IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.:

RAMIN SHAHLAI, on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

٧.

COMCAST CORPORATION.

Defendant.

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR UNPAID WAGES

Plaintiff files this Class and Collective Action Complaint for Unpaid Wages against the above-listed Defendant on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- 1. Defendant is a cable TV and internet service provider that employed Plaintiff, through Defendant's now-defunct contractor, Icon Cable, Inc., to install Defendants' cable and internet service devices in Defendants' clients' homes.
- 2. Defendant failed to reimburse its cable technician employees for vehicle and tool expenses, and deducted sums from its employees' paychecks for employer-provided tool costs.
- 3. As a result, Defendant paid its employees less than the federal and Colorado minimum hourly and overtime wage rates.
 - 4. Defendant thus violated the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§

201 et seq. and the Colorado Minimum Wage of Workers Act ("CMWWA"), C.R.S. §§ 8-6-101 et seq.

5. Plaintiff seeks compensation for Defendant's violations of the FLSA and CMWWA on his own behalf and on behalf of all other similarly-situated employees.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 6. Plaintiff Ramin Shahlai was employed by Defendant in 2014. Plaintiff Shalai's signed FLSA Consent to Sue Form is filed herewith.
- 7. Defendant Comcast Corporation is a registered foreign corporation doing business in the State of Colorado.
- 8. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, this case arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*
- 9. This Court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 10. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's and others' claims occurred in the District of Colorado.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS RELEVANT TO ALL CLAIMS

- 11. Defendant paid its Icon Cable employees at or near the Colorado minimum wage to provide commercial and residential cable and internet installation services to Comcast's clientele.
- 12. Defendant's employees drove to and among worksites to perform Comcast cable and internet installations.
- 13. Defendant did not reimburse any of its employees for vehicle expenses incurred while conducting their job duties.

- 14. Defendant's failure to reimburse its employees for vehicle expenses, and Defendant's deductions from their employees' paychecks for tool expenses, resulted in Defendant paying its employees less than the federal and Colorado minimum and overtime wage rates.
 - 15. In 2014, the Internal Revenue Service's mileage rate was \$.56 per mile.
- 16. In 2014, the American Automobile Association's mileage rate was \$.59 per mile.
- 17. In 2014, Plaintiff Shahlai drove his vehicle approximately 50 miles per day between worksites and, based on the IRS's mileage rate, incurred approximately \$196 in vehicle expenses each week.
 - 18. In 2014, the Colorado minimum hourly wage rate was \$8.00 per hour.
- 19. During the workweek of October 12, 2014 through October 18, 2014, Plaintiff worked a total of 42.62 hours for Defendants. Taking into account the unreimbursed \$196 in vehicle expenses Plaintiff incurred that workweek, Defendants paid Plaintiff \$155.44 for his work that week, or \$3.65 per hour.
- 20. By way of further example, during the workweek of November 16, 2014 through November 22, 2014, Plaintiff worked a total of 54.39 hours for Defendants. Taking into account the unreimbursed \$196 in vehicle expenses Plaintiff incurred that workweek, Defendants paid Plaintiff \$296.68, or \$5.45 per hour, for his work that week.
- 21. Defendant subjected all its Icon Cable employees to the same policies of nonreimbursement for vehicle and tool expenses and thus paid all its Icon Cable employees less than the required minimum and overtime wage rates.
 - 22. Each year relevant to this action, Plaintiff and Defendant's other employees

handled materials such as cable, internet devices, and tools which moved in interstate commerce

- 23. Defendant enjoyed more than \$500,000.00 in gross receipts each year relevant to this action.
- 24. Defendant was Plaintiff and others' statutory FLSA employer because Plaintiff and others were entirely dependent on Defendant for their employment and only served Comcast cable/internet customers, Defendant directed Plaintiff and others as to their job duties, Defendant maintained detailed records of Plaintiff and others' work hours and work product, Defendant controlled when Plaintiff and others were permitted to leave one job site to go to another, Defendant specifically monitored the job performance of Plaintiff and others, sometimes requiring them to provide supplemental and remedial services to Defendant's customers when Defendant deemed their performance deficient, Defendant set the standards by which Plaintiff and others' work was evaluated, Plaintiff and others had to meet Comcast's standards in order to earn bonus pay, Defendants provided some or all of the training materials used to prepare Plaintiff and others for their work, Defendant provided some or all of the equipment installed by Plaintiff and others and provided the digital work environment that Plaintiff and others used to record and report hours worked and tasks performed, Defendant required Plaintiff and others to use Comcast-specific tools and equipment and provided Plaintiff and others with Comcast-specific training, Plaintiff and others were provided a phone number to call Defendant when problems arose at job sites-- and Defendant instructed Plaintiff and others as to how to resolve such problems, Defendant required Plaintiff and others to wear Comcast badges, uniforms and to display Comcast signage on their

vehicles, and after Plaintiff terminated his employment with Icon Cable, Defendant hired him directly to perform the same job duties.

§ 216(b) COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

25. Plaintiff brings his claims under the FLSA as a collective action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of himself and on behalf of all similarly situated employees currently and formerly employed by Defendant. Pending any modifications necessitated by discovery, Plaintiff preliminarily defines this "216(b) Class" as follows:

All employees who worked on or after October 13, 2013.

- 26. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which this Complaint was filed and continues forward through the date of judgment because the FLSA provides a three-year statute of limitations for claims of willful violations of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 27. All potential 216(b) Class Members are similarly situated because they worked for Defendant and were subject to Defendant's common policy of refusing to pay minimum and overtime wages.

RULE 23 CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 28. Plaintiff asserts his Second Claim, brought under the CMWA, as implemented by the MWO, as a Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 class action, on his own behalf and on behalf of a class for which Plaintiff seeks certification.
- 29. Pending any modifications necessitated by discovery, Plaintiff preliminarily defines this "Rule 23 Class" as follows:

All employees who worked on or after October 13, 2014.

30. This action is properly brought as a class action for the following reasons.

- 31. Upon information and belief, all of Defendant's hourly employees were subject to Defendant's common policy of failing to pay minimum and overtime wages.
- 32. The class is so numerous that joinder of all the potential class members is impracticable. Plaintiff does not know the exact size of the Class since that information is within Defendant's control. However, Plaintiff believes and alleges that the number of Class Members is in the 50-90 persons range. Membership in the class is readily ascertainable from Defendant's employment records.
- 33. Numerous questions of law and fact regarding Defendant's liability are common to the Class and predominate over any individual issues which may exist. Common questions of law and of fact include: whether Defendant was the statutory employer of the alleged class, whether Defendant failed to reimburse its employees for vehicle and tool expenses, whether Defendant deducted tool expenses from its employees' paychecks, and whether Defendant paid less than the required minimum and overtime wage rates.
- 34. The claims asserted by Plaintiff are typical of the claims of all of the Class Members. This is an uncomplicated case of unpaid minimum and overtime wages. Defendant classified all workers provided to serve Defendant's customers through Icon Cable, Inc. as non-employees. The control Defendant possessed and asserted over the Plaintiff and others similarly situated came through Defendant's contractual relationship with purported subcontractor Icon Cable, Inc. and was uniform across the class of alleged employees. The minimum wage and overtime claims at issue arise from a policy applicable to all members of the class. Each member of the class suffered the same violations that Plaintiff challenges with his claims. If Defendant's non-reimbursement

and deductions policies were unlawful as applied to the representative Plaintiff, they were unlawful as applied to the absent members of the putative class.

- 35. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because numerous identical lawsuits alleging identical causes of action would not serve the interests of judicial economy.
- 36. The representative Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Members of the Class. Because all Class Members were subject to the same violations of law perpetrated by Defendant, the interests of absent Class Members are coincident with, and not antagonistic to, those of Plaintiff. The representative Plaintiff will litigate the Class's claims fully.
- 37. The representative Plaintiff is represented by counsel experienced in wage and hour class action litigation.
- 38. The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class Members which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 39. Those Class Members who worked for Defendant for short periods of time have small claims which they are unlikely to bring individually. All members of the Class have claims which are factually very similar and legally identical to Plaintiff's. Thus, the interest of Members of the Class in individually controlling the prosecution or defense of separate actions is slight, while the broad remedial purposes of the CMWWA counsel toward vindicating the rights of those employees with small claims as part of the larger Class.

- 40. Plaintiff is unaware of any members of the putative class who are interested in presenting their claims in a separate action.
- 41. Plaintiff is aware of no pending litigation commenced by members of the Class concerning the instant controversy.
- 42. It is desirable to concentrate this litigation in this forum because all claims arose in this Judicial District.
- 43. This class action will not be difficult to manage due to the uniformity of claims among the Class Members and the susceptibility of wage and hour cases to both class litigation and the use of representative testimony and representative documentary evidence.
- 44. The contours of the class will be easily defined by reference to the payroll documents that Defendant and its purported subcontractor were legally required to create and maintain. 7 CCR 1103-1 at 12; 29 C.F.R. § 516.2. Notice will be easily distributed because all members of the putative class are or were recently employed by Defendant and Defendant and its purported subcontractor were required to create and maintain records containing the mailing addresses of each class member.

FIRST CLAIM – Failure to Pay Minimum and Overtime Wages Violation of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.)

- 45. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations above as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. Plaintiff asserts this count on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 47. Plaintiff and others were "employees" as that term is defined by the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

- 48. Defendant "employed" Plaintiff and others as that term is defined by the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(g).
- 49. Defendant was Plaintiff's and others' "employers" as that term is defined by the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 50. Defendant violated the FLSA when it refused to pay Plaintiff and others minimum hourly wages. 29 U.S.C. § 206.
- 51. Defendant violated the FLSA when it refused to pay Plaintiff and others proper overtime wages. 29 U.S.C. § 207.
 - 52. Defendant's violations of the FLSA were willful. 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 53. Plaintiff and others have suffered lost wages and lost use of those wages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 54. Plaintiff and others are entitled to recover unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorney fees and costs. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM – Failure to Pay Minimum and Overtime Wages <u>Violation of the CMWA (Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 8-6-101, et seq.) as implemented by</u> the MWO (7 CCR 1103-1)

- 55. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations above as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. Plaintiff asserts this count on his own behalf and on behalf of all other similarly situated employees. Fed.R.Civ.P. 23.
- 57. Defendant was Plaintiff's and others' "employer" as that term is defined by the MWO because it employed Plaintiff and others in Colorado. 7 C.C.R. 1103-1(2).
- 58. Plaintiff and others were Defendant's "employees" as that term is defined by the MWO because they performed labor for the benefit of Defendant in which Defendant

commanded when, where, and how much labor or services would be performed. 7 C.C.R. 1103-1(2).

- 59. Defendant employed Plaintiff and others in a business or enterprise that sells a service to the consuming public and that generates 50% or more of its annual dollar volume of business from such sales, and therefore in an industry regulated by the MWO. 7 C.C.R. 1103-1(2)(A).
- 60. Defendant violated the CMWWA, as implemented by the MWO, when it failed to pay Plaintiff and others minimum wages and overtime premiums. 7 CCR 1103-1(3-4).
- 61. As a result, Plaintiff and others have suffered lost wages and lost use of those wages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 62. Plaintiff and others are entitled to recover in a civil action wages owed to them, together with the costs of suit. Colo. Rev. Stat. § 8-6-118; 7 C.C.R. 1103-1(18).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays, as to his First Claim, that:

- a. This case be certified to proceed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and that appropriate notice of this suit and the opportunity to opt into it be provided to all potential class members;
- b. Plaintiff and the 216(b) Class be awarded unpaid minimum wages;
- c. Plaintiff and the 216(b) Class be awarded unpaid overtime premiums;
- d. Plaintiff and the 216(b) Class be awarded liquidated damages as required by law;
- e. Plaintiff and the 216(b) Class be awarded pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as permitted by law;

- f. Plaintiff and the 216(b) Class be awarded costs and attorney fees as per 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- g. Plaintiff be awarded a service award in recognition of his work as representative of the proposed 216(b) Class; and
- h. Plaintiff and the 216(b) Class be awarded such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

And as to his Second Claim, Plaintiff prays that:

- h. This action be certified as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.P. 23;
- i. Plaintiff be certified as the class representative of the Rule 23 Class as defined above:
- j. Undersigned counsel be appointed Rule 23 Class counsel;
- k. Prompt notice of this litigation be sent to all potential Rule 23 Class members;
- Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Class be awarded the wages they are due, together with the costs of this suit. Colo. Rev. Stat. § 8-6-118; 7 CCR 1103-1(18);
- m. Plaintiff be awarded a service award in recognition of his work as representative of the proposed Rule 23 Class;
- n. Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Class be awarded such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

S/ Brandt Milstein
595 Canyon Boulevard
Boulder, CO 80302
303.440.8780
brandt@milsteinlawoffice.com

<u>S/ Andrew Turner</u>
Buescher, Kelman, Perera & Turner, P.C.
600 Grant Street, Suite 450
Denver, CO 80203
303.333.7751

aturner@laborlawdenver.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

Civil Action No.:

RAMIN SHAHLAI, on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

٧.

COMCAST CORPORATION.

Defendant.

CONSENT TO JOIN LITIGATION

I, Ramin Shahlai, hereby express my intention and consent to join the abovecaptioned case filed by the Milstein Law Office against my former employers alleging violations of wage and hour law.

Date:

Signature:

Case 1:16-cv-02556 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/16 USDC Colorado Page 1 of 2 District of Colorado Form CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 12/11) District of Colorado Form

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
RAMIN SHAHLAI, on his own behalf and				COMCAST CORPORATION.				
on behalf of all others similarly situated				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Arapahoe				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If K	Known)			
Milstein Law Office								
595 Canyon Blvd., Bou	ulder, CO 80302							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)				INCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff, and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		For Diversity Cases O	PTF	DEF Incorporated or P of Business In Th	PTF DEF rincipal Place 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State				
				en or Subject of a reign Country	<u> </u>	3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						T. A. V. V. D. V. D. C. V.		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR	3.7	ORFEITURE/PENAL		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury		25 Drug Related Seizu of Property 21 USC		422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability		_		28 USC 157	410 Antitrust	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/	6	90 Other		PROPERTY RIGHTS	430 Banks and Banking	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			Т	820 Copyrights	450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgment	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	,		ΙĖ	830 Patent	460 Deportation	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Person				840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)		Injury Product Liabi		LABOR		SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	KIY X 7	10 Fair Labor Standard	rds	861 HIA (1395ff)	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	g	Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relat	tions [862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV	
160 Stockholders' Suits	Product Liability	380 Other Personal		40 Railway Labor Act	1.1	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))) 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage	• 🗀 7	51 Family and Medica	i /	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions	
196 Franchise	362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damag Product Liability	ge	Leave Act		003 K31 (403(g))	891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		90 Other Labor Litigat	ation	TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	510 Motions to Vaca	ite 7	91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	Г	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	895 Freedom of Information Act	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	Sentence Habeas Corpus:		Security Act		or Defendant)	896 Arbitration	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	530 General			[871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	899 Administrative Procedure	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	lication	20 030 7009	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & O		52 Naturalization Appl53 Habeas Corpus -	nication		950 Constitutionality of	
	Employment	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee			State Statutes	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	560 Civil Detainee -	_	(Prisoner Petition) 5 Other Immigration				
	448 Education	Conditions of Confiner	ment	Actions				
V. ORIGIN (Place an	"X" in One Box Only)			т	Transform	rad fram	Appeal to District	
	-	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinsta Reopene			red from 6 Multidist Litigation		
Troccoung St		atute under which you		(-	(specify)	•	Wagistrate Judgment	
	29 U.S.C. 201 et sec			zo not ene jurisareno.	THE STATES	es unicss urrensity).		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON	Brief description of cause: AP Docket						
	1	Unpaid wages action						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THE UNDER F.R.C.		IS A CLASS ACTION 23	D \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint: Yes X No		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD				
10/13/2016		Brandt Milstein						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT # AM	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP			JUDO	GE	MAG. JU	JDGE	

Case 1:16-cv-02556 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/16 USDC Colorado Page 2 of 2

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/11) District of Colorado Form

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows.

- **I.** (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- **(b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment noting, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit**. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional

statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

Or: "AP Docket"

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Comcast Class Action Alleges Unpaid Wages</u>