Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 1 of 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

JAMES ROBINSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Civil Case Number:

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CIVIL ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES.

Defendants.

Plaintiff JAMES ROBINSON (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a Georgia resident, brings this class action complaint by and through the undersigned attorneys, against Defendant CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES (hereinafter "Defendant" or "CBA"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." Id. Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

- Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 2 of 10 abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.
- 3. The rights and obligations established by section 15 U.S.C. § 1692g were considered by the Senate at the time of passage of the FDCPA to be a "significant feature" of the Act. See S. Rep. No. 382, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 4, at 4, reprinted in 1977 U.S.C.C.A.N. 1695, 1696.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 6. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Georgia consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using false, deceptive and misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
- 7. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in false, deceptive or misleading practices.
- 8. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of Cherokee County in the State of Georgia, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 10. Defendant Credit Bureau Associates is a collection agency with its registered office located at 955 Greene Street, Augusta, Georgia 30901.

Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 3 of 10

- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 12. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 13. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 14. Some time prior to February 2, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to SAWNEE EMC.
- 15. The alleged SAWNEE EMC obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 16. The alleged SAWNEE EMC obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(5).
- 17. SAWNEE EMC is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.\(\} 1692a(4).
- 18. Defendant contends that the SAWNEE EMC debt is past due.

A.

- 19. Defendant is a company that uses mail, telephone or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors.
- 20. SAWNEE EMC directly or through an intermediary contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.
- 21. On or about February 2, 2017, the Defendant caused to be delivered to the Plaintiff a collection letter in an attempt to collect the alleged SAWNEE EMC debt. *See* Exhibit

Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 4 of 10

- 22. The February 2, 2017 letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 23. The February 2, 2017 letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 24. The Plaintiff received and read the Letter sometime after February 2, 2017.
- 25. The Letter stated in part:

"Your account has been listed with CBA for immediate collection; with authority to record this item on your **personal credit file.** The Fair Credit Reporting Act states that this kind of information can remain in your **credit file** for 7 years."

- 26. The Plaintiff, as would any least sophisticated consumer, read the above statement to mean that the Defendant would be reporting the alleged debt on his report for 7 years.
- 27. The Plaintiff, as would any least sophisticated consumer, read the above statement to mean that the Defendant was legally entitled to report the alleged debt for 7 years.
- 28. Pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, a credit reporting agency is permitted to report a delinquent debt for seven years from the date of delinquency. "The 7-year (credit reporting) period referred to in paragraphs (4) and (6) 6 of subsection (a) shall begin, with respect to any delinquent account that is placed for collection (internally or by referral to a third party, whichever is earlier), charged to profit and loss, or subjected to any similar action, upon the expiration of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the commencement of the delinquency which immediately preceded the collection activity, charge to profit and loss, or similar action" See 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(1).
- 29. Upon information and belief, the age of the alleged debt which Defendant was attempting to collect would have prevented it from being reported on his credit file for seven years.
- 30. Upon information and belief, there was no intention of recording the alleged debt on the Plaintiff's credit file for 7 years.

- 31. Pursuant to the FDCPA, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 32. By falsely representing that the Plaintiff's account can remain on the Plaintiff's credit file for 7 years, the Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA and harmed the Plaintiff.
- 33. Defendants could have taken the steps necessary to bring its actions within compliance with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to ensure compliance with the law.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 34. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class") consisting of: a) All consumers who have an address in the State of Georgia b) who were sent a collection letter from the Defendant c) attempting to collect a consumer debt d) which states "this kind of information can remain in your credit file for 7 years" (e) where such action would not be legally permitted based on the current age of the alleged debt (f) which letter was sent on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date 21 days after the filing of this action.
- 35. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 36. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 37. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The

- Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 6 of 10 principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 38. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 39. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 40. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
 - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

 The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) <u>Adequacy:</u> The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are averse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter.

- Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 7 of 10 Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
 - (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 41. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 42. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 43. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 44. Defendants' debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the

- Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 8 of 10 Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 45. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, misleading and/or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.
- 46. The Defendants violated said section in its letter to the Plaintiff by:
 - Using a false, deceptive, and misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - b. Threatening to take action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken in violation of § 1692e(5);
 - Making a false representation or using deceptive means to collect a debt in violation of § 1692e(10).
- 47. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq*. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY·

48. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby request a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

(a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and undersigned as Class Counsel;

Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 9 of 10

- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
 - (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as thisCourt may deem just and proper.

Dated: January 31, 2018

By: /s/ Misty Ann Oaks___

Misty Ann Oaks, Esq. The Oaks Firm 3315 Charlston Court Decatur, Georgia 30034 Tel: (404) 725-5697

Fax: (775) 320-3695

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PRO HAC VICE APPLICATION TO BE FILED

Yitzchak Zelman, Esq. MARCUS ZELMAN, LLC 1500 Allaire Avenue, Suite 101 Ocean, New Jersey 07712 Phone: (732) 695-3282

Facsimile: (732) 298-6256

Email: yzelman@marcuszelman.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff



P.O. Box 1095 Ettijay, GA 30540 (800) 606-2254

February 2, 2017

Your account has been listed with CBA for immediate collection; with authority to record this item on your **personal** credit file.

The Fair Credit Reporting Act states that this kind of information can remain in your credit file for 7 years.

To avoid further contact, and to keep this information out of your credit file, remit the balance in full to our office today.

This is a communication from a debt collector and an attempt to collect a debt; any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Unless you dispute the validity of the debt referred to herein within thirty days of your receipt of this notice, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector. This thirty day time period is effective only if you dispute the account. Otherwise, PAYMENT OF THE BALANCE IS DUE NOW. If you advise us in writing within the said thirty day period that the debt or any portion thereof is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt. Further, upon your written request within the said thirty day period we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.



(800) 606-2254



www.cbabillpay.com Pay online 24/7 with no transaction fee



P.O. Box 1095, Ellijay, GA 30540

Creditor	Account #	Amount Owed
SAWNEE EMC	0000	275.40
	į	
	Total Amount Owed	\$275.40

*** Please detach the lower portion and return with your payment ***

558-SDGEOR20-112-02/15/16

Y10145FE26

PO Box 1095 Ellijay GA 30540-0014 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

February 2, 2017

CBA Account No.: Amount Due:

\$275.40

ւթգայելիի-իրդորըը,թ-իլիերայիր-իդի-դիլենիի

0008120024001880009930115745653—Y1D145FE26 558

JAMES ROBINSON



Credit Bureau Associates PO Box 1095 Ellijay GA 30540-0014



12345678-000558-01-1-AA

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)		DEFENDANT(S)	
JAMES ROBINSON, individually and on behalf of all others		CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES	
similarly situated			
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Cherokee (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	
		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)	
		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	
THE OAKS FIRM			
3315 Charlston Court			
Decatur, GA 30034 404.725.5697			
attyoaks@yahoo.com			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION		IZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES	
(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	(PLACE A	N "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)	
	PLF DEF	PLF DEF	
U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAINTIFF U.S. GOVERNMENT (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) □ 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT US FEDERAL QUESTION (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) □ 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES □ 2 □ 2 CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5		FIZEN OF THIS STATE 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE	
		FIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE	
IN ITEM III)		FIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A 6 FOREIGN NATION REIGN COUNTRY	
N/ ODICINI			
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY) 1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING 2 REMOVED FROM APPELLATE COURT 3 REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT	4 REINSTATED O	TRANSFERRED FROM 6 LITIGATION - 7 FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGE 1 TRANSFER JUDGMENT	
MULTIDISTRICT 8 LITIGATION -			
DIRECT FILE			
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE I JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNI	UNDER WHICH YOU LESS DIVERSITY)	ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE	
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)	_		
1. Unusually large number of parties.	6. Problems locating or preserving evidence		
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.		7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government.	
3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex	☐ 8. Multiple use of experts.		
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. 5. Extended discovery period is needed.	9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. 10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.		
5. Extended discovery period is needed.	∐IU. Exist	ence of nightly technical issues and proof.	
C	ONTINUED (ON REVERSE	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		F SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION	
(Referral)			

Case 1:18-cv-00493-LMM-WEJ Document 1-2 Filed 01/31/18 Page 2 of 2

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

	,	
CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT &	CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK
ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT	441 VOTING	861 HIA (1395ff)
☐ 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (Excl. Veterans)	442 EMPLOYMENT 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS	862 BLACK LUNG (923) 863 DIWC (405(g))
☐ 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF	445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment	863 DIWW (405(g)) 863 DIWW (405(g))
VETERAN'S BENEFITS	446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other	864 SSID TITLE XVI
CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	448 EDUCATION	865 RSI (405(g))
110 INSURANCE	IMMICD ATION HOW MONTHS DISCOURDY TO A CY	FEDERAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY
120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT	IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION	TRACK 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT	465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS	871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609
☐ 151 MEDICARE ACT☐ 160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS	PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY	OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY
190 OTHER CONTRACT	TRACK	TRACK
195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY	463 HABEAS CORPUS- Alien Detainee	375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT
196 FRANCHISE	510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 530 HABEAS CORPUS	375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT 376 Qui Tam 31 USC 3729(a) 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT
EAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	535 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY	430 BANKS AND BANKING
RACK	540 MANDAMUS & OTHER	450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC.
210 LAND CONDEMNATION 220 FORECLOSURE	550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se	460 DEPORTATION 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT	560 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF	ORGANIZATIONS
240 TORTS TO LAND	CONFINEMENT	480 CONSUMER CREDIT 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV
245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY	PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
	TRACK	891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS
ORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS ISCOVERY TRACK	550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel	893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
310 AIRPLANE	333 1 Misora COMDITION(S) - Filed by Coulise	895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT /
315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION
320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY	TRACK 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY	950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES
340 MARINE	21 USC 881	OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY
345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY	690 OTHER	TRACK
350 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY	LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	410 ANTITRUST 850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE
360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT	650 SECORTIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE
362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL	720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS	OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY
MALPRACTICE 365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY	740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT 751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT	TRACK 896 ARBITRATION
367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/	790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION	(Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify)
PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY	791 EMPL. RET. INC. SECURITY ACT	
368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY	PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	
	TRACK	* PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY
ORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS	820 COPYRIGHTS	TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE.
SISCOVERY TRACK 370 OTHER FRAUD	840 TRADEMARK	SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3
371 TRUTH IN LENDING	PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY	
380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY	TRACK 830 PATENT	
363 FROTERTT DAMAGETRODGET EIABIEITT	835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG	
BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	APPLICATIONS (ANDA) - a/k/a Hatch-Waxman cases	
422 APPEAL 28 USC 158 423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157	match-waxinan cases	
II. REQUESTED IN COMPLA	INT:	
CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R		
URY DEMAND \square YES \square NO (CHECK YES	ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)	
/III. RELATED/REFILED CAS	SE(S) IF ANY	
JUDGE		
IVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF TH	IE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIAT	E BOX)
☐ 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN	AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
	SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUI	
☐ 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME I	BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERE	TO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME
BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.		
□ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY <u>PRO SE</u> I		
☐ 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CA	ASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABI	BREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):
7 FITHER SAME OD ALL OF THE DADTIE		
1 17. ELLIER SAME OR ALL OF THE FAKILE	S AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WEDE DDEVIOUSLY PAVO	I VED IN CASE NO WHICH WAS
DISMISSED. This case I IS I IS NO	S AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVO OT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.	LVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS
DISMISSED. This case IS IS NO	S AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVO OT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.	LVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS
DISMISSED. This case IS IS IS NO	S AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVO OT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.	LVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS
☐ DISMISSED. This case ☐ IS ☐ IS NO	S AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVO OT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.	LVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS
DISMISSED. This case ☐ IS ☐ IS NO	S AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVO OT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE. January 31,	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: Credit Bureau Associates Falsely Threatens Negative Credit Reporting</u>