IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CLAUDIA ALVARADO SANTOS, on behalf	:
of herself and all others similarly situated	:

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

NO.

v.

CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

EL GALLITO MEXICAN BAKERY II LLC and EL GALLITO MEXICAN BAKERY III LLC,

NON-JURY TRIAL

Defendants.

COMPLAINT - CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff Claudia Alvarado Santos ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and similarly situated individuals, brings this class/collective action lawsuit against Defendants El Gallito Mexican Bakery II LLC and El Gallito Mexican Bakery III LLC (collectively "Defendants"), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. §§ 333.101, et seq. Plaintiff's FLSA claim is asserted as a collective action under the FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), while her PMWA claim is asserted as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction over the FLSA claim is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28
 U.S.C. § 1331.
 - 2. Jurisdiction over the PMWA claim is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
 - 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

¹ FLSA collective action claims and Rule 23 class action claims may proceed together in the same lawsuit. *See Knepper v. Rite Aid Corp.*, 675 F.3d 249 (3d Cir. 2012).

PARITES

- 4. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Reading, PA (Berks County).
- 5. Defendants are corporations registered to do business in Pennsylvania and, maintaining a corporate headquarters in Reading, PA (Berks County).
- 6. Defendant El Gallito Mexican Bakery II LLC was listed as Plaintiff's employer on Plaintiff's 2013 and 2014 W-2 forms.
- 7. Defendant El Gallito Mexican Bakery III LLC was listed as Plaintiff's employer on Plaintiff's 2015 W-2 form.
 - 8. Defendants are employers covered by the FLSA and PMWA.

FACTS

- 9. Defendants operate a facility in Reading, PA (the "Facility") where they produce, package, and sell various food products.
- 10. Plaintiff worked at the Facility as a Cashier from approximately 2012 until approximately January 2016.
- 11. Plaintiff typically was paid approximately \$480 per week regardless of the number of hours she worked.
- 12. Plaintiff regularly was required to work at least 40 hours per workweek. In fact, Plaintiff estimates that she typically worked approximately 46 to 47 hours per week.²
- 13. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the required time and one-half overtime premium compensation for hours worked over 40 per week.
 - 14. In addition to Plaintiff, Defendants have employed many other employees at the

² During the holiday season, Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of her normal 46-47 hour per week schedule. During this limited period, Defendants paid Plaintiff \$9 per hour for each hour beyond her standard 46-47 hours per week. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the required overtime premium compensation for these extra holiday hours.

Facility ("Facility Employees").

- 15. Like Plaintiff, Facility Employees have worked over 40 hours per week and have not been paid overtime premium compensation for such work hours.
- 16. Defendants have failed to compensate Plaintiff and other Facility Employees the legally mandated overtime premium for hours worked over 40 in a workweek.

CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 17. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of: All individuals who worked at Defendants' Facility during any workweek within the past three years.
- 18. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because Plaintiff and other potential members of the collective, having worked pursuant to the common policies described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.
- 19. Plaintiff brings her PMWA claim as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of: All individuals who worked at Defendants' Facility during any workweek within the past three years.
- 20. Class action treatment of Plaintiff's PMWA claim is appropriate because, as alleged below, all of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23's class action requisites are satisfied.
- 21. The class, upon information and belief, includes over 50 individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendants' standard payroll records and are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.
- 22. Plaintiff is a class member, her claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and she has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other

class members.

- 23. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests, and she has retained competent and experienced counsel who will effectively represent the class members' interests.
- 24. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, because, *inter alia*, this action concerns Defendants' uniform pay policies, as summarized herein. The legality of these policies will be determined through the resolution of generally applicable legal principles to a common set of facts.
- 25. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

COUNT I (Alleging FLSA Violations)

- 26. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. Plaintiff and the collective are employees entitled to the FLSA's protections.
- 28. Defendants are employers covered by the FLSA.
- 29. The FLSA entitles employees to overtime compensation "not less than one and one-half times" their regular rate of pay for hours worked over 40 in a workweek. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 30. Defendants violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the collective overtime premium compensation for hours worked over 40 in a week.
- 31. In violating the FLSA, Defendants acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

COUNT II(Alleging Violations of the PMWA)

- 32. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Plaintiff and the class are employees entitled to the PMWA's protections.
- 34. Defendants are employers covered by the PMWA.
- 35. The PMWA entitles employees to overtime compensation "not less than one and one-half times" the employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a workweek. See 43 P.S. § 333.104(c).
- 36. Defendants violated the PMWA by failing to compensate Plaintiff and the class overtime premium compensation.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the class/collective, seeks the following relief:

- A. An order permitting this action to proceed as a collective and class action;
- B. Prompt notice, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), of this litigation to all members of the FLSA collective informing them of this action and permitting them to join (or "opt-in" to) this action;
- C. Unpaid wages and prejudgment interest to the fullest extent permitted under federal and state law;
 - D. Liquidated damages to the fullest extent permitted under the FLSA;
- E. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees to the fullest extent permitted under federal and state law; and
 - F. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Date: December 1, 2016

Peter Winebrake, Esq. Policy R. Andrew Santillo, Esq. Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq. Winebrake & Santillo, LLC 715 Twining Road, Suite 211 Dresher, PA 19025 (215) 884-2491

Marielle Macher, Esq. Community Justice Project 118 Locust Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 236-9486

Plaintiff's Counsel

IS TREVIOS 161	 This form, approved by th 	nerein neither replace nor st ne Judicial Conference of th	VER SHEET applement the filing and service United States in September 1 HIS FORM.)	of pleadings or other papers	2-CV - C3CC as required by law, except as the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Claudia Alvarado Santos			DEFENDANTS El Gallito Mexican Bakery II LLC and El Gallito Mexican Bakery III			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, American Winebrake, Esq./R) Winebrake & Santillo, LL(19025	Address, and Felephone Number Andrew Santillo, Esq C, 715 Twining Road,	//Mark J. Cottesfeld, E Suite 211, Dresher, P.	NOTE IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	AN U.S. PLAINTIEF CASES ON NDEWNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE JOCATION OF	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Oulvi	, CITIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)		Place an "X" in One Box for Plains and One Box for Defendans)	
7 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	≱ 3 federal Question ⟨U.S. Government 8	iot a Party)	Citizen of This State			
7 2 U.S. Government Defendant	1 / Diversity (Indicate Citizensin)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In A		
D. VARIDE OF CHI	2		Foreign Country	Click here for Nature of Sot	et Carlo Doscrintinus	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		n) RTS	FORFEITURE/FENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marrine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negoriable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY 3 310 Airplane 3 315 Airplane Product Liability 3 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 3 330 Federal Employers Liability 3 440 Marine 3 345 Marine Product Liability 3 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 3 360 Other Personal Injury 3 362 Personal Injury Medical Malprachice CIVIL RIGHTS	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care: Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor: Management Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 400 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable:Sat TV □ 850 Securities Commodities Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclusture □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Forts to Land □ 245 Fort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing □ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w. Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w. Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 550 Civil Rights 550 Civil Redince - Conditions of Confinement	Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Inunigration Actions	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	■ 896 Arbitration ■ 809 Administrative Procedure Act Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ■ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" in	i One Box Only)				·	
X i Original □ 2 Rer	noved from	Remanded from 3 4 Appellate Court	Remstated or Reopened 5 Transfe Another	rred from 7 6 Multidistr r District Litigation Transfer		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Star	tute under which you are fi	ling <i>(Do not cite jurisdictional stati</i> 201, et seq.			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief Tescription of ca		201, 60304.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE	L(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	DEC -5 2016	

APPLYING IFP

MAG. JUDGE

JUDGE ____

DATE 12/01/2016 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECFIPT# _____ AMOUNT ___

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			6309
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYL. assignment to appropriate calendar.	VANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be t	used by counsel to indic	cate the category of the	case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: Berks Cou		_		
Address of Defendant: Barks Cour	nty			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Benks County (Use Reverse Side For Add	litional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental cor	porate party with any parent corporation and	any publicly held corpo	ration owning 10% or m	ore of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement For	m in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))		Yes□ No□	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibil RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	lities?		Yes□ No□	
Case Number: Judg	ge	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered	to any of the following questions:			
l Is this case related to property included in an earli	ier numbered suit pending or within one year	previously terminated a	ction in this court?	
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or gr	our out of the same transportion as a miles suit	nending or within one	Yes□ No⊠ wear previously terminate	ed
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or gr action in this court?	ow out of the same transaction as a prior suit	pending of within one y	year previously terminal	ou .
			Yes□ No 💆	1
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringemen	t of a patent already in suit or any earlier num	nbered case pending or v	Yes No	ıy
terminated action in this court?			165-	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus,	social security appeal, or pro se civil rights o	ase filed by the same inc	dividual? Yes□ No	
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			<u> </u>	
A. Federal Question Cases:		B. Diversity Juriso		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract	et, and All Other Contracts	I. □ Insurance	Contract and Other	Contracts
2. □ FELA		2. □ Airplane I	-	
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury		3. □ Assault, D		
4. □ Antitrust		4. □ Marine Pe	• •	
5. □ Patent			hicle Personal Injur	•
6. □ Labor-Management Relations		6. □ Other Per	sonal Injury (Please	specify)
7. 🗆 Civil Rights		7. □ Products l	-	
8. □ Habeas Corpus			Liability - Asbesto	08
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases		9. □ All other l	-	
10. Description Security Review Cases			eify)	
All other Federal Question Cases Please specify) Fair Labor	tandards Act (FLSA)	•		
Mark Gottes PoW	ARBITRATION CERTIF			
Pulsuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)	, counsel of record do hereby certify: (2), that to the best of my knowledge and bel	ief, the damages recover	rable in this civil action	case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	n 1 AH+1.		2477	√ 7
DATE: 12 11 10	me (myell)	.	Attorney I.D.#	<i>.</i>
NOTE: A tria	Attorney-at-Law Il de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	has been compliance wit		C -5 2016
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is	not related to any case now pending or wi	thin one year previous!	y terminated action in	this court
except as noted above.	0 1 02-1-11		~ 3 - 2 - 1	
DATE: 12/1/16 //	look Hollefull		_ 3077	<u> </u>
(20 (7.20.2)	Attorney-at-Law		Attorney I.D.#	
CIV 609 (5/2012)				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Claudia Alvardo	Santos	CIVII	L ACTION			
El Gallito Mexican Bal	ley 11, LLC, et al.	NO.	16 699 9			
In accordance with the Civiplaintiff shall complete a Carfiling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the edesignation, that defendant sthe plaintiff and all other parto which that defendant belief	I Justice Expense and De se Management Track De e a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant do shall, with its first appearanties, a Case Management	esignation Form in all civition (See § 1:03 of the plan sees not agree with the plan ince, submit to the clerk of Track Designation Form	l cases at the time of t forth on the reverse intiff regarding said of court and serve on			
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:						
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases I	brought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()			
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	Cases that do not fall into complex and that need spide of this form for a deta	oecial or intense managen	nent by			
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into	o any one of the other trac	ks. (X)			
12/1/16 Date 215-884-2491	Mark Letter L. Attorney-at-law 215-884-2492	Plaint Attorney mgottest	for Feld Dwine brake Inw. Com			
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail A	ddress			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: El Gallito Mexican Bakery II Toasted with Unpaid Overtime Suit