Case 8:17-cv-01644-VMC-TGW Document 1 Filed 07/07/17 Page 1 of 8 PageID 1

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2017 JUL -7 PH 3: 55 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA, FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

CASE NO .:

8:17 cv 1644 + 33 tou

HARRYETH A. SILVA and other similarly-situated individuals,

Plaintiff (s).

v.

PROSCAPE GROUP. INC. and SHAWN J. ROPER

Defendants.

#### COMPLAINT

#### (OPT-IN PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C § 216 (b))

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, HARRYETH A. SILVA, and other similarly-situated individuals, by and through the undersigned counsel, and hereby sues Defendant PROSCAPE GROUP, INC. and SHAWN J. ROPER, individually and alleges:

- 1. This is an action to recover money damages for unpaid overtime wages under the laws of the United States. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and by Title 29 U.S.C. § 201-219, § 216(b), the Fair Labor Standards Act, "the Act", (Section 216 for jurisdictional placement), and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1332 and 1367.
- 2. Plaintiff HARRYETH A. SILVA is a resident of Pasco County, Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court. Plaintiff is a covered employee for purposes of the Act.

4400 A4572

- Defendant PROSCAPE GROUP, INC. (hereinafter PROSCAPE) is a corporation registered to do business in Florida. At all times material hereto, Defendant was and is engaged in interstate commerce.
- 4. The individual Defendant, SHAWN J. ROPER was as is an individual that upon information and belief owns, manage and operates PROSCAPE. By virtue of such control and authority SHAWN J. ROPER is an employer of Plaintiffs and others similarly situated, as such term is defined by the FLSA, and is responsible for the payment of Plaintiffs' wages.
- 5. All the action raised in this complaint took place in Pasco County Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Court.

## ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

6. This cause of action is brought by Plaintiff as a collective action to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and the costs and reasonably attorney's fees under the provisions of Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq* (the "FLA or the "ACT") on behalf of Plaintiff, and all other current and former employees similarly situated to Plaintiff ("the asserted class") and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more weeks on or after October 2016 (the "material time") without being compensated overtime wages pursuant to the FLSA.

ļ

-

- Defendant PROSCAPE is a landscaping and lawn maintenance company. Defendant employed Plaintiff HARRYETH A. SILVA from approximately October 31, 2016 through May 30, 2017 or 30 weeks.
- Plaintiff was a non-exempt, full time, hourly employee and his wage rate was \$16.05.
  Plaintiff's overtime rate should be \$24.07 an hour.

:

-----

A she can be shown to be

ション・ション とうきゅうかい おうかく たいしまい チンフログ まちっちつ

- 9. Plaintiff had duties as a driver and landscaping worker, performing general landscaping work.
- 10. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff worked 5 days per week in excess of 40 hours per week. Plaintiff worked Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 6:30 PM (12 hours each day) Plaintiff worked a minimum of 60 hours every week. Plaintiff did not take any bona fide lunch break.
- 11. Plaintiff worked an average of 60 hours per week, but he was paid for only 40 hours weekly. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for overtime hours at the rate of time and a half his regular rate as provided by the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).
- 12. Plaintiff clocked in and out every day, and Defendant was able to keep track of hours worked by Plaintiff and other employees similarly situated. Therefore, Defendant willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime hours at the rate of time and a half his regular rate.
- 13. Plaintiff was paid weekly with checks without any paystub, providing basic information about Plaintiff's wage rate, total number of hours worked, employee taxes etc.
- 14. On or about May 31, 2017, Plaintiff was fired by Defendant without giving him a reason or valid explanation.
- 15. Plaintiff HARRYETH A. SILVA seeks to recover overtime wages at the rate of time and a half his regular rate, for every hour in excess of 40 that he worked, liquidated damages, and any other relief as allowable by law.
- 16. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are employees and/or former employees of Defendant who are and who were subject to the unlawful payroll practices and procedures of Defendant and were not paid overtime wages at the rate of time and one half of their regular rate of pay for all overtime hours worked in excess of forty.

Case 8:17-cv-01644-VMC-TGW Document 1 Filed 07/07/17 Page 4 of 8 PageID 4

#### <u>COUNT I:</u> <u>WAGE AND HOUR FEDERAL STATUTORY VIOLATION;</u> <u>FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME,</u>

17. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1-16 above as if set out in full herein.

-----

-------

-1

------

:

100

- 18. This cause of action is brought by Plaintiff HARRYETH A. SILVA as a collective action to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, costs and reasonably attorney's fees under the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq (the "FLA or the "ACT"), on behalf of Plaintiff and all other current and former employees similarly situated to Plaintiff ("the asserted class") and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more weeks on or after the relevant period of employment (the "material time") without being compensated "at a rate not less than one and a half times the regular rate at which he is employed."
- 19. Defendant PROSCAPE was and is engaged in interstate commerce as defined in §§ 3 (r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s)(1)(A). Defendant is a landscaping company that has more than two employees recurrently engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of the Employer/Defendant was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000 per annum. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant's business activities involve those to which the Fair Labor Standards Act applies. Therefore, there is enterprise coverage.
- 20. Plaintiff and those similarly-situated, were employed by an enterprise engage in interstate commerce and through his daily activities, Plaintiff and those similarly-situated regularly handled and worked on goods and materials that were moved across State lines at any time

とうちょう あまい ちょうちょう

ł

t

and the second second

ţ

•

in the course of business, and additionally, by improving and maintaining public buildings. Therefore, there is individual coverage.

- 21. Defendant PROSCAPE is a landscaping and lawn maintenance company. Defendant employed Plaintiff HARRYETH A. SILVA from approximately October 31, 2016 through May 30, 2017 or 30 weeks.
- 22. Plaintiff was a non-exempt, full time, hourly employee and his wage rate was \$16.05. Plaintiff's overtime rate should be \$24.07 an hour.
- 23. Plaintiff had duties as a driver and landscaping worker, performing general landscaping work.
- 24. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff worked 5 days per week in excess of 40 hours per week. Plaintiff worked Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 6:30 PM (12 hours each day) Plaintiff worked a minimum of 60 hours every week. Plaintiff did not take any bona fide lunch break.
- 25. Plaintiff worked an average of 60 hours per week, but he was paid for only 40 hours weekly. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for overtime hours at the rate of time and a half his regular rate as provided by the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).
- 26. Plaintiff, and other similarly situated employees were paid with checks. The checks were not accompanied by any paystubs or records providing information regarding any accounting of hours worked or classification of the employee's labor withholdings of employment taxes.
- 27. The records, if any, concerning the number of hours actually worked by Plaintiff and those similarly situated, and the compensation actually paid to such employees should be in the possession and custody of Defendant. However, upon information and belief, Defendant

did not maintain accurate and complete time records of hours worked by Plaintiff and other employees.

- 28. Defendant violated the record keeping requirements of FLSA, 29 CFR Part 516.
- 29. Prior to the completion of discovery and to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, at the time of the filing of this complaint, Plaintiff's good faith estimate of unpaid off the clock overtime wages is as follows:
  - a. Total amount of alleged half-time unpaid O/T wages:

Fourteen Thousand Four Hundred Forty-Two Dollars and 00/100 (\$14,442.00)

b. <u>Calculation of such wages</u>:

. . . .

And a second second

1 ···· · · · · · · · · · ·

-----

÷

and the state of t

Total weeks of employment: 30 weeks Relevant weeks of employment: 30 weeks Regular rate: 16.05 an hour x 1.5 = 24.07 O/T rate

O/T Rate \$24.07 x 20 O/T hours = \$481.40 weekly x 30 weeks=\$14,442.00

c. Nature of wages (e.g. overtime or straight time):

This amount represents unpaid overtime wages.

- 30. At all times material hereto, the Employers/Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §207 (a) (1), in that Plaintiff and those similarly-situated performed services and worked in excess of the maximum hours provided by the Act but no provision was made by the Defendant to properly pay them at the rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty hours (40) per workweek as provided in said Act.
- 31. Defendant knew and/or showed reckless disregard of the provisions of the Act concerning the payment of overtime wages as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act and remain owing Plaintiff and those similarly-situated these overtime wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's and those similarly-situated employee's employment with Defendant as set

1

÷

ł

•

•••••

A LINE & LINE ALL SALES AND AND A

forth above, and Plaintiff and those similarly-situated is entitled to recover double damages.

- 32. At the times mentioned, individual Defendant SHAWN J. ROPER was the director/owner of corporate Defendant PROSCAPE Defendant SHAWN J. ROPER was the employer of Plaintiff and others similarly situated within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the "Fair Labor Standards Act" [29 U.S.C. § 203(d)], in that this individual Defendant acted directly in the interests of corporate Defendant in relation to its employees, including Plaintiff and others similarly situated. Defendant SHAWN J. ROPER had financial and operational control of the business, provided Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees with their work schedule, and is jointly liable for Plaintiff's damages.
- 33. Defendant PROSCAPE and SHAWN J. ROPE willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff overtime wages and minimum wages as required by the law of the United States, and remain owing Plaintiff these overtime wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant as set forth above.
- 34. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorney to represent him in this action and is obligated to pay a reasonable attorneys' fee.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and those similarly-situated respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

A. Enter judgment for Plaintiff HARRYETH A. SILVA and other similarly-situated individuals and against the Defendant PROSCAPE, and SHAWN J. ROPER, on the basis of Defendant willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.; and

- B. Award Plaintiff HARRYETH A. SILVA actual damages in the amount shown to be due for unpaid wages and overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty weekly, with interest; and
- C. Award Plaintiff an equal amount in double damages/liquidated damages; and
- D. Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and just and/or available pursuant to Federal Law.

### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff and those similarly-situated demand trial by jury of all issues triable as of right by jury.

DATED: June 30, 2017

1

•

i

•

•:

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Zandro E. Palma ZANDRO E. PALMA, P.A. Florida Bar No.: 0024031 9100 S. Dadeland Blvd. Suite 1500 Miami, FL 33156 Telephone: (305) 446-1500 Facsimile: (305) 446-1502 zep@thepalmalawgroup.com Attorney for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET IS 44 (Rev. 11/15) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) DEFENDANTS PROSCAPE GROUP, INC. I. (a) PLAINTIFFS HARRYETH A. SILVA and SHAWN J. ROPER 201 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONE) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE POCATION OF LAND INVOLVED. HST 1PA NOTE TR D ĊЛ E C S (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) The Law Office of Zandro E. Palma, P.A Attorneys (If Known) AH 9100 South Dadeland Blvd., Suite 1500 ಗೆ -Miami, FL 33156 20  $\overline{\Box}$ III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" "FOne Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) 3 Federal Ouestion PTF DEF PTF DEF □ 1 U.S. Government Citizen of This State I Incorporated or Principal Place **T** 4 Π4 Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) 01 of Business In This State 2 Incorporated and Principal Place D 2 U.S. Government Diversity
 Citizen of Another State Π 2 0 5 of Business In Another State Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item 111) Citizen or Subject of a 03 I 3 Foreign Nation 0606 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORFEITURE/PENALTY S.dm TORTS 375 False Claims Act PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 O 110 Insurance of Property 21 USC 881 □ 423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC D 120 Marine C 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury -28 USC 157 3729(a)) D 130 Miller Act C 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 🗇 690 Other 🗇 367 Health Care/ 400 State Reapportionment □ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 🗇 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical PROPERTY RIGHTS CJ 410 Antitrust O 430 Banks and Banking & Enforcement of Judgment Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability D 830 Patent 450 Commerce I 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal D 840 Trademark 460 Deportation 🗇 340 Marine Injury Product 1 470 Racketeer Influenced and Student Loans SOCIAL SECURITY ... (Excludes Veterans) **345** Marine Product Liability LABOR **Corrupt Organizations** 710 Fair Labor Standards 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 🗇 861 HIA (1395ff) ٥ 480 Consumer Credit B62 Black Lung (923)
 B63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 490 Cable/Sat TV
 850 Securities/Commodities/ of Veteran's Benefits 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud Act 720 Labor/Management C 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending 1 864 SSID Title XVI 1.190 Other Contract Product Liability 1 380 Other Personal Relations Exchange D 890 Other Statutory Actions 740 Railway Labor Act 365 RSI (405(g)) d' 195 Contract Product Liability C 360 Other Personal Property Damage 891 Agricultural Acts
 893 Environmental Matters 385 Property Damage 751 Family and Medical 196 Franchise Iniury 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability Leave Act Medical Malpractice □ 895 Freedom of Information 7 790 Other Labor Litigation FEDERAL TAX SUITS READ PROPERTY PRISONER PETITIONS CIVIL RIGHTS 791 Employee Retirement Act O 210 Land Condemnation □ 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: Income Security Act 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 896 Arbitration ☐ 220 Foreclosure □ 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee or Defendant) 899 Administrative Procedure O 442 Employment D 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 510 Motions to Vacate O 871 IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of 26 USC 7609 Agency Decision D 240 Torts to Land C 443 Housing/ Sentence 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 530 General 950 Constitutionality of 290 All Other Real Property C 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty State Statutes J 462 Naturalization Application Employment Other: 446 Amer. w/Disabilities ٥ 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigratio Other 🗇 550 Civil Rights Actions 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 7 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Bax Only) D 1 Original 2 Removed from 03 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict Appellate Court Another District Proceeding State Court Reopened Litigation (specify) (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 U.S.C. **VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** Brief description of cause: FLSA VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 🛛 Yes COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: 🛛 No VIII. RELATED CASE(S)

IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Zandro E. Palma		DO(	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 06/30/2017			FRECORD	··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ex-Employee's Suit Claims Proscape Group Owes Unpaid Overtime</u>