1	
Eugene Y. Turin (IL Bar No. 6317282)	
(pro hac vice to be submitted)	
eturin@mcgpc.com	
MCGUIRE LAW, P.C.	
55 W. Wacker Dr., 9th Floor	
Chicago, IL 60601	
Tel: (312) 893-7002	
Fax: (312) 275-7895	
Deval R. Zaveri (CA Bar No. 213501)	
dev@zaveritabb.com	
ZAVERI TABB, APC	
402 West Broadway, Suite 1950	
San Diego, CA 92101	
Tel: (619) 831-6987	
Fax: (619) 239-7800	
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Cla	SS .
IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
IN THE UNITED STATES FOR THE SOUTHERN DIST	
IN THE UNITED STATES FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI	
11	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE SOUTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and )	RICT OF CALIFORNIA  Case No. '17CV0702 CAB AG
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTINGUES (INDICATE SAUNDERS, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals, )	RICT OF CALIFORNIA  Case No. '17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated )	RICT OF CALIFORNIA  Case No. '17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION  COMPLAINT FOR
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTINGUES (INDICATE SAUNDERS, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals, )  Plaintiff, )	RICT OF CALIFORNIA  Case No. '17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION  COMPLAINT FOR  DAMAGES AND
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTINGUES (INDICATE SAUNDERS, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals, )	Case No. '17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION  COMPLAINT FOR  DAMAGES AND  INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTINGUES (INDICATE SAUNDERS, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals, )  Plaintiff, )	Case No. 17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION  COMPLAINT FOR  DAMAGES AND  INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  Violation of the Telephone
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )	Case No. '17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION  COMPLAINT FOR  DAMAGES AND  INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  Violation of the Telephone  Consumer Protection Act
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a )	Case No. 17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION  COMPLAINT FOR  DAMAGES AND  INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  Violation of the Telephone
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )	Case No. '17CV0702 CAB AG  CLASS ACTION  COMPLAINT FOR  DAMAGES AND  INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  Violation of the Telephone  Consumer Protection Act
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a ) California Corporation )	Class ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.)
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a )	Class ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.)
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a ) California Corporation )	Class ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.)
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a ) California Corporation )	Class ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.)
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a ) California Corporation )	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.) DEMAND FOR JURY
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a ) California Corporation )	Class ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.)
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTI  KELLY SAUNDERS, individually and ) on behalf of a class of similarly situated ) individuals, )  Plaintiff, )  v. )  FIVESTARS LOYALTY, INC., a ) California Corporation )	Class ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.)

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Kelly Saunders ("Plaintiff") brings this class action complaint individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and, through her undersigned counsel, complains against Defendant FiveStars Loyalty, Inc. ("FiveStars" or "Defendant") to stop Defendant's practice of sending unsolicited text message advertisements to cellular telephones, and to obtain redress for all persons injured by Defendant's conduct. Plaintiff, for her class action complaint, alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, based on, among other things, the investigation conducted by her attorneys.

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. In a misguided effort to promote its customers' businesses Defendant engaged in an especially invasive form of marketing: the transmission of unauthorized advertisements in the form of text message calls to the cellular telephones of unwitting consumers throughout the nation.
- 2. By effectuating these unauthorized text message advertisements ("wireless spam"), Defendant has violated consumers' statutory rights and has caused consumers actual harm, not only because consumers were subjected to the aggravation and invasion of privacy that necessarily accompanies wireless spam, but also because consumers frequently have to pay their cell phone service providers for the receipt of such wireless spam, incur a usage allocation deduction from the total number of text messages allowed under their cell phone plan, or pay a fixed or variable usage fee.
- 3. In order to redress these injuries, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and a nationwide class of similarly situated individuals, brings suit under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. ("47 U.S.C. §

4. On behalf of the class, Plaintiff seeks an injunction requiring Defendant to cease sending all unauthorized automated text messages, an award of the greater of actual or statutory damages to the class, together with costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, as well as pre-judgment interest from the date of filing this suit.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a citizen and a resident of Nevada.
- 6. Defendant FiveStars Loyalty, Inc. is a California Corporation with its principal place of business located in San Francisco, California. A provider of digital marketing services and customer loyalty programs, Defendant does business throughout the United States, including in this District.

#### **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 7. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as the action arises under the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant does business in this District.
- 9. Venue is proper in the Southern District of California, because Defendant conducts business in this District, and because a substantial part of the events concerning the conduct at issue occurred in this District, as the unauthorized text message calls originated from a short code utilized by Defendant in this District.

#### **COMMON ALLEGATIONS OF FACT**

10. One of the newer types of inexpensive marketing is text messages that are delivered in bulk through "short codes." The term "short code" refers to a messaging system that allows mobile marketing providers such as Class Action Complaint 3

- 11. Short codes are regularly utilized by marketing and advertising companies such as Defendant FiveStars to send generic text messages advertising their customers' services, goods and promotions to thousands of consumers instantaneously.
- 12. Unlike more conventional advertisements, text message advertisements sent via short codes invade consumers' privacy and can actually cost recipients money, because cell phone users like Plaintiff have to pay their respective wireless service providers for each text message call they receive, incur a usage allocation deduction to their text plan, or pay a fixed or variable usage fee, regardless of whether or not the message is authorized.
- 13. In addition, wireless spam is particularly invasive because when such text message calls are made the recipient's cell phone rings, alerting him or her that a call is being received. As cellular telephones are inherently mobile and are frequently carried on their owner's person, text message calls may be received by the called party virtually anywhere worldwide, at anytime.
- 14. Beginning at least as early as 2014, Defendant has caused the mass transmission of wireless spam to the cell phones of individuals it hoped were potential purchasers of its customers' products and services.
- 15. For instance, on or about January 13, 2017, Plaintiff's cellular telephone rang, indicating that a text call was being received.
- 16. The "from" field of the transmission was identified cryptically as "578277," which is a short-code owned and operated by Defendant and/or its agents. The body of the text message read:

Hurry for best selection. Hundreds of items to choose from. Centennial location only. Reply YES to claim. Exp 1/27. Text OFF to stop

- 17. The use of an SMS short code by Defendant and its agents enabled Defendant's mass transmission of wireless spam, including the above text message, to a list of hundreds or thousands of cellular telephone numbers instantaneously.
- 18. At no time did Plaintiff provide any consent, including any prior express written consent, to receive the above-referenced message or any other such wireless spam from Defendant, its agents, or customers.
- 19. The unauthorized text message advertisement received by Plaintiff invaded her privacy, constituted a nuisance, interfered with her unrestricted use of her cell phone, including taking up memory storage space, and required her to spend time reviewing and deleting the message from her cell phone.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 20. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and Rule 23(b)(3) on behalf of herself and a nationwide class (the "Class") defined as: All persons in the United States and its Territories who, since October 16, 2013, were sent one or more text message advertisements from Defendant on their cellular telephones and for whom Defendant does not have a record of any prior express written consent to receive such messages.
- 21. Upon information and belief, there are hundreds, if not thousands, of members of the Class such that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- 22. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in prosecuting complex litigation and class actions. Plaintiff and her counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on

behalf of the members of the Class and have the financial resources to do so. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel has any interest adverse to those of the other members of the Class.

- 23. A class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating this controversy because, among other things, (a) joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) absent a class action, most members of the Class would find the cost of litigating their claims to be prohibitive, and would have no effective remedy; and (c) the class treatment of common questions of law and fact conserves the resources of the courts and the litigants, and promotes consistency and efficiency of adjudication.
- 24. Defendant has acted and failed to act on grounds generally applicable to the Plaintiff and the other members of the Class in transmitting the wireless spam at issue, requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the members of the Class.
- 25. The factual and legal bases of Defendant's liability to Plaintiff and to the other members of the Class are the same, resulting in injury to the Plaintiff and to all of the other members of the Class as a result of the transmission of the wireless spam alleged herein. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have all suffered harm and damages as a result of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct alleged herein.
- 26. There are many questions of law and fact common to the claims of Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, and those questions predominate over any questions that may affect individual members of the Class. Common questions for the Class include, but are not limited, to the following:
  - (a) Did Defendant and/or its agents send one or more text message advertisements to the members of the Class?

- (b) Did Defendant and/or its agents use an automatic telephone dialing system to transmit the text message advertisements at issue?
- (c) Did Defendant and/or its agents transmit text message advertisements after October 16, 2013, to persons who did not previously provide Defendant with prior express written consent to receive such messages?
- (d) Did the text message advertisements distributed by Defendant violate the TCPA?
- (e) Are the Class members entitled to treble damages based on the willfulness of Defendant's conduct?
- (f) Should Defendant be enjoined from engaging in such conduct?

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227) on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. Defendant sent unsolicited and unauthorized text message advertisements, including the message identified in Paragraph 16 above, to a list of wireless telephone numbers of Plaintiff and the other members of the Class using equipment that had the capacity at the time the calls were placed to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator and to dial such numbers.
- 29. These text message advertisements were generic, did not contain any individualized content, and were sent using an automated system that transmitted the text messages *en masse* without human intervention from a short code registered to Defendant and/or its agents. In fact, Defendant specifically

notes on its website that it "automatically send[s] marketing & promotions to your members" and that Defendant "take[s] care of your customer needs with our AutoPilot product. AutoPilot sends automated mobile app, text message, and email campaigns[.]"

- 30. These text message advertisements were sent without the prior express written consent of the Plaintiff and the other members of the Class
- 31. Defendant has, therefore, violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have had their privacy rights violated, have suffered statutory and actual damages and, under section 227(b)(3)(B), are each entitled to, *inter alia*, a minimum of \$500.00 in damages for each such violation of the TCPA.
- 32. To the extent Defendant knew or should have known that the class members did not provide prior express written consent to be sent the text message advertisements at issue, the Court should, pursuant to section 227(b)(3)(C), treble the amount of statutory damages recoverable by Plaintiff and the other members of the Class.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, prays for the following relief:

- 1. An order certifying the Class as defined above;
- 2. An award of the greater of statutory or actual damages;
- 3. An injunction requiring Defendant to cease sending all unauthorized automated text messages via SMS short codes;
- 4. Pre-judgment interest from the date of filing this suit;
- 5. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 6. Such further and other relief the Court deems reasonable and just.

**JURY DEMAND** Plaintiff requests trial by jury of all claims that can be so tried. Respectfully submitted, Dated: April 6, 2017 MCGUIRE LAW, P.C. ZAVERI TABB, APC By: s/ Deval R. Zaveri Deval R. Zaveri Attorneys for Plaintiff Kelly Saunders and the Putative Class Email: dev@zaveritabb.com **Class Action Complaint** 

### Case 3:17-cv-00702-CAB-AGS Document 1-1 Filed 04/06/17 PageID.10 Page 1 of 2

CIVIL COVER SHEET JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Saunders, Kelly, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated FiveStars Loyalty, Inc. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Clark, Nevada (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) '17CV0702 CAB AGS Deval R. Zaveri Zaveri Tabb, APC 402 W. Broadway Ste. 1950 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 831-6988 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) ★ 3 Federal Question DEF PTF DEF □ 1 U.S. Government Citizen of This State  $\Box$  1 **D** 1 Incorporated or Principal Place  $\Box$  4 Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State D 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place T 5 T 5 **D** 2 Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State **17** 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation 0 6 **D** 6 Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTI CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 120 Marine 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury ☐ 423 Withdrawal 315 Airplane Product **□** 690 Other 130 Miller Act Product Liability 28 USC 157 410 Antitrust Liability 140 Negotiable Instrument 367 Health Care/ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical PROPERTY RIGHTS 450 Commerce & Enforcement of Judgment Slander Personal Injury ☐ 820 Copyrights 460 Deportation ☐ 330 Federal Employers' ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 151 Medicare Act Product Liability Corrupt Organizations ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal ☐ 840 Trademark Liability Student Loans ☐ 340 Marine Injury Product 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 7 861 HIA (1395ff) 850 Securities/Commodities/ 710 Fair Labor Standards of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 862 Black Lung (923) Exchange ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 371 Truth in Lending 720 Labor/Management 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 380 Other Personal Relations 891 Agricultural Acts Property Damage 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) 893 Environmental Matters 195 Contract Product Liability 17 360 Other Personal ☐ 196 Franchise 895 Freedom of Information 385 Property Damage 751 Family and Medical Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability Leave Act Act Medical Malpractice 790 Other Labor Litigation 896 Arbitration REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS FEDERAL TAX SUITS 899 Administrative Procedure ☐ 791 Employee Retirement ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: Income Security Act 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Act/Review or Appeal of ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee or Defendant) Agency Decision ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate IRS-Third Party ☐ 950 Constitutionality of 1 443 Housing/ ☐ 240 Torts to Land Sentence 26 USC 7609 State Statutes 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations ☐ 530 General ☐ 290 All Other Real Property ☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities ☐ 535 Death Penalty IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application Employment Other: 446 Amer\_w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 465 Other Immigration Other 550 Civil Rights Actions ☐ 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Original ☐ 2 Removed from **3** Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from Multidistrict **D** 6 Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 47 U.S.C. s. 227 Telephone Consumer Protection Act VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Unauthorized text advertisements sent in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 5.000,000.00+ **COMPLAINT:** JURY DEMAND: X Yes

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 04/06/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

JUDGE

APPLYING IFP

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

□ No

MAG. JUDGE

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a)** Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

  Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

cases.)

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: FiveStars Loyalty Pegged with Lawsuit Over Unsolicited Texts