FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION 17 JAN 24 AM 11: 48

ESTEBAN ROSADO, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated.

CLERK, USB. THE FILL COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS, FLORIDA

Plaintiff,

VS.

DIRECT HEATING & COOLING, INC, a Florida Profit Corporation, and MITZIE FOX-LERNER, Individually,

2:17-cv-43-Ftm-99Cm

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, ESTEBAN ROSADO, on behalf of himself and other employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendants, DIRECT HEATING & COOLING, INC and MITZIE FOZ-LERNER, Individually, (collectively, "Defendants") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. (hereinafter the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

PARTIES

- 3. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was, and continues to be a resident of Lee County, Florida.
- 4. At all times material hereto Defendant, DIRECT HEATING & COOLING, INC., was a Florida Profit Corporation and was engaged in business with a principle place of business in Cape Coral, Lee County, Florida.
- 5. At all times relevant to this action, MITZIE FOX-LERNER was an individual resident of the State of Florida.
- 6. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant MITZIE FOX-LERNER managed and operated DIRECT HEATING & COOLING, INC.
- 7. At all times relevant to this action, MITZIE FOX-LERNER regularly exercised the authority to hire and fire employees of DIRECT HEATING & COOLING, INC.
- 8. At all times relevant to this action, MITZIE FOX-LERNER determined the work schedules for the employees of DIRECT HEATING & COOLING, INC.
- 9. At all times relevant to this action, MITZIE FOX-LERNER controlled the finances and operations DIRECT HEATING & COOLING, INC.
- 10. At all times relevant to this action, MITZIE FOX-LERNER was an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. 201 et. seq.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants within the meaning of FLSA.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Defendants were the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
 - 13. Defendants were, and continue to be "employers" within the meaning of FLSA.

- 14. At all times material hereto, Defendants were, and continue to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 15. At all times material hereto, Defendants were, and continue to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendants were in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 17. At all times material hereto, Defendants each had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce, including but not limited to: heating and cooling equipment and related materials which have or will move in interstate commerce.
- 18. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
- 19. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were non-exempt employees of Defendants, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 20. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendants.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

21. From on or about February 2014 through September 2016 Defendants hired Plaintiff to work in service and installation of heating and cooling products and was paid an hourly rate.

- 22. At various material times hereto (2014-2016), Plaintiff worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.
- 23. From at least February 2014 and continuing through September 2016, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.
- 24. Defendants have violated Title 29 U.S.C. § 207 from at least February 2014 and continuing through September 2016 in that:
 - a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendants;
 - b. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendants to
 properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times
 Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours
 per work week as provided by the FLSA; and
 - c. Defendants have failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 25. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent him in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its Services.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 26. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers paragraphs 1 through 25 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. From at least February 2014 and continuing through September 2016, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.
- 28. Rather, throughout his employment Defendants Plaintiff worked off the clock and was not paid for all hours worked.
- 29. Plaintiff was, and is, entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 30. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 31. Defendants' actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when it knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.
- 32. Defendants have failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 33. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.
 - 34. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to

29 U.S.C. §216(b).

. , • '

- 35. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Labor Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for inadequate overtime compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime hours.
- 36. Based upon information and belief, the employees and former employees of Defendants similarly situated to Plaintiff were not paid for all hours worked, and to the extent such hours, if properly credited to Plaintiff, would have credited Plaintiff with more than forty (40) or more hours in a work week, Defendants have failed to properly pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, proper overtime wages at time and a half his regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendants:

- a. Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour wage provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);

- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing Plaintiff to send

 Notice of this action pursuant to 216(b) to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and
- g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 23rd day of January 2017.

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 0558011
berkelaw@yahoo.com
4423 Del Prado Blvd. S.
Cape Coral, FL 33904
Telephone: (239) 549-6689
Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The 1S 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of plantage of other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil de				PRM.)	•			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Esteban Rosado,				DEFENDANTS Direct Heating & Cooling, Inc. and Mitzie Fox-Lerner, 17 JAN 24 AM 11: 48				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lee (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant CLLAVUS: PLUNTIFF CASES ON THE TAND MUSICAL NATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF INNET INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 7 Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERK Cape Coral, Florida 3390	E LAW FIRM,. P.A., 4		d. S.,	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plannif	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		ļ	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Sitizen of This State O 1 O 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	Citizen of Another State				
			1	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 (7) 3	Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			- 1 104	ADDOORS IN COMPANAL OF STREET	T BAN	Potteron	- ATTER CTATITES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure		KRUPTCY 3 al 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ tability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	□ 365 Personal Injury Product Liability □ 367 Health Care' Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage □ 700 Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainec □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General		of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 20 03 173 ☐ 423 Withdrawal		400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice		D 7:	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 861 HfA (☐ 862 Black	SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) 'C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration	
□ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 1 440 Other Civil Rights 1 441 Voting 1 442 Employment 1 443 Housing/ Accommodations		NS : □ 7	S: 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) -Third Party SC 7609	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
Cl 290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otl ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	ier 🗇 40	IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions				
		Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Reir Reo		r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are Fair Labor Standards Act, as amende Brief description of cause Unpaid overtime wages				Do not cite iurisdictional stat		setsiti)		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTIO	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint. Tyes X No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	_		DOCKE	T NUMBER _		
DATE 01/23/2017		signature of at /s/ Bill B. Berke		OF RECORD	_			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # FHUD AT D4 44	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	\sim		 ユ_ <i>ボ</i> リ	MAG. JUI		

2.17-CV-43-FtM-99CM

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: L.I. Proliner, Inc., Owners Hit with Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit