FILED

		ES DISTRICT COURT? ## ## 4 P# 2: 4 STRICT OF FLORIDA VILLE DIVISION CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT MODELE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT
TRAVIS ROBERTS, on behalf of)	
himself and others similarly situated,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No.: 3:18-CV-644-J-34MCK
v.)	Civil Action No.: 3476-CV-QV(4-3-3477)
GREAT SOUTH TIMBER AND)	,
LUMBER, LLC)	
LOWIDER, LEC	í	
Defendant.)	í

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, TRAVIS ROBERTS ("PLAINTIFF"), on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, and files this Complaint against DEFENDANT, GREAT SOUTH TIMBER AND LUMBER, LLC ("DEFENDANT") respectfully stating unto the Court the following:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is a cause of action to recover compensatory and liquidated damages, attorney fees, and other relief from DEFENDANT for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and for wrongful termination in violation of the Family and Medical Leave Act.
- 2. At all material times, PLAINTIFF was a citizen and resident of Florida.
- At all material times, DEFENDANT was a Florida corporation with a facility in Columbia County, Florida, which is where PLAINTIFF was employed at all relevant times.

BACKGROUND

- 4. PLAINTIFF brings this action to require DEFENDANT to pay back wages owed to PLAINTIFF, which DEFENDANT failed to pay in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201. et seq.
- 5. At all relevant times, DEFENDANT acted through its officers, agents, servants and employees.
- 6. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, DEFENDANT had annual gross sales in excess of \$500,000.00 and two or more employees who regularly handled goods that moved in or were produced for interstate commerce.
- 7. At all relevant times, DEFENDANT was an enterprise engaged in commerce as defined at 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 8. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and by 29 U.S.C. § 216.
- 9. In or around September 2014, DEFENDANT hired PLAINTIFF to work as a machine operator. The proposed collective class of individuals consists df employees of DEFENDANT, who like PLAINTIFF were hourly workers, who performed overtime work for which they were not properly compensated.
- 10. Throughout his employment, PLAINTIFF routinely worked more than 40 hours per week in a non-exempt job but was not paid at a rate of one and one-half times his hourly rate when he worked overtime. The similarly situated employees are also believed to have performed overtime work for which they were compensated at a straight time rate of pay.
- 11. The FLSA requires an employer to pay its employees at a rate of at least minimum

í

- wage for all hours worked and also requires an employer to pay its employees time and one-half when they work more than 40 hours per week.
- 12. Despite working at the direction and knowledge of DEFENDANT, PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated were not paid time and one-half when they worked more than 40 hours in a week.
- Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT has, since the beginning of PLAINTIFF'S employment, willingly, deliberately and intentionally refused to pay PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated for time actually worked and time and one-half for overtime hours he worked.
- 14. PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated are therefore, owed compensation for time actually worked but not paid, and overtime pay by DEFENDANT, who willingly and knowingly withheld those wages.

<u>COUNT I</u> VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 15. The General Allegations and Background above are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. DEFENDANT regularly engages in commerce and its employees, including PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated, handled and used materials, which have moved in interstate commerce.
- 17. At all relevant times, DEFENDANT was an employer within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. and is subject to the provisions of the Act.
- 18. PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated, at all relevant times were non-exempt employees of DEFENDANT, as defined by the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as

- amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. who performed work off the clock for which they received no compensation.
- 19. During their employment with DEFENDANT, PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated performed work for which they were not properly compensated in violation of the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. More specifically, DEFENDANT violated the minimum wage provision of the FLSA by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated for all the time they worked. DEFENDANT also violated the overtime provisions of the FLSA by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated time and one-half when they worked more than 40 hours per week.
- 20. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT'S pay system was unilaterally imposed upon PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated by DEFENDANT.
- 21. DEFENDANT'S failure to compensate PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated for all compensable hours violates the minimum wage and overtime provisions of the FLSA and the regulations thereunder.
- 22. DEFENDANT'S failures to compensate PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated for all compensable hours and to pay them time and one-half for their overtime were willful and knowing violations of the Acts.
- 23. As a result of DEFENDANT'S willful and knowing failure to properly compensate PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated, they have suffered substantial delay in receipt of wages owed and damages.
- 24. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§206, 207 and 216, DEFENDANT owes PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated compensation for unpaid work, time and one-half pay for their unpaid

- overtime, and an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, together with an additional sum for attorney's fees and costs.
- 25. All conditions precedent to maintaining this action have occurred of otherwise been waived.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF demands judgment against DEFENDANT and respectfully prays the Court that PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated will recover unpaid wages, unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorney fees, and other relief by reason of DEFENDANT'S violations of the FLSA; for a trial by jury on all issues so triable; for an Order enjoining DEFENDANT from continuing its practice of having employees perform work "off the clock" and/or failing to pay employees for time actually worked, and, for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF FLORIDA STATUTE 448.01 *et seg.*</u>

- 27. The General Allegations and Background above are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. DEFENDANT failed to pay to PLAINTIFF wages due and owing in violation of Florida Statute § 448.01, et seq. To wit, upon information and belief, DEFENDANT failed to fully pay PLAINTIFF his agreed hourly rate for the last two weeks of his employment.
- 29. Pursuant to Florida Statute § 448.08, PLAINTIFF is entitled to have his attorney's fees paid for by DEFENDANT if he is successful in this action.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF demands judgment against DEFENDANT and respectfully prays the Court that PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated will recover nominal relief, unpaid

wages, unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorney fees, and other relief by reason of DEFENDANT'S violations of the FLSA; for a trial by jury on all issues so triable; for an Order enjoining DEFENDANT from continuing its practice of having employees perform work "off the clock" and/or failing to pay employees for time actually worked, and, for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

<u>COUNT III</u> FMLA INTERFERENCE/RETALIATION

- 30. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT employs and employed within a 75 miles radius, 50 or more employees for each working day, during each; of 20 or more workweeks in the current or preceding calendar year.
- 31. In or around September 2017, PLAINTIFF advised DEFENDANT that he suffered from a serious health condition, namely an emergency situation with regard to his stomach that led to him receiving emergency medical care and for which he was required her to be out of work for three consecutive days and which required follow-up treatment. Rather than allowing PLAINTIFF to return to work following his leave, DEFENDANT terminated PLAINTIFF.
- 32. DEFENDANT interfered with PLAINTIFF'S right to take unpaid leave from work under the Family and Medical Leave Act.
- 33. PLAINTIFF had a "serious health condition," namely an injury, impairment or physical or mental condition that involved inpatient care in a hospital or other care facility, and/or continuing treatment by a health care provider.
- 34. PLAINTIFF gave appropriate notice of his need to be absent from work by notifying DEFENDANT as soon as practicable after he learned of the need for leave.

- DEFENDANT interfered with the exercise of PLAINTIFF'S right to unpaid leave, inter alia, by: 1) terminating his employment; 2) refusing to allow PLAINTIFF to return to his job, or to an equivalent position, upon return from leave; 3) discouraging PLAINTIFF from taking leave; and 4) failing to provide PLAINTIFF with a written notice detailing the specific expectations and obligations of the employee with regard to a request for leave.
- 36. DEFENDANT'S violation of the FMLA was willful.
- 37. As the actual, proximate, and legal result of that violation, PLAINTIFF suffered significant economic harm, including the loss of his job resulting in lost wages and benefits.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF demands judgment against DEFENDANT and respectfully prays the Court that PLAINTIFF will recover unpaid wages, benefits and other economic relief, injunctive relief in the form of reinstatement to his former position, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorney fees and costs of litigation, and other relief by reason of DEFENDANT'S violations of the FMLA; for a trial by jury on all issues so triable; and, for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

1

Dated: May 12, 2018

,

Respectfully submitted,

THE LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW BIRK

/s/ Matthew W. Birk

Matthew W. Birk
Florida Bar No.: 92265
309 NE 1st Street
Gainesville, FL 32601
(352) 244-2069
(352) 372-3464 FAX
mbirk@gainesvilleemploymentlaw.com
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

í

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purposes of initiating the civil docker sheet. (SEE INSTRICTIONS ON NET PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	IKM.)					
TRAVIS ROBERTS, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,				GREAT SOUTH TIMBER & LUMBER, LLC					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Columbia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. 1					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A The Law Office of Matthe 309 NE 1st St., Gainesvil (352) 244-2069	w Birk, LLC	9		Attorneys (If Known)		·			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	•			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Citizen of This State \Box 1 \Box 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State					
7 2 U.S. Government 7 4 Diversity Defendant Andicate Citizenship of		p of Parties in Item III)			1 2			5 🗆 5	
				en or Subject of a J	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	<u> </u>	6 36	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 60	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of KRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descrip		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	Y 🗇 62	25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appe ☐ 423 With	al 28 USC 158 drawal	☐ 375 False Claims .☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 t	Act	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpraetice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Product Liability Product Liability Parmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 780 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property Damage 785 Property Damage 785 Property Damage 786 Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO PRISONER PETITIO PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Continement		I.ABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 320 Copyrights 330 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 361 HIA (1395ff) 362 Black Lung (923) 363 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 364 SSID Title XVI 365 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 370 Taxes (U S Plaintiff or Defendant) 371 IRS—Third Parry 26 USC 7609		□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN	noved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Family and Medic Brief description of ca Wrongful termina	Appellate Court stute under which you a cal Leave Act suse tion IS A CLASS ACTIO	Reo	nstated or	er District		- Litig Direction	gation - ct File	
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY		·					, ди		
DATE		JUDGE SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD	DUCK	ET NUMBER			
05/12/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/Matthew W.							
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	\	/ JUDGE	34	MAG. JUE	oge /W/	_	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Great South Timber and Lumber Axed with Former Employee's Wage and Hour Suit</u>