UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

KEYWEE OWENS, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,	Case No.:			
v.				
THE ADVOCATOR GROUP	, LLC,			
Defendant.				

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, KEYWEE OWENS ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant, THE ADVOCATOR GROUP, LLC ("Defendant"), and in support of her claims states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. for failure to pay overtime wages under 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3). This Complaint is filed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
- Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, because all of the events giving
 rise to these claims occurred in Seminole County, Florida, which lies within the Middle District
 of Florida.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of Orange County, Florida.
- 5. Defendant operates a social security disability advocacy company in Longwood, in Seminole County, Florida.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent, or they have been waived.
- 7. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned attorneys and agreed to pay them a fee.
- 8. Plaintiff requests a jury trial for all issues so triable.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Named Plaintiff KEYWEE OWENS was employed by Defendant as a customer care representative.
- 10. The similarly situated employees consists of all other customer care representatives employed by Defendant within the last three years.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were "engaged in the production of goods" for commerce within the meaning of Sections 6 and 7 of the FLSA, and as such were subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were "employees" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
 - 14. Defendant continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. At all times material hereto, Defendant was and continues to be an enterprise covered by the FLSA. See 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s).

- 16. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA. See 29 U.S.C. § 203(s).
- 17. At all times relevant to this action, the annual gross sales volume of Defendant exceeded \$500,000 per year.
- 18. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees, while employed by Defendant, did not fall within any of the classes of employees who are exempt from the FLSA's minimum wage or overtime provisions under 29 U.S.C. § 213.
- 19. Specifically, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were not employed by Defendant in a bona fide administrative, executive, or professional capacity. In particular, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees had no authority to hire or terminate any other employee of Defendant; they had no special or professional qualifications and skills for the explicit use of which they were employed by Defendant; and they had no control whatsoever over Defendant's business operations, even from an administrative standpoint.
- 20. Thus, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees are "non-exempt employees" who are covered by the FLSA.
- 21. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

FACTS

22. Named Plaintiff OWENS began working Defendant as a customer care representative on or about May 31, 2016, and she worked in this capacity until on or about July 20, 2016.

- 23. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week for Defendant, and they were entitled to be compensated for these overtime hours at a rate equal to one and one-half times their regular rate of pay.
- 24. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees an overtime premium for all of their overtime hours, in violation of the FLSA.
- 25. By failing to accurately record all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees, Defendant has failed to make, keep, and preserve records with respect to each of its employees in a manner sufficient to determine their wages, hours, and other conditions of employment, in violation of the FLSA. See 29 C.F.R. § 516.2.
 - 26. In July 2016, Plaintiff complained to Defendant about its overtime practices.
 - 27. On or about July 20, 2016, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 28. Defendant's actions were willful, and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 29. Plaintiff brings this case as an "opt-in" collective action on behalf of similarly situated employees of Defendant pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join as plaintiffs.
- 30. Therefore, notice is properly sent to: "All customer care representatives whom Defendant failed to compensate for all of the overtime hours that they worked from 2013 to the present."
- 31. Specific job titles or job duties of the similarly situated employees do not prevent collective treatment.

4

32. Although the issues of damages can be individual in character, there remains a common nucleus of operative facts concerning Defendant's liability under the FLSA in this case.

COUNT I – FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATIONS

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated employees in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join this collective action as plaintiffs.
- 34. During the statutory period, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees worked overtime hours while employed by Defendant, and they were not properly compensated for all of these hours under the FLSA.
- 35. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees for all of the overtime hours that they worked.
- 36. The similarly situated employees are similarly situated because they were all employed by Defendant as, were compensated in the same manner, and were all subject to Defendant's common policy and practice of failing to pay its customer care representatives for all of the overtime hours that they worked.
- 37. This reckless practice violates the provisions of the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1). As a result, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees who have opted into this action are each entitled to an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.
- 38. All of Defendant's conduct, as alleged and described above, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

39. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees have suffered damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this collective action demand:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees that she seeks to represent, in accordance with the FLSA;
- (b) Prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated employees, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (c) Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations from the date of the filing of this complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (d) Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by this Court:
- (e) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages of Plaintiff and all opt-in the similarly situated employees at the applicable overtime rate;
- (f) A declaratory judgment stating that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;

- (g) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid back wages of Plaintiff and all opt-in the similarly situated employees at the applicable overtime rate, as liquidated damages;
- (h) Judgment against Defendant stating that its violations of the FLSA were willful;
- (i) To the extent liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;
- (j) All costs and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting these claims; and
- (k) For such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

(As to Plaintiff Owens only)

- 40. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein.
- 41. By complaining about Defendant's overtime practices, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity under the FLSA.
- 42. By terminating Plaintiff's employment, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for engaging in protected activity under the FLSA.
- 43. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
 - 44. Plaintiff was injured by Defendant's violations of the FLSA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands:

- (a) A jury trial on all issues so triable;
- (b) That process issue and that this Court take jurisdiction over the case;

- (c) That this Court enter a judgment, stating that Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff in violation of the FLSA;
- (d) Compensation for lost wages, benefits, and other remuneration;
- (e) Compensatory damages, including emotional distress, allowable at law; and
- (f) For such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated this \(\frac{1}{2} \) day of December, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTOPHER J. SABA
Florida Bar Number: 0092016
WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A.

1110 North Florida Avenue, Suite 300

Tampa, Florida 33602

Main Number: 813-224-0431 Direct Dial: 813-321-4086 Facsimile: 813-229-8712 Email: csaba@wfclaw.com Email: tsoriano@wfclaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Indicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil ducket sheet. ISEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	3			
KEYWEE OWENS, on b similarly situated,	ehalf of herself and or	n behalf of all others	i	THE ADVOCATO	R GROUP	, LLC,		
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff g	Orange		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant. Seminole				
(ESCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTEF CASEN ONLY)				
				NOTE: IN LAND C		ON CASES, USE T	HE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys Harm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Known)	i			
Christopher J. Saba, We	nzel Fenton Cabassa	, P.A.						
1110 North Florida Aven	ue, Suite 300, Tampa	, FL 33602, 813-224	I-0431					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place on "X" me)	Inc Bas Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place on "X" in One Bus for Plann	
T 1 U.S. Government	≥ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) v	TF DEF		and the flow for Defendant	
Plaintiff'	10,8 Government	Not a Paeryj	Cain) (())	Incorporated or Pr	PTF DACK incipal Place O 4 O 4	
						of Business In I		
Cl 2 U.S. Government	© 4 Diversity		Citizo	in of Another State (1)	12 01 2	Incorporated and I	Principal Place 🗇 5 🗇 5	
Defendani	Abulicase Cuewasi	tip of Parties to Ben III)				of Busingss In .	Another State	
			Citiza	bror Subject of a 💢	13 73 3	Foreign Nation	76 76	
## 7 - 51 5 75" F F B W				reign Country			10° V 5.3 W	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	CPlace an "X" in One Bax ()	n(v)	MONTHLE STATES					
O 110 Insurance	1			<u>Brijoverdpenadiva</u>	1	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATIONS	
O 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY Of 310 Airplace	PERSONAL INJURY D 365 Personal Injury -	r 123 65	5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881		al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Au	
130 Miller Act	O 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	റെക	() () ther	77 423 With	arawai SC 157	D 400 State Reapportionment D 410 Antiroist	
7 140 Negotiable lumnument	Liability	7 367 Health Care/					7 430 Banks and Banking	
 If the Recovery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment 	320 Assault, Libet & Stander	Pharmscenical Personal lainey	ſ		PROPER	HWRIGHTS	3 450 Commerce	
O 151 Medicare Act	O 330 Federal Employers	Product Liability			(7) 820 Capy (7) 830 Paten		O 460 Deponation	
O 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Persouni	1		© 840 Trade		7 470 Rucketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	D 340 Murine	Injury Product	<u> </u>				O 480 Consumer Credit	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	© 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	30000000000000000000000000000000000000	LABOR 5 Fair Lubra Standards		SECURITY	73 490 Cable/Sot TV	
of Veteran's Benefits	[7] 350 Motor Vehicle	O 370 Other Fraud	** *** ***	Aci	(7) 861 HIA ((7) 862 Black		(1) 850 Securities Commodities	
160 Stockholders' Sairs	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	O 371 Truth in Lending	(7) 726	Labor/Management		MWW (405(g))	Exchange 3 890 Other Standary Actions	
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Linbility	Product Liability (I) 360 Other Personal	1380 Other Personal	1,	Relations	Ø 864 SSID	Title XXI	SH Agricultural Acts	
// 196 Franchise	Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	C3 251) Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical	CT 865 RSI (-	(05(g))	(7) 893 Environmental Matters	
	Cl 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Acr			895 Freedom of Information Act	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Mulpramice CIVIL RIGHTS	BPRISONER ELITERON	0 790	Chher Labor Litigation		*****	O 896 Arbitration	
O 210 Land Condemnation	Cl 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	5 C 791	Employee Retirement Income Security Act		L TAX SUITS	7 899 Administrative Procedure	
3 220 Forectosure	O 441 Voting	O 463 Alien Detainer		mount accurry Act.		(U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	Actilitation or Appeal of	
1 230 Rout Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	510 Mations to Vacate			O 871 IRS-		Agency Decision 3 950 Constitutionality of	
(I) 240 Tens to Land (I) 245 Ten Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Semence (3 530 General				C 7609	State Statutes	
O 290 All Other Real Property	D 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	O 535 Death Penalty	28(6826200	IMMIGRATION	ł			
	Employment	Others	7 462	Naturalization Application				
	7 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	O 549 Mandanus & Other		Other Immigration				
	O 448 Educarios	(1) 550 Civil Rights (1) 555 Prison Condition		Actions				
		7 560 Civil Detaince -	·		Ī			
		Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" in	- 7 h 7 h 7 h	Continuing			<u> </u>			
						2		
	c Coun	Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	med Another	District	Multidistric Litigation	cı	
	Cite the U.S. Civit Sta	une under which you are	filing (De	i not cite jurisdictional stati	etes uniess dive	rsinj:		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	t seq., 29 U.S.C. § 2	215(a)(3	i), and 29 U.S.C. § 2	216(b).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	FLSA OVERTIME	VIOLATIONS and	FI SA R	ΕΤΔΙ ΙΔΤΙΛΙΝΙ				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	***************************************	MANDS	C31	25° / 'PE		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 22		3.F.R.4	Marito 9			f demanded in complaint	
VIII. RELATED CASE					.HL	RY DEMAND:	X Yes D No	
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET	'NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
RECEIPT # AM	OLINT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			na 90	
21. VIII. 2.			***************************************	JEILJEE.		MAG. JUD	.ac	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Florida Customer Care Rep. Seeks Unpaid Overtime Wages