Case: 1:17-cv-01993 Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/22/17 1 of 8. PageID #: 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

GLENN SILBAUGH, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

CASE NO.

JUDGE

Plaintiff,

vs.

COMPLAINT FOR CLASS ACTION

AGR GROUP, LLC

Defendant.

Now comes Glenn Silbaugh, individually and as representative of all others similarly situated, and for his Class Action Complaint states:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a class action brought by Glenn Silbaugh, individually and as a putative representative, against AGR Group, LLC ("AGR" or "Defendant") Defendant has violated federal law by using automatic telephone dialing systems to place unsolicited calls to the telephones of consumers nationwide without the consent of the telephone's owner. Under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq., ("TCPA") Plaintiff seeks to stop Defendant from placing the unsolicited calls and to obtain redress for all persons injured by this conduct. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, based on the investigation conducted by his attorneys.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Glenn Silbaugh (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") is an individual and resident of the State of Ohio, County of Lake, and City of Painesville.
- 3. Defendant AGR Group, LLC is a Florida Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located at 9701 International Court North, Suite #A, St. Petersburg, FL 33716.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the laws of the United States, specifically the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227.
- 5. Because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to the present claim occurred in this District, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §13919(b)(2).
- 6. Venue is also proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events at issue occurred in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. AGR is a "sales and service company dedicated exclusively to the retail energy industry" that has "built a reputation among retail energy suppliers as the most trusted partner for outbound telemarketing …and lead generation services."¹
- 8. In an attempt to market and sell the products and services of various third-party energy suppliers, Defendant repeatedly made automated promotional telephone calls to Plaintiff and the other members of the putative Class's telephones, in violation of the TCPA.

¹ http://www.agrgroupinc.com/about-us/ (Last visited September 6, 2017)

- 9. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant made auto-dialed telephone calls using equipment covered by the TCPA, and did so to promote its services and that of its customers, without Plaintiff's prior express written consent.
- 10. By making these unauthorized telephone calls, AGR has violated Plaintiff's and other individuals' statutory and privacy rights. AGR has also caused actual concrete harm, not only because individuals were subjected to the aggravation, time, and invasion of privacy that necessarily accompanies unwanted phone calls, but also because individuals frequently pay their cell phone service providers for the receipt of such unwanted telephone calls, have lost use of their cell phone and cell phone line when receiving such calls, are subjected to increased electricity costs to charge their phones after receiving such calls, and waste their time answering or otherwise acknowledging such calls
- 11. To redress these injuries, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and a nationwide class, brings this class action under TCPA.
- 12. The TCPA exists to prevent communications like the ones described within this complaint. "Voluminous consumer complaints about abuses of telephone technology for example, computerized calls dispatched to private homes prompted Congress to pass the TCPA." *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 744 (2012).
- 13. When it passed the TCPA, Congress intended to provide consumers a choice as to how telemarketers may contact them and found that "[t]echnologies that might allow consumers to avoid receiving such calls are not universally available, are costly, are unlikely to be enforced, or place an inordinate burden on the consumer." Pub. L. No.102-243, § 11.
- 14. Congress also found that "the evidence presented to the Congress indicates that automated or prerecorded calls are a nuisance..." *Id.* at §§12-13.

15. On behalf of himself and the Class, Plaintiff seeks an injunction requiring Defendant to cease all unsolicited telephone calling activities and an award of statutory damages to the Class members, together with costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

THE CALL TO PLAINTIFF GLENN SILBAUGH

- 16. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein all previous paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 17. On June 2, 2017 AGR placed a telemarketing call to the Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
 - 18. The call from AGR came from (440) 638-5692.
- 19. When answering the call, Plaintiff heard a pause followed immediately by a "click" noise. This unmistakably was NOT a human being manually dialing a phone number and executing a call, but a machine automatically calling people and then automatically connecting that call to a human in AGR's call center only after a recipient, such as the Plaintiff, answered the call.
- 20. Plaintiff has never given express consent to receive a telephone call from Defendant.
- 21. Plaintiff does not have a relationship with Defendant, has never provided his telephone number to Defendant, nor consented or requested that the Defendant call him or offer him products or services.
- 22. AGR was calling on behalf of a third-party energy provider for a commercial purpose in an attempt to solicit Plaintiff's business.

Case: 1:17-cv-01993 Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/22/17 5 of 8. PageID #: 5

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein all previous paragraphs of this Complaint.

- 24. Defendant and its agents have made, and continue to make, unsolicited calls to cellular telephone numbers, including to Plaintiff's and the other members of the class, using an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 25. These calls were made without the prior express consent of Plaintiff or the class members.
- 26. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and for all other persons similarly situated (herein collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs" or "putative class members") who received calls on their cellular telephones from Defendant's automatic telephone dialing system without giving Defendant prior express consent.
- 27. This class numbers over forty (40) persons and is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and it is further impracticable to bring all such persons before this Court.
- 28. The injuries and damages to these class members present questions of law and fact that are common to each class member, and that are common to the entire class as a whole. Those common questions include, and are not limited to:
 - (a) Whether the subject calls were auto-dialed;
 - (b) Whether the subject calls are covered by the TCPA;
 - (c) Whether the subject calls violate the TCPA; and
 - (d) Whether the class members are entitled to relief under the TCPA.

- 29. Defendant has have engaged in the same conduct regarding all of the other members of the class asserted in this suit.
- 30. The claims, defenses, and injuries of the representative Plaintiff are typical of the claims, defenses and injuries of the entire class, and the claims, defenses and injuries of each class member are typical of those of the entire class.
- 31. Representative Plaintiff will fully and adequately protect and represent the entire class, and all of its putative class members.
- 32. The identity of all members of this class cannot be determined at this time, but will be so determined at a later time upon obtaining discovery from Defendant and others.
- 33. The prosecution of separate actions by each member of this class would create a substantial risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with regard to individual members of the class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 34. The prosecution of separate actions would also create a substantial risk of adjudication with respect to individual members of the class which, as a practical matter, would be dispositive of the interest of other members not parties to the adjudication, thereby substantially impairing and impeding their ability to protect these interests. Further, the maintenance of this suit as a class action is the superior means of disposing of the common questions which predominate herein.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.

- 35. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein all previous paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 36. Plaintiff did not expressly consent to receive calls from Defendant, as required by the TCPA.

- 37. Defendant used a computerized automatic telephone dialing system to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 38. The call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone was a violation of 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 39. Defendant placed this call in knowing violation of the TCPA. It willfully violated federal law.
 - 40. Defendant has acted in the same way toward all members of the class.
- 41. Plaintiff and the class members were harmed by Defendant's conduct. This included the harm envisioned by the TCPA in being a recipient of an unlawful robo-call for which the TCPA provides specific relief. This also included the harms and actual damages identified in paragraph 10 above, which is incorporated here.
- 42. As a result of these calls, Plaintiff and the class are entitled to relief, recovery, and damages under the TCPA.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Injunction and Request for Restraining Order

- 43. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein all previous paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 44. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendant will not cease and desist the conduct described above, and continues that conduct unabated.
- 45. Plaintiff and the class have no adequate remedy at law to prevent Defendant from continuing this conduct in violation of law.
 - 46. The TCPA provides for injunctive relief against continuing violations, stating:

A person or entity may, if otherwise permitted by the laws or rules of court of a State, bring in an appropriate court of that State — A) an action based on a

violation of this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection to enjoin such violation...47 USCS § 227(b)(3).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- 1. For an Order determining at the earliest possible time that this matter may proceed as a class action under Civil Rule 23 and certifying this case as such;
- 2. For a preliminary and thereafter permanent injunction preventing Defendant from continuing its conduct described above;
- 3. For damages of actual monetary loss, or \$500 for each violation, whichever is greater, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
 - 4. For treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
 - 5. For reasonable costs and attorney fees necessarily incurred herein; and
 - 6. For such other or further relief to which Plaintiff and the class are entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Patrick J. Perotti

Patrick J. Perotti, Esq. (#0005481)

Nicole T. Fiorelli, Esq. (#FILL IN)

Frank A. Bartela, Esq. (#0088128)

DWORKEN & BERNSTEIN CO., L.P.A.

60 South Park Place

Painesville, Ohio 44077

(440) 352-3391 (440) 352-3469 Fax

Email: pperotti@dworkenlaw.com <u>nfiorelli@dworkenlaw.com</u> fbartela@dworkenlaw.com Case: 1:17-cv-01993 Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 09/22/17 1 of 2. PageID #: 9

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
GLENN SILBAUGH, indi	vidually and on behalf	of all others similarly	y AGR GROUP, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff LAKE			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	4SES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.		
	Address, and Telephone Numbe Esq. / Dworken & Beri Painesville, 44077	<i>r)</i> nstein, LPA	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Ø 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	FF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
·			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 370 Other Fraud	of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Applea 26 GS 136 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAU SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability 	Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure	
	moved from	Appellate Court	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 47 USC 227 Brief description of ca		filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes ※ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 09/22/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	\\	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY OF RECORD			
	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE.	

Case: 1:17-cv-01993 Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 09/22/17 2 of 2. PageID #: 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

i.	Civil Categories: (Please check one category only).							
	1. ✓ General Civil							
	Administrative Review/Social Security							
	3. Habeas Corpus Death Penalty							
	*If under Title 28, §2255, name the SENTENCING JUDGE:							
	CASE NUMBER:							
II.	RELATED OR REFILED CASES. See LR 3.1 which provides in pertinent part: "If an action is filed or removed to this Co and assigned to a District Judge after which it is discontinued, dismissed or remanded to a State court, and subsequently refiled, it shall be assigned to the same Judge who received the initial case assignment without regard the place of holding court in which the case was refiled. Counsel or a party without counsel shall be responsible bringing such cases to the attention of the Court by responding to the questions included on the Civil Cover Sheet."							
	This action is RELATED to another PENDING civil case. This action is REFILED pursuant to LR 3.1.							
lf app	olicable, please indicate on page 1 in section VIII, the name of the Judge and case number.							
Ш.	In accordance with Local Civil Rule 3.8, actions involving counties in the Eastern Division shall be filed at any of the divisional offices therein. Actions involving counties in the Western Division shall be filed at the Toledo office. For the purpose of determining the proper division, and for statistical reasons, the following information is requested.							
	ANSWER ONE PARAGRAPH ONLY. ANSWER PARAGRAPHS 1 THRU 3 IN ORDER. UPON FINDING WHICH PARAGRAPH APPLIES TO YOUR CASE, ANSWER IT AND STOP.							
	(1) Resident defendant. If the defendant resides in a county within this district, please set forth the name of such county COUNTY:							
	<u>Corporation</u> For the purpose of answering the above, a corporation is deemed to be a resident of that county in which it has its principal place of business in that district.							
	(2) Non-Resident defendant. If no defendant is a resident of a county in this district, please set forth the count wherein the cause of action arose or the event complained of occurred. COUNTY: LAKE							
	(3) Other Cases. If no defendant is a resident of this district, or if the defendant is a corporation not having a principle place of business within the district, and the cause of action arose or the event complained of occurred outside this district, please set forth the county of the plaintiff's residence. COUNTY:							
iV.	The Counties in the Northern District of Ohio are divided into divisions as shown below. After the county is determined in Section III, please check the appropriate division.							
	EASTERN DIVISION							
	AKRON (Counties: Carroll, Holmes, Portage, Stark, Summit, Tuscarawas and Wayne) CLEVELAND (Counties: Ashland, Ashtabula, Crawford, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina and Richland)							
	YOUNGSTOWN (Counties: Columbiana, Mahoning and Trumbull)							
	WESTERN DIVISION							
	TOLEDO (Counties: Allen, Auglaize, Defiance, Erie, Fulton, Hancock, Hardin, Henry, Huron, Lucas, Marion, Mercer, Ottawa, Paulding, Putnam, Sandusky, Seneca VanWert, Williams, Wood and Wyandot)							

Case: 1:17-cv-01993 Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 09/22/17 1 of 2. PageID #: 11

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Northern District of Ohio ~ Glenn Silbaugh, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Plaintiff v. Civil Action No. AGR Group, LLC Defendant SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) AGR Group, LLC C/O Jono Lobue 9701 International Court North Suite #A St. Petersburg, FL 33716 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Patrick Perotti, Esq. Nicole Fiorelli, Esq. Frank Bartella, Esq. Dworken & Bernstein, LPA 60 S. Park Place Painesville, OH 44077 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case: 1:17-cv-01993 Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 09/22/17 2 of 2. PageID #: 12

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for	(name of individual and title, if any)							
s received by me on (date	e)							
☐ I personally ser	ved the summons on the individual at	(place)						
		on (date)	; or					
☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
on (date)	n (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
☐ I served the sum	nmons on (name of individual)		, who is					
designated by law	to accept service of process on behalf	of (name of organization)						
		on (date)	; or					
☐ I returned the su	immons unexecuted because		; or					
☐ Other (specify):								
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
I declare under pen	alty of perjury that this information is	true.						
- 00010120 U.1001 po	into the partial of the second							
e:	_							
		Server's signature						
		•						
		Printed name and title						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>AGR Group 'Robocalled' Consumers Without Consent, Class Action Claims</u>