UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA PITTSBURGH DIVISION

JOSHUA SERRETTE and TIMOTHY	§ Docket No
HEMPHILL, individually and on behalf	ŝ
of all others similarly situated,	Š
	S
Plaintiffs,	S
	S
V.	§ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	S
PATRIOT DRILLING FLUIDS,	S CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION
Q'MAX SOLUTIONS,	S
Q'MAX AMERICA, INC.	§ PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)/
	§ FED. R. CIV. P. 23
	Ś
Defendants.	S

ORIGINAL CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

I. SUMMARY

1. Joshua Serrette ("Serrette") and Timothy Hemphill ("Hemphill") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") bring this lawsuit to recover unpaid overtime wages and other damages from Patriot Drilling Fluids, Q'Max Solutions, and Q'Max America, Inc. ("Defendants") under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), the Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act, O.R.C. §§4111 *et seq.*, ("the Ohio Wage Act"), the Ohio Prompt Pay Act ("OPPA"), Ohio Rev. Code §4113.15 (the Ohio Wage Act and the OPPA will be referred to collectively as "the Ohio Acts"), and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 333.104.

2. Plaintiffs worked for Defendants Mud Engineers.¹

3. Plaintiffs and the other workers like them regularly worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours each week.

¹ Mud Engineers are also sometimes referred to as Drilling Fluid Engineers. As used in this Complaint, the term "Mud Engineer" is intended to refer to both titles.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 2 of 14

4. But these workers never received overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single workweek.

5. Instead of paying overtime as required by the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA, Defendants improperly classified Plaintiffs and those similarly situated workers as independent contractors and paid them a daily rate with no overtime compensation.

6. This class and collective action seeks to recover the unpaid overtime wages and other damages owed to these workers.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action involves a federal question under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

8. The Court has federal jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the jurisdictional provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law sub-class pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and Division.

10. Plaintiffs worked exclusively for Defendants in this District and Division and Defendants conduct substantial business operations in this District and Division.

III. THE PARTIES

 Serrette worked for Defendants as a Drilling Fluids Consultant from approximately June 2014 until April 2017.

12. Hemphill worked for Defendants as a Drilling Fluids Consultant from approximately September 2014 until May 2017.

13. Throughout their employment with Defendants, Plaintiffs were paid a day-rate with no overtime compensation and were classified as independent contractors.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 3 of 14

14. Sennette's consent to be a party plaintiff is attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

15. Hemphill's consent to be a party plaintiff is attached as Exhibit B.

16. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated workers who were classified as independent contractors and paid by Defendants' day-rate system. Defendants paid each of these workers a flat amount for each day worked and failed to pay them overtime for all hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek in accordance with the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA.

17. The class of similarly situated employees or putative class members sought to be certified is defined as follows:

ALL CURRENT AND FORMER DRILLING FLUID CONSULTANTS WHO WERE CLASSIFIED AS INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS AND PAID A DAY-RATE DURING THE LAST THREE (3) YEARS. ("Putative Class Members")

18. Hemphill also seeks class certification of such a class under FED. R. CIV. P. 23 under the PMWA.

19. Serrette seeks class certification of such a class under FED. R. CIV. P. 23 under the Ohio Wage Acts.

20. Defendant **Patriot Drilling Fluids**, is a Colorado and Pennsylvania corporation doing business throughout the United States, including Ohio and Pennsylvania. Patriot may be served by serving its registered agent for service of process, **Corporation Service Company, at 1700 Katy**

Freeway, Suite 200, Houston, TX 77079.

21. Defendants **Q'Max Solutions** and **Q'Max America, Inc.** are Delaware corporations, registered to conduct business throughout the United States, including Ohio and Pennsylvania. These Defendants may be served by serving their registered agents, **Corporation Service Company, 2595**

Interstate Drive, Suite 103, Harrisburg, Pa. 17110.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 4 of 14

IV. COVERAGE UNDER THE FLSA

22. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been employers within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

23. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been part of an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

24. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been part of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has and has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials – such as tools, cell phones, and personal protective equipment - that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and in that Defendants have had and have an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$1,000,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

25. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

26. As will be shown through this litigation, Defendants treated Plaintiffs (and indeed all of its workers that it classified as independent contractors and paid a daily rate to without overtime compensation) as employees and uniformly dictated the pay practices of Plaintiffs' and its other workers including its so-called "independent contractors".

27. Defendants misclassification of Plaintiffs as independent contractors does not alter their status as employees for purposes of the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, or the PMWA.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 5 of 14

V. FACTS

28. Defendants are an oil and natural gas exploration and production company operating throughout the United States, including Ohio and Pennsylvania. To complete their business objectives, Defendants hire personnel to perform work as drilling fluid consultants or mud engineers.

29. Many of these individuals worked for Defendants on a day-rate basis, were misclassified as independent contractors, and make up the proposed Putative Class. While exact job titles and job duties may differ, these employees are subjected to the same or similar illegal pay practices for similar work.

30. Defendant classified all its drilling fluid consultants as independent contractors and paid them a flat sum for each day worked, regardless of the number of hours that they worked that day (or in that workweek) and failed to provide them with overtime pay for hours that they worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

31. For example, Serrette worked for Defendants as a drilling fluids consultant from approximately June 2014 until April 2017. Throughout his employment with Defendants, he was classified as an independent contractor and paid on a day-rate basis.

32. Hemphill worked for Defendants as a drilling fluids consultant from approximately September 2014 until May 2017. Throughout his employment with Defendants, he was classified as an independent contractor and paid on a day-rate basis.

33. As consultants, Plaintiffs' primary job duties included monitoring drilling fluids activities at jobsites, operating oilfield equipment, coordinating transfer of fluids between rigs, controlling drilling fluids within defined specifications, and building and maintaining various fluid systems associated with the drilling and completion of wells, as needed. Plaintiffs worked in excess of 40 hours each week while employed by Defendants, often for weeks at time.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 6 of 14

34. The work Plaintiffs performed was an essential and integral part of Defendants' core business.

35. During Plaintiffs' employment with Defendants while he was classified as an independent contractor, Defendants exercised control over all aspects of their job.

36. Defendants did not require any substantial investment by Plaintiffs for them to perform the work required of them.

37. Defendants determined Plaintiffs' opportunity for profit and loss. Plaintiffs were not required to possess any unique or specialized skillset (other than that maintained by all other employees in their respective position) to perform their job duties.

38. Defendants and its clients controlled all the significant or meaningful aspects of the job duties performed by Plaintiffs.

39. Defendants and its clients determined the hours and locations Plaintiffs worked, tools used, and rates of pay received.

40. Even though Plaintiffs often worked away from Defendants' offices without the presence of a direct supervisor employed by Defendants, Defendants still controlled all aspects of Plaintiffs' job activities by enforcing mandatory compliance with Defendants' and its client's policies and procedures.

41. No real investment was required of Plaintiffs to perform their jobs.

42. More often than not, Plaintiffs utilized equipment provided by Defendants and/or its clients to perform their job duties. Plaintiffs did not provide the equipment they worked with on a daily basis.

43. Defendants and/or its clients made the large capital investments in buildings, machines, equipment, tools, and supplied in the business in which Plaintiffs worked.

44. Plaintiffs did not incur operating expenses like rent, payroll, marketing, and insurance.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 7 of 14

45. Plaintiffs were economically dependent on Defendants during their employment.

46. Defendants set Plaintiffs' rates of pay, their work schedules, and prohibited them from working other jobs for other companies while they were working on jobs for Defendants.

47. Defendants directly determined Plaintiffs' opportunity for profit and loss. Plaintiffs' earning opportunities were based on the number of days Defendants scheduled them to work.

48. Very little skill, training, or initiative was required of Plaintiffs to perform their job duties. Indeed, the daily and weekly activities of the Putative Class Members were routine and largely governed by standardized plans, procedures, and checklists created by Defendants and/or its clients. Virtually every job function was pre-determined by Defendants and/or its clients, including the tools to use at a job site, the data to compile, the schedule of work, and related work duties. The Putative Class Members were prohibited from varying their job duties outside of the pre-determined parameters.

49. Moreover, the job functions of the Putative Class Members were primarily manual labor/technical in nature, requiring little to no official training, much less a college education or other advanced degree.

50. The Putative Class Members did not have any supervisory or management duties.

51. Plaintiffs were not employed by Defendants on a project-by-project basis. In fact, while Plaintiffs were classified as independent contractors, they were regularly on call for Defendants and/or its clients and were expected to drop everything and work whenever needed.

52. All of the Putative Class Members perform the same or similar job duties and are subjected to the same or similar policies and procedures which dictate the day-to-day activities performed by each person.

53. The Putative Class Members also worked similar hours and were denied overtime as a result of the same illegal pay practice.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 8 of 14

54. Defendants' policy of failing to pay their independent contractors, including Plaintiffs, overtime violates the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA because these workers are, for all purposes, employees performing non-exempt job duties.

55. It is undisputed that the contractors are operating oilfield machinery, performing manual labor, and working long hours out in the field.

56. Because Plaintiffs (and Defendants' other independent contractors) were misclassified as independent contractors by Defendants, they should receive overtime for all hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours in each workweek.

57. Defendants day-rate system violates the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA because Plaintiffs and those similarly situated did not receive any overtime pay for hours worked over 40 hours each week.

VI. FLSA VIOLATIONS

58. As set forth herein, Defendants have violated, and are violating, Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating such employees for their employment in excess of forty (40) hours per week at rates no less than 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ times the regular rates for which they were employed.

59. Defendants knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard carried out this illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay the Putative Class Members overtime compensation. Defendants failure to pay overtime compensation to these employees was neither reasonable, nor was the decision not to pay overtime made in good faith.

60. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and all those who are similarly situated are entitled to overtime wages under the FLSA in an amount equal to 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ times their rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs.

VII. **PMWA** VIOLATIONS

61. Hemphill brings this claim under the PMWA as a Rule 23 class action.

62. The conduct alleged violates the PMWA (43 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 333.104).

63. At all relevant times, Defendants were subject to the requirements of the PMWA.

64. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Hemphill and each Class Member with Pennsylvania state law claims as an "employee" within the meaning of the PMWA.

65. The PMWA requires employers like Defendants to pay employees at one and one-half (1.5) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any one week. Hemphill and each member of the Pennsylvania Class are entitled to overtime pay under the PMWA.

66. Defendants have and had a policy and practice of misclassifying Hemphill and each member of the Pennsylvania class as independent contractors and failing to pay these workers overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

67. Hemphill and each member of the Pennsylvania Class seek unpaid overtime in amount equal to 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, prejudgment interest, all available penalty wages, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

68. Hemphill and each member of the Pennsylvania Class also seek recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, to be paid by Defendants, as provided by the PMWA.

VIII. OHIO WAGE ACT VIOLATIONS

69. Serrette brings this claim under the Ohio Wage Act as a Rule 23 class action.

70. The conduct alleged violates the Ohio Wage Act (O.R.C. §§4111).

71. At all relevant times, Defendants were and are subject to the requirements of the Ohio Wage Act.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 10 of 14

72. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Serrette and each Class Member with Ohio state law claims as an "employee" within the meaning of the Ohio Wage Act.

73. The Ohio Wage Act requires employers like Defendants to pay employees at one and one-half (1.5) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any one week. Serrette and each member of the Ohio Wage Act Class are entitled to overtime pay under the Ohio Wage Acts.

74. Defendants had a policy and practice of misclassifying Serrette and each member of the Ohio Wage Act class as independent contractors and failing to pay these workers overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

75. Serrette and each member of the Ohio Wage Act Class seek unpaid overtime in amount equal to 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, prejudgment interest, all available penalty wages, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

76. Serrette and each member of the Ohio Wage Act Class also seek recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, to be paid by Defendants, as provided by the Ohio Wage Act.

IX. CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

77. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs and alleges that the illegal pay practices Defendants imposed on Plaintiffs were likewise imposed on the Putative Class Members.

78. Numerous individuals were victimized by this pattern, practice, and policy which is in willful violation of the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA.

79. Numerous other individuals who worked with Plaintiffs indicated they were improperly classified as independent contractors, paid in the same manner, performed similar work, and were not properly compensated for all hours worked as required by state and federal wage laws.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 11 of 14

80. Based on their experiences and tenure with Defendants, Plaintiffs are aware that Defendants' illegal practices were imposed on the Putative Class Members.

81. The Putative Class Members were all improperly classified as independent contractors and not afforded the overtime compensation when they worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

82. Defendants failure to pay wages and overtime compensation at the rates required by state and/or federal law result from generally applicable, systematic policies, and practices which are not dependent on the personal circumstances of the Putative Class Members.

83. Plaintiffs' experiences are therefore typical of the experiences of the Putative Class Members.

84. The specific job titles or precise job locations of the Putative Class Members do not prevent class or collective treatment.

85. Plaintiffs have no interests contrary to, or in conflict with, the Putative Class Members. Like each Putative Class Member, Plaintiffs have an interest in obtaining the unpaid overtime wages owed to them under state and/or federal law.

86. A class and collective action, such as the instant one, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit.

87. Absent this action, many Putative Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendants will reap the unjust benefits of violating the FLSA and applicable state labor laws.

88. Furthermore, even if some of the Putative Class Members could afford individual litigation against Defendants, it would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system.

89. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1 Filed 07/11/17 Page 12 of 14

90. The questions of law and fact common to the Putative Class Members predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members. Among the common questions of law and fact are:

- a. Whether Defendants employed the Putative Class Members within the meaning of the applicable state and federal statutes, including the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA;
- b. Whether the Putative Class Members were improperly misclassified as independent contractors;
- c. Whether Defendants decision to classify the Putative Class Members as independent contractors was made in good faith;
- d. Whether Defendants decision to not pay time and a half for overtime to the
 Putative Class Members was made in good faith;
- e. Whether Defendants violation of the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA was willful; and
- f. Whether Defendants illegal pay practices were applied uniformly across the nation to all Putative Class Members.

91. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Putative Class Members. Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members sustained damages arising out of Defendants illegal and uniform employment policy.

92. Plaintiffs know of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its ability to go forward as a collective or class action.

93. Although the issue of damages may be somewhat individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. Therefore, this issue does not preclude collective and class action treatment.

X. JURY DEMAND

94. Plaintiffs demands a trial by jury.

XI. RELIEF SOUGHT

- 95. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:
 - a. An Order designating this lawsuit as a collective action and permitting the issuance of a notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated individuals with instructions to permit them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
 - For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Defendants liable
 for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members for
 liquidated damages equal in amount to their unpaid compensation;
 - c. For an Order designating the state law classes as class actions pursuant to Fed.R. Civ. P. 23;
 - d. For an Order appointing Plaintiffs and their counsel as Class Counsel to represent the interests of the both the federal and state law classes;
 - e. For an Order awarding attorneys' fees, costs and pre- and post-judgment interest; and
 - f. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Joshua P. Geist</u>

Joshua P. Geist PA. I.D. No. 85745 **GOODRICH & GEIST, P.C.** 3634 California Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15212 Tel: (412) 766-1455 Fax: (412)766-0300 josh@goodrichandgeist.com

AND

Michael A. Josephson Texas Bar No. 24014780 (Pending Pro Hac Vice) Andrew W. Dunlap Texas Bar No. 24078444 (Pending Pro Hac Vice) Lindsay R. Itkin State Bar No. 24068647 (Pending Pro Hac Vice) Jessica M. Bresler State Bar No. 24090008 (Pending Pro Hac Vice) JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM 11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050 Houston, Texas 77046 713-352-1100 - Telephone 713-352-3300 - Facsimile mjosephson@mybackwages.com adunlap@mybackwages.com litkin@mybackwages.com jbresler@mybackwages.com

AND

Richard J. (Rex) Burch Texas Bar No. 24001807 **BRUCKNER BURCH, P.L.L.C.** 8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77046 713-877-8788 – Telephone 713-877-8065 – Facsimile rburch@brucknerburch.com

ATTORNEYS IN CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF

Case 2:17-cv-00914-Als Document 1 HEED 07/11/17 Page 1 of 3

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
JOSHUA SERRETTE and TIMOTHY HEMPHILL, individually and behalf of all others similarly situated, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>St. Martin Parish Co</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				PATRIOT DRILLING FLUIDS, Q'MAX SOLUTIONS, Q'MAX AMERICA, INC. County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Joshua P. Geist, Goodric PA 15212	h & Geist, PC, 3634 C	alifornia Ave., Pitts	burgh,				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State			
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)		itizen of Another State 2 2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State			
	-			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	F	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 70 duct Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y 0 62 C 69 XTY X 71 0 72 1 74 1 75 NS 79 2 46	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 	
	moved from \Box 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		stated or 5 Transfe bened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	29 U.S.C. & 216/F	o)	re filing (1	onot cite jurisdictional stat			
	Violation of the Fa	air Labor Standards					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ∴ X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 07/10/2017		signature of at /s/ Joshua P. G		OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AN	Save As.	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

JS 44AREVISED June, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A

This case belongs on the ($\,$ $\,$ $\,$ Erie O Johnstown • Pittsburgh) calendar.

- 1. ERIE CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 2. JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the _____resides in _____ County.
- 4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the resides in County.

PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. O This case is related to Number_____ . Short Caption____

2. O This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

PARTC

10.0

I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).

- 1. O Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
- 2. O Labor-Management Relations
- 3. O Habeas corpus
- 4. **O** Civil Rights
- 5. Ŏ Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
- 6. **O** Eminent Domain
- All other federal question cases
- 7. O 8. O All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
- 9. O Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
 - Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

Date: 07/30/15

Joshua P. Geist

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH ÔŠÞRU MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print Name: Joshua J Serrette

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against Patriot Drilling Fluids to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: Joshua J Serrette (Jun 14, 2017)

EXHIBIT B

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print Name: <u>Timothy</u>

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against Patriot Drilling Fluids to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: Timothy (Jan 13, 2017)

Date Signed: _____Jun 13, 2017

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1-4 Filed 07/11/17 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

JOSHUA SERRETTE and TIMOTHY HEMPHILL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

PATRIOT DRILLING FLUIDS, Q'MAX SOLUTIONS, Q'MAX AMERICA, INC.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

)

To: (Defendant's name and address) Patriot Drilling Fluids By and through its registered agent: Corporation Service Company 1700 Katy Freeway, Suite 200 Houston, TX 77079

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Joshua P. Geist

Goodrich & Geist, PC 3634 California Ave. Pittsburg, PA 15212

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	e of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	□ I personally served t	the summons on the individual a	t (place)				
	on (<i>date</i>); or						
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	□ I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to a	ccept service of process on beha					
			on (date)	; or			
	\Box I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; or			
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.				
Date:							
Date.			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1-5 Filed 07/11/17 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

JOSHUA SERRETTE and TIMOTHY HEMPHILL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

PATRIOT DRILLING FLUIDS, Q'MAX SOLUTIONS, Q'MAX AMERICA, INC.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Q'Max America, Inc. By and through its registered agent: Corporation Service Company 2595 Interstate Drive, Suite 103

Harrisburg, PA 17110

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Joshua P. Geist

Goodrich & Geist, PC 3634 California Ave. Pittsburg, PA 15212

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)					
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides t						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	\Box I served the summa	ons on (name of individual)		, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or		
	Other (<i>specify</i>):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information i	s true.			
Datas						
Date:			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

Case 2:17-cv-00914-AJS Document 1-6 Filed 07/11/17 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

JOSHUA SERRETTE and TIMOTHY HEMPHILL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

PATRIOT DRILLING FLUIDS, Q'MAX SOLUTIONS, Q'MAX AMERICA, INC.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Q'Max Solutions

By and through its registered agent: Corporation Service Company 2595 Interstate Drive, Suite 103 Harrisburg, PA 17110

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Joshua P. Geist

Goodrich & Geist, PC 3634 California Ave. Pittsburg, PA 15212

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	e of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)				
	on (<i>date</i>) ; or						
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (<i>date</i>) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
		ccept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)		, who is		
			on (date)	; or			
	□ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because			; or		
	Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.0	<u>0</u> .		
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.				
-							
Date:			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Two Consultants Sue Patriot Drilling Fluids</u>, <u>Q'Max Over Unpaid Wages</u>