Louis Pechman Vivianna Morales Gregory Slotnick Pechman Law Group PLLC 488 Madison Avenue - 11th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 583-9500 pechman@pechmanlaw.com morales@pechmanlaw.com slotnick@pechmanlaw.com <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative FLSA Collective</i>	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	,
ANTONIO SAJVIN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
-against-	
SINGH FARM CORP. d/b/a SINGH FARM, and AVTAR SINGH,	COLLECTIVE ACTION
Defendants.	K

Plaintiff Antonio Sajvin ("Plaintiff" or "Sajvin"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by his attorneys, Pechman Law Group PLLC, complaining of Defendants Singh Farm Corp. d/b/a Singh Farm ("Singh Farm"), and Avtar Singh (collectively, with Singh Farm, "Defendants"), alleges:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action is brought to recover unpaid overtime pay, and other monies pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq*. ("FLSA"), and New York Labor Law § 190, *et seq*. ("NYLL"), on behalf of non-exempt employees who worked for Defendants. 2. Defendants have paid Sajvin and other non-exempt workers a weekly salary that failed to compensate them at the overtime premium for hours worked over forty per workweek, as required by the FLSA and NYLL.

3. Defendants have also failed to pay Sajvin and other non-exempt workers spread-of-hours pay, and provide them with wage notices and wage statements, in violation of NYLL and the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act ("WTPA").

4. Sajvin brings this action to recover unpaid overtime wages, unpaid spread-of-hours pay, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA, NYLL, and WTPA.

JURISDICTION

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under NYLL pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because all events giving rise to this action and alleged in the Complaint occurred at Singh Farm, which is located, and operated by Defendants, in the Eastern District of New York.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

7. Sajvin resides in Queens County, New York.

8. Defendants have employed Sajvin from May 11, 2016 to the present as a produce stocker at the Singh Farm located at 117-05 101st Avenue, South Richmond Hill, New York 11419.

Defendants

9. Defendant Singh Farm Corp. is a New York corporation that owns, operates, and does business as Singh Farm, a fresh produce market with locations at 117-05 101st Avenue, South Richmond Hill, New York 11419, 118-05 Liberty Avenue, South Richmond Hill, New York 11419, and 124-01 Liberty Avenue, Richmond Hill, New York 11419.

10. The Singh Farm locations at 117-05 101st Avenue and 124-01 Liberty Avenue are open 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

11. Singh Farm has employees engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce by any person.

12. Singh Farm had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000 in 2014, 2015, and 2016.

13. Singh Farm is projected to have an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000 for the year 2017.

14. Singh Farm is an "enterprise engaged in interstate commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

15. The three Singh Farm locations interchange and share employees, and products.

16. For example, produce shipments for the three Singh Farm locations are typically delivered to the 117-05 101st Avenue location. The produce is then distributed depending on need to the 118-05 Liberty Avenue, South Richmond Hill, New York 11419, and 124-01 Liberty Avenue, Richmond Hill, New York 11419 locations.

17. Employees are routinely interchanged between the Singh Farm locations. For example, if one of the locations is understaffed, then a worker from another location is sent to provide coverage.

18. Avtar Singh ("Singh") is an owner and manager of Singh Farm.

19. Singh is listed as the Chief Executive Officer on the New York State Department of State Division of Corporations Entity Information for Singh Farm, and he appears as the contact for the business on Singh Farm's website (http://www.sikhofworld.com/singhfarm/index.asp).

20. Throughout Sajvin's employment, Singh has exercised the authority to hire and fire employees, directed the manner in which employees performed their daily duties and assignments, and established and implemented the pay practices and work and scheduling policies at Singh Farm.

21. For example, Singh interviewed and hired Sajvin.

22. Singh is regularly present at Singh Farm.

23. Singh sets the pay rates of Singh Farm employees, including Sajvin's.

24. Singh is an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

25. The claims in this Complaint arising out of the FLSA are brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and similarly situated non-exempt workers employed by Defendants at Singh Farm at any time three years prior to the filing of this action through the entry of judgment in this action (the "FLSA Collective").

26. The FLSA Collective consists of approximately forty similarly situated current and former non-exempt workers of Singh Farm, including produce stockers, kitchen workers, and grocery stockers, all of whom have been victims of Defendants'

common policy and practices that have violated their rights under the FLSA by, *inter alia*, willfully denying them overtime wages and other monies.

27. As part of their regular business practice, Defendants have intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly harmed Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective by engaging in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA and the NYLL. This policy and pattern or practice includes, *inter alia*, failing to pay the FLSA Collective the proper overtime pay for all hours worked over forty per week.

28. Defendants have engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation.

29. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been intentional, willful, and in bad faith and has caused significant damage to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.

30. The FLSA Collective would benefit from the issuance of a courtsupervised notice of the present lawsuit and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants, are readily identifiable, and locatable through Defendants' records. Those similarly situated employees should be notified of and allowed to opt into this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

31. As a produce stocker at Singh Farm, Sajvin's responsibilities include cleaning and stocking fresh produce, replacing produce from the shelves, sweeping and mopping the floors, taking out the garbage, and receiving deliveries.

32. Throughout his employment, Sajvin has worked the night shift at Singh Farm.

33. From May 11, 2016 until in or about December 2016, Sajvin worked six days per week, Wednesday through Monday from approximately 8:30 p.m. to 8:30 a.m., totaling approximately 72 hours per week.

34. From in or about December 2016 through the present, Sajvin worked six days per week, Wednesday through Monday from approximately 8:30 p.m. to 7:30 a.m., totaling approximately 66 hours per week.

35. On occasion throughout his employment, Sajvin was required to work 30 to 45 minutes after the end of his shifts to complete his duties, which included removing and cleaning the produce for the upcoming day shift, and mopping the floors.

36. Throughout his employment, Defendants have paid Sajvin a salary of \$450 per week.

37. The other non-exempt workers employed by Defendants were also paid a weekly salary.

38. Sajvin and the other non-exempt workers regularly worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.

39. Defendants did not pay Sajvin and other non-exempt workers at the rate of one and one-half times their regular hourly wage for hours worked in excess of forty per workweek.

40. Sajvin and the other non-exempt workers regularly worked shifts that spanned more than ten hours per day.

41. Defendants did not compensate Sajvin and other non-exempt workers with one additional hour's pay at the statutory minimum hourly wage for each day that their shift spanned more than ten hours.

42. Defendants paid Sajvin and other non-exempt workers their wages weekly in cash without accompanying accurate wage statements including, *inter alia*, their regular and overtime hourly rates of pay and hours worked.

43. Defendants did not furnish Sajvin and other non-exempt workers with wage notices at the time of their hiring or whenever there was a change in their wage rate.

FIRST CLAIM (Fair Labor Standards Act – Unpaid Overtime)

44. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if set forth herein.

45. Defendants are employers within the meaning of the FLSA.

46. Throughout their employment, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have been engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce on behalf of Defendants.

47. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are non-exempt "employees" within the meaning of the FLSA.

48. Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective one and one-half (1½) times their regular hourly wage rate for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek pursuant to the overtime wage provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 207 *et seq.*

49. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.

50. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective overtime wages.

51. Due to Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, and pre- and post-judgment interest.

SECOND CLAIM (New York Labor Law – Unpaid Overtime)

52. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if set forth herein.

53. Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers are "employees" within the meaning of the NYLL.

54. Under New York State Department of Labor ("NYDOL") regulations, including 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 137-1.3, 146-1.4, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers one and one half (1½) times their regular hourly wage rate for all hours that they worked in excess of forty per workweek.

55. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL and its supporting regulations.

56. Defendants willfully violated the NYLL and its supporting regulations by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers overtime wages.

57. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, including, but not limited to, 12 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 146, Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, liquidated damages, and pre- and post-judgment interest.

THIRD CLAIM (New York Labor Law – Spread-of-Hours Pay)

58. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if set forth herein.

59. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers additional compensation of one hour's pay at the statutory minimum hourly wage rate for each day during which their shifts spread over more than ten hours.

60. By Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers spread-of-hours pay, Defendants willfully violated Section 650 *et seq.* of the NYLL and its supporting NYDOL regulations, including, but not limited to, 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 137-1.7, 137-3.10, and 146-1.6.

61. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit are entitled to recover an amount prescribed by statute as unpaid spread-of-hours pay, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, and pre- and post-judgment interest.

FOURTH CLAIM (New York WTPA – Failure to Provide Wage Notices)

62. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if set forth herein.

63. The WTPA, as incorporated into the NYLL, as well as the NYLL's interpretative regulations, such as but not limited to 12 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 146, require employers to provide each employee with a written notice of his or her wage rate, in the employee's native language, at the time of hire and whenever there is a change to the employee's rate of pay.

64. In violation of NYLL § 191, Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers, at the time of hiring and whenever there was a change to rates of pay, with wage notices containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with

NYLL § 191; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL § 195(1).

65. Due to Defendants' violation of NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit are entitled to recover from Defendants liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL § 198(1-b).

FIFTH CLAIM (New York WTPA – Failure to Provide Wage Statements)

66. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if set forth herein.

67. The NYLL and WTPA require employers to provide employees with an accurate wage statement each time they are paid.

68. Throughout Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers' employment with Defendants, Defendants have paid wages without providing a wage statement at the end of every pay period accurately listing, *inter alia*: the regular and overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular and overtime hours worked per pay period; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages, in violation of the NYLL § 195(3).

69. Due to Defendants' violation of NYLL § 195(3), Plaintiff and the other non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit are entitled to recover from Defendants liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL § 198(1-d).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

a. declaring that Defendants have violated the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA, NYLL, and NYDOL regulations;

b. declaring that Defendants have violated the spread-of-hours pay provisions of the NYLL and NYDOL regulations;

c. declaring that Defendants have violated the notice and recordkeeping provisions of the FLSA, NYLL and WTPA;

d. declaring that Defendants willfully violated the FLSA and NYLL;

e. enjoining future violations of the FLSA and NYLL by Defendants;

f. awarding Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective damages for unpaid overtime wages;

g. awarding Plaintiff and non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit damages for unpaid spread-of-hours wages;

h. awarding Plaintiff and non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit liquidated damages in an amount equal to two times the total amount of the wages found to be due pursuant to the FLSA and the NYLL;

i. awarding Plaintiff and non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit statutory damages as a result of Defendants' failure to furnish accurate wage statements pursuant to the NYLL and the WTPA;

j. awarding Plaintiff and non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit statutory damages as a result of Defendants' failure to furnish wage notices pursuant to the NYLL and the WTPA;

k. awarding Plaintiff and non-exempt workers that opt into this lawsuit pre-judgment interest pursuant to the NYLL;

l. awarding Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective post-judgment interest as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a);

m. awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA and the NYLL; and

n. awarding such other and further relief as the Court deems just and

proper.

Dated: New York, New York July 6, 2017

OUP PU PECHMAN LAW By: Louis Pechman Vivianna Morales **Gregory Slotnick**

Gregory Slotnick 488 Madison Avenue, 11th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 583-9500 pechman@pechmanlaw.com morales@pechmanlaw.com slotnick@pechmanlaw.com *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative FLSA Collective*

CIVIL COVER SHEET JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Antonio Sajvin, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated Singh Farm Corp. d/b/a Singh Farm, and Avtar Singh (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE: Attorneys (If Known) (C) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Pechman Law Group PLLC, 488 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022 (212) 583-9500 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) 3 Federal Question PTF DEF PTF DEF O I U.S. Government 04 Incorporated or Principal Place 04 Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State 01 01 of Business In This State 2 U.S. Government Ø 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State 02 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 05 05 Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a 03 3 Foreign Nation 06 06 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions FORFEITURE/PENALTY CONTRACT BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES 🗇 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 120 Marine 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury -376 Qui Tam (31 USC) п Product Liability 28 USC 157 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product G 690 Other 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 367 Health Care/ 🗇 320 Assault, Libel & □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Pharmaceutical PROPERTYARIGHTS 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights O 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability D 830 Patent 450 Commerce 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 835 Patent - Abbreviated 460 Deportation O 470 Racketeer Influenced and 1 340 Marine Student Loans **Injury Product** New Drug Application (Excludes Veterans) 1 840 Trademark 345 Marine Product **Corrupt Organizations** Liability -SOCIAL SECURITY D 153 Recovery of Overpayment PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR d 480 Consumer Credit Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 490 Cable/Sat TV of Veteran's Benefits 370 Other Fraud 710 Fair Labor Standards 0 861 HIA (1395ff) D 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending 862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securities/Commodities/ Act 2 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 190 Other Contract **Product Liability** 380 Other Personal 720 Labor/Management Exchange C 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 🗇 865 RSi (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts 196 Franchise Injury 385 Property Damage 362 Personal Injury Product Liability 751 Family and Medical 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Medical Malpractice Leave Act **REAL PROPERTY** CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 790 Other Labor Litigation FEDERAL TAX SUITS Act 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: D 791 Employee Retirement 1 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 896 Arbitration 463 Alien Detainee 899 Administrative Procedure 220 Foreclosure 441 Voting Income Security Act or Defendant) 442 Employment 371 IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 510 Motions to Vacate d 443 Housing/ 26 USC 7609 Agency Decision 1 240 Torts to Land Sentence 530 General 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 950 Constitutionality of IMMIGRATION 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty State Statutes Other: Employment 462 Naturalization Application 1 446 Amer, w/Disabilities □ 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration 550 Civil Rights Other Actions 448 Education D 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) **bar** 1 Original C 2 Removed from Remanded from Appellate Court O 4 Reinstated or O 5 Transferred from 06 Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict Litigation -Litigation -State Court Proceeding Reopened Another District (specify) Transfer Direct File Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 U.S.C. § 201 et. seq. **VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** Brief description of cause: This action is to recover unpaid wages and other monies. VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: П CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **COMPLAINT:** UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: 🖸 Yes XNo VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): **IF ANY** JUDGE 🌂 DOCKET NUMBER SIGNATURE OF APPORNEY OF RECORD DATE ٠

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Louis Pechman</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

None.

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County:^{№0.}
- If you answered "no" above:
 a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?[№].

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes.

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New	w York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
X Yes	No No
	—

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above. Signature;

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

))

)

)

Antonio Sajvin, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s) V.

Civil Action No.

17-cv-4032

Singh Farm Corp. d/b/a Singh Farm, and Avtar Singh

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

)

To: (Defendant's name and address) Singh Farm Corp. d/b/a Singh Farm 117-05 101st Avenue, South Richmond Hill, NY 11419

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Louis Pechman, Pechman Law Group PLLC 488 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022 Tel.: (212) 583-9500

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-04032 Document 1-2 Filed 07/06/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 16

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·			
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individua	al at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	 I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name) , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or I served the summons on (name of individual) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization) 				
	<u>-</u>		on (date)	; or	
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; 01	•
	Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.				
Date:					
			Server's signature		-
			Printed name and title		-

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

))

)

)

Antonio Sajvin, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s) V.

Civil Action No.

17-cv-4032

Singh Farm Corp. d/b/a Singh Farm, and Avtar Singh

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Avtar Singh c/o Singh Farm Corp. d/b/a Singh Farm 117-05 101st Avenue, South Richmond Hill, NY 11419

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Louis Pechman, Pechman Law Group PLLC 488 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022 Tel.: (212) 583-9500

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-04032 Document 1-3 Filed 07/06/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 18

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)				
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individ	lual at (place)		
			on(date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>) , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides				
	on (date)	y to the individual's last known address; or	ndes mere,		
		served the summons on (<i>name of individual</i>) ignated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)			
		1	on (date)	; or	
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or	
	Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.				
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Singh Farm Worker Alleges Labor Violations</u>