#### **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 113830

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Edwin Ortiz, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Docket No:

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

VS.

Benjamin Michael & Associates, Inc.,

Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Edwin Ortiz, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Benjamin Michael & Associates, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Edwin Ortiz is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.
  - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Benjamin Michael & Associates, Inc., is a New York Corporation with a principal place of business in Suffolk County, New York.
- 8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
  - 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### **ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the Debt").
- 11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.
- 13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the Letter") dated September 23, 2016. ("Exhibit 1.")
  - 15. The Letter was the initial communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.
  - 16. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

# FIRST COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g Validation of Debts

- 17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 18. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the

information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.

- 19. One such requirement is that the debt collector provide "the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed." 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2).
- 20. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to convey such clearly.
- 21. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to state such explicitly.
- 22. Merely naming the creditor without specifically identifying the entity as the current creditor to whom the debt is owed is not sufficient to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2).
- 23. Even if a debt collector conveys the required information, the debt collector nonetheless violates the FDCPA if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty.
- 24. When determining whether the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed has been conveyed clearly, an objective standard, measured by how the "least sophisticated consumer" would interpret the notice, is applied.
- 25. The Letter fails to identify by name and label any entity as "creditor," "original creditor," "current creditor," "account owner," or "creditor to whom the debt is owed."
  - 26. The Letter states, "Re: Heron Pest Control."
- 27. The Letter states, "Your account has been assigned to Benjamin Michael Associates."
  - 28. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "Re:" refers to the account owner.
  - 29. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "Re:" refers to Plaintiff's creditor.
  - 30. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "Re:" refers to Plaintiff's current creditor.
  - 31. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "Re:" refers to Plaintiff's original creditor.
- 32. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "Re:" refers to the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
  - 33. The Letter fails to indicate who referred the account to Defendant.
  - 34. The Letter fails to indicate who Defendant represents.
  - 35. The Letter fails to indicate who is Defendant's client.

- 36. The Letter fails to make clear what "assigned" means.
- 37. The Letter demands payment be made to Defendant.
- 38. Defendant failed to explicitly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 39. Defendant failed to clearly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 40. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be confused as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 41. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be uncertain as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 42. Defendant violated § 1692g as it failed to clearly and explicitly convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

#### **SECOND COUNT**

#### Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e False or Misleading Representations as to the Name of the Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed

- 43. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 44. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 45. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 46. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 47. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 48. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.
- 49. For purposes of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, the failure to clearly and accurately identify the creditor to whom the debt is owed is unfair and deceptive to the least sophisticated consumer.
  - 50. The identity of creditor to whom the debt is owed is a material piece of

information to a consumer.

- 51. Knowing the identity of creditor to whom the debt is owed affects how a consumer responds to a debt collector's attempts to collect the debt.
- 52. Because the Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 53. Because the Letter is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
  - 54. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by the Letter.
- 55. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by the Letter.
- 56. Defendant violated § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and misleading representation in its attempt to collect a debt.

### THIRD COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g

- 57. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.
- 58. The written notice must contain a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.
- 59. A debt collector has the obligation, not just to convey the required information, but also to convey such clearly.
- 60. Even if a debt collector conveys the required information accurately, the debt collector nonetheless violates the FDCPA if that information is overshadowed by other collection activities during the 30-day validation period following the communication.
- 61. A collection activity or communication overshadows or contradicts the validation notice if it would make the "least sophisticated consumer" uncertain or confused as to her rights.
  - 62. Defendant's letter states, "You have thirty (30) days to make arrangements for

payment or collection action will commence."

- 63. Plaintiff's validation period runs from 30 days from receipt of the letter. *Jacobson v. Healthcare Financial Services, Inc.*, 516 F.3d 85 (2d Cir 2008).
- 64. Defendant's letter is silent as to the receipt of the letter being the date the 30 days runs before "collection action will commence."
- 65. Demanding payment during the validation period without explaining that such demand does not override the consumer's right to dispute the debt or demand validation of the debt is a violation of the FDCPA.
- 66. Demanding payment during the validation period without providing transitional language explaining that such demand does not override the consumer's right to dispute the debt or demand validation of the debt is a violation of the FDCPA.
  - 67. Defendant has demanded Plaintiff make payment during the validation period.
- 68. Defendant has demanded Plaintiff make payment during the validation period without explaining that such demand does not override the Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt.
- 69. Defendant has demanded Plaintiff make payment during the validation period without explaining that such demand does not override the Plaintiff's right to demand validation of the debt.
  - 70. Defendant's conduct overshadowed Plaintiff's validation rights.
- 71. Defendant's demand for payment would likely make the least sophisticated consumer uncertain as to her rights.
- 72. Defendant's demand for payment would likely make the least sophisticated consumer confused as to her rights.
- 73. Defendant has violated § 1692g as Defendant overshadowed the information required to be provided by that Section.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 74. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using a collection letter materially identical to the one used herein, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
  - 75. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and

asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

- 76. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection.
- 77. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant attempted to collect delinquent consumer debts using a collection letter materially identical to the one used herein.
- 78. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 79. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.
- 80. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

81. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Certify this action as a class action; and
- b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative of the Class, and

Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and

- c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and
- d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with
- g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: September 24, 2017

#### **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

By: \_/s/ Craig B. Sanders \_

Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500

Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

csanders@barshaysanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Our File No.: 113830

### **Benjamin Michael Associates**

PO Box 41 Coram NY 11727 Phone (631) 234-5020 Fax: (631) 234-3487

September 23, 2016

Edwin Ortiz 1941 Windward Oaks Ct Kissimmee FL 34746-2835 RE: HERON PEST CONTROL Account No: 0598 Account Balance: \$84.00

Dear Edwin Ortiz:

1

Please be advised that your account has been assigned to Benjamin Michael Associates. This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. You have thirty (30) days to make arrangements for payment or collection action will commence.

You are directed to address all future correspondence and payments concerning this account to this address:

Benjamin Michael Associates PO Box 41 Coram NY 11727

#### **VALIDATION NOTICE**

Unless you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof within 30 days after you receive this notice, we will assume this debt to be valid. If you notify us in writing within the thirty day period that you dispute this debt, or any portion thereof, we will obtain and mail to you verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment, if a judgment has been entered against you. If you notify us in writing within the thirty day period we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different than the current creditor.

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Please make checks payable to Benjamin Michael Associates noting thereon the file number.

111-BEMI01-RE1-07/06/12

HERON PEST CONTROL

		Total Due: \$84.00			
	Account Number: 0598				
	IF YOU WISH TO PAY BY CREDIT CARD, CIRCLE C	-:			
	CARD NUMBER	EXP. DATE			
•	CARD HOLDER NAME	cvv			
	SIGNATURE	AMOUNT PAID			
11 1 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Company of the management of the state of th				

Date:

September 23, 2016



0008120024000308070334746283541-1YA-Y1C15386F3 111 RE1 - 111 Edwin Ortiz 1941 Windward Oaks Ct Kissimmee FL 34746-2835





Date: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

for the	ne
EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF <u>NEW YORK</u>
Edwin Ortiz, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated  Plaintiff(s)	) ) ) ) Civil Action No.
v.	) Civil Action No.
Benjamin Michael & Associates, Inc.	) )
Defendant(s)	)
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)  Benjamin Michael & Associates, Inc. 490 WHEELER ROAD SUITE 220 HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK, 11788  A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summons of 60 days if you are the United States, or a United States States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) – you attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Femotion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached to the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CITY Femotion GARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff's attached CARDEN CITY Femotion for the plaintiff or plaintiff o	ou must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the Gederal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or torney, whose name and address are:  NDERS PLLC PLAZA, SUITE 500
If you fail to respond, judgment by default wil the complaint. You also must file your answer or moti	l be entered against you for the relief demanded in
ı	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 2:17-cv-05600 Dequired Overlies 12 Page 1 of 2 Page 1 will 12

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court. purpose of initiating the civil do	. This form, approved by th	e Judicial Conference of t	he Unite	ed States in Septembe	er 19	74, is required for the	use of the	Clerk of Cou	w, exce rt for th	pi as e
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	ΓS					
EDWIN ORTIZ				BENJAMIN MICHAEL & ASSOCIATES, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SUFFOLK (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant SUFFOLK  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A BARSHAY SAND	•	er)		Attorneys (If Know						
100 Garden City Pi (516) 203-7600	laza, Ste 500, Garden Ci	ity, NY 11530								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	II. CI	<b>FIZENSHIP OF</b>	PR	RINCIPAL PART	TES (Pla	ace an "X" in Oi	ne Box fo	r Plaintiff
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	• 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		n of This State	<b>PTI</b> 0 1	O 1 Incorporate	ed <i>or</i> Princ ness In This		x for Defen PIF O 4	dant)  DEF  O 4
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	O 2		ed <i>and</i> Prir ness In And	•	O 5	O 5
				n or Subject of a reign Country	O 3	O 3 Foreign N	ation		0 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) DRTS	LEO	DEELTHDE/DENAL T	P <b>X</b> 7	DANIZDUDTOV		OTHER	T A TIT	EC
CONTRACT  O 110 Insurance O 120 Marine O 130 Miller Act O 140 Negotiable Instrument O 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment O 151 Medicare Act O 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) O 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits O 160 Stockholders' Suits O 190 Other Contract O 195 Contract Product Liability O 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY O 210 Land Condemnation O 220 Foreclosure O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land O 245 Tort Product Liability O 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY O 310 Airplane O 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY O 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability O 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability O 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT O 370 Other Fraud O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal Property Damage O 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: O 463 Alien Detainee O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence O 530 General O 535 Death Penalty Other: O 540 Mandamus & Other O 550 Civil Rights O 555 Prison Condition O 560 Civil Detainee	O 625 O 690 O 710 O 720 O 740 O 751 O 790 O 791	RFEITURE/PENALT Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other  LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applicat 465 Other Immigration tions	of	BANKRUPTCY O 422 Appeal 28 USC 15 O 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGH O 820 Copyrights O 830 Patent O 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURIT O 861 HIA (1395ff) O 862 Black Lung (923) O 863 DIWC/DIWW (40 O 864 SSID Title XVI O 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SI O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaint or Defendant) O 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Y D5(g)) UTS	OTHER STATUTES  O 375 False Claims Act O 400 State Reapportionment O 410 Antitrust O 430 Banks and Banking O 450 Commerce O 460 Deportation O 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  • 480 Consumer Credit O 490 Cable/Sat TV O 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange O 890 Other Statutory Actions O 891 Agricultural Acts O 893 Environmental Matters O 895 Freedom of Information Act O 896 Arbitration O 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision O 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		nment ng ced and attions odities/ actions atters mation ocedure ppeal of
Proceeding Cou VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Cite the U.S. Civil St Brief description of car	atute under which you are use: 15 USC \$1692 Fe		ened Anoth (special	her Di ify) u <b>l statu</b>	strict Litiga Trans utes unless diversity): 15	tion – fer	Lit Dir	ltidistric igation – ect File	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	S A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DE	EMAND \$		CHECK Y JURY DEM	-	f demanded in  • Yes	_	int:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	$C(\mathbf{S})$	(See Instructions) JUDGE				DOCKET NUM	BER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO								
September 25, 2017			/s C	Craig B. Sander	S					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	<b>I</b> OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	E	М	AG. JUDG	ŀΕ		

#### Case 2:17-cv-05600 Document 1-3 Filed 09/25/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 13 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Craig B. Sanders</u> , counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u> , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
☐ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
☐ the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
☐ the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."
NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
<ol> <li>Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: NO</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>If you answered "no" above:</li> <li>a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?YES</li> </ol>
b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?
(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
BAR ADMISSION
I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes  No
Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?  Yes (If yes, please explain)  No
I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: <u>/s Craig B. Sanders</u>

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Benjamin Michael & Associates Hit with FDCPA Lawsuit