LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684) 261 Madison Ayenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10016 Tel.: 646-342-2019 Fax: 646-661-5811 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JORGE SIGUENCIA, OMAR RODRIGUEZ, DE JESUS TORRES, EDISON LUDIZACA and BRAULIO "MILTON" PENARANDA, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,

Case No.:

Plaintiffs,

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

v.

VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT LLC d/b/a VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT, ALFA RENOVATION CORP., ART SHEET METAL CORP., LOMBARDY CONTRACTING CORP. and DARIUSZ KNAPIK,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, JORGE SIGUENCIA, OMAR RODRIGUEZ, DE JESUS TORRES, EDISON LUDIZACA and BRAULIO "MILTON" PENARANDA (hereinafter, "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby file this Complaint against Defendants, VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT LLC d/b/a VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT, ALFA RENOVATION CORP., ART SHEET METAL CORP., LOMBARDY CONTRACTING CORP. and DARIUSZ KNAPIK (each individually, "Defendant" or, collectively, "Defendants"), and state as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiffs allege, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§201 *et. seq.* ("FLSA"), that they are entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) liquidated damages and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

2. Plaintiffs further allege that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law, they are entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) unpaid spread of hours premium, (3) liquidated damages and statutory penalties and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, JORGE SIGUENCIA, is a resident of Queens County.

7. Plaintiff, OMAR RODRIGUEZ, is a resident of Kings County.

8. Plaintiff, DE JESUS TORRES, is a resident of Queens County.

9. Plaintiff, EDISON LUDIZACA, is a resident of Queens County.

10. Plaintiff, BRAULIO "MILTON" PENARANDA, is a resident of Queens. County. 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant, VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT LLC d/b/a VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business and address for service of process both located at 176 Franklin Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ALFA RENOVATION CORP. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business and address for service of process both located at 77 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ART SHEET METAL CORP. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business and address for service of process both located at 103 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant, LOMBARDY CONTRACTING CORP. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business and address for service of process both located at 103 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant, DARIUSZ KNAPIK, is the Chairman or Chief Executive Officer of Defendants, VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT LLC d/b/a VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT, ALFA RENOVATION CORP., ART SHEET METAL CORP. and LOMBARDY CONTRACTING CORP. DARIUSZ KNAPIK exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiffs' employment and those of similarly situated employees. With

3

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 4 of 14 PageID #: 4

respect to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees, he had the power to (i) fire and hire, (ii) determine rate and method of pay and (iii) otherwise affect the quality of employment.

16. At all relevant times, Defendants VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT LLC d/b/a VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT, ALFA RENOVATION CORP., ART SHEET METAL CORP. and LOMBARDY CONTRACTIONG CORP. were and continue to be an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

17. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiffs was directly essential to the business operated by Defendants.

18. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs their lawfully earned overtime wages in direct contravention of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

19. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs their lawfully earned spread of hours premium in direct contravention of the New York Labor Law.

20. Plaintiffs have fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or such conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

21. On or about December 2, 2007, Plaintiff, JORGE SIGUENCIA, was hired by Defendants to work as a construction worker for Defendants' construction companies located at 176 Franklin Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; 77 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; and 103 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

4

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 5 of 14 PageID #: 5

22. Plaintiff, JORGE SIGUENCIA, worked for Defendants until on or about December 2, 2017.

23. Plaintiff, JORGE SIGUENCIA, worked approximately 52.5 hours per week. Specifically, for example, in the week of September 25, 2017 through September 30, 2017, he worked as follows:

9/25/2017	7:30am-5:00pm
9/25/2017	7:30am-5:00pm
9/26/2017	7:30am-5:00pm
9/27/2017	7:30am-5:00pm
9/28/2017	7:30am-5:00pm
9/29/2017	7:30am-5:00pm
9/30/2017	off

Plaintiff received a 45 minute break each workday. Plaintiff remained with this schedule for the duration of his employment with Defendants. At the start of his employment by Defendants, Plaintiff received an hourly rate of \$11. Plaintiff's hourly rate gradually increased throughout Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, culminating in an hourly rate of \$20. From on or about December 2, 2007 until on or about December 31, 2016, Plaintiff was paid in cash. Thereafter, and for the remainder of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was paid by company checks. Plaintiff was not paid the overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek.

24. On or about December 24, 2015, Plaintiff, OMAR RODRIGUEZ, was hired by Defendants to work as a construction worker for Defendants' construction companies

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 6 of 14 PageID #: 6

located at 176 Franklin Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; 77 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; and 103 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

25. Plaintiff, OMAR RODRIGUEZ, worked for Defendants until on or about December 15, 2016.

26. Plaintiff, OMAR RODRIGUEZ, worked approximately 52.5 hours per week. Specifically, for example, in the week period from September 25, 2016 through September 30, 2016, he worked as follows:

9/25/2016off9/26/20167:30am-5:00pm9/27/20167:30am-5:00pm9/28/20167:30am-5:00pm9/29/20167:30am-5:00pm9/30/20167:30am-5:00pm10/1/20167:30am-5:00pm

Plaintiff received a 45 minute break each workday. Plaintiff remained with this schedule for the duration of his employment with Defendants. At the start of his employment by Defendants, Plaintiff received an hourly rate of \$15. On or about December 7, 2016, Plaintiff's hourly rate was raised to \$16 where it remained until the end of his tenure with Defendants. Throughout Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was paid by company checks. Plaintiff was not paid the overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek.

27. In or about August of 2015, Plaintiff, DE JESUS TORRES, was hired by Defendants to work as a construction worker for Defendants' construction companies

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 7 of 14 PageID #: 7

located at 176 Franklin Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; 77 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; and 103 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

28. Plaintiff, DE JESUS TORRES, worked for Defendants until on or about December 15, 2017.

29. Plaintiff, DE JESUS TORRES, worked approximately 52.5 hours per week. Specifically, for example, in the week period from September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2017, he worked as follows:

9/25/20177:30am-5:00pm9/25/20177:30am-5:00pm9/26/20177:30am-5:00pm9/26/20177:30am-5:00pm9/27/20177:30am-5:00pm9/28/20177:30am-5:00pm9/29/20177:30am-5:00pm9/30/2017off

Plaintiff received a 45 minute break each workday. Plaintiff remained with this schedule for the duration of his employment with Defendants. At the start of his employment by Defendants, Plaintiff received an hourly rate of \$15. On or about December 7, 2017, Plaintiff's hourly rate was raised to \$17 where it remained until the end of his tenure with Defendants. Throughout Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was paid by company checks. Plaintiff was not paid the overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek.

30. In or about April of 2007, Plaintiff, EDISON LUDIZACA, was hired by Defendants to work as a construction worker for Defendants' construction companies

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 8 of 14 PageID #: 8

located at 176 Franklin Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; 77 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; and 103 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

31. Plaintiff, EDISON LUDIZACA, worked for Defendants until on or about December 15, 2017.

32. Plaintiff, EDISON LUDIZACA, worked approximately 52.5 hours per week. Specifically, for example, in the week period from September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2017, he worked as follows:

 9/25/2017
 7:30am-5:00pm

 9/25/2017
 7:30am-5:00pm

 9/26/2017
 7:30am-5:00pm

 9/27/2017
 7:30am-5:00pm

 9/28/2017
 7:30am-5:00pm

 9/29/2017
 7:30am-5:00pm

 9/29/2017
 7:30am-5:00pm

 9/30/2017
 0ff

Plaintiff received a 45 minute break each workday. Plaintiff remained with this schedule for the duration of his employment with Defendants. Plaintiff received an hourly rate of \$15 throughout his tenure with Defendants. From in or about April of 2007 until on or about December 31, 2016, Plaintiff was paid in cash. Thereafter, and for the remainder of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was paid by company checks. Plaintiff was not paid the overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek.

33. On or about September 15, 2015, Plaintiff, BRAULIO "MILTON" PENARANDA, was hired by Defendants to work as a construction worker for

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 9 of 14 PageID #: 9

Defendants' construction companies located at 176 Franklin Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; 77 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222; and 103 Lombardy Street, Brooklyn, NY 11222.

34. Plaintiff, BRAULIO "MILTON" PENARANDA, worked for Defendants until on or about September 19, 2017.

35. Plaintiff, BRAULIO "MILTON" PENARANDA, worked approximately 52.5 hours per week. Specifically, for example, in the week period from September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2017, he worked as follows:

9/25/20177:30am-5:00pm9/25/20177:30am-5:00pm9/26/20177:30am-5:00pm9/27/20177:30am-5:00pm9/28/20177:30am-5:00pm9/29/20177:30am-5:00pm9/30/2017off

Plaintiff received a 45 minute break each workday. Plaintiff remained with this schedule for the duration of his employment with Defendants. Plaintiff received an hourly rate of \$13 throughout his tenure with Defendants. Throughout Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was paid by company checks. Plaintiff was not paid the overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek.

36. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half) or the New York State

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 10 of 14 PageID #: 10

overtime rate (of time and one-half) to the Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees.

37. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees.

38. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.

39. Plaintiffs retained the Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC to represent them and other employees similarly situated in this litigation and have agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

40. Plaintiffs reallege and reaver Paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

41. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants were and continue to be employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a). Further, Plaintiffs are covered individuals within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a).

42. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiffs within the meaning of the FLSA.

43. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants, VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT LLC d/b/a VICTORIA CONSULTING & DEVELOPMENT, ALFA RENOVATION CORP., ART SHEET METAL CORP. and LOMBARDY CONTRACTIONG CORP., had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.

44. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and one-half to Plaintiffs for their hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.

45. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation in the lawful amount for hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.

46. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiffs and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiffs should be in the possession and custody of the Defendants. Plaintiffs intend to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.

47. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiffs at the statutory rate of time and one-half for his hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when Defendants knew or should have known such was due.

48. Defendants failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiffs of their rights under the FLSA.

49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.

11

50. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiffs suffered damages in an amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid overtime wages, plus an equal amount as liquidated damages.

51. Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

52. Plaintiffs reallege and reaver Paragraphs 1 through 51 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

53. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs were employed by the Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§2 and 651.

54. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights by failing to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.

55. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights by failing to pay "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiffs for each workday exceeding ten (10) or more hours.

56. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.

57. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights by failing to provide them proper notices and wage statements in violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-a) (enacted on April 9, 2011).

58. As a result of Defendants' violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, Plaintiffs are entitled to the maximum amount of damages during which the violations occurred and/or continue to occur.

59. Due to the Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants his unpaid overtime, unpaid "spread of hours" premium, statutory penalties, damages for unreasonably delayed payments, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law §663(1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated employees, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- b. An injunction against Defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- c. An award of unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York
 Labor Law;
- e. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;

- f. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to the New York Labor Law;
- g. An award of statutory penalties including statutory penalties under the
 Wage Theft Prevention Act, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- h. An award of statutory penalties, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- i. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- j. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Dated: September 20, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684) 261 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10016 Tel.: 646-342-2019 Fax: 646-661-1317 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

By: ROBERT KRASELNIK (RK 0684)

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1-1 Filed 09/20/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 15 JS 44 (Rev. 11/15) CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

purpose of miniating the ervir u	ocket sheet. Jabb markoe	TIONS ON NEAT FAOE O	r more	nun.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Jorge Siguencia, Omar F and Braulio "Milton" Pen		Γorres, Edison Ludi	zaca,	DEFENDANTS Victoria Consulting Development, Alfa Lombardy Contract	g & Devel Renovat	ion Corp., Art S	Sheet Metal C		ting &
	ant	0					Парік		
(b) County of Residence o		Queens County		County of Residence		방법 전화 이번 방법이 있는 것이 같아요			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES)				PLAINTIFF CASES O	100 A 100 A		
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	OF LAND I	ION CASES, USE TI NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION O	F	
					01 01 10 1	Hob (bb)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Robert L. Kraselnik, Esq 261 Madison Ave., 9th F	Address, and Telephone Numbe ., Law Offices of Robe I., New York, NY 1001	er) ert L. Kraselnik, PLL 16, Tel.: 646.342.20	_C, 119	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in ()ne Rox	for Plaintif
				(For Diversity Cases Only)			and One Box for		
D 1 U.S. Government					FF DEF			PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 🛛 1	Incorporated or Pri			
						of Business In T	his State		
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P	Principal Place	0 5	0 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	tip of Parties in Item III)	0			of Business In A	Another State		
			Citize	en or Subject of a	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		06	
				reign Country	5 [] 5	Poreign Nation		0 0	00
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	$\int (Place an "X" in One Rox O$	nlul		ingh county					
CONTRACT		ORTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAI	NKRUPTCY	OTHER S	TATUI	TES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure		eal 28 USC 158	□ 375 False Cla		
□ 120 Marine	I 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	□ 423 With		376 Qui Tam		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	0 69	0 Other	Contraction of the Contraction	JSC 157	3729(a))		
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/					D 400 State Rea	pportion	nment
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				RTY RIGHTS	🛛 🗇 410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury			□ 820 Copy		d 430 Banks an		ng
 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			830 Pater 840 Trad		☐ 450 Commercial ☐ 460 Deportation		
Student Loans	I 340 Marine	Injury Product			C) 040 11au	CHIAIK	□ 400 Deportan		nced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	Corrupt C		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	хту 🕅 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	🗇 861 HIA		d 480 Consume	r Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act		k Lung (923)	□ 490 Cable/Sa		
 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	0 72	0 Labor/Management Relations	□ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g))	B 850 Securities		odities/
195 Contract Product Liability	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	74	0 Railway Labor Act	D 864 SSIL		Exchang B 890 Other Sta		Actions
□ 196 Franchise	Injury	□ 385 Property Damage		1 Family and Medical	L5 005 1051	(405(B))	□ 891 Agricultu		
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	stares en e	Leave Act			D 893 Environn	nental M	fatters
DELL BROBERTS	Medical Malpractice			0 Other Labor Litigation			□ 895 Freedom	of Infor	mation
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	NS U /9	1 Employee Retirement	Concerning and the second second	AL TAX SUITS	Act		
□ 220 Foreclosure	□ 440 Onlei Civii Kights	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant)	896 Arbitratio 899 Administ		rocedure
□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	□ 510 Motions to Vacate				-Third Party	Act/Revie		
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence				JSC 7609	Agency I		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	□ 530 General					950 Constitut		of
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty	0.46	IMMIGRATION			State Stat	utes	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration					
	Other	□ 550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition							
		□ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V ODICIN		Commentent					1		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in									
			J 4 Rein			🛛 6 Multidistri	ict		
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened Another (specify)	r District	Litigation			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str	atute under which you ar	re filing (L		utes unless di	versity)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	The Fair Labor S	tandards Act, ás an	nended,	o not cite jurisdictional state 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et	. seq.				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	ause:							
	Plaintiffs seek un	paid wages and oth	ner comp	pensation.					
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	I DI	EMAND \$	C	HECK YES only	if demanded in c	omplai	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2					URY DEMAND:	🛛 Yes	D No	
Presentation and a second s		-				CANA DEGITIVALID.	- 103	5 140	
VIII. RELATED CASH	£(S) (See instructions):								
IF ANY	tore monuctionsy.	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY C	FRECORD					
9 20 18	r		2	17					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1	-	1-					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
RECEIPT # AN	JOUNT	APPLYING IFP	,	JUDGE		MAG JUD	DGE		

Case 1:18-cv-05/2RG Decampon 101 AFiled OR/20(10) Page Bof 2 RageID #: 16

Jorge Siguencia et. al.

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

Case is Eligible for Arbitration

I,	Robert	Kraselnik
1.	HODOR L.	TA BOOMIN

compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

_, counsel for____

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

____, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

n/a

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)	Is the civil action County?		ed in the Eas ′es 🚺	tern District removed fror No	n a New	York State Court located in Na	assau or Suffolk
2.)	If you answered " a) Did the events County?	or omiss		ise to the claim or claims No	, or a sul	bstantial part thereof, occur in l	Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did the events District?		sions giving r ′es	ise to the claim or claims No	, or a sul	bstantial part thereof, occur in t	the Eastern
	c) If this is a Fair E received:	ebt Colle)	ection Practice	Act case, specify the Coun	ty in whic	ch the offending communication v	was
lf your a Suffolk (Suffolk (County, or, in an inte	rpleader a Yes	a <u>cti</u> on, does th No	e claimant (or a majority of	the claima	nts, if there is more than one) resic ants, if there is more than one) res the most significant contacts).	de in Nassau or side in Nassau or
				BAR ADMIS	SION		
	I am currently admi	tted in the	e Eastern Distr	ict of New York and current	ly a meml	ber in good standing of the bar of t	this court.
		\mathbf{V}	Yes			No	
	Are you currently	the subje	ect of any dis	sciplinary action (s) in this	s or any o	other state or federal court?	
			Yes	(If yes, please explain	\checkmark	No	
	I certify the accura	acy of all	information	provided above.			
	Signature:	1-	2 K.				Let Ma#6a4 11/27/2017

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Jorge Siguencia, Omar Rodriguez, De Jesus Torres, Edison Ludizaca, and Braulio "Milton" Penaranda)))	
Plaintiff(s))	
ν.)	Civil Action No.
Victoria Consulting & Development LLC d/b/a Victoria Consulting & Development, Alfa Renovation Corp., Art Sheet Metal Corp., Lombardy Contracting Corp. and Dariusz Knapik)))	
Defendant(s)	Ĵ	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Victoria Consulting & Development LLC d/b/a Victoria Consulting & Development 176 Franklin Street Brooklyn, NY 11222

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received if) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert L. Kraselnik, Esg.

Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC 261 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10016

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Stummons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was received by me on (date) □ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)								
I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)	ni.=nav⊒ <i>ni⊂</i> annu							
on (date) ; or								
I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
□ I served the summons on (name of individual)	, who is							
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)	~~~							
on (date) ; or	δα 4 ⁹⁹ δα ¹⁹ δα ¹⁹ δα ¹ δα το το ποι το							
□ I returned the summons unexecuted because	; or							
Other (specify):								
My fees are \$ for travel and \$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00							
I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.								
Date:								
Server's signature								
Printed name and title								

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Ĵ

)

)

)

Jorge Siguencia, Omar Rodriguez, De Jesus Torres, Edison Ludizaca, and Braulio "Milton" Penaranda

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Victoria Consulting & Development LLC d/b/a Victoria Consulting & Development, Alfa Renovation Corp., Art Sheet Metal Corp., Lombardy Contracting Corp. and Dariusz Knapik

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Alfa Renovation Corp. 77 Lombardy Street Brooklyn, NY 11222

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert L. Kraselnik, Esc.

Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC 261 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10016

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1-3 Filed 09/20/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 20

AQ 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)	······································							
	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)								
	on (date);								
		at the individual's residence or usual pla							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the								
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	□ I served the summo	NIS ON (name of individual)	V 80-76 - 10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behalf of (na	me of organization)						
		Ò	n (date)	; or					
	□ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00 -					
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:									
Date:	AND 1999 AND 1999 AND 1899 AND 1899 AND 1999 AND 199		Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)))

)

Jorge Siguencia, Omar Rodriguez, De Jesus Torres, Edison Ludizaca, and Braulio "Milton" Penaranda

Plaintiff(s)

٧.

Civil Action No.

Victoria Consulting & Development LLC d/b/a Victoria Consulting & Development, Alfa Renovation Corp., Art Sheet Metal Corp., Lombardy Contracting Corp. and Dariusz Knapik

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Art Sheet Metal Corp. 103 Lombardy Street Brooklyn, NY 11222

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert L. Kraselnik, Esg.

Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC 261 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10016

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C.	PALMER
CLERK OF COURT	

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

÷.

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1-4 Filed 09/20/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 22

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if any)	1111-1-1-11 II I-1-11-1-1						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	A.M.M.M.M.M.							
		the summons on the individual at (place,) Historica - Andreas						
	on (date)								
	T left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
				, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behalf of (n		· · · · ·					
	on (date)								
		a ata di manjari ta kata da da mata man							
	Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00 •					
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:									
Date.	19-04-1800000-0-0		Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						

Server's address

-

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

)

)

Jorge Siguencia, Omar Rodriguez, De Jesus Torres, Edison Ludizaca, and Braulio "Milton" Penaranda

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Victoria Consulting & Development LLC d/b/a Victoria Consulting & Development, Alfa Renovation Corp., Art Sheet Metal Corp., Lombardy Contracting Corp. and Dariusz Knapik

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Lombardy Contracting Corp. 103 Lombardy Street Brooklyn, NY 11222

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert L. Kraselnik, Esq.

Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC 261 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10016

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1-5 Filed 09/20/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 24

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		në of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)		**************************************						
	I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)								
		■	on (date)	, O ľ					
			or usual place of abode with (name)	11 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	\square I served the summa	ons on (name of individual)		, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on	behalf of (name of organization)						
	on (date)								
	🗇 I returned the summ								
	Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00 -					
	I déclare under penalty	of perjury that this informa	tion is true.						
Date:									
		Homeson and	Server's signature						
		er-mananaraa.	Printed name and title						

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action -

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Jorge Siguencia, Omar Rodriguez, De Jesus Torres, Edison Ludizaca, and Braulio "Milton" Penaranda

Plainti/f(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Victoria Consulting & Development LLC d/b/a Victoria Consulting & Development, Alfa Renovation Corp., Art Sheet Metal Corp., Lombardy Contracting Corp. and Dariusz Knapik

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Dariusz Knapik 176 Franklin Street Brooklyn, NY 11222

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert L. Kraselnik, Esg.

Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC 261 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10016

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:18-cv-05296 Document 1-6 Filed 09/20/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 26

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)	•							
	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)								
	on (date)								
	I left the summons								
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the								
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	□ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)		, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)	99 - 11 / - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1					
			On (date)	; or					
	□ I returned the summ		; or						
	Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	l declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	r is true.						
Date:									
		41 5 * * - On Our (1971 B* A.M A199	Server's signature	W ~ W P 44 ~ Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y					
		•••••======	Printed name and title	a 17 ° A. a S. a sa s					

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Four Brooklyn Construction Companies Hit with Former Employees' Overtime Lawsuit