# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHRISTIANA PHILLIPS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

DEBT RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC

Defendant.

## **CIVIL ACTION**

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff CHRISTIANA PHILLIPS (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through her attorneys, Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., against Defendant DEBT RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

#### INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's illegal practices, in connection with the collection of a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA").
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 *et seq*. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the "FDCPA," which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

#### **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with an office maintained in Westbury, New York.
- 10. Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined by the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a (6).

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP")
  Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following nationwide consumer class (the "Class"):
  - The class consists of all persons whom Defendants' records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about December 8, 2016; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt purportedly owed to NES Medical Services; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; (c) and Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f, for sending a collection letter which, among other things, attempts to collect an amount in excess of what is permitted by applicable law.
  - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
  - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that was sent to hundreds of persons (*See* Exhibit A, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);

- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
  predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common
  questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
  - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
  - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
  - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
  - d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
  - Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
  - Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
  - Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
  - A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
  - A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication

of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed proceed to without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby
making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief
with respect to the Class as a whole.

#### **ALLEGATIONS PARTICULAR TO CHRISTIANA PHILLIPS**

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Some time prior to December 8, 2016, an obligation was allegedly incurred by Plaintiff to NES Medical Services.
- 16. The aforesaid obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 17. The alleged NES Medical Services obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.\( \} 1692a(5).
- 18. NES Medical Services is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(4).
- 19. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) of the FDCPA.
- 20. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.
- 21. At a time known only to Defendant, NES Medical Services, directly or through an intermediary, contracted Defendant to collect NES Medical Services' debt.

- 22. In its effort to collect on the NES Medical Services obligation, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by written correspondence on December 8, 2016. *See* Exhibit A.
- 23. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 24. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 25. Said Letter states in pertinent part:

You know a SALE works...they don't last forever. Take advantage of this great deal NOW. Pay \$100.58 by 12/24/2016 and this account will be SETTLED and CLOSED.

### DON'T MISS THIS OPPORTUNITY

This SALE ends 12/24/2016.

26. As set forth in the following Counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

# First Count Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(5), et seq. False or Misleading Representations as to the Rights of the Consumer

- 27. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "26" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 28. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) prohibits debt collectors from making a "threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken."
- 29. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 30. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 31. Collection letters are deceptive if they can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 32. Said offer falsely states or implies that the respective settlement offer is valid <u>only if</u> Plaintiff is willing to "pay by 12/24/2016."

- 33. Using the phrase "This SALE ends 12/24/2016" imparts on the least sophisticated consumer a sense of urgency to settle before the deal lapses, when in reality Defendant had every intention of keeping the offer open past the sham 12/24/2016 "deadline."
- 34. Statements that a settlement offer is a "limited time offer," or that the offer expires on a specific date, or that payments must be received by that date, are false and misleading because the same offer is, upon information and belief, available at any time.
- 35. Such false statements are materially false statements, as they impart in the unsophisticated consumer, a false belief that he or she must hurry to take advantage of a limited time opportunity, when in reality, there is no such time limit.
- 36. The Seventh Circuit has established "safe harbor" language regarding settlement offers in collection letters: As in previous cases in which we have created safe-harbor language for use in cases under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, we think the present concern can be adequately addressed yet the unsophisticated consumer still be protected against receiving a false impression of his options by the debt collector's including with the offer the following language: "We are not obligated to renew this offer." The word "obligated" is strong and even the unsophisticated consumer will realize that there is a renewal possibility but that it is not assured. *Every v. RJM Acquisitions Funding L.L.C.*, 505 F.3d 769, 775-76 (7th Cir. 2007).
- 37. Defendant did not use the safe harbor language in its communication to Plaintiff.
- 38. Upon information and belief, the deadline in Exhibit A to respond to the settlement offer is a sham. There is no actual deadline. The sole purpose of the purported deadline is to impart in the consumer a false sense of urgency.
- 39. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e generally prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt."

- 40. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."
- 41. The statement in Defendant's December 8, 2016 Letter is false and misleading, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), and 1692e(10).
- 42. Defendant could have taken the steps necessary to bring its actions within compliance of the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to ensure conformance to the law.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.
Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C.
300 Cadman Plaza West, 12 Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Phone: (917) 299-6612
Attorney for Plaintiff

### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

September 28, 2017

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

# Case 1:17-cv-05698 Document 2-1 VEIRO 09/28/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC	ORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
CHRISTIANA PHILLIPS, on behalf of herself and all others similar situated			ly	DEBT RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC					
(b) County of Residence of	f First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence	of First Liste	ed Defendant			
(EX	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)			,	LAINTIFF CASES O	<i>'</i>	_	
					ONDEMNATION OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TH VOLVED.	HE LOCATION (	)F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LA NY 11201, (917) 299-661	W, P.C., 300 Cadman 2	Plz W, 12 Fl, Broo	klyn,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in ( and One Box fo		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	<b>⋨</b> 3 Federal Question			PT	TF DEF		·	PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	1 🗇 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a preign Country		Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of KRUPTCY	of Suit Code Des OTHER S		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		25 Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cla		25
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With		□ 376 Qui Tam 3729(a))	,	
□ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/	L 0;	o Other			☐ 400 State Rea	apportionn	nent
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			<b>PROPE</b> ■ 820 Copy	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks an		σ
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Paten	t	☐ 450 Commer	ce	5
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				t - Abbreviated Drug Application	<ul><li>☐ 460 Deportat</li><li>☐ 470 Racketee</li></ul>		ed and
(Excludes Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TV	LABOR	☐ 840 Trade	mark SECURITY	Corrupt (  480 Consume	Organizati er Credit	ons
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		10 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sa	t TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	<b>-</b> 72	Act 20 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black ☐ 863 DIW	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securitie Exchang		dities/
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage  385 Property Damage		Relations	□ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (		☐ 890 Other Sta		ctions
190 Pranchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	1 603 K31 (	403(g))	■ 893 Environn	nental Mat	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 79	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom Act	of Inform	ation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		91 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes	s (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitrati		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	<ul><li>☐ 463 Alien Detainee</li><li>☐ 510 Motions to Vacate</li></ul>		Income Security Act	or De □ 871 IRS—	efendant) -Third Party	☐ 899 Adminis Act/Revi	trative Pro ew or App	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence ☐ 530 General			26 U	SC 7609	Agency I ☐ 950 Constitut	Decision	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State State		1
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Other		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration					
	Other  448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
	1740 Education	560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	ı One Box Only)								
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court			erred from er District	☐ 6 Multidistriction Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 USC 1692		e filing (A	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Defendant violate	use: ed the FDCPA							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	, D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complair No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY (	OF RECORD		_			
09/28/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Joseph H. M	lizrahi						
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

### 

#### CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, JOSEPH ineligib	ole for co	ompulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):  do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
	$\boxtimes$	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	X	Question of law rather than question of fact predominates <u>DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1</u>
NONE		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides because same jud case: (A)	that "A ci the cases a lge and ma ) involves	is that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) ivil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the ci County:	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)		nswered "no" above: he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did t District	he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
Suffolk	County, olk Count	o question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau by?
		BAR ADMISSION
I am cu	rrently ad	Imitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes  No
Are you	currently	y the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?  Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:\_/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Eastern District o	I NEW TOIK
CHRISTIANA PHILLIPS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, )  )	
Disinsiff(s)	
Plaintiff(s)	Circil Ardian Na
V. ,	Civil Action No.
DEBT RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC	
) ) )	
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A C	CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)  DEBT RECOVERY SOLUTION 900 MERCHANTS CONCOUR SUITE LL-11 WESTBURY, NEW YORK 115	RSE
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion in whose name and address are:  JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LAW, P. 300 CADMAN PLAZA WEST, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 1126	r to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of nust be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,  C.  12 FL
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entory You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	ered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
D	
Date:	Cincipal of Cl. 1 D. 4 Cl. 1
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)	
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·	
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons		ence or usual place of abode with (name)	
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
		ons on (name of individual)	1.1.16.6	, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e	; or
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this info	ormation is true.	
Date:		-		
			Server's signature	
		_	Printed name and title	
		_	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:



December 08, 2016

հորքիրվորկցկիսկիսիիսիիկինուինիկիկիկիրորգը

**CHRISTIANA PHILLIPS** 004097

6800 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 113E

Syosset, NY 11791

Original Creditor: NES MEDICAL SERVICES OF N Current Creditor: PENDRICK PARTNERS

89323

Client Account#:

DRS Account #:

861 32176

Pin#:

CHRISTIAN PHILLIP Patient:

Treatment Date: 08/08/2011 Current Balance: \$251.47 \$100.58 Settlement Offer:

### SALE

You know how a SALE works...they don't last forever. Take advantage of this great deal NOW.

Pay \$100.58 by 12/24/2016 and this account will be SETTLED and CLOSED.

#### DON'T MISS THIS OPPORTUNITY

This SALE ends 12/24/2016

No more calls... no more letters... account closed.

For your convenience, you can also pay your account via our secure website at: www.dbtsolutions.com.

John Donnell, Recovery Specialist (516) 228-7110 / 1-800-851-9758 (Mon - Thurs 9:30am - 8:00pm, Fri 9:30am - 3:30pm & Sat 9:30am - 1:30pm EST) We are not obligated to renew this offer

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

**NOTICE:** See Reverse Side for Important Information.

Datach and Return with Payment -Please check here if there is a new phone number or address and provide the information on the reverse side.

CARD	EXPIRATION DATE /			
CARDHOLDER NAME	AMOUNT \$			
BILLING ADDRESS				
	_			
Please (√) to charge my Visa/MC monthly for this a	mount.			

Original Creditor Current Creditor Client Account#: DRS Account #: NES MÉDICAL SERVICES OF N PENDRICK PARTNERS 089323 Pin# 321/6 CHRISTIAN PHILLIP 08/08/2011 \$251-47 Patient: Treatment Date: Current Balance: Settlement Offer ◆ If you wish to pay by Visa or MC complete this information

Statement Of Account

**DEBT RECOVERY SOLUTIONS** 

PO Box 9003 Syosset, NY 11791

- Մրթիկիկիցիիկենյինիրիսիվիլիսիսվութիկնրգիկ

CHRISTIANA PHILLIPS

131752-103-3557

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Debt Recovery Solutions Sued Over 'Sham' Settlement Deadline</u>