FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

2017 AUG 22 AM 10: 13

CLERA, US BISTAICH COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA, FLORIDA

LATASHA L. SWAIN, And all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case Number: 8:17CV 1989 \$30 AEP

v.

COMPREHENSIVE	HEALTH	MANAGEMENT.	INC.
COMI REHEMBLY E	HEALTH	17124132431121711213131	

Defendant.	
	1

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

- 1. Plaintiff, was an employee of Defendant's, and brings this action for unpaid wages, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201-216 (the "FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff worked as a laborer for Defendant and performed related, non-exempt activities for Defendant in Hillsborough County, Florida.
- 3. Plaintiff was not paid overtime for all of the hours she worked beyond 40 in a single workweek.
- 4. Plaintiff was engaged by Defendant to work processing claims for Defendant's customers from approximately August 2012 through May 15, 2017.
- 5. Plaintiff was to be paid an hourly wage equivalent to as much as \$20.65 per hour. Plaintiff is not subject to any exemptions under the FLSA.
 - 6. Plaintiff was paid an hourly rate by Defendant.

180-42433

- 7. Plaintiff routinely and customarily worked in excess of forty hours a week and more during her period of employment
 - 8. Plaintiff did not supervise any subservient employees.
 - 9. Plaintiff did not participate in budgetary matters.
- 10. Plaintiff did not craft or develop or direct the creation of any policy on behalf of Defendant.
 - 11. Plaintiff did not hire or fire subservient employees.
 - 12. Plaintiff did not direct the employment of subservient employees.
- 13. Defendant's organizational chart does not reveal, indicate or evidence that Plaintiff supervised two or more employees throughout any week during the entire period of her employment with Defendant.
 - 14. Plaintiff worked for Defendant in Hillsborough County, Florida.
- 15. Instead of paying full overtime wages, Defendant circumvented the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff the entirety of the time worked and corresponding wages due, though Plaintiff habitually worked up to and beyond forty hours hours a week or more during her employment with Defendant prior to the institution of this action.
- 16. Plaintiff was often paid time and a half for the hours that she worked over forty in any given week.
- 17. However, Plaintiff was not paid for work she performed during breaks and lunch by Defendant because Defendant failed, refused and/or neglected to properly record or pay Plaintiff for that time.
- 18. Plaintiff also worked for Defendant from home during her period of employment and completed work for Defendant from home.

- 19. Plaintiff previously complained to her immediate supervisor that Plaintiff had not been paid for all the time Plaintiff worked for Defendant during her employment with Defendant.
- 20. Plaintiff complained on May 22, 2017 to Defendant in regards to not being paid for all the hours that Plaintiff worked for Defendant.
- 21. Plaintiff complained on July 14, 2017 to Defendant in regards to not being paid for all the hours that Plaintiff worked for Defendant.
 - 22. Plaintiff was not paid premium wages for all hours worked.
- 23. Defendant failed to maintain accurate time records for Plaintiff in direct violation of the FLSA and its regulations.
- 24. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff for the total amount of time she spent working beyond forty hours during the weeks of his employment with Defendant.
- 25. As of this date, Plaintiff has still not been paid the entirety of her wages and has not been compensated for the full extent of his damages and wage loss under the FLSA.
- 26. It is believed that there are similarly situated employees who were also not paid the full extent of their overtime at the correct rate of pay and who were also subject to the exact same unlawful pay practices, i.e., the failure to pay wages in accordance with the law.
- 27. Plaintiff seeks full compensation, including liquidated damages because Defendant's conduct in directing her to work off the clock in a calculated attempt to extract more additional work out of Plaintiff for the benefit of Defendant's, as the expense of Plaintiff, who was being paid less than premium wages under the FLSA.

- 28. Plaintiff was required by Defendant to "clock out" for lunch as part of Defendant's policies and practices.
- 29. Plaintiff's work load with Defendant was such that Plaintiff was required to work off the clock in order to maintain Defendant's production goals.
 - 30. Plaintiff's "off the clock" work was known to Defendant.
- 31. Plaintiff's "off the clock" work for Defendant took place on the property of Defendant at her desk in full view of Plaintiff's supervisors.
- 32. Defendant is a for profit corporation that operates and conducts business in, among others, Hillsborough County, Florida, and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 33. Defendant, at all relevant times to this amended complaint, was Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 34. Plaintiff performed duties and responsibilities that involved commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce as the job directly pertained to the process if medical insurance and payments throughout the nation.
- 35. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant, unpaid wages in the form of overtime wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 36. This action is intended to include each and every hourly employee who worked for Defendant at any time within the past three (3) years.
- 37. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as all material events transpired in Hillsborough County, including those brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and the FLSA.

- 38. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s).
- 39. Upon information and belief, including Plaintiff's experience with Defendant as well as the sheer size of Defendant's organization suggest that the Defendant are a multi-million-dollar operation.
- 40. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges that enterprise coverage is present in this case because Defendant has an annual volume of at least \$500,000.00 in revenue and has two or more employees that handle goods in commerce, including materials and supplies, whom also use telephones, computers and other instrumentalities of commerce.
- 41. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff in her capacity as an employee was individually covered by the FLSA.
- 42. Plaintiff's duties would include to doing hourly work processing insurance related claims as referenced above, without managerial responsibility.
 - 43. Plaintiff did not bear supervisory responsibility for any other employees.
 - 44. Plaintiff did not direct the hiring and firing of any employees.
- 45. Plaintiff did not participate in the creation of budgets or maintain the production of sales nor did Plaintiff plan or control the budget of the Defendant's in any way.
 - 46. Plaintiff did not implement or draft legal compliance measures.
- 47. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Plaintiff performed services for Defendant for which no provisions was made by Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff for all hours worked during her employment.

- 48. Plaintiff worked over 40 hours per nearly every week during her employment with Defendant.
- 49. The off the clock work that Plaintiff was directed to do was intentional and was designed to extract additional hours of labor out of Plaintiff for the benefit of the Defendant, who then refused to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated premium wages in full.
- 50. Defendant is in exclusive possession of the *majority* of relevant records in this case, including payroll records and schedules and other documentation that might reasonably assist Plaintiff with providing even greater specificity regarding the precise weeks that Plaintiff worked more than 40 hours.
- 51. Plaintiff alleges that she routinely worked in excess of 40 hours per week, including time for which Defendant made no provisions to properly record.
- 52. Defendant failed, refused and/or neglected to keep accurate time records pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) of Plaintiff's, and others similarly situated to her, true hours of work.

COUNT I – RECOVERY OVERTIME WAGES COMPENSATION

- 53. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-52, above.
- 54. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, are/were entitled to be paid their regular rate of pay for each hour worked per work week as well as premium wages for those hours worked over forty.
- 55. During her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, regularly worked hours for each week in which they were not paid at the

correct rate of pay. In Plaintiff's case, she routinely performed labor, at Defendant's specific request for the sole benefit of Defendant, and was not paid for the hours he

worked.

56. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in

refusing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, their correct premium rate of

pay for each hour worked beyond 40 in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff, and those

similarly situated to her, have suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees

and costs.

57. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff, and

those similarly situated to her, are entitled to payment of the unpaid wages under Florida

law, as well as liquidated damages under the FLSA.

58. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant, including, but not

limited to, reimbursement of an amount equal to the loss of wages and liquidated

damages, together with costs and attorney's fees pursuant to the FLSA, and such other

further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 21st day of August 2017,

/s/ W. John Gadd

Fl Bar Number 463061

Bank of America Building 2727 Ulmerton Rd. Ste. 250

Clearwater, FL 33762

Tel – (727)524-6300

Email - wjg@mazgadd.com

7

Kyle J. Lee Kyle J. Lee, Esq. FLBN: 105321 LEE LAW, PLLC P.O. Box 4476

Brandon, FL 33509-4476 Telephone: (813) 343-2813 Kyle@KyleLeeLaw.com

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

DEFENDANTS

LATASHA SWAIN			COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH MANAGEMENT, INC.				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff HILLSBOROUGH (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant HILLSBOROUGH (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (NLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,)	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
LAW OFFICE OF W. JOH	•			,			
2727 ULMERTON ROAD		WATER, FL 33762					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
To 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PT	rf def I □ I	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	PTF DEF incipal Place 0 4 3 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiza	Citizen of Another State				
				en or Subject of a Treign Country	3 7 3	Foreign Nation	76 76
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1				
CONTRACT 110 insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	7 423 With	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPER 820 Copy	RTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Paten	1	☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			□ 840 Trade	emark	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TV 3 71	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL 861 HIA	SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations 3 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		Act	☐ 862 Black	Lung (923)	D 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders* Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g)) = Title XVI	■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (J 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	ID 78	1 Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement	EUDED A	AL TAX SUITS	3 895 Freedom of Information
3 210 Land Condemnation	17 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	13. [3 /7	Income Security Act		(U.S. Plaintiff	Act 7 896 Arbitration
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1 441 Voting 1 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	ŀ		or De	efendant)	899 Administrative Procedure
1 240 Toris to Land	1 443 Housing/	Sentence	İ			SC 7609	Acad view or Appeal of Agency Decision
☐ 245 Fort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	-	IMMIGRATION	4	₽	950 Constitutionality of Standardies
ar arm in route train troperty	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	1	3	
	1 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe ☐ 550 Civil Rights	ii 🗅 46	5 Other Immigration Actions		Ä	出点 ~ 二
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee				7	
		Conditions of				<u> </u>	
II. OBYGIN		Confinement			<u> </u>		
	moved from 🔯 3	Remanded from (Appellate Court	7 4 Rein Reor	ened Anothe	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	2 5 —
,	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	itute under which you ar	e filing (1	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stati	utes unless di	versity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca					-	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	; D	EMAND S		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY C	OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AM	40UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Wage and Hour Lawsuit Filed Against Comprehensive Health Management