UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

LORI SUBER on her own behalf and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

CaseNumber:

8:17-cv-2293-T-30JSS

v.

SABER HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC., d/b/a "The Crossings of Riverview",

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

- 1. Plaintiff, was an employee of Defendant, A for profit corporation and brings this action of unpaid wages, liquidated damages, attorney fees/costs and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201-216 (the "FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff worked as an hourly worker for Defendant performing work as a resident aide.

TPA046155

- 3. Defendant is a foreign for profit corporation that operates and conducts business in, among others, Hillsborough County, Florida, and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 4. Saber Healthcare Group, LLC., owns and operates skilled nursing facilities for residents/customers in need of medical care and supervision.
- 5. Defendant was founded in 2001 and is based in Bedford Heights, Ohio.
- 6. Defendant maintains locations in Florida,
 Indiana, North Carolina, Pennsylvania and Virginia.
 Defendant's principal address is 26691 Richmond
 Road, Bedford Heights, Ohio, 44146-1421.
- 7. Plaintiff worked for Defendant for approximately six months, from March of 2017 through approximately September of 2017.
- 8. Defendant's key executives include George S. Repchick, who is a co-owner, president and partner of Defendant.

- 9. William I. Weisberg is also a key executive as well as co-owner and partner in Defendant's organization.
- 10. Plaintiff worked at Defendant's location 8451 U.S. Highway 301 South, Riverview, Florida 33578, which is advertised and held out the public as "The Crossings at Riverview".
- 11. Defendant is an EMPLOYER as defined by the FLSA and Defendant conducts interstate commerce, using telephones, highways and byways and products and supplies (that are used in connection with services provided to Defendant's customers) that do not originate from Florida.
- 12. In addition, Defendant uses materials and related items for third party customers (residents) and uses products from outside of the State of Florida to be consumed by Defendant's third party customers, who are composed of business and individuals domiciled in the State of Florida and

also out of the State of Florida. Likewise,
Defendant is an employer under 29 U.S.C. 1001-1140.

- 13. Plaintiff's duties involved interstate commerce, including but not limited to providing health care to patients who not exclusively Florida residents.
- 14. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant, unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 15. This action is intended to include each and every hourly employee who worked for the Defendant at any time within the past three (3) years.
- 16. The Court has jurisdiction over
 Plaintiff's claims as all material events occurred
 in Hillsborough County as a primary place,
 including those brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
 1337 and the FLSA.
- 17. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the

FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s).

- 18. In fact, Defendant is an assisted living service provider that routinely conducts commerce both in and out of the State of Florida and which, upon information and belief has grossed over \$500,000.00 in revenue in the thirty six months prior to the institution of this complaint.
- 19. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff, in her capacity as a resident aide employee, was individually covered by the FLSA.
- 20. Plaintiff routinely dealt with the instrumentalities of commerce, including but not limited to telephones, computers, data transmission lines on behalf of both individuals.
- 21. Plaintiff did not exercise any substantial discretion in the exercise of her job duties from through her date of termination in September of 2017.

- 22. Plaintiff did not supervise more than two full time employees.
- 23. Plaintiff did not have hire or fire authority.
- 24. Plaintiff did not direct the work of subservient employees. All decisions of this nature were made by Plaintiff's superiors.
- 25. At all times relevant to this action,
 Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201209, because Plaintiff performed services for
 Defendant for which no provisions were made by
 Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff for all hours
 worked during her employment.
- 26. In fact, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for all the hours Plaintiff worked for Defendant during Plaintiff's period of employment.
- 27. Plaintiff made written claims for the alleged wages on one occasion and provided her best good faith calculations therein.

- 28. In addition, when Plaintiff inquired as to why Plaintiff was required to work through lunch without being paid, Defendant's supervisor "Chris" told Plaintiff that "that's just how we do it."
- 29. During their employment with Defendant, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, were not paid for all time worked during one or more work weeks.
- 30. Defendant employees multiple persons who work in a resident aid capacity and did employee more than four resident aides per shift.
- 31. Each resident aid was subjected to the same employment practices and policies.
- 32. Plaintiff was not treated any differently with respect to Defendant's employment policies during her employment with Defendant.
- 33. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated to her for all of the hours that Plaintiff worked and the bulk of these hours are reasonably believed to constitute "straight-time"

hours at a rate of \$10.50 an hour. Other similarly situated employees believed to have been impacted by Defendant's refusing to pay proper wages, including but not limited to Lilli Travis.

- 33. Defendant failed, refused and/or neglected to keep accurate time records pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) of Plaintiff's, and others similarly situated to her, true hours of work.
- 34. Defendant did not substantively respond to written demands for payment of unpaid wages and minimum wages made by the named Plaintiff and on behalf of the named Plaintiff.
- 35. Defendant did not object to the quantum or legitimacy of hours worked by Plaintiff and sought by the named Plaintiff. Defendant did not turn over any documentation that may have assisted Plaintiff in providing a more specific demand or any specifics with regard to how Plaintiff could have been an exempt employee under the Fair Labor Standards Act.

- 36. The amount of wages owed to named Plaintiff and potential opt-ins are reasonably believed to be, individually, in excess of fifteen or more-thousand dollars, when liquidated damages are included into the equation along with the damages of other similarly situated employees.
- 37. The extent to which other similarly situated workers may be owed wages under the FLSA has yet to be determined, but are reasonably believed to exceed fifteen or more-thousand dollars.
- 38. To the extent that relevant documents exist, such are believed to be in the exclusive possession of Defendant, however Defendant has not produced any documentation relating to this claim.

COUNT I - RECOVERY OF UNPAID WAGES

39. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-38, above, including paragraphs 5 through 38 in particular.

- 40. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, are/were entitled to be paid their regular rate of pay for each hour worked per work week.
- 41. During their employment with Defendant,
 Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her,
 regularly worked hours for each week and were not
 paid even minimum wages for said time.
- 42. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, for each hour worked work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, have suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 43. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Section 206, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, are entitled to payment of the unpaid wages and liquidated damages under the FLSA.
 - 44. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant, including, but not limited to, reimbursement of an amount equal to the loss of wages and liquidated damages as well as attorney fees and costs and such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

I hereby swear and/or affirm that the factual allegations stated above are true and correct under penalty of perjury.

Lori Suber

s/W. John Gadd

W. John Gadd, Esq.
Fl Bar Number 463061
Bank of America
Building
2727 Ulmerton Rd.
Ste. 250
Clearwater, FL 33762
Tel - (727)524-6300
Email wjg@mazgadd.com

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the number of initiating the civil docket sheet. ASEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	DRM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
Lori Suber-Plaintiff				Saber Healthcare Group, LLC,, d/b/a "The Crossings at Riverview"		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Ohio-Bedford (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
W. 9ohn Gaco, (Esq. Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Bank of America Building-2727 Ulmerton Road-#250 Clearwater, Florida 33762				Unknown	*. *.	7 =
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
J 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citize	Citizen of Another State 2 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State		
W MATTINE OF CHIT			Citizen or Subject of a			
CONTRACT		orts	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEL 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions of Confinement	Y	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act Labor Management Relations O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Security Actions Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 361 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of □ 250 Constitutionality of
	moved from 3 tte Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 USC 201-216	Appellate Court tute under which you a			r District Litigation	1: 20
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE)		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 09/29/2017		ATOME OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD 9- 2	9-2019	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<i>'</i>	W// - C				
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>The Crossings of Riverview Operating Co. Facing Unpaid Wage Claims</u>