priorities, and by enjoying the increased profits that J.T. Timber received as a result of its failure and refusal to pay them for all work performed, is inequitable and contrary to the fundamental principles of justice, equity, and good conscience, and, as such, Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class may recover under the unjust enrichment doctrine.
- 82. As a direct and proximate result of J.T. Timber's actions, defendant has reaped unfair benefits and illegal profits at the expense of Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class. J.T. Timber should be made to account for, and restore to Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class, the monies that were wrongly reaped by Defendant at Plaintiffs' and the Colorado Rule 23 class's expense.

#### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

In the Alternative, Quantum Meruit (Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 Class)

- 83. The preceding allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 84. Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class performed work for J.T. Timber off-the-clock for which J.T. Timber refused and failed to pay.
- 85. J.T. Timber accepted this work performed by Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class.

86. Through its various sham formal, written (and other) policies uniformly, but falsely, expressing to all of its hourly-paid employees that such employees are to be paid for all hours worked, and in performing their work for J.T. Timber, Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class uniformly expected to be compensated by J.T. Timber for the reasonable value of all of Plaintiffs' and the Colorado Rule 23 class's work performed for J.T. Timber.

87. As a direct and proximate result of J.T. Timber's improper failure and refusal to pay for off-the-clock work, Plaintiffs and the Colorado Rule 23 class are entitled to the reasonable value of all of their work performed for J.T. Timber and shall so demonstrate

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request the following relief on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated:

- (a) certification of this case as a collective action under the FLSA;
- (b) certification of a Colorado class under Rule 23;

this reasonable value through discovery and at the trial of this matter.

- (c) an order preliminarily and permanently enjoining J.T. Timber from engaging in the above-described conduct;
- (c) an award of the value of Plaintiffs' unpaid wages, and the unpaid wages of all other current and former hourly-paid J.T. Timber employees included in this action;
- (d) an award of liquidated damages under the FLSA for Plaintiffs and for all other current and former hourly-paid J.T. Timber employees included in this action;

- (e) an award of reasonable attorney's fees, expenses, expert fees, and all other costs incurred in this action;
- (f) an award of pre- and post-judgment interest;
- (g) any other relief permissible under the laws alleged; and
- (h) any and all other relief that the Court deems proper.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs request a trial to a jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 23, 2017.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Andrew C. Quisenberry

Sara A. Green, Esq. Andrew C. Quisenberry, Esq. BACHUS & SCHANKER, LLC 1899 Wynkoop Street, Suite 700 Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: 303.893.9800 Facsimile: 303.893.9900 Sara.green@coloradolaw.net

Andrew.quisenberry@coloradolaw.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# **EXHIBIT A**

# CONSENT TO JOIN

## Consent to become a Party Plaintiff pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

1. I currently work or did work as a non-exempt hourly operator for J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. at the following locations(s), in the following position(s), over the following approximate dates:

Address, City, State	Position	Dates
30378 CRIB Blanca, (0	Oferator	1/1999-5/2017

- 2. There were/have been times when J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. failed to pay me straight time and overtime wages.
- 3. I consent to join the FLSA action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) titled Benjamin Sweitzer and Steve Rothermich, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, v. J.T. Timber Falling, Inc., filed concurrently herewith in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, as a Party Plaintiff to recover unpaid regular and/or overtime wages and all other damages allowed under the FLSA.
- 4. I have chosen to be represented in this matter by Bachus & Schanker, LLC.

Signature:

Signature:

Benjamin Otto Sweitzer

Benjamin Otto Sweitzer

### **CONSENT TO JOIN**

# Consent to become a Party Plaintiff pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

1. I currently work or did work as a non-exempt hourly operator for J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. at the following locations(s), in the following position(s), over the following approximate dates:

Address, City, State	Position	Dates
30378 CR16 Blanca, CO	Operator	1/2015-4/2017

- 2. There were/have been times when J.T. Timber Falling, Inc. failed to pay me straight time and overtime wages.
- 3. I consent to join the FLSA action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) titled Benjamin Sweitzer and Steve Rothermich, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, v. J.T. Timber Falling, Inc., filed concurrently herewith in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, as a Party Plaintiff to recover unpaid regular and/or overtime wages and all other damages allowed under the FLSA.
- 4. I have chosen to be represented in this matter by Bachus & Schanker, LLC.

Date	10/9/17	
Signature:	Steve Rothermich	
Print Full Name:	Steve Rothermich	

### Case 1:17-cv-02525 Document 1-2 Filed 10/23/17 USDC Colorado Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) District of Colorado Form

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of illitiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FOI	RM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
Benjamin Sweitzer and Steve Rothermich			J.T. Timber Falling Inc.				
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of	f First Listed Plaintiff F	Rio Grande		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant		
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)		County of Residence	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)	
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Andrew C. Quisenberry, Bachus & Schanker, LLC	Esq.	)		Attorneys (If Known)			
1899 Wynkoop Street, St Telephone - 303-893-980		202					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	X <sub>3</sub> Federal Question		'	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 ' 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In		
U.S. Government Defendant	' 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 ' 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> P of Business In		
				en or Subject of a , reign Country	3 ' 3 Foreign Nation	' 6 ' 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) ORTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure	, 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine	' 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	7 (0	of Property 21 USC 881	' 423 Withdrawal	' 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	' 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	D 69	0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
<ul> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> </ul>	' 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights	<ul><li>410 Antitrust</li><li>430 Banks and Banking</li></ul>	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	' 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			□ 830 Patent	☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	<ul> <li>368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>835 Patent - Abbreviated</li> <li>New Drug Application</li> </ul>	<ul><li>460 Deportation</li><li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li></ul>	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	' 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TV	LABOR	□ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	' 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	' 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	' 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<ul><li>371 Truth in Lending</li><li>380 Other Personal</li></ul>	□ 72	Act 0 Labor/Management	<ul><li>862 Black Lung (923)</li><li>863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))</li></ul>	■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	' 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	■ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury ' 362 Personal Injury -	<ul> <li>385 Property Damage Product Liability</li> </ul>		O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	' 865 RSI (405(g))	<ul><li>891 Agricultural Acts</li><li>893 Environmental Matters</li></ul>	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	IS 1 79	Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information     Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	_	1 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration	
<ul><li>220 Foreclosure</li><li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li></ul>	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	<ul><li>463 Alien Detainee</li><li>510 Motions to Vacate</li></ul>		Income Security Act	or Defendant)  871 IRS—Third Party	■ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision	
<ul><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li><li>290 All Other Real Property</li></ul>	Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	<ul><li>530 General</li><li>535 Death Penalty</li></ul>		IMMIGRATION		<ul> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>	
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration			
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions			
	☐ 448 Education	<ul><li>555 Prison Condition</li><li>560 Civil Detainee -</li></ul>					
		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)		1				
X₁ Original □ 2 Re	moved from ate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop		erred from		
VI. CALISE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Fair Labor Standa	ntute under which you ar ards Act 29 U.S.C §	e filing (D 201, et		utes unless diversity): Wage Act, C.R.S. §§ 8		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Wage and Hour	use: AP Docket					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	D D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint:  D: X Yes 'No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	)
Benjamin Sweitzer and Steve Rothermich	) )
Plaintiff(s)	)
v.	Civil Action No.
	)
J.T. Timber Falling, Inc.	) )
Defendant(s)	)
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Kim James Trumble, Registered Agent for Defendant J.T. Timber 3365 Hartgrove Rd. Fort Garland, CO 81133	r Falling, Inc.
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you er or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. swer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	This summons for (neceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an	ny)				
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind	<u> </u>				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summon		ence or usual place of abode with (name)	ides there			
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	aides there,			
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the sumr	nons on (name of individual)		, who is			
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because		-			
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.				
Date:		_					
			Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title				
		_	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Against J.T. Timber Falling Claims Operators Are Owed Unpaid Wages</u>