1 2 3 4 5 6	ANTHONY J. ORSHANSKY, Cal. Baranthony@counselonegroup.com ALEXANDRIA R. KACHADOORIAN alexandria@counselonegroup.com JUSTIN KACHADOORIAN, Cal. Bar justin@counselonegroup.com COUNSELONE, P.C. 9301 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 650 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Telephone: (310) 277-9945 Facsimile: (424) 277-3727	N. Cal. Bar No. 240601				
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff TAMMY SMIRIN, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated					
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12 13	TAMMY SMIRIN, on behalf of herself and others similarly	Case No.				
14	situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:				
15	Plaintiff,	(1) Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A) (Fair Credit				
16	V.	Reporting Act ("FCRA")) (2) Violation of 15 U.S.C.				
17	STEARNS LENDNG, LLC, a California limited liability company,	§ 1681b(b)(3)(A) (FCRA) (3) Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(b) (Cal. Investigative				
18	Defendant.	Consumer Reporting Agencies Act				
19		("ICRAA")) (4) Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.40(a) (ICRAA)				
20 21		(5) Violation of Cal. Civ. Code Section 1786.53 (ICRAA)				
22		(6) Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.20.5(a) (Cal. Consumer Credit				
23		Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA")) (7) Violation of Cal. Civ. Code				
24		§ 1785.20.5(b) (CCRAA)				
25		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
26						
27						
28						
- 1	1					

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Tammy Smirin (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, complains of Defendant Stearns Lending, LLC, a California limited liability company ("Defendant"), as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 5 1. This class action arises from Defendant's acquisition and use of consumer and/or investigative consumer reports and/or consumer credit reports (referred to 6 7 collectively as "background reports") to conduct background checks on Plaintiff and 8 prospective employees.
 - 2. Defendant routinely obtains and uses information from background reports in connection with its hiring processes in a manner that violates state and federal laws.
 - 3. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other members of the public similarly situated, seeks compensatory and punitive damages due to Defendant's systematic and willful violation of, inter alia, the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq.; the California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act ("ICRAA"), Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1786 et seq.; and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA"), Civ. Code §§ 1785.1 *et seg*.
 - 4. Defendant has violated the requirements of these laws in at least two separate ways: (1) by failing to provide proper pre-authorization disclosures; and (2) by failing to provide proper adverse-action disclosures.
 - 5. First, the procurement of background reports for employment purposes is subject to strict disclosure requirements under state and federal law. Among other things, an employer may not procure a background report concerning a job applicant or employee unless a "clear and conspicuous" disclosure is made in a stand-alone document that consists solely of the disclosure informing the applicant or employee that a report may be obtained for employment purposes. This required disclosure document is sometimes referred to as a "pre-authorization" form.

6. Defendant violated the law by failing to provide the requisite preauthorization disclosure to applicants and employees before procuring background reports.

- 7. Second, state and federal law requires users of consumer reports to follow certain procedures and provide certain disclosures prior to and after taking adverse actions against current and former employees based in whole or in part on their consumer report information. Among other things, prior to taking an adverse action, an employer must provide a "pre-adverse action" notice, which includes a copy of the consumer report and a current version of the FCRA Summary of Rights promulgated by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") and Bureau of Financial Protection. After taking the adverse action, the employer must then provide a separate "post-adverse action" notice, which includes the contact information of the consumer reporting agency that supplied the report; a statement that the agency supplying the report did not make the decision to take the unfavorable action and cannot give specific reasons for the action; and a notice of the applicant or employee's right to dispute the accuracy of the information furnished by the consumer reporting agency; and that a free additional report is available from the agency if the person asks for it within 60 days, among other requirements.
- 8. Defendant failed to provide a copy of the consumer report and/or a current version of the FCRA Summary of Rights prior to taking an adverse action against Plaintiff, namely, terminating her employment, and also failed to provide a separate notice of the adverse action with the requisite information prescribed under the FCRA and state consumer-reporting laws after taking the adverse action. For these reasons, among others, Defendant's failure to provide any pre- and/or post-adverse action notices violates the law.
- 9. As further alleged herein, Defendant's violations occurred because Defendant has willfully failed to properly apprise itself of and follow the statutory mandates before seeking, acquiring, and utilizing background reports to make

employment decisions; violated the express and unambiguous provisions of the relevant statutes; and/or failed to implement reasonable procedures to assure compliance with statutory mandates.

- 10. As a result of Defendant's wrongful acts and omissions, Plaintiff and other putative class members have been injured, including, without limitation, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of state and federal law.
- 11. On behalf of herself and putative class members, Plaintiff seeks statutory, actual and/or compensatory damages, punitive damages, and equitable relief, including appropriate injunctive relief requiring Defendant to comply with its legal obligations, as well as the costs and expenses of litigation and the attorney's fees incurred herein. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to add additional relief as permitted under applicable law.

PARTIES

- 12. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant was and is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business in Santa Ana, California and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, engaged in commercial transactions throughout this county, the State of California, and the various states of the United States of America.
- 13. At all times mentioned herein Plaintiff was and is a resident of the State of California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and supplemental jurisdiction over the transactionally-related state law claims under 28 USC § 1367(a).
- 15. This Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) based on 18 U.S.C. § 1332(d). This is a putative class action whereby: (i) the proposed nationwide class consists of more than 100 members, (ii) at least some class members have different citizenships from Defendant, and (iii) the claims of the proposed class

exceed \$5,000,000.00 in the aggregate.

16. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 84(c)(3) and 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in this judicial district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

17. Plaintiff applied to work as an employee of Defendant in or around August 2016. As part of the application process Defendant conducted a background check on Plaintiff. Plaintiff was hired and began working at Defendant's Santa Ana, California location on August 15, 2016. On or about August 18, 2016, Plaintiff was advised that because of information contained in her background report, she was immediately being terminated from employment with Defendant.

Pre-Authorization

18. Under the FCRA, it is unlawful to procure or cause to be procured, a consumer report¹ for employment purposes unless a disclosure is made to the applicant or employee in a document that consists solely of the disclosure that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes and the applicant or employee authorizes, in writing, the procurement of the report. 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A)(i)-(ii).

19. Although the disclosure and the authorization may be combined in a single document, the FTC has warned that the form should not include any extraneous information or be part of another document. For example, in response to an inquiry as to whether the disclosure may be set forth within an application for employment or whether it must be included in a separate document, the FTC stated:

///

¹ Section 1681a(d)(1) of the FCRA defines "consumer report" as any oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for employment purposes.

The disclosure may not be part of an employment application because the language [of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A) is] intended to ensure that it appears conspicuously in a document not encumbered by any other information. The reason for requiring that the disclosure be in a stand-alone document is to prevent consumers from being distracted by other information side-by-side within the disclosure.²

20. The plain language of the statute also clearly indicates that the inclusion of extraneous information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements of the FCRA because such a form would not consist "solely" of the disclosure. In fact, the FTC expressly has warned that the FCRA notice may not include extraneous information. In a report dated July 2011, the FTC emphasized that "the notice [under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A)] may not include extraneous or contradictory information[.]"

21. Many courts have also determined that the inclusion of extraneous information violates § 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA. See, e.g., Speer v. Whole Food Market Group., Inc., No. 8:14-CV-3035-T-26TBM, 2015 WL 1456981, *3 (M.D. Fla. Mar. 30, 2015); Lengel v. HomeAdvisor, Inc., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 59017, *19-24 (D. Kan. May 5, 2015); Milbourne v. JRK Residential Amer., LLC, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29905, *14-19 (E.D. Va. Mar. 11, 2015); Avila v. NOW Health Group, Inc., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 99178, *6-8 (N.D. Ill. July 17, 2014); Reardon v. Closetmaid Corp., 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169821, *14-27 (W.D. Pa. Dec. 2, 2013); Singleton v. Domino's Pizza, LLC, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8626, *27-34 (D. Md. Jan. 25, 2012); and EEOC v. Video Only, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46094 (D. Or. June 11, 2008).

² Letter from Clarke W. Brinckerhoff, Fed. Trade Comm'n, to H. Roman Leathers, Manier & Herod (Sept. 9, 1998), available at https://www.ftc.gov/policy/advisory-opinions/advisory-opinion-leathers-09-09-98 (last accessed March 16, 2016).

- 11
- 15
- 17
- 19
- 21
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- 22. Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide Plaintiff and other members of the putative class with the requisite disclosure that a consumer report may be procured for employment purposes and by failing to obtain the required authorization from them.
- 23. The disclosure that Defendant provided to Plaintiff was part of the job application and was packed with extraneous information including, but not limited to, information relating to various "employment questions," spaces for the provision of personal information and licenses, a purported authorization for Defendant to conduct a reference check, a purported authorization for third parties to release information, a lengthy description of various state-specific consumer-reporting requirements, a statement of Defendant's purported equal opportunity employment policy, Defendant's policy regarding hiring unauthorized aliens, a statement that Plaintiff's employment is at will, and a release of liability.
- 24. In particular, the disclosure was part of the entire employment application and not a standalone document that called out to applicants the rights they had under the FCRA.
- 25. The purported authorization signed by Plaintiff and other members of the proposed class is encumbered with extraneous information including directing applicants outside the disclosure to online sources: "additional information concerning the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. is available at the Federal Trade Commission's website . . . for more information, including information about additional rights, go to www.consumerfinance.gov/learn more or write to Consumer Financial Protection Bureau "
- 26. The authorization also contained extraneous information regarding various states, including paragraphs relating to Maine, New York, Washington, California, Minnesota, and Oklahoma. With respect to California applicants, the state where Plaintiff lived, the application stated that "[a] consumer credit report will be obtained through Business Information Group, Inc. (BIG) . . . if you choose to receive

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 |

a copy of the consumer report, it will be sent within three (3) days of the employer receiving a copy of the consumer report and you will receive a copy of the investigative consumer report within seven (7) days of the employer's receipt of the report (unless you elected not to get a copy of the report). BIG's privacy practices with respect to the preparation and processing of investigative consumer reports may be found at www.bigreport.com . . . California Applicants who will require credit report review: Please be advised that your credit will be reviewed as part of this application process. . . . If an investigative consumer report and/or consumer report is processed, I understand that I am entitled to receive a copy. I have indicated below whether I would like a copy."

27. The authorization also was invalid because it contained extraneous disclosures completely unrelated to the accessing of a background report on Plaintiff, including that Defendant "is an equal opportunity employer, dedicated to a policy of non-discrimination in employment on any basis . . . federal law prohibits the employment of unauthorized aliens. All persons hired must submit satisfactory proof of employment authorization and identity within three (3) days of being hired. Failure to submit su[c]h proof within the required time shall result in immediate employment termination."

28. The application form also forces applicants to release Defendant and others from liability for its actions, stating that "[a]ll hiring and employment at Stearns Lending, LLC is at will. I understand this application is not an employment contract, nor can it be used to create one. Employment at Stearns Lending has no specific term and may be terminated by the employee or Stearns Lending with or without notice. I acknowledge that Stearns Lending has not made any promises or representations that differ from those contained in this paragraph. I understand I must provide satisfactory documents to establish my identity and right to work in the United States, if I am offered a position with Stearns Lending, and that failure to provide this evidence will result in the termination of my employment. [¶] I release and agree to hold harmless

any individual, company, business institution or government agency from all liability with regard to furnishing information to Stearns Lending. I agree to release and hold harmless Stearns Lending from all liability with respect to the receipt of such information. I certify that the information I have furnished on this application form is true and complete. I understand that if any misrepresentation has been made by me verbally or in writing, any offer of employment made to me may be withdrawn or my subsequent employment with Stearns Lending may be terminated."

29. Moreover, the putative consumer authorization describes information that does not constitute a consumer report or investigative consumer report and therefore misleads the consumer about the type of information he or she is authorizing will be disclosed. The application states, "I authorize without reservation any party of agency contacted to furnish the above mentioned information and release all parties involved from liability and responsibility for doing so. I hereby consent for Stearns Lending, and/or any of their agents to obtain the above information. This authorization and consent shall be valid in original, fax, or copy form." This purports to authorize Defendant to obtain information directly from its source (e.g., references) but does not include information compiled from a consumer reporting agency or investigative consumer reporting agency.

30. The FCRA, CCRAA, and ICRAA specifically except this type of information from the definition of "consumer reports" or "investigative consumer reports." See 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(2)(A)(i) (excluding "reports containing information solely as to transactions or experiences between the consumer and the person making the report" from definition of consumer reports); 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(2)(A)(i) (excluding "specific factual information on a consumer's credit record obtained directly from a creditor or a consumer" from definition of investigative consumer reports); Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(c)(1) (excluding "any report containing information solely as to transactions or experiences between the consumer and the person making the report" from definition of consumer credit report); Cal.

- 3
- 5
- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 15 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20

- 22
- 24

- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- Civ. Code § 1786.2(c) (excluding information obtained "directly from a creditor of the consumer" from definition of investigative consumer report).
- 31. The inclusion of the foregoing extraneous and irrelevant information means that the disclosure was not "clear and conspicuous" or "clear[] and accurate[]" and thus violated Sections 1681b(b)(2)(A).
- 32. Moreover, the putative disclosure/authorization did not contain required information under the ICRAA, including the name, address, and telephone number of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation; the nature and scope of the investigation, including a summary of the provisions of Section 1786.22 (regarding the consumer's right to inspect files); and the website address of the investigative consumer reporting agency or the telephone number of the agency where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices. See Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(iv)-(vi). Also in violation of this section, Defendant failed to provide to the consumer a means by which the consumer may indicate on a written form, by means of a box to check, that the consumer wishes to receive a copy of any report that is prepared. *Id.* at subdiv. (b)(1).
- 33. The agency identified in the application (i.e., Business Information Group) was different from the agency that actually furnished reports on Plaintiff and other putative class members (i.e., Sterling). Moreover, the application does not include a box for applicants to check to obtain copies of their reports and Defendant does not provide copies of reports to applicants who request them.
- 34. Defendant also failed to comply with the disclosure requirements of the CCRAA, which requires Defendant, prior to requesting a consumer credit report for employment purposes, to provide written notice to identify the specific basis under Labor Code § 1024.5 of the use of the credit report and the source of the credit report. Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.20.5. Also in violation of this section, the disclosure did not contain a box that the person may check off to receive a copy of the credit report, and again Defendant does not provide copies of reports to applicants who request them.

Adverse Action

35. Upon information and belief, Defendant took adverse action against Plaintiff and numerous other putative class members based on their background reports. Plaintiff's report provided by Sterling indicated that Plaintiff had criminal convictions, prompting Defendant to conduct its own investigation into Plaintiff's background. Based on this investigation, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.

- 36. Despite Defendant's intent to take an adverse action, Defendant did not provide Plaintiff or other putative class members with copies of their reports before taking adverse action or the FCRA Summary of Rights promulgated by the FTC and Bureau of Financial Protection, as required by section 1681b(b)(3)(A) of the FCRA.
- 37. Additionally, following Defendant's adverse action Defendant failed to give Plaintiff and other putative class members a notice pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.40 of the adverse action and provide them with the name and address of the agency that made the report. Nor did Defendant provide Plaintiff or other putative class members with public-record information it obtained on them and did not provide them with such information upon taking adverse actions.
- 38. Defendant's conduct as alleged herein also violates the CCRAA, which requires users of consumer credit reports to advise the consumer that adverse action will be taken either wholly or partly because of information contained in a consumer credit report or investigative consumer report and supply the name and address or addresses of the agency making the report. Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1785.20.5(b).

Defendant Willfully Violated the FCRA.

- 39. Defendant's misconduct, as alleged herein, was willful and/or reckless in that Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that its conduct violated the law because of the following facts, among others:
 - The FCRA was enacted in 1970; Defendant has had over 40 years to become compliant but failed to do so;

Defendant's conduct is inconsistent with longstanding FTC guidance and 1 reports, judicial interpretation, and the plain language of the statute that 2 pre-dated Defendant's conduct, as discussed above; 3 Defendant had actual knowledge of FCRA requirements, as evidenced by 4 statements specifically pertaining to the FCRA in application materials; 5 Defendant's authorization and disclosure forms, though defective, show 6 7 that Defendant was aware of consumer-reporting laws; Upon information and belief, Defendant had communications and/or 8 consultations with one or more consumer reporting agencies (including 9 but not limited to BIG and Sterling) that are knowledgeable about state 10 11 and federal consumer-reporting requirements and apprised Defendant of 12 its obligations under the FCRA and state consumer-reporting law; Upon information and belief, Defendant was required to provide 13 certifications to consumer reporting agencies under the FCRA stating that 14 Defendant would comply with the disclosure, authorization, and adverse-15 action requirements;³ and 16 Upon information and belief, Defendant has dedicated in-house and 17 18 outside counsel with knowledge of FCRA requirements. 40. Despite Defendant's knowledge of their legal requirements under the 19 FCRA, Defendant systematically failed to comply with these requirements. 20 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// /// 24

25

26

27

28

///

///

³ See 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(1).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

41. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) on behalf of the following class:

All persons residing in the United States (including all territories and other political subdivisions of the United States) who applied for employment with or were employed by Defendant within the five (5) years immediately preceding the filing of this lawsuit and on whom Defendant procured one or more consumer reports ("FCRA Pre-Authorization Class").

42. Plaintiff's proposed California subclass consists of and is defined as follows:

All persons residing in California who applied for employment with or were employed by Defendant within the longest applicable limitations period and on whom Defendant procured one or more investigative consumer reports or consumer credit reports ("California Pre-Authorization Subclass").

43. Plaintiff's second proposed class consists of and is defined as follows:

All persons residing in the United States (including all territories and other political subdivisions of the United States) who applied for employment with or were employed by Defendant within the five (5) years immediately preceding the filing of this lawsuit against whom Defendant took adverse employment actions based, in whole or in part, on information contained a consumer report ("FCRA Adverse Action Class").

44. Plaintiff's second proposed California subclass consists of and is defined as follows:

All persons residing in California who applied for employment with or were employed by Defendant within the longest applicable limitations period against whom Defendant took adverse employment actions based, in whole or in part, on information contained in a consumer credit report ("CCRAA Adverse Action Subclass").

45. Plaintiff's third proposed California subclass consists of and is defined as follows:

All persons residing in California who applied for employment with or were employed by Defendant within the longest applicable limitations period against whom Defendant took adverse employment actions under circumstances in which a report regarding the applicant or employee was obtained ("ICRAA Adverse Action Subclass").

46. Plaintiff's fourth proposed California subclass consists of and is defined as follows:

All persons residing in California who applied for employment with or were employed by Defendant within the longest applicable limitations period and on whom Defendant obtained public record information bearing on the applicant's or employee's character, general reputation, personnel characteristics, or mode of living, for employment purposes ("ICRAA Public Record Subclass").

- 47. Members of the classes, as described above, will be referred to as "class members." Excluded from the classes and subclasses are: (1) Defendant, any entity or division in which either Defendant has a controlling interest, and their legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns, and successors; and (2) the judge to whom this case is assigned and the judge's staff and members of their immediate family. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the above classes and subclasses and to add additional subclasses as appropriate based on investigation, discovery, and the specific theories of liability.
- 48. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the classes and subclasses are easily ascertainable.

A. Numerosity

49. Although the precise number of class members has not been determined at this time, Plaintiff estimates that the classes and subclasses consists of more than

one hundred members and that the identity of such persons is readily ascertainable by inspection of Defendant's employment and/or hiring records. Therefore, it is reasonable that the class members are so numerous that joinder is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to the parties and the Court.

B. Common Questions Predominate

50. There are questions of law and fact common to the classes and subclasses that predominate over any questions affecting only individual putative class members. Thus proof of a common set of facts will establish the right of each class member to recovery. These common questions of law and fact include but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide class members with a "clear and conspicuous" disclosure in a document that consists solely of the disclosure that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes;
- b. Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to obtain class members' authorization in writing before procuring a consumer report;
- c. Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide class members with the FCRA Summary of Rights promulgated by the FTC and Bureau of Financial Protection;
- d. Whether Defendant violated section 1681b(b)(3)(A) of the FCRA by failing to provide applicants and employees with copies of their consumer reports before taking adverse action, as required by section 1681b(b)(3)(A) of the FCRA;

26 ///

27 | ///

28 | ////

- e. Whether Defendant violated the ICRAA by failing to provide a clear and conspicuous disclosure in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be made in a document that consists solely of the disclosure the information required under Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(i)-(vi);
- f. Whether Defendant violated the ICRAA and CCRAA by failing to provide the consumer a means by which the consumer may indicate on a written form, by means of a box to check, that the consumer wishes to receive a copy of any report that is prepared;
- g. Whether Defendant violated the ICRAA and CCRAA by failing to inform applicants and employees against whom adverse action had been taken of the name and address of the agency making the report;
- h. Whether Defendant violated the CCRAA by failing to provide written notice to identify the specific basis under Labor Code § 1024.5 of the use of the credit report and the source of the credit report prior to requesting a consumer credit report for employment purposes; and
- i. Whether Defendant violated the ICRAA by failing to provide applicants and employees with copies of public-record information that Defendant obtained on them, as required under Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.53.

C. Typicality

51. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the putative class members because Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with the requisite disclosures and engaged in adverse action against her without complying with the disclosure requirements under the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA. Plaintiff and each class member sustained similar injuries arising out of Defendant's conduct in violation of law. The injuries of each class member were caused directly by Defendant's wrongful conduct. In addition, the factual underpinning of Defendant's misconduct is common

to all putative class members and represents a common thread of misconduct resulting in injury to all class members. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the class members and are based on the same legal theories.

D. Adequacy

52. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the classes and subclasses. Counsel who represent Plaintiff and putative class members are experienced and competent in litigating class actions.

E. Superiority of Class Action

53. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of putative class members is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to putative class members predominate over any questions affecting only individual putative class members. Each putative class member has been damaged and is entitled to recovery as a result of the violations alleged herein. Moreover, because the damages suffered by individual members of the classes and subclasses may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the classes and subclasses to redress the wrongs done to them, while an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. Class action treatment will allow those persons similarly situated to litigate their claims in the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system.

54. The prerequisites to maintaining a class action for injunctive or equitable relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) are met because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the classes and subclasses, thereby making appropriate final injunctive or equitable relief with respect to the classes and subclasses as whole.

28 || ///

1	55. The prerequisites to maintaining a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.		
2	P. 23(b)(3) are met because questions of law and fact common to each class member		
3	predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action		
4	is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the		
5	controversy.		
6	56. Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties in managing this case that should		
7	preclude class action.		
8	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
9	VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT		
10	15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A)		
11	(Brought on Behalf of the FCRA Pre-Authorization Class)		
12	57. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in		
13	this Complaint.		
14	58. Defendant is a "person" as defined by Section 1681a(b) of the FCRA.		
15	59. Plaintiff and class members are "consumers" within the meaning		
16	Section 1681a(c) of the FCRA because they are "individuals."		
17	60. Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA provides, in relevant part:		
18	(b) Conditions for furnishing and using consumer reports for employment		
19	purposes		
20	(2) Disclosure to consumer		
21	(A) In general Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a person may not		
22	procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be		
23	procured, for employment purposes with respect to any consumer, unless—		
24	(i) a <i>clear and conspicuous</i> disclosure has been made in		
25	writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be procured, in a document that		
26	consists solely of the disclosure, that a consumer report		
27	may be obtained for employment purposes; and (ii) the consumer has authorized in writing (which		
28	authorization may be made on the document referred to in		

clause (i)) the procurement of the report by that person. (Emphasis Added.)

- 61. As alleged above, Defendant violates Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA by failing to provide Plaintiff and class members with a clear and conspicuous written disclosure before a consumer report is procured or caused to be procured that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes in a document that consists solely of the disclosure.
- 62. Based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Defendant has policies and practices of failing to provide adequate written disclosures to applicants and employees before procuring consumer reports or causing consumer reports to be procured. Pursuant to these policies and practices, Defendant procured consumer reports or caused consumer reports to be procured for Plaintiff and class members without first providing a written disclosure in compliance with Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA.
- 63. As alleged above, Defendant's conduct in violation of Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA was and is willful in that Defendant acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of its obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members.
- 64. As a result of Defendant's illegal procurement of background reports by way of its inadequate disclosures, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured, including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the FCRA. Owing to the inadequacy of Defendant's disclosure and authorization, Plaintiff and putative class members did not know that consumer reports were being obtained and/or did not understand or appreciate what that meant.
- 65. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	
	4
	5
	6
2	7

66. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under 15 U.S.C. Section 1681o.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A)

(As to the FCRA Adverse Action Class Only)

- 67. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in this Complaint.
- 68. Section 1681a(k)(1)(B)(ii) of the FCRA defines "adverse action" as "a denial of employment or any other decision for employment purposes that adversely affects any current or prospective employee."
- 69. Section 1681b(b)(3)(A) of the FCRA requires that anyone attempting to take any adverse action based in whole or in part on a consumer report must first "provide to the consumer to whom the report relates a copy of the report; and a description in writing of the rights of the consumer under this subchapter, as prescribed by the [Bureau of Financial Protection] under section 1681g(c)(3) of this title," i.e., the FCRA Summary of Rights promulgated by the FTC and Bureau of Financial Protection.
- 70. Based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Defendant has a policy and practice of taking adverse actions against applicants and employees based in whole or in part on a consumer report without first providing them with a copy of the consumer report or a current version of the FCRA Summary of Rights promulgated by the FTC and Bureau of Financial Protection.
- 71. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, pursuant to the policy and practice described above, took adverse action against Plaintiff and putative class members based in whole or in part on a consumer report, without first providing (1)

74. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all putative class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.

75. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

25

///

///

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 1 2 VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIVE CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES ACT, 3 CAL. CIV. CODE § 1786.16(b) 4 5 (As to the California Pre-Authorization Subclass Only) 76. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in 6 7 this Complaint. 8 77. Defendant is a "person" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.2(a). 9 78. Section 1786.2(c) of the ICRAA defines "investigative consumer report" as "a consumer report in which information on a consumer's character, general 10 reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through any means." 11 12 79. Section 1786.2(d) of the ICRAA defines "investigative consumer reporting agency" as "any person who, for monetary fees or dues, engages in whole 13 or in part in the practice of collecting, assembling, evaluating, compiling, reporting, 14 transmitting, transferring, or communicating information concerning consumers for 15 the purposes of furnishing investigative consumer reports to third parties, but does not include any governmental agency whose records are maintained primarily for traffic 17 safety, law enforcement, or licensing purposes, or any licensed insurance agent, 18 insurance broker, or solicitor, insurer, or life insurance agent." 19 20 80. Section 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(iv)-(vi) of the ICRAA provides in relevant part as follows: 21 (2) If, at any time, an investigative consumer report is sought for 22 employment purposes other than suspicion of wrongdoing or misconduct by 23 the subject of the investigation, the person seeking the investigative consumer report may procure the report, or cause the report to be made, only 24 if all of the following apply: 25 26 27 28

- (B) The person procuring or causing the report to be made provides a *clear and conspicuous disclosure* in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be made in a document that consists solely of the disclosure, that:
 - (i) An investigative consumer report may be obtained.
 - (ii) The permissible purpose of the report is identified.
 - (iii) The disclosure may include information on the consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living.
 - (iv) Identifies the name, address, and telephone number of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.
 - (v) Notifies the consumer in writing of the nature and scope of the investigation requested, including a summary of the provisions of Section 1786.22.
 - (vi) Notifies the consumer of the Internet Web site address of the investigative consumer reporting agency identified in clause (iv), or, if the agency has no Internet Web site address, the telephone number of the agency, where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices, including whether the consumer's personal information will be sent outside the United States or its territories and information that complies with subdivision (d) of Section 1786.20. This clause shall become operative on January 1, 2012. (Emphasis added.)
- 81. Defendant violated Section 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(i)-(vi) of the ICRAA by failing to provide Plaintiff and putative class members with a disclosure, in a document that consists solely of the disclosure, that an investigative consumer report may be obtained; identifying the permissible purpose of the report; stating that the report may include information on the consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living; disclosing the name, address, and telephone number of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation; describing the nature and scope of the investigation, including a

summary of the provisions of Section 1786.22 (right to inspect files); and providing the website address of the investigative consumer reporting agency or the telephone number of the agency where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices. *See* Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(iv)-(vi).

- 82. Section 1786.16(b)(1) provides, in relevant part:
- (b) Any person described in subdivision (d) of Section 1786.12 who requests an investigative consumer report, in accordance with subdivision (a) regarding that consumer, shall do the following:
 - (1) Provide the consumer a means by which the consumer may indicate on a written form, by means of a box to check, that the consumer wishes to receive a copy of any report that is prepared. If the consumer wishes to receive a copy of the report, the recipient of the report shall send a copy of the report to the consumer within three business days of the date that the report is provided to the recipient, who may contract with any other entity to send a copy to the consumer. The notice to request the report may be contained on either the disclosure form, as required by subdivision (a), or a separate consent form. The copy of the report shall contain the name, address, and telephone number of the person who issued the report and how to contact them.
- 83. Defendant violated section 1786.16(b)(1) by failing to provide Plaintiff and other consumers a means by which the consumer may indicate on a written form, by means of a box to check, that the consumer wishes to receive a copy of any report that is prepared, nor did Plaintiff and other putative class members who requested copies of their reports receive them within the statutorily required time period.
- 84. On information and belief, and based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Defendant had and has a policy and practice of procuring investigative consumer reports or causing investigative consumer reports to be procured for applicants and employees without providing the required disclosures under Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(i)-(vi) and by failing to provide Plaintiff and other consumers a means by which the consumer may indicate on a written form, by means

2	prepared, and by failing
3	who requested them, as re
4	85. As a result of
5	to provide the required f
6	members have been injure
7	rights invaded in violation
8	86. Plaintiff, on
9	available remedies pursua
10	punitive damages, injunc
11	
12	VIOLATION OF T
13]
14	
- 1	
15	(As to th
15 16	(As to the 87. Plaintiff her
	,
16	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California C
16 17	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California C Whenever emp
16 17 18	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California C
16 17 18 19	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California C Whenever emp which a report investigative consumer report sh
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California C Whenever emp which a report investigative const
16 17 18 19 20 21	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California C Whenever emp which a report investigative consucconsumer report shaction has been to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California Complex which a report investigative consumer report shaction has been investigative consumer responsible.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California Complement which a report investigative consumer report shaction has been investigative consumer shack s
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	87. Plaintiff her this Complaint. 88. California Complement which a report report should be action has been to investigative consumer report should be action has been to investigative consumer sequired under section 1

of a box to check, that the consumer wishes to receive a copy of any report that is prepared, and by failing to provide reports to Plaintiff and putative class members who requested them, as required under Cal. Civ. Code 1786.16(b)(1).

85. As a result of Defendant's willful, reckless, or grossly negligent failure to provide the required form and/or report(s) as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured by, among other things, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the ICRAA.

86. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all putative class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.50, including actual damages, bunitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIVE CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE \$ 1786.40

CAL. CIV. CODE § 1786.40

(As to the ICRAA Adverse Action Subclass Only)

- 87. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in this Complaint.
 - 88. California Civil Code section 1786.40 states as follows:

Whenever ... employment ... is denied ... under circumstances in which a report regarding the consumer was obtained from an investigative consumer reporting agency, the user of the investigative consumer report shall so advise the consumer against whom the adverse action has been taken and supply the name and address of the investigative consumer reporting agency making the report.

89. Defendant violated section 1786.40 by failing to make the disclosures required under section 1786.40 to Plaintiff and other applicants who were denied employment and on whom Defendant obtained copies of their investigative consumer reports, and by failing to supply the name and address of the investigative consumer reporting agency that made the reports.

- 90. As a result of the foregoing conduct, Plaintiff and class members have been injured by, among other things, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the ICRAA.
- 91. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all putative class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.50, including actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIVE CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES ACT,

CAL. CIV. CODE § 1786.53

(As to the ICRAA Public Record Subclass Only)

- 92. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in this Complaint.
- 93. California Civil Code section 1786.53 requires "[a]ny person who collects, assembles, evaluates, compiles, reports, transmits, transfers, or communicates information on a consumer's character, general reputation, personnel characteristics, or mode of living, for employment purposes, which are matters of public record, and does not use the services of an investigative consumer reporting agency, shall provide that information to the consumer" within seven days after the receipt of the information. Section 1786.53 also requires that the person who obtains public-record information to provide the consumer with the information upon taking any adverse action against the consumer.
- 94. Defendant violated Section 1786.53 by failing to provide Plaintiff and other applicants with public-record information obtained for employment purposes.
- 95. As a result of the foregoing conduct, Plaintiff and class members have been injured by, among other things, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the ICRAA.

28 || ///

1	96. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available
2	remedies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.50, including actual damages, punitive
3	damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.
4	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
5	VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING
6	AGENCIES ACT,
7	CAL. CIV. CODE § 1785.20.5(a)
8	(As to the California Pre-Authorization Subclass Only)
9	97. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in
0	this Complaint.
1	98. Defendant is a "person" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(j).
2	99. Section 1785.3(c) of the CCRAA defines "consumer credit report" as
3	"any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer credit
4	reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, or credit
.5	capacity, which is used or is expected to be used, or collected in whole or in part, for
6	the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for
7	employment purposes[.]" However, this definition explicitly excludes "any report
8	containing information solely as to transactions or experiences between the consumer
.9	and the person making the report[.]"
20	100. Section 1785.3(d) of the CCRAA defines "consumer credit reporting
21	agency" as "any person who, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit
22	basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the business of assembling or evaluating
23	consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of
24	furnishing consumer credit reports to third parties[.]"
25	101. Section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA provides as follows:
26	Prior to requesting a consumer credit report for employment purposes, the user of the report shall provide written notice to the
27	person involved. The notice shall inform the person that a report will
28	be used, and shall identify the specific basis under subdivision (a) of Section 1024.5 of the Labor Code for use of the report. The notice

1	shall also inform the person of the source of the report, and shall		
2	contain a box that the person may check off to receive a copy of the credit report. If the consumer indicates that he or she wishes to		
3	receive a copy of the report, the user shall request that a copy be		
4	provided to the person when the user requests its copy from the credit reporting agency. The report to the user and to the subject person		
5	shall be provided contemporaneously and at no charge to the subject		
6	person.		
7	102. Defendant violated section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA by failing,		
8	prior to requesting a consumer credit report for employment purposes, to provide		
9	Plaintiff and other consumer with a written notice identifying the specific basis under		
10	Labor Code § 1024.5 of the use of the credit report and the source of the credit report;		
11	failing to include on the notice to the consumer a box that he or she may check off to		
12	receive a copy of the credit report; and failing to provide Plaintiff and other consumer		
13	with copies of their reports.		
14	103. As a result of Defendant's willful or grossly negligent failure to		
15	provide the required form and/or report(s) as set forth above, Plaintiff and class		
16	members have been injured by, among other things, having their privacy and statutory		
17	rights invaded in violation of the CCRAA.		
18	104. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available		
19	remedies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31, including actual damages, punitive		
20	damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.		
21	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
22	VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING		
23	AGENCIES ACT,		
24	CAL. CIV. CODE § 1785.20.5(b)		
25	(As to the CCRAA Adverse Action Subclass Only)		
26	105. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in		
27	this Complaint.		

106. Section 1785.20.5(b) of the CCRAA provides in pertinent part as follows:

Whenever employment involving a consumer is denied either wholly or partly because of information contained in a consumer credit report from a consumer credit reporting agency, the user of the consumer credit report shall so advise the consumer against whom the adverse action has been taken and supply the name and address or addresses of the consumer credit reporting agency making the report.

107. Defendant violated this section of the CCRAA by failing to advise consumers, including Plaintiff, that adverse action would be taken either wholly or partly because of information contained in a consumer credit report and by failing to supply the name and address or addresses of the agency making the report.

108. As a result of Defendant's willful or grossly negligent failure to provide the required form and/or report(s) as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured by, among other things, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the CCRAA.

109. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31, including actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and on behalf of the other members of the putative classes and subclasses, prays as follows:

- A. For an order certifying that this action is properly brought and may be maintained as a class action, that Plaintiff be appointed the class representative, and that Plaintiff's counsel be appointed counsel for the classes and subclasses;
- B. For a declaration that Defendant's practices violate the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA;
- C. For an award of statutory, compensatory, special, general, and punitive damages according to proof against Defendant;

1	D.	For an award of appropria	ate equitable relief, including but not limited to
2	an injuncti	on forbidding Defendant f	rom engaging in further unlawful conduct in
3	violation of the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA;		
4	E.	For an order awarding re	easonable attorneys' fees and the costs of suit
5	herein, incl	uding an award of attorneys	s' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n,
6	15 U.S.C.	§ 1681o, California Civil Co	ode §1786.50, California Civil Code §1786.50,
7	and Califor	rnia Code of Civil Procedur	e § 1021.5;
8	F.	For an award of pre- and	post-judgment interest; and
9	G.	For such other and furt	ther relief as may be deemed necessary or
10	appropriate	2.	
11		<u>JUR</u>	Y DEMAND
12	Plair	ntiff hereby demands a jury	trial on all issues so triable.
13			
14	DATED:	November 10, 2016	COUNSELONE, PC
15			
16			By /s/ Anthony J. Orshansky
17			Anthony J. Orshansky Alexandria R. Kachadoorian Justin Kachadoorian
18			
19			Attorneys for Plaintiff Tammy Smirin and the Putative Class
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			29

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Lawsuit Says Stearns Lending Violated FCRA</u>