UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

BARNARD STOKES, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.:

v.

THE HEMBREE GROUP, INC. and GREGORY HEMBREE, individually.

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, BARNARD STOKES ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and other similarly situated employees, brings this action for unpaid overtime compensation, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §216(b) (the "FLSA") against THE HEMBREE GROUP, INC., ("Hembree Group") and GREGORY HEMBREE individually, ("Mr. Hembree") (collectively "Defendants").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for damages and for declaratory relief, under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., hereinafter called the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs and relief as available under Florida common law.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and the FLSA and supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

 Venue is proper in this Court because the violations of the FLSA occurred in this Judicial District.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff was employed as a painter from December 2015 through December, 2016, and performed related activities for Defendants in Pinellas County, Florida.
- 5. Defendant THE HEMBREE GROUP, INC. is a Florida for Profit Corporation that operates and conducts business in, among others, Pinellas County, Florida, and is therefore within the jurisdiction of this Court.
 - 6. Defendant GREGORY HEMBREE is the owner of The Hembree Group, Inc.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendants overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 8. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1337 and the FLSA.
- 9. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant Hembree Group was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§203(r) and 203(s). Defendant Hembree Group had gross revenues of at least \$500,000.00 and employees engaged in commerce. Defendants' employees handle and use products that have been moved in or produced in commerce, such as paint and tools.
- 10. Defendant Hembree Group is a company authorized and doing business in this Judicial District.
 - 11. Defendant Mr. Hembree directed the activities of Plaintiff, created and implemented

the unlawful pay practices, and oversaw the day-to-day operations of the business.

- 12. Defendants are an employer as defined by the laws under which this action is brought and employs the required number of employees.
 - 13. As a painter, Plaintiff was not exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
- 14. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§201-209, because Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 15. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was not paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.
- 16. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent that any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff are in the possession, custody and control of the Defendant.
- 17. By Plaintiff's estimates, he routinely worked a minimum of 5 hours of overtime per week, and often more, for which he was not paid at one and one half times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants did not rely on and Department of Labor Wage and Hour Opinions or the advice of an attorney in creating its pay policies.
- 19. Defendants have the resources to ensure compliance with the FLSA. Therefore, Defendants knew or should have known with reasonable diligence that their conduct violated the Fair Labor Standards Act.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 20. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-19 above.
- 21. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.
- 22. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff regularly worked overtime hours but was not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.
- 23. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 24. Defendants were aware Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked over forty (40).
- 25. Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 26. Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.
- 27. Defendants' conduct was in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
 - 28. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.
 - 29. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.
 - 30. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by him for which Defendants did not properly compensate him, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

COUNT II COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF FLSA (FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME)

- 31. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-19 above.
- 32. At all times material, Defendants employed numerous other non-exempt employees who worked as painters, performed similar job duties, were subject to the same unlawful pay practices, and who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 33. Defendants failed to pay individuals similarly situated to Plaintiff one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in each week, in violation of the FLSA.
- 34. Defendants' failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.
- 35. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendants' unlawful acts, individuals similarly situated to Plaintiff have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that similarly situated employees have judgment entered against Defendant for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked over forty for which Defendants did not properly them, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated this 11th day of May 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

/s/ Marc R. Edelman

MARC R. EDELMAN, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 0096342 Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 201 N. Franklin Street, Suite 700 Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone: 813-223-5505

Fax: 813-257-0572

Email: Medelman@forthepeople.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
BARNARD STOKES, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,				THE HEMBREE GROUP, INC. and GREGORY HEMBREE, individually,			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pinellas County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Pinellas County			
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
				THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)			
Màrć. R. Edelman, Esq Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 700 - Tampa, FL 33602							
Tel: 813-223-5505	t, Suite 700 - Fampa,	rL 33002					
H. BAGIG OF HIDIGD	CTION		TITE OF	TIZENGHID OF D	DANCIDAL DADEL	P.C.	
				III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiza		F DEF 1 □ I Incorporated c	PTF DEF or Principal Place		
1 Idillilli	(=== =================================		Chiza	on or this state		In This State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government			Citize	Citizen of Another State			
Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				of Business In Another State			
				• • • • •	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	n 🗆 6 🗆 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)							
\$60\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	10 m	RB			T	AND COURSESSANGER ASSESSMENT	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	roduct Product Liability		0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	- 1		\$\$\$\$\$(0)\$9(\$6\\$(0);46\\$	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	Ì		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Persona	ı		☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LAROR	Sector Augustinia	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPE		0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending	D 72	Act O Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405()	g))	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage		Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		1 Family and Medical	5 003 RSI (403(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus:	NS 🗆 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL AXSUSS ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		meonic Security Act	or Defendant)	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	•		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty	80080000	SIMMICRATION		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
230 All Oulei Real Floperty	Employment	Other:	3 46	2 Naturalization Application		State Statutes	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Oth550 Civil Rights	ıer □ 46	5 Other Immigration Actions			
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -	ļ				
		Conditions of			:		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is		Confinement					
		Remanded from	7 4 Rein	stated or	erred from 6 Multic	district	
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litiga		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	1N			Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CHOSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Non-Payment Of	iuse: Overtime and Unpa	aid Wag	es			
VII. REQUESTED IN			y D	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:			
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.					JURY DEMA	ND: Yes 🗆 No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JŲDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE GIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	\						
	40UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG.	JUDGE	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Employee Files Unpaid OT Suit Against The Hembree Group