J

CV 17-7489

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C
Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)
69-12 Austin Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone: 718-263-9591

SPATT, J.

LOCKE, M.J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	

CESAR SANCHEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

-against-

CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., and KIRK LOMBARDI and YAND GIL, as individuals,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL U.S. DISTINCT COUR CASTERN DISTRICT COUR YORK

1. Plaintiff, CESAR SANCHEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2. Plaintiff, CESAR SANCHEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, brings this action against CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., and KIRK LOMBARDI and YAND GIL, as individuals, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP. and NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT.
- 3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount

exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff CESAR SANCHEZ residing 25-59 98th Street, East Elmhurst, New York 11369, was employed by Defendants at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP. and NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC. from in or around December 2011 until in or around November 2017.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 1225 Church Street, Bohemia, New York 11716.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL owns and/or operates CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL is the Chairman of the Board of CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL is the Chief Executive Officer of CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.

- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL is an agent of CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL has power over personnel decisions at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL has power over payroll decisions at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 17. Defendant YAND GIL has the power to hire and fire employees at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI owns and/or operates CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI is the Chairman of the Board of CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI is the Chief Executive Officer of CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI is an agent of CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI has power over personnel decisions at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI has power over payroll decisions at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP.
- 24. Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI has the power to hire and fire employees at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant, NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 1225 Church Street, Bohemia, New York 11716.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant, NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL owns and/or operates NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.

- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL is the Chairman of the Board of NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL is the Chief Executive Officer of NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL is an agent of NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL has power over personnel decisions at NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant YAND GIL has power over payroll decisions at NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 33. Defendant YAND GIL has the power to hire and fire employees at NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI owns and/or operates NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI is the Chairman of the Board of NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI is the Chief Executive Officer of NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 37. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI is an agent of NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 38. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI has power over personnel decisions at NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 39. Upon information and belief, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI has power over payroll decisions at NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC.
- 40. Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI has the power to hire and fire employees at NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 41. During all relevant times herein, Defendant YAND GIL was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

- 42. During all relevant times herein, Defendant KIRK LOMBARDI was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 43. On information and belief, CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP. is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.
- 44. On information and belief, NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC. is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 45. Plaintiff CESAR SANCHEZ was employed by Defendants at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP. and NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC. from in or around December 2011 until in or around November 2017.
- 46. During Plaintiff CESAR SANCHEZ'S employment by Defendants at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP. and NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., Plaintiff's primary duties were as a auto body worker, fabricator, and laborer and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around December 2011 until in or around November 2017.
- 47. Plaintiff CESAR SANCHEZ was paid by Defendants approximately \$1,500.00 per week from in our around December 2011 until in or around November 2017.

- 48. Plaintiff CESAR SANCHEZ worked approximately sixty (60) hours per week at CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP. from in or around December 2011 until in or around November 2017.
- 49. Although Plaintiff CESAR SANCHEZ worked approximately sixty (60) or more per week during his employment by Defendants from in or around December 2011 until in or around November 2017, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 50. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 51. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep accurate payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 52. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 53. Plaintiff bring this action on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
- 54. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as auto body workers, fabricators, and, laborers, or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wage compensation.

- 55. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 15 to 20 employees within the relevant time period who were subjected to similar payment structures.
- 56. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
- 57. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 58. Upon information and belief, Defendants had knowledge that Plaintiff and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 59. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
- 60. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 61. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 62. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 63. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 64. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

65. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

- 66. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 67. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 68. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 69. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §\$206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 70. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
- 71. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 72. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 73. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 74. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.

75. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 76. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 77. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 78. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 79. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 80. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 81. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid wages;

- d. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- g. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This <u>19</u> day of December 2017

Helen F. Dalton, Esq. (HFD 3231) Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC

69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591

Fax: 718-263-9598

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CESAR SANCHEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., and KIRK LOMBARDI and YAND GIL, as individuals,

Defendants.

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Phone (718) 263-9591 Fax (718) 263-9598

TO: CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP. 1225 CHURCH STREET BOHEMIA, NEW YORK 11716

NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC. 1225 CHURCH STREET BOHEMIA, NEW YORK 11716

YAND GIL 1225 CHURCH STREET BOHEMIA, NEW YORK 11716

KIRK LOMBARDI 1225 CHURCH STREET BOHEMIA, NEW YORK 11716 JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
CESAR VARGAS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff QUEENS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Nur

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. 69-12 Austin Street

Forest Hills, NY 11375 (718) 263-9591

LOCKE, M.J.

DEFENDANTS

CUSTOM FAB GROUP CORP., NY MUNICIPAL EQUIPMENT, INC., and KIRK LOMBARDI and YAND GIL, as individuals

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant SUFFOLK

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

SUMMONS ISSUED

II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)				
☐ 1 U.S. Government				TF DEF	PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		1			
	= 1 m t		China Charles Charles		Understand Disease Cl. 6 Cl. 6		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	Principal Place		
Deteridant	(Malcale Chizenshi	p of t arites in them titl		Of Business in	Another Guite		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place on "V" in One Por Or	dut	1 Oreign Country				
CONTRACT		PRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act		
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/			 430 Banks and Banking 		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical	l l	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury	į	☐ 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	[☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product		COCYAL ORCUPIEN	480 Consumer Credit		
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	LABOR Y 2 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange		
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	720 Labor/Management	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))			
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	Relations	864 SSID Title XVI	891 Agricultural Acts		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	☐ 751 Family and Medical	_ = ===================================	☐ 895 Freedom of Information		
_	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	Leave Act		Act		
	Medical Malpractice		☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation		☐ 896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff)	Act/Review or Appeal of		
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		or Defendant)	Agency Decision		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 950 Constitutionality of		
240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence		26 USC 7609	Sate Statutes		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General]	State Statutes		
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		7 7		
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration 	네 국일	·		
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions	CT1	35 C ~_		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition	Actions	1 三三三			
	D 110 Education	560 Civil Detaince -			FILI CLE 10EC 26		
		Conditions of			ம் ஜா		
		Confinement		30			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	1 One Box Only)	•		227	€ J ===-		
		Remanded from	4 Reinstated or		္က မ္မ		
		Appellate Court		erred from	artet.		
			(specify,)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	, Fair Labor Standa	ards Act	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Brief description of ca	use: unpaid overtime wa	ges				
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	y if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		100,000.00	JURY DEMANE	·		
VIII. RELATED CASE				/			
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGÆ		DOCKET NUMBER _			
DATE 12 /10/17		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD				
16/19/17		Talene (Week 1				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE							
116531	77 69	C					

Case 2:17-cv-07489-ADS-SIL Document 1-1 Filed 12/26/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 13 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

exclusiv	e of inter	Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, est and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a econtrary is filed.
Ţ		counsel for do hereby certify that the above cantioned civil action is
ineligi	ble for c	, counsel for, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides because same ju- case: (A	s that "A of the cases dge and m) involves	es that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil is identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power rmine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the c	rivil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)		answered "no" above: the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk ? YES
	b) Did Distric	the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
Suffolk	County, olk Coun	o question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau ty?
	(.	
		BAR ADMISSION
I am cu	rrently a	dmitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No No
Are you	u current	ly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
	the acci	Code: RA5508 Macy of all information provided above.
vigilatt	11 C. <u>// /</u>	VIRMAN I VIIIVI

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Custom Fab Group Corp.</u>, NY <u>Municipal Equipment Pegged with Wage and Hour Suit</u>