UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.:

AREVALO R. RODRIGUEZ,
and other similarly-situated individuals

Plaintiff,

v.

HOSPITALITY STAFFING TEAM LLC

Defendant.	
	COMPLAINT
	(OPT-IN PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C § 216(b))

COMES NOW the Plaintiff AREVALO R. RODRIGUEZ, and other similarly-situated individuals, by and through the undersigned counsel, and hereby sues Defendants HOSPITALITY STAFFING TEAM LLC and alleges:

- This is an action to recover money damages for unpaid wages under the laws of the United States. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and by Title 29 U.S.C. § 201-219, § 216(b), the Fair Labor Standards Act, "the Act", (Section U.S.C. §216 for jurisdictional placement).
- 2. Plaintiff AREVALO R. RODRIGUEZ is a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court. Plaintiff's complete name is Arevalo Rafael Rodriguez, but he is better known as Rafael Rodriguez, hereinafter Plaintiff will be called RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ. Plaintiff is a covered employee for purposes of the Act.
- 3. Defendant HOSPITALITY STAFFING TEAM LLC (hereinafter, HOSPITALITY TEAM) is a Florida corporation, which has business in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Defendant, is and was engaged in interstate commerce.

4. All the actions raised in this complaint took place in Miami/Dade County Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Court.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Corporate Defendant HOSPITALITY TEAM is a provider of temporal, part-time and full-time staffing to the hospitality industry.
- 6. Defendants HOSPITALITY TEAM employed Plaintiff RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ from approximately July, 2017 to November 5, 2017. In the present complaint Plaintiff is claiming approximately 14 weeks of overtime wages.
- 7. Plaintiff was hired as non-exempt, hourly employee. Plaintiff had duties as a maintenance employee in the Berkeley Hotel, located at 1610 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida 33139. Plaintiff's regular wage rate was \$12.00 an hour. Plaintiff overtime rate should be \$18.00 an hour.
- 8. While employed by Defendants HOSPITALITY TEAM Plaintiff worked in excess of 40 hours in every week period, without receiving proper compensation. Plaintiff was paid for all his hours, but at his regular rate.
- 9. Plaintiff had an irregular schedule, but in many weeks he worked 7 days, from 45 to 112 hours, in a week period
- 10. Plaintiff signed a time-sheet, and Defendant was able to track the number of hours worked by Plaintiff, and other similarly situated.
- 11. Plaintiff was paid weekly for all his hours, but at the incorrect rate. Plaintiff was provided with paystubs showing the total hours worked, wage rate, and employment taxes withheld. However, Plaintiff was provided with a 1099 form, as an independent contractor.

- 12. Defendant voluntarily and willingly did not pay Plaintiff for overtime hours at the rate of time and one half his regular rate, as established by the Fair Labor Standards Act.
- 13. As per records in Plaintiff's possession, from July 21, 2017 to October 23, 2017, Plaintiff worked approximately 586 overtime hours that were paid at his regular rate of \$12.00 an hour.
- 14. Therefore, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for overtime hours at the rate of time and one-half his regular rate for every hour that he worked in excess of forty (40), in violation of Section 7 (a) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (29 U.S.C. 207(a)(1).
- 15. Plaintiff RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ seeks to recover unpaid half-time overtime wages accumulated during all their time of employment, liquidated damages, the correction of his income tax return, and any other relief as allowable by law.
- 16. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are weekly-paid employees and/or former employees of Defendant who are and who were subject to the unlawful payroll practices and procedures of Defendant and were not paid time and one half of their regular rate of pay for all overtime hours worked in excess of forty.

<u>COUNT 1:</u> WAGE AND HOUR FEDERAL STATUTORY VIOLATION; FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME, AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS

- 17. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1-16 above as if set out in full herein.
- 18. This action is brought by Plaintiff RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ and those similarly-situated employees, to recover from the Employer unpaid overtime compensation, as well as an additional amount as liquidated damages, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees under the

- provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and specifically under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 19. 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a)(1) states, "No employer shall employ any of his employees... for a work week longer than 40 hours unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above-specified at a rate not less than one and a half times the regular rate at which he is employed."
- 20. The employer HOSPITALITY TEAM, was engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as defined in §§ 3 (r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s)(1)(A). The defendant provides hospitality staffing. Defendant has more than two employees directly and recurrently engaged in interstate commerce. The Employer/Defendant obtains and solicits funds from non-Florida sources, accepts funds from non-Florida sources, uses telephonic transmissions going over state lines to do its business, transmits funds outside the State of Florida. Upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of the Employers/Defendant was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000 per annum. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant's business activities involve those to which the Fair Labor Standards Act applies. Therefore, there is enterprise coverage.
- 21. Plaintiff was employed by an enterprise engage in interstate commerce. Plaintiff was a maintenance employee, working in a hotel, providing lodging services to the tourism industry. Through his daily activities, Plaintiff and other employees similarly situated, regularly and recurrently participated in interstate commerce, by maintaining facilities dedicated mostly to serve tourists, and by handling and working with goods and materials

- that were moved across State lines at any time in the course of business. Therefore, there is individual coverage.
- 22. Plaintiff's wage rate during the relevant period of employment was \$12.00 an hour.
- 23. While employed by Defendant HOSPITALITY TEAM, Plaintiff worked up to Seven days per week, in excess of 40 hours every week period. Plaintiff worked from 45 hours, up to 112 hours weekly.
- 24. However, Plaintiff did not receive any additional compensation for overtime hours. Plaintiff was not paid for overtime hours at the rate of time and one-half his regular rate for every hour that he worked in excess of forty (40), in violation of Section 7 (a) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (29 U.S.C. 207(a)(1).
- 25. Plaintiff signed a time-sheet in and out, and Defendant was able to track the hours worked by Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals. Plaintiff was paid weekly for all his hours, but at the incorrect rate. Plaintiff was provided with paystubs showing the total hours worked, wage rate, and employment taxes withheld. However, Plaintiff was provided with a 1099 form, as an independent contractor.
- 26. Defendant never posted any notice, as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act and Federal Law, to inform employees of their federal rights to overtime and minimum wage payments.

 Defendants violated the Posting requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 516.4.
- 27. The records, if any, concerning the number of hours actually worked by Plaintiff and those similarly situated, and the compensation actually paid to such employees should be in the possession and custody of Defendant. However, upon information and belief, Defendant did not maintain accurate time records of hours worked by Plaintiff and other employees.
- 28. Defendant violated the record keeping requirements of FLSA, 29 CFR Part 516.

- 29. Prior to the completion of discovery and to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, at the time of the filing of this complaint, Plaintiff's good faith estimate of unpaid overtime wages is as follows:
 - * Please note that these amounts are based on a preliminary calculation and that these figures could be subject to modifications as discovery could dictate. Calculations have been made based on Plaintiff's records from 7/21/2017 to 10/15/2017. After proper discovery, these calculation will be adjusted.
 - a. Total amount of alleged unpaid O/T wages:

Three Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Eight Dollars and 00/100 (\$3,438.00)

b. <u>Calculation of such wages</u>:

Total weeks of employment: more than 15 weeks

Total relevant weeks of employment: 14 weeks (in this Complaint)

Total weeks with overtime hours: more than 15 weeks Regular rate: \$12.00 an hour x 1.5=\$18.00 O/T rate O/T rate: \$18.00-\$12.00 paid= \$6.00 half-time

#	Date	Tot. Hours	O/T hours	5	Half-Time		Total O/T
1	7/21/17	68	28	X	\$6.00	=	\$168.00
2	8/27/17	66	26	X	\$6.00	=	\$396.00
3	8/28/17	104	64	X	\$6.00	=	\$384.00
4	8/28/17	101	61	X	\$6.00	=	\$366.00
5	9/03/17	101	61	X	\$6.00	=	\$366.00
6	9/10/17	45	5	X	\$6.00	=	\$ 30.00
7	9/17/17	48.5	8.5	X	\$6.00	=	\$ 51.00
8	9/18/17	58	18	X	\$6.00	=	\$108.00
9	9/24/17	58	18	X	\$6.00	=	\$108.00
10	9/25/17	64	24	X	\$6.00	=	\$144.00
11	10/8/17	111.5	71.5	X	\$6.00	=	\$429.00
12	10/09/17	103	63	X	\$6.00	=	\$378.00
13	10/14/17	No O/T					
14	10/14/17	112	72	X	\$6.00	=	\$432.00
15	10/23/17	53	13	X	\$6.00	=	\$ 78.00
Total O/T hours: 586 Total unpaid half-time: \$3,438.00							

c. Nature of wages (e.g. overtime or straight time):

This amount represents unpaid half-time overtime wages.

- 30. The Employer/Defendant HOSPITALITY TEAM failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §207 (a) (1), in that Plaintiff and those similarly-situated performed services and worked in excess of the maximum hours provided by the Act but no provision was made by the Defendant to properly pay them at the rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty hours (40) per workweek as provided in said Act.
- 31. Defendant HOSPITALITY TEAM knew and/or showed reckless disregard of the provisions of the Act concerning the payment of overtime wages as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act, as set forth above.
- 32. Defendant HOSPITALITY TEAM willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff overtime wages at the rate of time and one-half his regular rate, as required by the law of the United States and remains owing Plaintiff these overtime wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, and Plaintiff and those similarly-situated are entitled to recover double damages.
- 33. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorney to represent him in this action and is obligated to pay a reasonable attorneys' fee.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ and those similarly-situated respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

- A. Enter judgment for Plaintiff RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ and other similarly-situated individuals and against the Defendant HOSPITALITY TEAM, on the basis of Defendant's willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.; and
- B. Award Plaintiff RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ actual damages in the amount shown to be

due for unpaid overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty weekly, with interest; and

- C. Award Plaintiff an equal amount in double damages/liquidated damages; and
- D. Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and just and/or available pursuant to Federal Law.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ and those similarly-situated demand trial by jury of all issues triable as of right by jury.

DATED: December 29, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Zandro E. Palma ZANDRO E. PALMA, P.A. Florida Bar No.: 0024031 9100 S. Dadeland Blvd. Suite 1500 Miami, FL 33156

Telephone: (305) 446-1500 Facsimile: (305) 446-1502 zep@thepalmalawgroup.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

SJS 44 (RCLUSE 1:17-cv-24719-RNS DocuMent 1 GOVER FOLLSD Docket 12/29/2017 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

the civil docket sneet. (SEE IN	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM	4.) NO 1	ICE: Attorneys MUS	ST Illulcate All Ke-Illeu C	ases below.		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS					
AREVALO R. RODRIGUEZ			HOSPITALITY STAFFING TEAM LLC				
(b) County of Residence (EX	of First Listed Plaintiff (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Ad	dress, and Telephone Number)		NOTE: IN LAND	CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT		
The Law Office of Zandr 9100 South Dadeland Bly Tel: (305) 446-1500	o E. Palma, P.A. rd., Suite 1500, Miami, FL 33156	LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
(d) Check County Where Actio	n Arose: √□ MIAMI- DADE □ MONROE □	BROWARD 1	☐ PALM BEACH ☐ MAI	RTIN ST. LUCIE I INDIA	AN RIVER		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item II	II)	en of Another State 🛛	2	Another State		
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		l non	EDICHO E/DENA Y CON	DANIZOVIDACIA	OTHER CT - THETO		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL II		FEITURE/PENALTY 10 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 340 Morine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Other Frat Liability □ 360 Other Personal Linjury CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 362 Personal I Med. Malp Product Lia Injury Product Lia Injury PERSONAL PRO □ 370 Other Frat □ 380 Other Pers □ 380 Other Pers □ 385 Property □ □ 385 Property □ □ 385 Property □ □ 510 Motions to Sentence Habeas Corpt □ 530 General □ 530 General □ 530 Civil Righ □ 555 Prison Corpt □ 555 Prison Corpt □ 555 Prison Corpt □ 440 Other Civil Rights	Injury - 6 oractice njury - 7 oractice njury -	20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 440 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting 8 Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
⊿ 1 Original □ 2 R	n "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Reop	pened	• /	1 Judgment		
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	(See instructions second page): JUDGE	se □ YES 4	g NO b) Rela	ted Cases □ YES □ NO DOCKET NUMBER			
VII. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which y diversity): 29 U.S.C. LENGTH OF TRIAL via 3 days expression days expression.	C	nd Write a Brief Stateme	, ,	dictional statutes unless		
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		`	EMAND \$	<u>′</u>	if demanded in complaint: Yes No		
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOWI	EDCE	OF ATTORNEY Zandro E. I		DATE Decemb	per 29, 2017		
			FOR OF	FICE USE ONLY	IED		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Colifornia

Southern District of California						
AREVALO R. ROD	PRIGUEZ)				
)				
v.)	Civil Action No.			
HOSPITALITY STAFFING TEAM LLC))				
Defendant)				
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
	HOSPITALITY STAFFIN Through Its registered Aç SANDRA ZULUAGA 10727 s preserve way 306 MIRAMAR, FL 33025		1 LLC			
A lawsuit has been filed	against you.					
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must s the Federal Rules of Civil Proceed whose name and address are:	States agency, or an off erve on the plaintiff an a	icer or e nswer to tion mus o E. Palı				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
			CLERK OF COURT			
Date:						
Date			Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Hospitality Staffing Team Hit with Unpaid Overtime Case</u>