IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

OLLIE PERRYMAN)
On behalf of himself and all others	
similarly situated)
Plaintiff,)
) Civil Action, Case No.:
V.)
) JURY DEMANDED
INGRAM DISTRIBUTION MANAGEMENT,)
INC. A Tennessee Corporation, INGRAM)
BOOK GROUP, LLC, A Tennessee Limited	
Liability Company, LIGHTNING	
SOURCE, LLC, <i>A Delaware Limited Liability</i>)
Company)
Defendantss.)

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Ollie Perryman (hereinafter referred to as "Mr. Perryman," or "Plaintiff") on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by and through counsel, and for his Complaint against Defendants, Ingram Distribution Management, Inc., Ingram Book Group, LLC, and Lightning Source, LLC ("Lightning") states as follows:

NATURE OF THE COMPLAINT

1. Mr. Perryman, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, brings a cause of

action under federal law, specifically the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201,

et seq.

2. Mr. Perryman, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendants for unpaid overtime compensation and related penalties and damages.

3. Mr. Perryman is a former employee of Defendants who alleges that Defendants failed and refused to pay him and those similarly situated to him the statutory required overtime premium for all hours worked over forty in a designated work week.

4. Defendants' practices are in direct violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* Mr. Perryman, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks declaratory relief, overtime premiums for all hours worked over forty in any given work week required, suffered, or permitted by Defendants, liquidated and/or other damages as permitted by applicable law; attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action.

5. Defendants' practice and policy is, and has been since on or about November 2015 to willfully fail and refuse to pay an overtime premium due and owing to Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated in violation of the FLSA.

6. Defendants institutes and carries out an unlawful policy and practice of refusing to pay Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated overtime for all hours worked in any given work week over forty, notwithstanding the fact that they are non-exempt employees entitled to an overtime premium under the FLSA.

7. Additionally, Mr. Perryman was terminated in retaliation for engaging in protected activity under the FLSA, in violation of the FLSA and seeks related penalties and damages.

PARTIES

8. Mr. Perryman is an adult resident of Antioch, Davidson County, Tennessee.

9. Mr. Perryman was an employee of Defendants for FLSA purposes.

Defendant Ingram Distribution Management, Inc. d/b/a Ingram Distribution
 Management, LLC is registered to do business in the State of Tennessee. Ingram Distribution
 Management, Inc.'s principal office is in La Vergne, Tennessee. Ingram Book Group, LLC may

be served through its Registered Agent Eleanor G. McDonald at 4400 Harding Pike Nashville, Tennessee 37205-2204. At all relevant times complained of herein, Defendants was an employer under 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).

11. Defendant Ingram Book Group, LLC is a Limited Liability Company registered to do business in the State of Tennessee. Ingram Book Group, LLC's principal office is in La Vergne, Tennessee. Ingram Book Group, LLC may be served through its Registered Agent Eleanor G. McDonald at 4400 Harding Pike Nashville, Tennessee 37205-2204. At all relevant times complained of herein, Defendants was an employer under 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).

12. Defendant Lightning Source, LLC is a Limited Liability Company registered to do business in the State of Delaware. Lightning Source, LLC's principal office is in La Vergne, Tennessee. Lightning Source, LLC may be served through its Registered Agent Eleanor G. McDonald at 4400 Harding Pike Nashville, Tennessee 37205-2204. At all relevant times complained of herein, Defendants was an employer under 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This action for damages is brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §
 201, *et seq*. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§
 216(b) and 217.

14. Defendants are legal entities and have sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Tennessee such that they are subject to service of process in Tennessee. Therefore, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

15. Defendants do business in the Middle District of Tennessee. Furthermore, a substantial part of the events giving rise to Mr. Perryman's, and those similarly situated, claims occurred in

the Middle District of Tennessee. Thus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), venue for this action properly lies in the Middle District of Tennessee.

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

16. Plaintiff brings this Complaint as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons who were, are, or will be employed by Defendants nationwide as hourly employees with a shift differential within three years from the commencement of this action who have not been compensated at one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for all work performed in excess of forty hours per week.

17. This Complaint may be brought and maintained as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), for all claims asserted by Plaintiff, Mr. Perryman, the Representative Plaintiff, because the claims of Plaintiff are similar to the claims of the plaintiffs of the representative action.

18. Mr. Perryman is similarly situated to the putative members of the collective employees for Defendants, had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and was subject to Defendants' common practice, policy, or plan of refusing to pay employees for all hours worked and of refusing to pay overtime in violation of the FLSA.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

19. Defendants employed Mr. Perryman, with Mr. Perryman's employment beginning in approximately April 2017.

20. Ingram Distribution Management, Inc. is listed as the employer on Mr. Perryman's paystub.

21. "Ingram Content Group/Lightning Source" is listed as the employer on Mr. Perryman's separation notice.

22. Defendants hired Mr. Perryman to work as a Shipping Clerk.

23. Defendants paid Mr. Perryman and those similarly situated hourly employees on an hourly rate basis.

24. Mr. Perryman and those similarly situated regularly worked more than forty (40) hours per week.

25. Mr. Perryman worked between approximately forty-one (41) and sixty (60) hours per week in an average workweek.

26. Mr. Perryman and those similarly situated were paid an hourly rate and an overtime premium for hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

27. Mr. Perryman and those similarly situated were paid a shift differential in addition to their regular hourly rate.

28. Defendants failed to include the shift differential to calculate the regular rate to calculate the proper overtime premium.

29. Defendants failed to properly compensate Mr. Perryman and those similarly situated for their overtime work.

30. Mr. Perryman reported Defendants' failure to include the shift differential when calculating the overtime premium to Human Resources.

31. Defendants never corrected their incorrect pay practices.

32. Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated were non-exempt employees.

33. Defendants failed to properly compensate Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated for their overtime work.

34. Defendants failed to include the shift differential when calculating the overtime premium.

35. Therefore, Mr. Perryman and those similarly situated have suffered damages for Defendants' failure to include the shift differential when calculating the overtime premium and Defendants did not properly pay overtime compensation under the FLSA.

Mr. Perryman was terminated in retaliation for reporting and complaining about Defendants' violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

36. Mr. Perryman reported Defendants FLSA violations to Human Resources.

37. Mr. Perryman reported that his overtime premium was incorrect.

38. Further, Mr. Perryman reported FLSA violations to his co-workers.

39. Additionally, Mr. Perryman discussed his intent to file a lawsuit against Defendants with his co-workers and encouraged his co-workers to reach out to an attorney.

40. When Mr. Perryman discussed filing a lawsuit against Defendants with his co-workers,

Mr. Perryman engaged in protected activity.

41. Merely days after engaging in protected activity with his co-workers, Defendants terminated Mr. Perryman.

42. Mr. Perryman's termination was in retaliation for reporting and complaining about the FLSA violation.

43. Defendants do not have a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for terminating Mr. Perryman.

<u>COUNT I</u>

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

44. Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated re-allege and incorporate herein the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 43 as they were set forth fully herein.

45. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants has been, and continues to be, an "employer" engaged in the interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

46. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants employed "employee[s]," including Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated.

47. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants had gross operating revenues in excess of \$500,000.00 (Five Hundred Thousand Dollars).

48. The FLSA requires each covered employer, such as Defendants, to compensate all nonexempt employees at a rate of not less than one and one-half the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week.

49. Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated are not exempt from the right to receive overtime pay.

50. Defendants paid Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated at an hourly rate plus a shift differential.

51. Because Defendants paid Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated hourly rates, they could not qualify as exempt employees.

52. Defendants was required to pay Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a workweek.

53. The overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty was to include a shift differential.

54. The shift differential was not added to the hourly rate to determine the regular rate to calculate the proper overtime premium.

55. Defendants failed to include the shift differential when calculating the overtime premium.

56. As a result of Defendants' failure to compensate Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated for all hours worked and to compensate them at a rate of not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for all work performed in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek, Defendants violated, and continues to violate, the FLSA, including 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

57. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation and lack of good faith compliance with the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a) as Defendants knew, or showed reckless disregard for the fact that its compensation practices were in violation of the law.

COUNT II

RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FLSA

58. Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated re-allege and incorporate herein the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 57 as they were set forth fully herein.

59. Mr. Perryman reported violations of the FLSA to Human Resources.

60. Mr. Perryman reported violation of the FLSA in conversations with his co-workers.

61. Mr. Perryman reported to his co-workers that he was not being properly compensated for his overtime hours.

62. Mr. Perryman reported to his co-workers that he was considering filing a lawsuit due to the FLSA violation.

63. Mr. Perryman engaged in protected activity when he reported and/or complained of pay violation of Defendants.

64. As a result of engaging in this protected activity, Defendants terminated Mr. Perryman in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).

65. Mr. Perryman was terminated less than a week after he informed his co-workers of the FLSA pay violations and discussed his intent to file a lawsuit against Defendants.

66. Mr. Perryman can show that any proffered legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for termination is pre-text.

67. Defendants' violation of the law was not a good faith error under 29 U.S.C. § 260.

68. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Mr. Perryman and all others similarly situated prays for relief as follows:

1. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;

2. Judgement against Defendants that its violations of the FLSA were willful;

3. Pre-Judgment and Post-Judgment interest, as provided by law;

4. An award of money damages for unpaid wages, including liquidated damages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, front pay, back pay and compensatory damages, and penalties in an exact amount to be determined at trial;

5. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the proposed members of the FLSA representative action and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

6. Designation of Plaintiff Mr. Perryman as Representative Plaintiff of the putative members of the FLSA representative action;

7. Award him costs and expenses of this action incurred herein, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees; and

8. Any and all such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Mr. Perryman hereby demands a jury trial on all causes of action and claims with respect to which he has a right to jury trial.

Dated: December 7, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Alan G. Crone Alan G. Crone, TN Bar No. 014285 Laura Ann E. Bailey, TN Bar No. 027078 THE CRONE LAW FIRM, PLC 88 Union Avenue, 14th Floor Memphis, TN 38103 800.403.7868 (voice) 901.737.7740 (voice) 901.474.7926 (fax) acrone@cronelawfirmplc.com Ibailey@cronelawfirmplc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DECLARATION AND VERIFICATION IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT

I, Ollie Perryman, verify and declare that the facts stated int eh forgoing Complaint for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act are true to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that the Complaint was not made out of levity or by collusion with Defendants, but in sincerity and truth for the causes mentioned in the Complaint.

I consent to join and authorize my attorneys to file this Complaint as a collective action pursuant to section 216(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, and I agree to continue to fulfill the obligations and duties of a representative party, if so certified by the Court.

Ollie Perryman

Ollie Perryman

11/17/2018

Date

Case 3:18-cv-01358 Document 1 Filed 12/07/18 Page 11 of 11 PageID #: 11

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Mr. Ollie Perryman on be	half of himself and all	others similarly situ	uated	DEFENDANTS INGRAM DISTRIE GROUP, LLC, LIC	BUTION MA		•	ИВООК
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Davidson (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Davidson (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) The Crone Law Firm, PL 88 Union Ave. 14th Floor Memphis, TN 38103	C	r)		Attomeys (If Known))			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One	e Box for Plaintij
□ 1 US Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In 7	rincipal Place	Defendant) IF DEF]4 □4
□ 2 US Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated and I of Business In A Foreign Nation	Another State] 5 5] 6 6
	_			reign Country		Toreign Ivation	L	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER ST.	TITES
Ito Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	IC PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 340 Morine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 550 Civil Rights 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	X 0 62 0 69 1 72 0 72 0 74 0 79 NS 0 79 0 46	LABOR Other LABOR LABOR Other Jarra Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Act Labor/Management Relations Act Other Labor Act Temployee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Z Naturalization Application So Other Immigration Actions	 ↓ 422 Appea ↓ 423 Withd 28 US ▶ PROPER ↓ 820 Copyr ↓ 830 Patent ↓ 840 Tradet ↓ 861 HIA (↓ 862 Black ↓ 863 DIWC ↓ 864 SSID ↓ 865 RSI (4 ↓ 870 Taxes or De ↓ 871 IRS—26 US 	al 28 USC 158 arawal SC 157 TY RIGHTS ights imark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) :/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U S Plaintiff fendant)	 375 False Clain 376 Qui Tam (3 3729(a)) 400 State Reapp 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer 1 Corrupt Org 480 Constumer (490 Cable/Sat 1) 850 Securities/(Exchange 890 Other Statu 891 Agricultura 893 Environme 895 Freedom of Act 899 Administra 	is Act at USC portionment Banking influenced and ganizations Credit V Commodities/ tory Actions 1 Acts rtal Matters Information tive Procedure or Appeal of cision ahity of
	••	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reop		er District	6 Multidist Litigation Transfer	1- Lit	ultidistrict igation - rect File
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 29 USCS 201 et Brief description of ca	seq.		Do not cite jurisdictional sta	atutes unless div			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CI	HECK YES only	if demanded in co : XYes [mplaint: J No
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER		
DATE 12/05/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT S/ Alan G. Croi		DF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # Case Print	ൽൺ8-cv-01358 Save As		1 File	ed 12/07/1₁۵۵₀₽₽_	age 1 of	2 Pageal D	<mark>D</mark> #e 12 Reset	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ex-Shipping Clerk Files Suit in Tennessee Over Allegedly Improper OT Wages, Retaliatory Discharge</u>