UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.

ARMANDO PAVONI, and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

vs.

KING CABLE CONSTRUCTION CORP., a Florida Corporation, and ADRIAN J. KING, JR., individually,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, ARMANDO PAVONI, by and through his undersigned attorney, and hereby sues Defendants, KING CABLE CONSTRUCTION CORP., a Florida Corporation, and ADRIAN J. KING, JR., individually, and as grounds alleges:

JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is an action to recover monetary damages, liquidated damages, interests, costs and attorney's fees for willful violations of overtime wages under the laws of the United States, the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§201-219) ("the FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff is a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court.
- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 because this action involves the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§201, *et seq.*, a federal statute.
 - 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) and (d).

- 5. Defendant KING CABLE is a Florida corporation which regularly conducted business within the Southern District of Florida by operating a cable installation company.
- 6. KING CABLE is and, at all times pertinent to this Complaint, was engaged in interstate commerce. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, KING CABLE operated as an organization which sells and/or markets and/or handles its services and/or goods and/or materials to customers from throughout the United States and also provides its services for goods sold and transported from across state lines of numerous other states, and KING CABLE obtains and solicits funds from non-Florida sources, accepts funds from non-Florida sources, uses telephonic transmissions going over state lines to do its business, transmits funds outside the State of Florida, and otherwise regularly engages in interstate commerce, particularly with respect to its employees.
- 7. Upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of KING CABLE was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum. KING CABLE had gross annual revenue in excess of \$375,000 during the first 9 months of 2016. Upon information and belief, the gross annual revenue for KING CABLE is expected to reach over \$500,000.00 for the year of 2016.
- 8. By reason of the foregoing, KING CABLE is and was, during all times hereafter mentioned, an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined in §3(r) and 3(s) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(r) and 203(s) and/or Plaintiff is within interstate commerce.
- 9. The individual Defendant, ADRIAN KING, is an "employer," as defined in 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), as he has operational control over the Defendant corporation and is directly involved in decisions affecting employee compensation and hours worked by employees such as Plaintiff and opt-in plaintiffs. Defendant ADRIAN KING controlled the purse strings for the

corporate Defendant. Defendant ADRIAN KING hired and fired employees, determined the rate of compensation and was responsible for ensuring that employees were paid the wages required by the FLSA.

COUNT I: UNPAID OVERTIME WAGES

- 10. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers paragraphs 1 through 9 as fully set forth herein.
- 11. Plaintiff was employed by the Defendants as a non-exempt driver and laborer. Plaintiff performed work in both Miami-Dade and Broward County, Florida.
- 12. Plaintiff was employed from on or about January 20, 2016 through October 10, 2016.
 - 13. Plaintiff worked approximately 54 hours per week.
- 14. Plaintiff was paid on the basis of a daily rate of between \$110 to \$120 per day. Plaintiff was not paid overtime wages when he worked more than 40 hour per week as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 778.112. Therefore, Plaintiff claims the halftime rate for each hour worked over 40 hours weekly.
- 15. Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff overtimes wages. Plaintiff was not paid overtime wages at a rate of time and one half, when he worked more than 40 hours per week.
- 16. The FLSA requires that employees be paid overtime hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours weekly at a rate of time-and-one-half the regular rate. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. § 201-219, 29 C.F.R. § 778.112, 29 C.F.R. §516.2 and §516.4 and et seq. in that Plaintiff performed services and worked in excess of the maximum hours provided by the FLSA but no provision was made by the Defendants to properly pay him at the rate of time and one-half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek as provided in the FLSA.

- 17. Defendants knew and/or showed reckless disregard of the provisions of the FLSA concerning the payment of overtime wages as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act. Defendants were aware of Plaintiff's work schedule and further aware that Plaintiff was working more than 40 hours per week. Defendants were aware of Plaintiff's pay records and the rate that he was being paid for his hours. Despite Defendants' having knowledge of Plaintiff's hours and their failure to pay overtime wages, Defendants did not change its pay practices and continued to fail to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, the overtime wages he was due.
- 18. The similarly situated individuals are those individuals whom were employed by the Defendants as laborers like the Plaintiff, and whom were not paid overtime wages.
- 19. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorneys to represent him in this action and is entitled to award of reasonable attorney's fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests compensatory and liquidated damages, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs from Defendants, jointly and severally, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act as cited above, to be proven at the time of trial for overtime owing from Plaintiff's entire employment period with Defendants, or as much as allowed by the Fair Labor Standards Act, whichever is greater, along with court costs. In the event that Plaintiff does not recover liquidated damages, then Plaintiff will seek an award of prejudgment interest for the unpaid overtime, and any and all other relief which this Court deems reasonable under the circumstances.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff and those similarly-situated demands trial by jury of all issues triable as of right by jury.

Dated: October 31, 2016

Law Office of Daniel T. Feld, P.A. *Co-Counsel for Plaintiff* 2847 Hollywood Blvd.
Hollywood, Florida 33020
Tel: (305) 308 - 5619
Email: DanielFeld.Esq@gmail.com
/s Daniel T. Feld
Daniel T. Feld, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 37013

Mamane Law LLC

Co-counsel for Plaintiff

1150 Kane Concourse, Fourth Floor
Bay Harbor Islands, FL 33154

Telephone (305) 773 - 6661

E-mail: mamane@gmail.com

s/ Isaac Mamane

Isaac Mamane, Esq.

Florida Bar No. 44561

JS 44 (Rev. 1 Case 1:16-cv-24541-FAM Document 1-1 Entered on FLSS In acket 10/31/2016 Page 1 of 1

provided by local rules of court. of initiating the civil docket shee	This form, approved by the t. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON A	a Judicial Conference of the NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.	e United States in September 19 NOTICE: Attorneys MUST	974, is required for the use of the Indicate All Re-filed Cases I	rs as required by law, except a he Clerk of Court for the purposo Below.
	RMANDO PAVONI		DEFENDANTS		
()	0 Kane Concourse, Fo 305-773-6661	urth Floor, Bay Harbo	NOTE: Attorneys (If Known) Or	THE TRACT OF LAND INVOL	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF VED.
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff) and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	ral Question lot a Party)		TF DEF ☐ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government Defendant		ersity p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
		v /			
CONTRACT		RTS DEDCONAL INHUDY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Marine 130 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 10 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
1 Original 2 Rem	Court VI belo	, ,	another district (specify)	6 Multidistrict Litigation 7	District Judge from Magistrate Judgment Remanded from Appellate Court
VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): JUDGE	led Case □YES ⊄ N	,	DOCKET NUMBER	
VII. CAUSE OF ACTIO		ages; FLSA	ling and Write a Brief Stateme for both sides to try entire case		
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only: JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TO	TRUE & CORRECT TO T		WLEDGE TTORNEY OF RECORD		
October 28, 2016		/s/ Isaac Ma	ımane		

RECEIPT# AMOUNT IFP JUDGE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

MAG JUDGE Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of Florida

ARMANDO PAVONI, and all others
similarly situated
Plaintiff,
VS.
WING GARIE GOVERNAGENOV GORR
KING CABLE CONSTRUCTION CORP., a
Florida Corporation, and ADRIAN J. KING,
JR., individually,
Defendants.
/
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To:
KING CABLE CONSTRUCTION CORP
c/o Adrian K. King, Jr.
2325 SW 56 th Terrace
West Park, Florida 33023
A lawsuit has been filed against you.
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

MAMANE LAW LLC 1150 Kane Concourse, Fourth Floor Bay Harbor Islands, FL 33154

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of Florida

ARMANDO PAVONI, and all others
similarly situated
Plaintiff,
VS.
KING CABLE CONSTRUCTION CORP., a
Florida Corporation, and ADRIAN J. KING,
JR., individually,
Defendants.
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To:
Adrian K. King, Jr.
2325 SW 56 th Terrace
West Park, Florida 33023
A lawsuit has been filed against you.
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — of 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer of motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:
MAMANE LAW LLC
1150 Kane Concourse, Fourth Floor
Bay Harbor Islands, FL 33154
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded i the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.
CLERK OF COURT
Date:
Date.

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>King Cable Construction Corp.</u>, <u>Owner Bricked with FLSA Class Action</u>