Counsel for Plaintiffs McCarthy Weisberg Cummings, P.C. 2041 Herr Street Harrisburg, PA 17103-1624 (717) 238-5707

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARK M. SCHOEMAKER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BROOKS AUTOMATION, INC.

Defendant.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT - COLLECTIVE ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

## THIS FIRM IS A DEBT COLLECTOR AND WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT OWED TO OUR CLIENTS. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM YOU WILL BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF COLLECTING THE DEBT.

#### **COMPLAINT - COLLECTIVE ACTION**

Plaintiffs, MARK M. SCHOEMAKER ("Mr. Schoemaker" or "Named Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through undersigned counsel, McCarthy Weisberg Cummings, P.C., hereby file this Complaint - Collective Action ("Complaint") against Brooks Automation, Inc. ("Brooks" or "Defendant") for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), as well as individual claims pursuant to the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act of 1968, 43 P.S. § 333.101 *et seq.* ("PMWA") and the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law, 43 P.S. § 260.1 *et seq.* ("PWPCL"), as follows:

## **PARTIES**

### A. <u>Named Plaintiff</u>

1. Mr. Schoemaker was employed as a Field Service Engineer at Brooks from on or about July 5, 2011 to on or about August 11, 2017. Mr. Schoemaker is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at 3123 Woodlea Road, Lehigh County, Orefield, Pennsylvania. Mr. Schoemaker's consent to join the collective action aspect of this lawsuit is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

## B. <u>Defendant</u>

2. Brooks is a foreign corporation incorporated in Delaware with a registered address in care of (c/o) Corporation Service Company, 2595 Interstate

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 3 of 18

Drive, Suite 103, Dauphin County, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110 and a corporate headquarters address of 15 Elizabeth Drive, Middlesex County, Chelmsford, Massachusetts 01824.

3. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Brooks conducted business operations and employed Mr. Schoemaker within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

4. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Brooks has been an employer within the meaning of the FLSA, PMWA, and PWPCL.

5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Brooks has been an enterprise within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Brooks has been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA because it has engaged employees in commerce. 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).

7. Brooks has had, and continues to have, an annual gross income of sales made or business done of not less than 0.29 U.S.C.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. The FLSA authorizes court actions by private parties to recover damages for violations of the FLSA's wage and hour provisions. Subject matter

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 4 of 18

jurisdiction over these FLSA claims arises under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

9. The PMWA and PWPCL each provide for a private right of action to enforce their provisions. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Pennsylvania state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

10. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because Brooks does business in this district and substantial unlawful conduct giving rise to the claims set forth in this Complaint occurred in this district.

## **BACKGROUND**

11. Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit were field services engineers at Brooks and did not have an advanced engineering degree, and acquired their skill by experience rather than by an advanced specialized intellectual instruction with respect to their job qualifications.

12. Defendant told Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit that they would earn a salary and would neither be paid by the hour or an overtime rate, although federal and state law requires paying them by the hour and at an overtime rate for hours in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 5 of 18

13. Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit are entitled to compensation for certain travel time that was integral and indispensable to their work for Defendant as work time.

14. From the date of hire, Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit frequently had to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

15. Defendant willfully refused to pay Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit overtime for the time they worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week from their date of hire through on or about April 3, 2017, when Defendant reclassified field service engineers as nonexempt under the FLSA.

16. Defendant has willfully violated the FLSA, related federal regulations, and Pennsylvania wage and hour laws through its failure to properly pay Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit for all overtime hours they have worked. In particular, Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit often worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, and in such cases Defendant did not pay additional compensation of, at least, the legally mandated rate. Specifically, Defendants forced Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit to work beyond their shift end time without pay.

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 6 of 18

Defendants knew and have been aware at all times that Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, and yet failed to pay them for all overtime hours they worked.

17. Further, in violation of federal and state laws, including without limitation, the FLSA and Pennsylvania wage and hour laws, Defendant did not post employees' rights posters and/or provide any required notices to employees, including Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit, regarding their rights under such laws, despite Defendant having multiple in-house lawyers advising it on compliance with wage payment laws. These actions demonstrate that Defendant's non-compliance was willful, malicious, and in knowing violation of the federal and state laws addressed herein.

18. Defendant willfully failed to pay Named Plaintiff for accrued vacation.

19. Defendant willfully withheld compensation in the form of a regular contribution to Named Plaintiff's 401(k) retirement account.

20. Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit were subjected to Defendant's common plan of depriving them overtime pay.

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 7 of 18

21. With respect to Named Plaintiff's FLSA claims, Named Plaintiff seeks to represent all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit as a collective class (the "FLSA Class") that is comprised of and defined as:

All persons employed by Brooks Automation, Inc. during the applicable statute of limitations period who were required to work more than forty (40) hours per week without being paid at overtime rates.

22. The FLSA aspect of this lawsuit is being brought as a collective action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) because Named Plaintiff and the FLSA Class are similar in that they all: (a) had similar duties; (b) performed similar tasks; (c) were not paid overtime compensation; and (d) were not subject to individualized circumstances that impact their right to recover the damages sought herein.

23. Named Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the FLSA Class. Named Plaintiff and the FLSA Class have retained counsel experienced and competent in collective/class actions and complex employment litigation.

24. Named Plaintiff has no conflict of interest with the FLSA Class.

25. The books and records of Defendant are material to Plaintiffs' claims as they disclose the hours worked and wages paid to Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Class.

## COUNT I

## VIOLATIONS OF THE FLSA (Plaintiffs v. Defendant)

26. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs of this Complaint herein by reference as if more fully set forth at length.

27. At all times material herein, Plaintiffs have been entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq*.

28. Plaintiffs are "employees" and Defendant is or was collectively and individually their "employer" covered under Section 203 of the FLSA.

29. The FLSA regulates, among other things, the payment of overtime to employees who are engaged in interstate commerce, or engaged in the production of goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. 29 U.S.C. 207(a)(1).

30. Section 13 of the FLSA exempts certain categories of employees from overtime pay obligations. None of the FLSA exemptions apply to Plaintiffs. 29 U.S.C. § 213.

31. As set forth above, Defendant violated the overtime provisions of the FLSA as it failed to pay Plaintiffs the required one and one-half times  $(1\frac{1}{2})$  times for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek, in violation of 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a) and 215(a)(2). Overtime pay is, therefore, due and owing to Plaintiffs.

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 9 of 18

32. Defendant's failures to pay Plaintiffs overtime at one and one-half times (1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) times Plaintiffs' regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek was willful, intentional, and malicious.

33. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay for overtime. In the course of perpetrating these unlawful practices, Defendant also willfully failed to keep accurate records of all hours worked by its employees, including Plaintiffs.

34. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages incurred within the three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling, because Defendant acted willfully and knew, or showed reckless disregard for, whether its conduct was prohibited by the FLSA.

35. Defendant has not acted in good faith or with reasonable grounds to believe that its actions and omissions were not in violation of the FLSA, and as a result thereof, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid overtime pay permitted by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Alternatively, should this Honorable Court find Defendant did not act willfully in failing to pay overtime pay, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

36. As a result of the aforesaid willful violations of the FLSA's overtime pay provisions, overtime compensation has been unlawfully withheld by Defendant from Plaintiffs. Accordingly, Defendant is liable under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b),

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 10 of 18

together with an additional amount as liquidated damages, pre-judgment and postjudgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of this action.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiff, Mark M. Schoemaker, and all Plaintiffs opting into this collective action (the "FLSA Class"), respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter Judgment in their favor and against Defendant, Brooks Automation, Inc., as follows:

- (a) Certify Count I as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (b) Order Defendant to file with this Court and furnish to Plaintiffs' counsel a list of all names and addresses of all Brooks' employees who were required to work more than forty (40) hours per week without being paid at overtime rates during the applicable statute of limitations period;
- (c) Authorize Plaintiffs' counsel to issue notice at the earliest possible time to all current and former Brooks' employees who were required to work more than forty (40) hours per week without being paid at overtime rates during the applicable statute of limitations period, informing them that this action has been filed, the nature of the action, and of their right to opt-in to this lawsuit if they were required to work more than forty (40) hours per week without being paid at overtime

rates during the applicable statute of limitations period and/or were not paid overtime pay at the proper rate;

- (d) Enter judgment in favor of Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA
   Class against Defendant for violations of the FLSA's overtime requirements;
- (e) Award Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Class their unpaid overtime wages, in an amount to be shown at trial;
- (f) Award Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Class an additional equal amount as liquidated damages;
- (g) Award Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Class all compensatory, exemplary, liquidated, and punitive damage, including any vacation pay, retirement pay and/or tax consequences;
- (h) Award Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Class pre- and post-judgment interest at the legal rate;
- (i) Award Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Class their costs and reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action;
- (j) Enter an Order enjoining Defendant from future violations of the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA; and
- (k) Award Named Plaintiff and members of the FLSA Class any other relief that this Court or a jury deems appropriate.

### COUNT II

## VIOLATIONS OF THE PMWA (Named Plaintiff v. Defendant)

37. Named Plaintiff incorporates all previous paragraphs of this Complaint herein by reference as if more fully set forth at length.

38. Defendant is an "employer" as that term is defined under the PMWA, 43 Pa.C.S. § 333.103(g), and is thereby subject to liability for overtime wages, liquidated damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and other costs for non-payment of salary and/or wages under the PMWA, 43 Pa.C.S. § 333.101 *et seq*.

39. As set forth above, Defendant, by its conduct, violated the overtime provisions of the PMWA as it failed to pay Named Plaintiff the required one and one-half times (1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) times for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek, in violation of 43 Pa.C.S. § 333.104(c). Overtime pay is, therefore, due and owing to Named Plaintiff.

40. The PMWA requires that Defendant compensate Named Plaintiff at a rate of at least one and one-half (1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) times his usual hourly wage for each hour of overtime. 43 Pa.C.S. § 333.104(c).

41. The total amount of compensation due to Named Plaintiff by Defendant constitutes wages under 43 Pa.C.S. § 333.103(d), and failure to pay the amount due constitutes a violation of the PMWA.

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 13 of 18

42. Defendant's failure and refusal to pay overtime wages was willful, intentional, and not in good faith.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiff, Mark M. Schoemaker, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter Judgment in his favor and against Defendant, Brooks Automation, Inc., as follows:

- (a) Enter judgment in favor of Named Plaintiff for violations of the PMWA's overtime requirements;
- (b) Award Named Plaintiff his unpaid overtime wages for being required to work more than forty (40) hours per week without being paid at overtime rates during the applicable statute of limitations period, in an amount to be shown at trial;
- (c) Award Named Plaintiff liquidated damages as provided under Pennsylvania law;
- (d) Award Named Plaintiff all compensatory, exemplary, liquidated, and punitive damage, including any vacation pay, retirement pay and/or tax consequences;
- (e) Award Named Plaintiff pre- and post-judgment interest at the legal rate;
- (f) Award Named Plaintiff his costs and reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action;

- (g) Enter an Order enjoining Defendant from future violations of the overtime provisions of the PMWA; and
- (h) Award Named Plaintiff any other relief that this Court or a jury deems appropriate.

## **COUNT III**

## VIOLATIONS OF THE PWPCL (Named Plaintiff v. Defendant)

43. Named Plaintiff incorporates all previous paragraphs of this Complaint herein by reference as if more fully set forth at length.

44. Defendant is an "employer" as that term is defined under the PWPCL, and is thereby subject to liability for wages, liquidated damages and reasonable attorneys' fees for non-payment of salary and/or wages under the PWPCL, 43 Pa.C.S. § 260.1, *et seq*.

45. Named Plaintiff is entitled to be paid one and one-half times  $(1\frac{1}{2})$  times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek, as provided by, *inter alia*, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a) and 43 Pa.C.S. § 333.104(c). The failure to pay said wages constituting a violation of 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(2) and 43 Pa.C.S. § 333.104(c).

46. Defendant has failed to pay Named Plaintiff one and one-half times  $(1\frac{1}{2})$  times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek, in violation of PMWA. Defendant has, therefore, failed to regularly pay

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 15 of 18

Named Plaintiff for all earned wages from the date he was first employed, through and including the present, in violation of the PWPCL. Accordingly, wages in the form of overtime pay are due and owing to Named Plaintiff pursuant to Section 260.3(a) of the PWPCL. Further, Defendant has violated the PWPCL through its failure to pay Named Plaintiff all wages due for work he performed upon its termination of his employment pursuant to Section 260.5(a) of the PWPCL.

47. Defendant also failed to pay Named Plaintiff for accrued vacation time and failed to make regular contributions to Named Plaintiff's 401(k) retirement account.

48. The total amount due Named Plaintiff by Defendant constitutes wages under Section 260.2a of the PWPCL, and failure to pay the amount due constitutes a violation of the PWPCL.

49. In accordance with Section 260.10 of the PWPCL, by reason of Defendant's actions, Named Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages in an amount equal to twenty-five percent (25%) of the wages due in addition to all wages due.

50. In accordance with Section 260.9a of the PWPCL, by reason of Defendant's actions, Named Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees associated with this action.

51. The wages withheld from Named Plaintiff was not the result of any bona fide dispute.

#### Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 16 of 18

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiff, Mark M. Schoemaker, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter Judgment in his favor and against Defendant, Brooks Automation, Inc., as follows:

- (a) Enter judgment in favor of Named Plaintiff against Defendant for failing to pay wages in violation of the PWPCL;
- (b) Award Named Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to twenty-five percent (25%) of the wages due in addition to all wages due, in an amount to be shown at trial;
- (c) Award Named Plaintiff all compensatory, exemplary, liquidated, and punitive damage, including any vacation pay, retirement pay and/or tax consequences;
- (d) Award Named Plaintiff pre- and post-judgment interest at the legal rate;
- (e) Award Named Plaintiff his costs and reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action;
- (f) Enter an Order enjoining Defendant from future violations of the wage provisions of the PWPCL; and
- (g) Award Named Plaintiff any other relief that this Court or a jury deems appropriate.

## JURÝ DEMAND

Named Plaintiff and all Plaintiffs opting into the collective action aspect of this lawsuit demand a trial by jury on all issues triable to a jury as a matter of right.

Respectfully submitted,

McCarthy Weisberg Cummings, P.C.

Derrek W. Cummings Bar I.D. No.: PA 83286 dcummings@mwcfirm.com

Larry A. Weisberg Bar I.D. No.: PA 83410 lweisberg@mwcfirm.com

Steve T. Mahan Bar I.D. No.: PA 313550 smahan@mwcfirm.com

2041 Herr Street Harrisburg, PA 17103-1624 (717) 238-5707 (717) 233-8133 (FAX)

Counsel for Plaintiffs

<u>August 25, 2017</u> Date

#### **CONSENT TO BECOME A PARTY PLAINTIFF**

Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff in the foregoing action.

Date: 10/26/1959

i

 $\checkmark$ 

Mark M. Schoemaker

## Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1-1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		ter an és en annaisean anna an t-chan	T	DEFENDAN	TS		<u></u>	
MARK M. SCHOEMAKER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,				BROOKS AUTOMATION, INC.				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lehigh				County of Residence of First Listed Defend		dant Middlesex (Massachusetts)		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND THE TRA	<i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF)</i> CONDEMNATION CASE ACT OF LAND INVOLVED	S. USE THE LC	OCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Know	wn)			
McCarthy Weisberg Curr 2041 Herr Street, Harrist		717) 238-5707						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)			F PRINCIPAL PAR		e an "X" in One Box I One Box for Defend	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		For Diversity Cases Onl	PTF DEF	ated or Principal siness In This St	PTF	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizen			ated and Principal Place 5 5 5 siness In Another State		
				or Subject of a eign Country	3 3 Foreign	Nation	□ 6	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.								
CONTRACT     110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		RFEITURE/PENALT Drug Related Seizure	Y         BANKRUPTC           I 422 Appeal 28 USC		75 False Claims Act	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 88	81 🗇 423 Withdrawal		76 Qui Tam (31 US	
<ul> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> </ul>	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	<b>690</b>	Other	28 USC 157	04	3729(a)) 00 State Reapportion	nment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIG	errs 🗆 🗆 4	10 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			<ul> <li>820 Copyrights</li> <li>830 Patent</li> </ul>		30 Banks and Banki 50 Commerce	ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal	1		835 Patent - Abbrev	iated 🛛 4	60 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	<ul> <li>340 Marine</li> <li>345 Marine Product</li> </ul>	Injury Product Liability			New Drug Appl	ication 🛛 4	70 Racketeer Influer Corrupt Organiza	
□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	RTY	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURI		80 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)		90 Cable/Sat TV 50 Securities/Comm	adition/
□ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<ul> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>380 Other Personal</li> </ul>		Act Labor/Management	<ul> <li>862 Black Lung (92</li> <li>863 DIWC/DIWW (</li> </ul>		Exchange	iounies/
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI		90 Other Statutory A	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	🗇 865 RSI (405(g))		91 Agricultural Acts 93 Environmental M	
	Medical Malpractice	-		Leave Act		the second s	95 Freedom of Infor	mation
REAL PROPERTY     210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS     440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX S		Act 96 Arbitration	
□ 220 Foreclosure	□ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	or Defendant)		99 Administrative P	rocedure
<ul> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> </ul>	□ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	e		□ 871 IRS—Third Par	ty	Act/Review or A	
245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			26 USC 7609	<b>D</b> 9	Agency Decision 50 Constitutionality	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State Statutes	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:		Naturalization Applica Other Immigration	ation			
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions				
	448 Education	<ul> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detainee -</li> </ul>	1997 - 19					
		Conditions of						
		Confinement					<u></u>	
		Remanded from	<b>J</b> 4 Reinst	ated or 🗍 5 Trai	nsferred from <b>G</b> 6 M	fultidistrict	🗆 8 Multidi	strict
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reope		other District L	itigation - ransfer	Litigati Direct F	on -
	Eair Labor Stand	tute under which you an ards Act of 1938, 29						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	DN Brief description of ca		90.3.C.	<u>39 201 et seq. (</u>	FLSA J			
			Plaintiff a	nd all others sim	nilarly situated earne	d overtime	wages	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DE	MAND \$	CHECK Y JURY DE	-	nanded in compla	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUME	BER		
DATE		SGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF	RECORD	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
08/25/2017		Dunkwill	$\overline{\sqrt{1}}$	<u> </u>				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			T					
RECEIPT # AN	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	E N	IAG. JUDGE		

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

MARK M. SCHOEMAKER, individually and	:	CIVIL ACTION	CIVIL ACTION	
on behalf of all others similarly situated,	:			
V.	•			
BROOKS AUTOMATION, INC.	:	NO.		

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

#### SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	( )
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	(X)
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	( )

Derrek W. Cummir

Attorney-at-law

Plaintiffs, Mark M. Schoemaker, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Attorney for

dcummings@mwcfirm.com

(717) 238-5707

August 25, 2017

(717) 233-8133

Telephone

Date

FAX Number

**E-Mail Address** 

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## Case 5:17-cv-03872-JLS Document 1-3 Filed 08/28/17 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to b assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: Mark M. Schoemaker, 3123 Woodlea Road, Ore	efield, Pennsylvania 18069
Address of Defendant: Brooks Automation, Inc., 15 Elizabeth Drive, C	helmsford, Massachusetts 01824
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 3123 Woodlea Road, Orefield, Pe	ennsvivania 18069
(Use Reverse Side For A	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a) Question is reserved for Defendant, Brooks Automation, Inc.	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:           Case Number:	Date Terminated:
Judge	Date reminated.
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?
ŢŢŢ	Yes□ No <b>X</b>
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court?	Yes□ No <b>∅</b>
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No <b>X</b>
A Table	C1-1 h
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	Yes No
	105-
CIVIL: (Place 🖌 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. 🗆 FELA	2. 🗆 Airplane Personal Injury
3. 🗆 Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. 🗆 Assault, Defamation
4. 🗆 Antitrust	4. 🗆 Marine Personal Injury
5. 🗆 Patent	5. 🗆 Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. 🗆 Labor-Management Relations	6. D Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability
8. 🗆 Habeas Corpus	8. 🗆 Products Liability — Asbestos
9. D Securities Act(s) Cases	9. 🗆 All other Diversity Cases
10. D Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. 🗙 All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify) Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.	
ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION
(Check Appropriate Co	ategory)
I, Derrek W. Cummings , counsel of record do hereby certif	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	bener, the duringes recoverable in this even action case exceed the sum of
The Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: August 25, 2017 Derrek W. Cummings	83286 (PA)
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	-
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within cess is not related to any case now pending or	within one year providually terminated action in this sourt
except as noted above.	which one year previously ter initiated action in this court
August 25 2017	02206 (DA)
DATE: <u>August 25, 2017</u> <u>Derrek W. Cummihgs</u> Attorney-at-Law	
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Brooks Automation, Inc. Facing Suit from Recently Reclassified Field Service Engineers</u>