

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA PHILADELPHIA DIVISION

JAMES EVERETT SHELTON, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated,

Plaintiff

VS.

NATIONAL GAS & ELECTRIC, LLC

Defendant.

Case No. 17 4063

COMPLAINT - CLASS ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Preliminary Statement

- 1. Plaintiff James Everett Shelton ("Plaintiff"), brings this action under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, a federal statute enacted in response to widespread public outrage about the proliferation of intrusive, nuisance telemarketing practices. *See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs.*, *LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 745 (2012).
- 2. "Month after month, unwanted robocalls and texts, both telemarketing and informational, top the list of consumer complaints received by" the Federal Communications Commission.¹
- 3. The TCPA is designed to protect consumer privacy by prohibiting unsolicited, autodialed telemarketing calls to cellular telephones, unless the caller has the "prior express written consent" of the called party.

¹ Omnibus TCPA Order, GC Docket 02-278, FCC 15-72, 2015 WL 4387780, ¶1 (July 10, 2015).

- 4. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant National Gas & Electric, LLC ("National Gas") made automated telemarketing calls using equipment prohibited by the TCPA, despite the fact that they had no business relationship with him.
- 5. Because the call to the Plaintiff was transmitted using technology capable of generating thousands of similar calls per day, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a proposed nationwide class of other persons who were sent the same illegal telemarketing call.
- 6. A class action is the best means of obtaining redress for the Defendant's illegal telemarketing, and is consistent both with the private right of action afforded by the TCPA and the fairness and efficiency goals of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Parties

- Plaintiff James Everett Shelton is a Pennsylvania resident, and a resident of this
 District.
- 8. Defendant National Gas & Electric, LLC is a Texas corporation that has its principal office in Houston, TX, and a registered agent of CT Corporation System.

Jurisdiction & Venue

- 9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("hereinafter referred to as CAFA") codified as 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(2). The matter in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00, in the aggregate, exclusive of interest and costs, as each member of the proposed Class of at least tens of thousands is entitled to up to \$1,500.00 in statutory damages for each call that has violated the TCPA. Further, Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will likely result in at least one Class member from a different state.
- 10. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the Plaintiff's claims arise under federal law.

- 11. National Gas regularly engages in business in this District, including making telemarketing calls into this District, as it did with the Plaintiff.
- 12. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, as the automated calls to the Plaintiff were made to this District. Furthermore, venue is proper because a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated in this District; the Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

Background

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act

13. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA to regulate the explosive growth of the telemarketing industry. In so doing, Congress recognized that "[u]nrestricted telemarketing... can be an intrusive invasion of privacy [.]" Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, § 2(5) (1991) (codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227).

The National Do Not Call Registry

- 14. The National Do Not Call Registry allows consumers to register their telephone numbers and thereby indicate their desire not to receive telephone solicitations at those numbers. See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2). A listing on the Registry "must be honored indefinitely, or until the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed by the database administrator." *Id*.
- 15. The TCPA and implementing regulations prohibit the initiation of telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers to the Registry. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).

The TCPA Prohibits Automated Telemarketing Calls

- 16. The TCPA makes it unlawful "to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice ... to any telephone number assigned to a ... cellular telephone service." See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). The TCPA provides a private cause of action to persons who receive calls in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A). See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
- 17. According to findings by the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC"), the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, such calls are prohibited because, as Congress found, automated or prerecorded telephone calls are a greater nuisance and invasion of privacy than live solicitation calls, and such calls can be costly and inconvenient.
- 18. The FCC also recognized that "wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used." *In re Rules and Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991*, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 F.C.C. Red. 14014, 14115 ¶ 165 (2003).
- 19. In 2013, the FCC required prior express written consent for all autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls ("robocalls") to wireless numbers and residential lines.
 Specifically, it ordered that:
 - [A] consumer's written consent to receive telemarketing robocalls must be signed and be sufficient to show that the consumer: (1) received "clear and conspicuous disclosure" of the consequences of providing the requested consent, i.e., that the consumer will receive future calls that deliver prerecorded messages by or on behalf of a specific seller; and (2) having received this information, agrees unambiguously to receive such calls at a telephone number the consumer designates.[] In addition, the written agreement must be obtained "without

requiring, directly or indirectly, that the agreement be executed as a condition of purchasing any good or service.[]"

In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, 27 F.C.C. Rcd. 1830, 1844 (2012) (footnotes omitted).

Factual Allegations

- 20. National Gas provides energy services to homes.
- 21. National Gas uses telemarketing to promote its products.
- 22. National Gas's telemarketing efforts include the use of automated dialing equipment to send automated calls.
- 23. On August 18, 2017, the Plaintiff received a telemarketing call on his cellular telephone number (484) 626-XXXX.
- 24. This telephone number was registered on the National Do Not Call Registry for at least 31 days prior to the call.
- 25. The Plaintiff did not recognize the telephone number, so he allowed it to go to his voicemail.
- 26. When the call went to his voicemail, there was distinctive click and pause, followed by the Defendant's telemarketing representative saying "hello".
- 27. These facts, as well as the geographic distance between the Plaintiff and the Defendant, as well as the fact that this call was part of a nationwide telemarketing campaign demonstrate that the call was made using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS" or "autodialer") as that term is defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).
- 28. When the Plaintiff called the telephone number back, he received a scripted pitch from Victor Melendez about National Gas's services.

- 29. As a result of the automated call, Victor Melendez, a "Senior Energy Consultant" with the Defendant, sent the Plaintiff an e-mail from vmelendez@ngande.com about National Gas services.
- 30. Prior to this unsolicited call, the Plaintiff has never done any business with National Gas and Plaintiff never provided National Gas with his cellular telephone number.
- 31. National Gas did not have the Plaintiff's prior express written consent to make this call.
- 32. In fact, before filing this lawsuit, the Plaintiff wrote to National Gas asking if they had his prior express written consent to make the call, but National Gas did not provide any evidence of consent.
- 33. Plaintiff's privacy has been violated by the above-described call from National Gas & Electric, which constituted a nuisance as they are annoying and harassing.
 - 34. Unfortunately, the Plaintiff's experience with National Gas has not been unique.
 - 35. The Plaintiff received the telemarketing call from the Caller ID (832) 769-0473.
- 36. A number of websites have individuals complaining about calls from that telemarketing number.
- 37. Indeed, at www.everycaller.com/phone-number/1-832-769-0473, mine callers have reported that the calls they've received from that phone number are "spam" telemarketing calls.
- 38. Plaintiff and all members of the Class, defined below, have been harmed by the acts of National Gas & Electric because their privacy has been violated, and they were subjected to annoying and harassing calls that constitute a nuisance. The calls also occupied Plaintiff's telephone line from legitimate communication.

Class Action Statement Pursuant to LCvR 23

- 39. As authorized by Rule 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil

 Procedure and Rule 23.1 of the Local Rules for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Plaintiff

 brings this action on behalf of all other persons or entities similarly situated throughout the

 United States.
 - 40. The class of persons Plaintiff proposes to represent include:

All persons within the United States: (a) Defendant and/or a third party acting on their behalf, made one or more non-emergency telephone calls; (b) promoting Defendant's products or services; (c) to their cellular telephone number; (d) using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice; and (e) at any time in the period that begins four years before the date of the filing of this Complaint to trial.

- 41. Excluded from the class are the Defendant, any entities in which the Defendant has a controlling interest, the Defendant's agents and employees, any Judge to whom this action is assigned, and any member of the Judge's staff and immediate family.
- 42. The proposed class members are identifiable through phone records and phone number databases.
- 43. The automated technology used to contact the Plaintiff is capable of contacting hundreds of thousands of people a day, so the potential class members number in the thousands, at least. Individual joinder of these persons is impracticable.
 - 44. Plaintiff is a member of the class.
- 45. There are questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and to the proposed class, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Whether National Gas used an automatic telephone dialing system to make the calls at issue;

- Whether National Gas placed telemarketing calls without obtaining the recipients' valid prior express written consent;
- whether National Gas's violations of the TCPA were negligent, willful, or knowing; and
- d. Whether the Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to statutory damages because of National Gas's actions.
- 46. Plaintiff's claims are based on the same facts and legal theories as the claims of all class members, and therefore are typical of the claims of class members, as the Plaintiff and class members all received telephone calls through the same or similar dialing system on a cellular telephone line.
- 47. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the class, he will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class, and he is represented by counsel skilled and experienced in class actions, including TCPA class actions. In fact, the Plaintiff has foregone a simpler path to recovery by filing this matter as a putative class action, as opposed to an individual claim.
 - 48. The actions of National Gas are generally applicable to the class and to Plaintiff.
- 49. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members, and a class action is the superior method for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The only individual question concerns identification of class members, which will be ascertainable from records maintained by National Gas and/or its agents.
- 50. The likelihood that individual class members will prosecute separate actions is remote due to the time and expense necessary to prosecute an individual case, and given the small recoveries available through individual actions.

51. Plaintiff is not aware of any litigation concerning this controversy already commenced by others who meet the criteria for class membership described above.

Legal Claims

Count One: Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations from all previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 53. The foregoing acts and omissions of National Gas and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on National Gas' behalf constitute numerous and multiple violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for emergency purposes, to the cellular telephone numbers of Plaintiff and members of the Class using an ATDS.
- 54. As a result of National Gas's and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on National Gas's behalf's violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiff and members of the Class presumptively are entitled to an award of \$500 in damages for each and every call made to their cellular telephone numbers using an ATDS and/or artificial or prerecorded voice in violation of the statute, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 55. Plaintiff and members of the Class are also entitled to and do seek injunctive relief prohibiting National Gas and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on National Gas's behalf from violating the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for emergency purposes, to any cellular telephone numbers using an ATDS and/or artificial or prerecorded voice in the future.
 - 56. The Defendant's violations were negligent, willful, or knowing.

Relief Sought

For himself and all class members, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- A. Certification of the proposed Class;
- B. Appointment of Plaintiff as representative of the Class;
- C. Appointment of the undersigned counsel as counsel for the Class;
- D. A declaration that National Gas and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other related entities' actions complained of herein violate the TCPA;
- E. An order enjoining National Gas and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other related entities, as provided by law, from engaging in the unlawful conduct set forth herein;
 - F. An award to Plaintiff and the Class of damages, as allowed by law;
 - G. Leave to amend this Complaint to conform to the evidence presented at trial; and
- H. Orders granting such other and further relief as the Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

Plaintiff request a jury trial as to all claims of the complaint so triable.

Plaintiff, By Counsel,

Dated: September 6, 2017

Bv:

Clayton S. Morrow

Email: csm@consumerlaw365.com

Morrow & Artim, PC 304 Ross Street, 7th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 281-1250

Anthony Paronich

Email: anthony@broderick-law.com BRODERICK & PARONICH, P.C.

99 High St., Suite 304

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Telephone: (508) 221-1510 Subject to Pro Hac Vice

		CIVIL CO	OVE	K SHEET		\	1-(4/-	ЦÜ	\Q ^
The JS 44 crace over the grand provided by the lead of Secur purpose of initiating the civil d	the information contained t. This form, approved by tocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	herein neither replace no the Judicial Conference of TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	or suppler of the Uni F THIS FO	ment the filing and service ted States in September ORM.)	e of pleading 1974, is requ	s or other papers a ired for the use of	as required by I the Clerk of Co	aw, exce	ept as he
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
JAMES EVERETT SHEL	TON			NATIONAL GAS & ELECTRIC, LLC					
SUMPO EACKELL OLLER	.10N			INATIONAL GAS (LLEVIN	,io, LLo			
(b) County of Residence of	<i>F</i> –	Montgomery		County of Residence			Harris		
Œ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	1SES)		NOTE: IN LAND C		<i>LAINTIFF CASES O</i> ON CASES, USE TI		OF	
	The state of the s	,		THE TRACT	OF LAND IN	(VOLVED.	THE LOCALITY OF	J1	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Morrow & Artim, PC, 304	Ross Street, 7th Floo	OF.		Attorneys (If Knawn)					
Pittsburgh, PA 15219, Te	stephone. (412) 201-1	230							
II. BASIS OF JURISDA	CTION (Place on "Y" in (Dea Bay Oshi)	III CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIDA	I DADTIES	/Daga ay "Y" in	O P /	Gar Dialas
/	1	me Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		LIAKILO	and One Box fo	or Defenda	ant)
D I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizz		TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	incipal Place	PTF	DEF D 4
		· ·				of Business In T			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties (n Item III)	Citiza	en of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		D 5	5
				za or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	D 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place on "X" in One Box Or	dy)	10	wigi codini	Ctick	here for: Nature o	of Suit Code De	scription	<u>ıs</u> .
CONTRACT		RTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHER		ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY O 365 Personal Injury -	0 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appe	zl 28 USC 158 drawa1	 375 False Cit 376 Qui Tam 		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	D 69	0 Other	28 U	SC 157	3729(a))	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	400 State Real		nent
& Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act	Stander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	- -		☐ 820 Copy☐ 830 Paten		O 430 Banks at O 450 Commer		g
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			835 Paten	t - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportat	tion	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			New 10 840 Trade	Drug Application mark	470 Racketee	er Influenc Organizatio	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 480 Consum	er Credit	
of Veteran's Henefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	10 7	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (☐ 862 Black		10 490 Cable/Sa 10 850 Securitie		dities/
 ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability D 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 72·	0 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchang SX 890 Other St		.tiana
☐ 196 Franchise	lnjury	☐ 385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	D 865 RSI (☐ 891 / griculta	ural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Maipractice	Product Liability	<u>0</u> 75	Family and Medical Leave Act			893 Environn 895 Freedom	nental Matt	ters ation
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	S. 🖸 79	0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	Act	01 11101111	a dou
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 	Habeas Corpus: 1 463 Alien Detaince	II 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administ		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	O 510 Motions to Vacate		insome Security Act	□ 871 IRS			ew or App	
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			26 U	SC 7609	Agency I 950 Constitut		F
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	- V1 - 1	IMMIGRATION			State State		ı
	Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration					
	Other	550 Civil Rights	. 5	Actions					
	1 448 Education	555 Prison Condition 5560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)								
X 1 Ofiginal □ 2 Ren	moved from \square 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	l 4 Reins Reop		r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct File	n -
\mathcal{I}		tute under which you are	: filing (D						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Totaci description or ca	suse: action brought pursu	ant to ti	ne Telenhone Consi	ımer Prote	ection Act for a	utomated tel	emarke	etino
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		HECK YES only i	if demanded in		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.			JI	JRY DEMAND:	Y Yes	□No	
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S) (See instructions):			٨					
IF ANY		JUDGE		1	DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTY		FREGORD	NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY.				
09/05/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Clayton S. M	UTTOW	-11V1					

AMOUNT

MAG. JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17

4063

RN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 316 Covered Bridge Rd., King of Prussia, PA 19406 Address of Defendant: 12140 Wickchester Lane, Suite 100, Houston, TX 77079 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 316 Covered Bridge Rd., King of Prussia, PA 19406 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: 2:17-cv-03301-RBS Judge Hon. R. Barelay Surrick Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related wheo yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously tempinated No⊠ Yes⊡ 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one yet previously terminated action in this court? No 🔯 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes□ No⊠ CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. D FELA □ Airplane Personal Injury 3. D Assault, Defamation 3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury 4.

Marine Personal Injury 4. D Antitrust 5. D Patent 5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. D Products Liability 7. Civil Rights 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 8.

Habeas Corpus 9. □ All other Diversity Cases □ Securities Act(s) Cases 10)- Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Alleged violation of the the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. 227. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: R Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. Attorney LD.# Attorney-at-NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is pot related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

except as noted above



JAMES EVERETT SHELTON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

17	•		
v. NATIONAL GAS &	ELECTRIC, LLC	NO.	7 406
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other p	vil Justice Expense and Delay lase Management Track Designate a copy on all defendants. (Se event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance, arties, a Case Management Tracklieves the case should be assign	Reduction Plan of this court, ation Form in all civil cases at § 1:03 of the plan set forth of tagree with the plaintiff resubmit to the clerk of court at Designation Form specify.	counsel for at the time of n the reverse garding said and serve on
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MANAC	GEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	()		
	s requesting review of a decision enying plaintiff Social Security		()
(c) Arbitration – Cases req	uired to be designated for arbitr	ation under Local Civil Rule	53.2. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases invol exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into track as complex and that need specia side of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	(x)
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	\ <u>\</u>
September 5, 2017	/s/ Clayton S. Merrow	Plaintiff	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	-
	(110) 005 0101	(-)	265 com
(412) 281-1250	(412) 386-3184	csm@consumerlaw3	303.0011

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: National Gas & Electric Sued Over 'Annoying and Harassing' Robocalls