Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C
Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)
69-12 Austin Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone: 718-263-9591
UNITED STATES DISTRICT C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
-----X
RUPERTO PEREDA and CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff,

-against-

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, and CHARLES LOPRESTO, ANDREW LOPRESTO, and ROBERT FRIED, as individuals,

Defendants.	
 X	

1. Plaintiffs, RUPERTO PEREDA and CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiffs, RUPERTO PEREDA and CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, and CHARLES LOPRESTO, ANDREW LOPRESTO, and ROBERT FRIED, as individuals, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, located at 331 Lenox Avenue, New York, New York 10027.

3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in the SOUTHERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

#### THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff RUPERTO PEREDA was employed by Defendants from in or around 1998 until in or around April 2018.
- Plaintiff CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN was employed by Defendants from in or around July 2016 until in or around March 2018.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office 331 Lenox Avenue, New York, New York 10027.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant, SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO owns and/or operates SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO is the Chairman of the Board of SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.

- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO is the Chief Executive Officer of SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO is an agent of SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO has power over personnel decisions at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO has power over payroll decisions at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN
- 18. Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO has the power to hire and fire employees at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 19. During all relevant times herein, Defendant CHARLES LOPRESTO was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO owns and/or operates SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO is a shareholder of SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO is an agent of SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO has power over personnel decisions at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO has power over payroll decisions at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO regularly supervised employees at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 26. Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO has the power to hire and fire employees at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.

- 27. During all relevant times herein, Defendant ANDREW LOPRESTO was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant ROBERT FRIED owns and/or operates SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant ROBERT FRIED is a shareholder of SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant ROBERT FRIED is an agent of SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant ROBERT FRIED has power over personnel decisions at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant ROBERT FRIED has power over payroll decisions at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN.
- 33. Defendant ROBERT FRIED has the power to hire and fire employees at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 34. During all relevant times herein, Defendant ROBERT FRIED was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 35. On information and belief, SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 36. Plaintiff RUPERTO PEREDA was employed by Defendants from in or around 1998 until in or around April 2018.
- 37. During Plaintiff RUPERTO PEREDA'S employment by Defendants, Plaintiff's primary duties were as a cook, food preparer, dishwasher, and cleaner, and

- performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around 1998 until in or around April 2018.
- 38. Plaintiff RUPERTO PEREDA was paid by Defendants approximately \$400.00 per week from in or around 2012 until in or around 2013, approximately \$430.00 per week in or around 2014 until in or around 2015, approximately \$500.00 per week in or around 2016, and approximately \$560.00 per week in or around 2017 until in or around April 2018.
- 39. Plaintiff RUPERTO PEREDA worked approximately six (6) days per week at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN and worked one (1) day per week for Defendants CHARLES LOPRESTO and ANDREW LOPRESTO cleaning a building located on 130<sup>th</sup> Avenue between 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Lenox Avenue in New York, New York.
- 40. Although Plaintiff RUPERTO PEREDA worked approximately sixty-three (63) hours or more per week from in or around 2012 until in or around April 2018, during his employment with Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 41. Plaintiff CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN was employed by Defendants from in or around July 2016 until in or around March 2018.
- 42. During Plaintiff CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN'S employment by Defendants at SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, Plaintiff's primary duties were as a cook and food preparer, and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around July 2016 until in or around March 2018.
- 43. Plaintiff CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN was paid by Defendants approximately \$600.00 per week from in or around July 2016 until in or around March 2018.
- 44. Although Plaintiff CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN worked approximately sixty-eight (68) hours or more per week from in or around July 2016 until in or around March 2018, during his employment with Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.

- 45. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 46. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep accurate payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 47. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 48. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
- 49. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as cooks, food preparers, dishwashers, and cleaners, or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required minimum and overtime wage compensation.
- 50. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed between 25 and 30 employees within the past three years subjected to similar payment structures.
- 51. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
- 52. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 53. Upon information and belief, Defendant had knowledge that Plaintiff and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 54. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.

- 55. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiffs and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 56. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 57. The claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 58. Plaintiffs and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 59. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 60. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 61. Plaintiffs have consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 62. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 63. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 64. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §\$206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).

- 65. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiffs.
- 66. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 67. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 68. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 69. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
- 70. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 71. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 72. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiffs' primary language), of their rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

73. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 74. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 75. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 76. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid minimum wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid overtime wages;
- d. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiffs prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiffs the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- g. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

#### DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This / /54 day of September 2018.

Case 1:18-cv-08647 Document 1 Filed 09/21/18 Page 10 of

Róman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC

69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591 Fax: 718-263-9598

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RUPERTO PEREDA and CELSO HILARIO GUZMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN, and CHARLES LOPRESTO, ANDREW LOPRESTO, and ROBERT FRIED, as individuals,

Defendants.

#### COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Phone (718) 263-9591 Fax (718) 263-9598

TO:

SERF TWO, INC. D/B/A BABBALUCCI ITALIAN KITCHEN 331 LENOX AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10027

CHARLES LOPRESTO 331 LENOX AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10027

ANDREW LOPRESTO 331 LENOX AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10027

ROBERT FRIED 331 LENOX AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10027

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Unpaid Overtime Suit Filed Against Babbalucci Italian Kitchen</u>