

FILED
CLERK



Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C.
Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)
69-12 Austin Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone: 718-263-9591

Brooklyn Office 01/13/2017
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
~~LONG ISLAND OFFICE~~

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
SANTOS MARVER REYES and EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

**COLLECTIVE
ACTION
COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs,

**JURY TRIAL
DEMANDED**

-against-

ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S
BAKERY, GITTEL KAFF and MOSHE KAFF, as individuals,

Defendants.

-----X

1. Plaintiffs, **SANTOS MARVER REYES and EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated** (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs"), by their attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., allege, upon personal knowledge as to themselves and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiffs, **SANTOS MARVER REYES and EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated**, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against **ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY, GITTEL KAFF and MOSHE KAFF, as individuals**, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of federal and state overtime laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment by Defendants at ZOMICK'S BAKERY located at 85 Inip Drive, Inwood, NY 11096.
3. Plaintiff **SANTOS MARVER REYES** was employed by Defendants at ZOMICK'S BAKERY located at 85 Inip Drive, Inwood, NY 11096 as a bread baker and

performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around December 2012 until in or around March 2015.

4. Plaintiff **EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO** was employed by Defendants at ZOMICK'S BAKERY located at 85 Inip Drive, Inwood, NY 11096 as a baker and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around December 2012 until in or around February 2015.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
6. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
7. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
8. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

9. Plaintiff **SANTOS MARVER REYES** residing at 1316 Dinsmore, Far Rockaway, NY 11691, was employed by Defendants at ZOMICK'S BAKERY from in or around December 2012 until in or around March 2015.
10. Plaintiff **EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO** residing at 1039 Beach 20th Street, Far Rockaway, NY 11691, was employed by Defendants at ZOMICK'S BAKERY from in or around December 2012 until in or around February 2015.
11. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY, is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 85 Inip Drive, Inwood, NY 11096.
12. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY, is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant GITTEL KAFF owns and/or operates ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
14. Upon information and belief, Defendant GITTEL KAFF manages ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
15. Upon information and belief, Defendant GITTEL KAFF is the Chairman of the Board of ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
16. Upon information and belief, Defendant GITTEL KAFF is the Chief Executive Officer of ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
17. Upon information and belief, Defendant GITTEL KAFF is an agent of ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
18. Upon information and belief, Defendant GITTEL KAFF has power over personnel decisions at ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
19. Upon information and belief, Defendant GITTEL KAFF has power over payroll decisions at ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
20. Defendant GITTEL KAFF has the power to hire and fire employees at ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
21. During all relevant times herein, Defendant GITTEL KAFF was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
22. Upon information and belief, Defendant MOSHE KAFF owns and/or operates ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
23. Upon information and belief, Defendant MOSHE KAFF manages ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
24. Upon information and belief, Defendant MOSHE KAFF is the Chairman of the Board of ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
25. Upon information and belief, Defendant MOSHE KAFF is the Chief Executive Officer of ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
26. Upon information and belief, Defendant MOSHE KAFF is an agent of ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
27. Upon information and belief, Defendant MOSHE KAFF has power over personnel decisions at ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.

28. Upon information and belief, Defendant MOSHE KAFF has power over payroll decisions at ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY.
29. Defendant MOSHE KAFF has the power to hire and fire employees at ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
30. During all relevant times herein, Defendant MOSHE KAFF was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
31. On information and belief, ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

32. Plaintiff **SANTOS MARVER REYES** was employed by Defendants at ZOMICK'S BAKERY located at 85 Inip Drive, Inwood, NY 11096 as a bread baker and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around December 2012 until in or around March 2015.
33. Plaintiff **SANTOS MARVER REYES** worked approximately 72 (seventy-two) hours or more per week from in or around December 2012 until in or around March 2015.
34. Plaintiff **SANTOS MARVER REYES** was paid by Defendants approximately \$7.50 per hour from in or around December 2012 until in or around January 2013, approximately \$8.00 per hour from in or around January 2013 until in or around December 2014, and approximately \$8.75 per hour from in or around January 2015 until in or around March 2015.
35. Although Plaintiff **SANTOS MARVER REYES** worked approximately 72 (seventy-two) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.

36. Plaintiff **EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO** was employed by Defendants at ZOMICK'S BAKERY located at 85 Inip Drive, Inwood, NY 11096 as a baker and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around December 2012 until in or around February 2015.
37. Plaintiff **EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO** worked approximately 72 (seventy-two) hours or more per week from in or around December 2012 until in or around February 2015.
38. Plaintiff **EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO** was paid by Defendants approximately \$7.50 per hour from in or around December 2012 until in or around January 2013, approximately \$8.00 per hour from in or around January 2013 until in or around December 2014, and approximately \$8.75 per hour from in or around January 2015 until in or around February 2015.
39. Although Plaintiff **EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO** worked approximately 72 (seventy-two) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
40. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
41. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
42. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiffs also seek interest, attorney's fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

43. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are:

44. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as bakers or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required minimum wages, overtime wages, and spread of hours compensation.
45. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed between 30 and 35 employees within the past three years subjected to similar payment structures.
46. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiffs and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
47. Upon information and belief, Defendants failed to provide wage notices and statements in Plaintiffs' primary language.
48. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
49. Upon information and belief, Defendant had knowledge that Plaintiffs and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
50. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
51. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay and spread of hours compensation in violation of the FLSA and NYLL who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
52. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
53. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.

54. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
55. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

56. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
57. Plaintiffs have consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
58. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
59. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
60. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiffs were entitled under 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
61. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of Plaintiffs.
62. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

63. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
64. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
65. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiffs were entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
66. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to one-quarter of their unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

67. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
68. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiffs' primary language), of their rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
69. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$2,500.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

70. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
71. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)

72. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$2,500.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF


Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- d. Awarding Plaintiffs prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. Awarding Plaintiffs the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- f. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This 4th day of January 2017.



Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)
Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC
69-12 Austin Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone: 718-263-9591
Fax: 718-263-9598

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SANTOS MARVER REYES and EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY, GITTEL KAFF and MOSHE
KAFF, as individuals,

Defendants.

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
69-12 Austin Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Phone (718) 263-9591
Fax (718) 263-9598

TO:

ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY
85 Inip Drive
Inwood, NY 11096

GITTEL KAFF
1660 44th Street
Brooklyn, NY 11204

MOSHE KAFF
85 Inip Drive
Inwood, NY 11096

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)

CIVIL COVER SHEET - 00202

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
 SANTOS MARVER REYES REYES and EDUAR ABRAAM CASTRO,
 individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff QUEENS
 (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)
WEXLER, J.

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
 Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. **SHIELDS, M.J.**
 69-12 Austin Street
 Forest Hills, NY 11375 (718) 263-9591

DEFENDANTS
 ZOMICK'S FOOD PRODUCTS, LTD. d/b/a ZOMICK'S BAKERY,
 GITTEL KAFF and MOSHE KAFF, as individuals

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant NASSAU
 (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

SUMMONS ISSUED

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 U.S. Government Plaintiff

3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)

2 U.S. Government Defendant

4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	LABOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395f) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
Fair Labor Standards Act

Brief description of cause:
Compensation for unpaid overtime wages

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 100,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint. JURY DEMAND: No Yes

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE 1/4/17 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD [Signature]

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # 4053110238 AMOUNT \$400 APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

EDNY Revision 1/2013

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, _____, counsel for _____, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? NO
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
 - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? YES
 - b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? _____

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION


I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
 Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?
 Yes (If yes, please explain) No

Attorney Bar Code: RA5508

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: *Roma*



ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Zomick's Bakery Fried with Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit](#)
