UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

JACQUELINE OLSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

RETRIEVAL-MASTERS CREDITORS BUREAU INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 18-cv-389

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

INTRODUCTION

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. (the "FDCPA") and the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Chs. 421-427, Wis. Stats.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendant directed its collection efforts into the District.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Jacqueline Olson is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).

4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), in that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a debt allegedly incurred for personal, family or household purposes.

5. Plaintiff is also a "customer" as defined in the WCA, Wis. Stat. § 421.301(17), in that the alleged debt allegedly arose from a consumer transaction that included agreements to defer payment.

Case 2:18-cv-00389 Filed 03/12/18 Page 1 of 20 Document 1

6. Defendant Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc. ("RMCB") is a foreign corporation with its principal offices located at 4 Westchester Plaza, Suite 110, Elmsford, New York 10523.

7. RMCB is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.

8. RMCB is engaged in the business of collecting debts owed to others and incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.

9. RMCB is a "debt collector" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a, and the WCA, Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3).

FACTS

August 9, 2017 Letter

10. On or about August 9, 2017, RMCB mailed Plaintiff a debt collection letter regarding an alleged debt, allegedly owed to "Mason Shoe Company" and associated with Plaintiff's purchase with "Stoneberry in the amount of \$586.47." A copy of this account statement is attached to this complaint as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

11. The alleged debt referenced in <u>Exhibit A</u> was allegedly incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.

12. Upon information and belief, the letter in <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by computer.

13. Upon information and belief, the letter in <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form debt collection letter used by Defendant to attempt to collect the alleged debt.

14. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> was the first written communication Defendant mailed Plaintiff regarding the alleged debt referenced in Exhibit A. 15. The reverse side of <u>Exhibit A</u> contains the statutory validation notice that the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, requires the debt collector mail the alleged debtor along with, or within five days of, the initial communication:

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, that the debt or any portion thereof is disputed, this office will: obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Exhibit A.

16. <u>Exhibit A also contains the following:</u>

You Owe:	Mason Shoe Company
Charge Date	December 28, 2015
Account Number	7614C2G
Pin Number:	6731
Name: Street Address: City,State Zip:	Jacqueline T Olson 3701 S Alabama Ave Milwaukee, WI 53207-4004

Exhibit D.

17. Moreover, <u>Exhibit A</u> states:

You may call us at the telephone number above if you have any questions. Otherwise, please mail your payment today, along with the bottom portion of this letter.

Exhibit A.

18. The statement that the consumer "may call us at the telephone number above if you have any questions" is false, deceptive, and misleading to the unsophisticated consumer because it overshadows the debt validation notice and encourages the consumer to forego her verification rights by communicating disputes orally rather than in writing.

- 19. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) states:
 - (a) Notice of debt; contents

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing—

•••

(4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector;

20. To trigger verification rights, the debtor must provide the debt collector with

written notification that there is a dispute. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4).

- 21. Upon receiving a *written* dispute from the consumer within the 30-day debt validation period, the FDCPA requires the debt collector to contact the creditor and obtain verification of the debt before conducting any further collection efforts. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b):
 - (b) Disputed debts

If the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period described in subsection (a) that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor, the debt collector shall cease collection of the debt, or any disputed portion thereof, until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment, or the name and address of the original creditor, and a copy of such verification or judgment, or name and address of the original creditor, is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector. Collection activities and communications that do not otherwise violate this subchapter may continue during the 30-day period referred to in subsection (a) unless the consumer has notified the debt collector in writing that the debt, or any portion of the debt, is disputed or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor. Any collection activities and communication during the 30-day period may not overshadow or be inconsistent with the disclosure of the consumer's right to dispute the debt or request the name and address of the original creditor.

22. <u>Exhibit A</u> confusingly directs communications between the debtor and RMCB other than payment, including disputes, to RMCB's telephone number. *See Osborn v. Ekpsz,*

LLC, 821 F.Supp.2d 859, 868, 870 (S.D. Tex. Sept. 26, 2011) (collecting cases and concluding that "[e]very district court to consider the issue has held that a debt collector violates §1692g(a) by failing to inform consumers that requests under subsections(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be made in writing."); see also, McCabe v. Crawford & Co., 272 F. Supp. 2d 736, 743-44 (N.D. Ill. July 8, 2003) (omitting the words "in writing" from the validation notice conflicted with and overshadowed the consumer's statutory right to trigger verification); Chandler v. Eichel, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 156168, at *9 (S.D. Ind. Sept. 25, 2017); Crafton v. Law Firm of Levine, 957 F.Supp.2d 992, 998 (E.D. Wis. July 9, 2013); Bicking v. Law Offices of Rubenstein & Cogan, 783 F.Supp.2d 841, 845 (E.D. Va. May 5, 2011); Welker v. Law Office of Daniel J. Horowitz, 699 F.Supp.2d 1164, 1170 (S.D. Cal. 2010); Beasley v. Sessoms & Rogers, P.A., 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 52010 (E.D. N.C. Mar. 1, 2010); Nero v. Law Office of Sam Streeter, P.L.L.C., 655 F.Supp.2d 200, 206 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 10, 2009); Chan v. N. Am. Collectors, Inc., 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13353, at *16 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 2006); Grief v. Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP, 217 F.Supp.2d 336, 340 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 19, 2002); Carroll v. United Compucred Collections, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25032, at *28 (M.D. Tenn. Nov. 15 2002); Woolfolk v. Van Ru Credit Corp., 783 F. Supp. 724, 726 (D. Conn. Oct. 2, 1990).

23. The instruction, which is offset and easily read, would confuse and mislead consumers who wish to dispute debts to believe that an oral dispute is equivalent to a dispute made in writing, and that a debtor who disputes her debt orally is entitled to the same protections as if she had communicated her dispute in writing. *See Clark v. Absolute Collection Serv.*, 741 F.3d 487, 491 (4th Cir. 2014); *Hooks v. Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, LLC*, 717 F.3d 282, 286 (2d Cir. 2013); *Camacho v. Bridgeport Fin., Inc.*, 430 F.3d 1078, 1082 (9th Cir. 2005).

24. The overshadowing effect is compounded because RMCB provides the validation notice on the reverse side of the letter but the offending language is on its face. *See e.g.*,

Woolfolk v. Van Ru Credit Corp., 783 F. Supp. 724, 726 (D. Conn. Oct. 2, 1990) ("The invitation to telephone unaccompanied by any warning that the notice must be in writing to be effective obscures the dispute validation notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.").

25. Exhibit A contradicts, overshadows and confuses the 15 U.S.C. § 1692g notice.

Facts Relating to Plaintiff's Seventh Avenue Account

26. On or about November 16, 2017, RMCB mailed Plaintiff a debt collection letter regarding an alleged debt, allegedly owed to "Seventh Avenue." A copy of this account statement is attached to this complaint as <u>Exhibit B</u>.

27. The alleged debt referenced in <u>Exhibit B</u> was allegedly incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.

28. Upon information and belief, the letter in <u>Exhibit B</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by computer.

29. Upon information and belief, the letter in <u>Exhibit B</u> is a form debt collection letter used by Defendant to attempt to collect the alleged debt.

30. <u>Exhibit B</u> contains the following:

Amount Due: \$990.42

Exhibit B.

- 31. <u>Exhibit B</u> states that the "AMOUNT DUE" is \$990.42.
- 32. <u>Exhibit B</u> also contains the following:

Take this opportunity to settle your account by sending us **\$990.42** today. Exhibit B.

33. <u>Exhibit B</u> is false, deceptive, misleading, and confusing as to the amount owed.

34. <u>Exhibit B</u> states that the "AMOUNT DUE" is \$990.42 but also encourages the debtor to "take this opportunity to settle your account" for \$990.42.

35. <u>Exhibit B</u> is confusing and misleading to the unsophisticated consumer as to whether the balance of the account is actually \$990.42 or some greater amount.

36. The normal meaning of "settling" a debt means that the debt is permanently resolved in exchange for a payment of a portion of the balance.

37. Moreover, in the context of credit reporting, there is a difference between resolving an account by paying the account in full and resolving the account by settling it in full. Therefore, even if the unsophisticated consumer were to assume the balance of the account was actually \$990.42, she may still be confused as to whether the account would be *reported* as "paid in full" or "settled in full." *See e.g., Molton v. Experian Info. Solutions, Inc.,* 2004 U.S. Dist. 659, at *13 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 21, 2004); *Nielsen v. E*Trade Mortg. Corp.,* 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 39781, at *4-5 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 30, 2015); *Shaw v. Experian Info. Solutions, Inc.,* 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 134991, at *31-32 (S.D. Calif. Sept. 28, 2016); *Keller v. Trans Union LLC,* 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 283, at *7-8 (D. Ariz. Jan. 3, 2017).

38. On the face of <u>Exhibit B</u>, it is unclear whether a \$990.42 payment would pay the account in full or simply settle the account, and it is unclear how RMCB, or the creditor, would report the account to credit reporting agencies in the event the consumer tendered a \$990.42 payment.

39. The confusion is compounded because <u>Exhibit B</u> expressly states that the account is being reported to the credit reporting agencies:

<u>Exhibit B</u>.

Since your account has been reported to one or more national credit bureaus, it can lead to problems in attempting to obtain a personal loan, mortgage or credit card.

40. On or about January 1, 2018, RMCB mailed Plaintiff a debt collection letter regarding the same alleged "Seventh Avenue" debt. A copy of this account statement is attached to this complaint as <u>Exhibit C</u>.

41. Upon information and belief, the letter in $\underline{\text{Exhibit C}}$ is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by computer.

42. Upon information and belief, the letter in <u>Exhibit C</u> is a form debt collection letter used by Defendant to attempt to collect the alleged debt.

43. <u>Exhibit C</u> contains the following:

Our client has authorized us to issue this demand for payment in full no later than 01/16/18. To assure proper handling, please mail your payment of **\$990.42** with the bottom portion of this letter.

Exhibit C.

44. <u>Exhibit C</u> demands "payment in full no later than 01/16/18."

45. <u>Exhibit C</u> is false, deceptive, and misleading to the unsophisticated consumer.

46. The unsophisticated consumer would understand a request for payment by a date certain two weeks in the future to mean that, if she paid the debt by that date, she would avoid having the account be reported notwithstanding prior letter.

47. <u>Exhibit C</u> implies to the unsophisticated consumer that the debt has not yet been reported to consumer reporting agencies.

48. In fact, according to <u>Exhibit B</u>, by the time payment would be "due" on January 16, 2018, the debt would have already been reported for at least two months.

49. The implication in <u>Exhibit C</u> directly contradicts the information expressly stated in <u>Exhibit B</u>.

50. The misleading and confusing statement in <u>Exhibit C</u> is not cured simply because the consumer has previously received information that might clarify it. *See Lukawski v. Client*

Servs., 2013 US Dist. LEXIS 124075, at *10-12 (M.D. Penn. Aug. 29, 2013) (failure to disclose interest is misleading, even though a letter sent six weeks prior disclosed that the account was bearing interest); *Fields v. Wilber Law Firm, P.C.*, 383 F.3d 562, 566 (7th Cir. 2004) ("an unsophisticated consumer may have lost the bill and forgotten the amount of the debt completely").

51. The misrepresentation that the account has not yet been reported is material.

52. The purpose of the misleading statement is to lead the consumer to believe that she may avoid the reporting of derogatory credit information by paying the account before it reflects negatively on her credit history.

53. A debtor that is indebted to several creditors may prioritize payments to creditors who have not yet reported their debts with the purpose of preventing these debts from being reported.

54. Negative items, such as delinquent accounts, both lower a consumer's credit score and generally remain on the consumer's "credit report" for seven years.

55. Falsely claiming that an account will not be reported to a credit reporting agency as long as the debtor promptly pays is a tactic that preys upon the consumer's concern about her credit score.

56. Misrepresenting whether a debt has been, or is scheduled to be, reported is a material misrepresentation because it makes it impossible for debtors to prioritize payment of their debts. *See Martin v. Trott Law, P.C.*, 265 F. Supp. 3d 731, 748 (E.D. Mich. July 12, 2017) ("An inherent danger posed by harassing or deceptive collection practices is that consumers will be pressed into making uninformed decisions about debt prioritization, which affects their daily lives.") (quoting *Gillie v. Law Office of Eric A. Jones, LLC*, 785 F.3d 1091, 1097 (6th Cir. 2015),

rev'd on other grounds, Sheriff v. Gillie, 136 S. Ct. 1594 (2016)); *Lox v. CDA, Ltd.*, 689 F.3d 818, 827 (7th Cir. 2012) ("Whether or not this fact would have led Lox to alter his course of action, it would have undoubtedly been a factor in his decision-making process[.]").

- 57. Plaintiff was confused by <u>Exhibits A-C</u>.
- 58. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused by <u>Exhibits A-C</u>.
- 59. Plaintiff had to spend time and money investigating <u>Exhibits A-C</u>.

60. Plaintiff had to take time to obtain and meet with counsel, including traveling to counsel's office by car and its related expenses, including but not limited to the cost of gasoline and mileage, to advise Plaintiff on the consequences of <u>Exhibits A-C</u>.

THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ET SEQ.CA

61. The FDCPA states that its purpose, in part, is "to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e). It is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there is a valid debt. *Mace v. Van Ru Credit Corp.*, 109 F.3d 338 (7th Cir. 1997); *Baker v. G.C. Services Corp.*, 677 F.2d 775, 777 (9th Cir. 1982); *McCartney v. First City Bank*, 970 F.2d 45, 47 (5th Cir. 1992). The FDCPA broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods; conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor; and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt; it also requires debt collectors to give debtors certain information. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692f and 1692g.

62. The Seventh Circuit has held that whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of an "unsophisticated consumer." *Avila v. Rubin*, 84 F.3d 222, 227 (7th Cir. 1996); *Gammon v. GC Services, LP*, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). The standard is an objective one—whether the plaintiffs or any class members were

misled is not an element of a cause of action. *Bartlett v. Heibl*, 128 F.3d 497, 499 (7th Cir. 1997). "The question is not whether these plaintiffs were deceived or misled, but rather whether an unsophisticated consumer would have been misled." *Beattie v. D.M. Collections Inc.*, 754 F. Supp. 383, 392 (D. Del. 1991).

63. Because it is part of the Consumer Credit Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 *et seq.*, the FDCPA should be liberally construed in favor of the consumer to effectuate its purposes. *Cirkot v. Diversified Fin. Services, Inc.*, 839 F. Supp. 941, 944 (D. Conn. 1993).

The [Consumer Credit Protection] Act is remedial in nature, designed to remedy what Congressional hearings revealed to be unscrupulous and predatory creditor practices throughout the nation. Since the statute is remedial in nature, its terms must be construed in liberal fashion if the underlying Congressional purpose is to be effectuated.

N.C. Freed Co. v. Board of Governors, 473 F.2d 1210, 1214 (2d Cir. 1973).

64. Statutory damages are recoverable for violations, whether or not the consumer proves actual damages. *Baker*, 677 F.2d at 780-1; *Woolfolk v. Van Ru Credit Corp.*, 783 F. Supp. 724, 727 and n. 3 (D. Conn. 1990); *Riveria v. MAB Collections, Inc.*, 682 F. Supp. 174, 177 (W.D.N.Y. 1988); *Kuhn v. Account Control Tech.*, 865 F. Supp. 1443, 1450 (D. Nev. 1994); *In re Scrimpsher*, 17 B.R. 999, 1016-7 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1982); *In re Littles*, 90 B.R. 669, 680 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988), *aff'd as modified sub nom. Crossley v. Lieberman*, 90 B.R. 682 (E.D. Pa. 1988), *aff'd*, 868 F.2d 566 (3d Cir. 1989).

65. The FDCPA creates substantive rights for consumers; violations cause injury to consumers, and such injuries are concrete and particularized. *Pogorzelski v. Patenaude & Felix APC*, No. 16-C-1330, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89678 *9 (E.D. Wis. June 12, 2017) ("A plaintiff who receives misinformation from a debt collector has suffered the type of injury the FDCPA was intended to protect against."); *Spuhler v. State Collection Servs.*, No. 16-CV-1149, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177631 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 26, 2017) ("As in Pogorzelski, the Spuhlers'

allegations that the debt collection letters sent by State Collection contained false representations of the character, amount, or legal status of a debt in violation of their rights under the FDCPA sufficiently pleads a concrete injury-in-fact for purposes of standing."); Lorang v. Ditech Fin. LLC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169286, at *6 (W.D. Wis. Oct. 13, 2017) ("the weight of authority in this circuit is that a misrepresentation about a debt is a sufficient injury for standing because a primary purpose of the FDCPA is to protect consumers from receiving false and misleading information."); Qualls v. T-H Prof'l & Med. Collections, Ltd., 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113037, at *8 (C.D. Ill. July 20, 2017) ("Courts in this Circuit, both before and after Spokeo, have rejected similar challenges to standing in FDCPA cases.") (citing "Hayes v. Convergent Healthcare Recoveries, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 139743 (C.D. Ill. 2016)); Long v. Fenton & McGarvey Law Firm P.S.C., 223 F. Supp. 3d 773, 777 (S.D. Ind. Dec. 9, 2016) ("While courts have found that violations of other statutes . . . do not create concrete injuries in fact, violations of the FDCPA are distinguishable from these other statutes and have been repeatedly found to establish concrete injuries."); Bock v. Pressler & Pressler, LLP, No. 11-7593, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81058 *21 (D.N.J. May 25, 2017) ("through [s]ection 1692e of the FDCPA, Congress established 'an enforceable right to truthful information concerning' debt collection practices, a decision that 'was undoubtedly influenced by congressional awareness that the intentional provision of misinformation' related to such practices, 'contribute[s] to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy,"); Quinn v. Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 16 C 2021, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107299 *8-13 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 11, 2016) (rejecting challenge to Plaintiff's standing based upon alleged FDCPA statutory violation); Lane v. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89258 *9-10 (N.D. Ill. July 11, 2016) ("When a federal statute is violated, and especially when Congress has created a cause of action for its violation, by definition Congress has created a legally protected interest that it deems important enough for a lawsuit."); *Church v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, No. 15-15708, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414 *7-11 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (same); *see also Mogg v. Jacobs*, No. 15-CV-1142-JPG-DGW, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33229, 2016 WL 1029396, at *5 (S.D. Ill. Mar. 15, 2016) ("Congress does have the power to enact statutes creating legal rights, the invasion of which creates standing, even though no injury would exist without the statute," (quoting *Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC*, 770 F.3d 618, 623 (7th Cir. 2014)). For this reason, and to encourage consumers to bring FDCPA actions, Congress authorized an award of statutory damages for violations. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

66. Moreover, Congress has explicitly described the FDCPA as regulating "abusive practices" in debt collection. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(a) - 1692(e). Any person who receives a debt collection letter containing a violation of the FDCPA is a victim of abusive practices. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e) ("It is the purpose of this subchapter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses").

67. The FDCPA prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

68. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) specifically prohibits "the false representation of---the character, amount, or legal status of any debt."

69. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

70. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f generally prohibits debt collectors from using "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

71. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a) states:

a) Notice of debt; contents

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing—

- (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector;
- 72. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b) requires that the validation notice be stated in a non-

confusing manner and prohibits debt collectors from communicating with the debtor in ways that overshadow or are inconsistent with the validation notice. *Bartlett v. Heibl*, 128 F.3d 497, 500 (7th Cir. 1997); *Miller v. McCalla, Raymer, Padrick, Cobb, Nichols, & Clark, L.L.C.*, 214 F.3d 872, 875 (7th Cir. 2000); *Janetos v. Fulton Friedman & Gullace, LLP*, 825 F.3d 317, 317-18 (7th Cir. 2016).

The WCA

73. The Wisconsin Consumer Act ("WCA") was enacted to protect consumers against unfair, deceptive, and unconscionable business practices and to encourage development of fair and economically sound practices in consumer transactions. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(2).

74. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has favorably cited authority finding that the WCA "goes further to protect consumer interests than any other such legislation in the country," and is "probably the most sweeping consumer credit legislation yet enacted in any state." *Kett* v.

Community Credit Plan, Inc., 228 Wis. 2d 1, 18 n.15, 596 N.W.2d 786 (1999) (citations omitted).

75. To further these goals, the Act's protections must be "liberally construed and applied." Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1); *see also* § 425.301.

76. "The basic purpose of the remedies set forth in Chapter 425, Stats., is to induce compliance with the WCA and thereby promote its underlying objectives." *First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Nicolaou*, 113 Wis. 2d 524, 533, 335 N.W.2d 390 (1983). Thus, private actions under the WCA are designed to both benefit consumers whose rights have been violated and also competitors of the violators, whose competitive advantage should not be diminished because of their compliance with the law.

77. To carry out this intent, the WCA provides Wisconsin consumers with an array of protections and legal remedies. The Act contains significant and sweeping restrictions on the activities of those attempting to collect debts. *See* Wis. Stats. § 427.104.

78. The Act limits the amounts and types of additional fees that may be charged to consumers in conjunction with transactions. Wis. Stats. § 422.202(1). The Act also provides injured consumers with causes of action for class-wide statutory and actual damages and injunctive remedies against defendants on behalf of all customers who suffer similar injuries. *See* Wis. Stats. §§ 426.110(1); § 426.110(4)(e). Finally, "a customer may not waive or agree to forego rights or benefits under [the Act]." Wis. Stat. § 421.106(1).

79. Consumers' WCA claims under Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1) are analyzed using the same methods as claims under the FDCPA. Indeed, the WCA itself requires that the court analyze the WCA "in accordance with the policies underlying a federal consumer credit protection act," including the FDCPA. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1).

80. Further, the Wisconsin Supreme Court has held that WCA claims relating to debt collection are to be analyzed under the "unsophisticated consumer" standard. *Brunton v. Nuvell Credit Corp.*, 785 N.W.2d 302, 314-15. In *Brunton*, the Wisconsin Supreme Court explicitly adopted and followed the "unsophisticated consumer" standard, citing and discussing *Gammon v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). *Id.*

81. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(g) states that a debt collector may not: "Communicate with the customer or a person related to the customer with such frequency of at such unusual hours or in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."

82. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(h) states that a debt collector may not: "Engage in other conduct . . . in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."

83. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(j) states that a debt collector may not: "Claim, or attempt or threaten to enforce a right with knowledge or reason to know that the right does not exist."

84. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(L) states that a debt collector may not: "Threaten action against the customer unless like action is taken in regular course or is intended with respect to the particular debt."

85. The failure to effectively convey a customer's validation rights can reasonably be expected to harass the customer. *See* Wis. Admin. Code DFI-Bkg § 74.16(9) ("Oppressive and deceptive practices prohibited.") (prohibiting licensed Collection Agencies from engaging in conduct that "can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer, including conduct which violates the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act"); *see also Flood v. Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC*, 176 P.3d 769, 776 (Colo. Jan. 22, 2008) (communicating that a consumer's rights would be preserved through oral communication effectively misleads the consumer into delaying the transmission of the consumer's written request for the verifying

documentation, thereby causing the loss of valuable consumer rights violated state statute forbidding harassing, abusive, misleading, and unfair debt collection practices).

<u>COUNT I – FDCPA</u>

86. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

87. <u>Exhibit A</u> instructs the consumer that "You may call us at the telephone number above if you have any questions" on its face and provides the validation notice on the reverse side.

88. <u>Exhibit A</u> does not adequately inform the unsophisticated consumer that she must raise the dispute in writing in order to effectively trigger her rights to require the debt collector to provide verification of the debt or cease its attempts to collect the debt.

89. An oral, telephonic dispute would not invoke the consumer's rights under 15U.S.C. § 1692g(b).

90. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692g.

COUNT II – FDCPA

91. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

92. <u>Exhibit B</u> states that the amount due is \$990.42 but also states that a payment of \$990.42 would "settle" the account.

93. <u>Exhibit B</u> is false, deceptive, and misleading to the unsophisticated consumer as to the amount of the debt and whether tendering payment of \$990.42 would result in the debt being reported as "paid in full" or "settled in full."

94. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(5), and 1692e(10).

Case 2:18-cv-00389 Filed 03/12/18 Page 17 of 20 Document 1

COUNT III – FDCPA

95. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

96. <u>Exhibit B</u> states that debt has been reported but <u>Exhibit C</u> implies the debt has not been reported because it states a date certain approximately two weeks from the date of <u>Exhibit</u> <u>C</u> by which payment must be made.

97. <u>Exhibits B and C</u> are false, deceptive, confusing, and misleading to the unsophisticated consumer.

98. The misrepresentation is material, as it would provoke the consumer into paying on false pretenses – that the payment would improve or limit damage to his or her credit score.

99. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692f.

COUNT IV – WCA

100. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

101. <u>Exhibits A-C</u> contain false, deceptive, and misleading statements.

102. <u>Exhibit A</u> misleads the consumer as to how to effectively exercise her rights to dispute the debt, and effectively misleads her into foregoing those rights.

103. <u>Exhibit B</u> contains misleading statements as to the balance of the account and false threats as to how the debt will be reported.

104. <u>Exhibits B and C</u> provide confusing and contradictory statements as to whether the debt is being reported.

105. Defendant violated Wis. Stat. §§ 427.104(1)(g), 427.104(1)(h), 427.104(1)(j), 427.104(1)(L).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 106. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of two Classes.
- 107. Class I consists of:

(a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin (b) who were sent an initial collection letter in the form represented by <u>Exhibit A</u> to the complaint in this action, (c) seeking to collect a debt for personal, family, or household purposes, (d) between March 12, 2017 and March 12, 2018, inclusive, (f) that was not returned by the postal service.

108. Class II consists of:

(a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin (b) who were sent a series of collection letters in the form represented by <u>Exhibits B and C</u> to the complaint in this action, (c) seeking to collect a debt for personal, family, or household purposes, (d) between March 12, 2017 and March 12, 2018, inclusive, (f) that was not returned by the postal service.

109. Each Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. Upon information and

belief, there are more than 50 members of the Class.

110. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the class, which

common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members.

The predominant common question is whether the Defendant complied with the FDCPA and

WCA.

111. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. All are based on

the same factual and legal theories.

112. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class members.

Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.

113. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute.

Individual cases are not economically feasible.

JURY DEMAND

114. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and

the Class and against Defendant for:

- (b) actual damages;
- (c) statutory damages;
- (d) attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and
- (e) such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: March 12, 2018

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP

By: /s/ John D. Blythin John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105) Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944) Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673) Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892) 3620 East Layton Avenue Cudahy, WI 53110 (414) 482-8000 (414) 482-8000 (414) 482-8001 (fax) jblythin@ademilaw.com meldridge@ademilaw.com jfruchter@ademilaw.com

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:18-cv-00389 Filed 03/12/18 Page 1 of 3 Document 1-1



RETRIEVAL-MASTERS CREDITORS BUREAU, INC. 4 Westchester Plaza, Suite 110

Elmsford, NY 10523



August 9, 2017

	7614C2G
Pin Number:	
(855) 980-762	22

13

Re: Stoneberry

Dear Jacqueline T Olson:

Some time ago, you placed an order with our client, **Mason Shoe Company**, for your purchase with **Stoneberry** in the amount of **\$586.47**. Our client has referred your account to us as they claim that you have not paid as agreed and therefore owe this remaining balance. Your payment in full is appreciated.

You may call us at the telephone number above if you have any questions. Otherwise, please mail your payment today, along with the bottom portion of this letter.

SEE THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS LETTER FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR RIGHTS. If you do not respond, you will be subject to additional collection efforts.

	DE FOR IMPORTANT IN	
Amount Due:		\$586.47
You Owe:	Mason Shoe Compa	
Charge Date	December 28, 2015	
Account Number	7614C2G	
Pin Number:		
Name: Street Address: City,State Zip:	Jacqueline T Olson 3701 S Alabama Ave Milwaukee, WI 53207-4	1004

 To pay online: pay.retrievalmasters.com

 VISA
 MASTERCARD

 Card #.
 Image: Card to the second to the

Detach and return this portion with payment using enclosed envelope.

01 DB1 BAM 090

Case 2:18-cv-00389 Filed 03/12/18 Page 2 of 3 Document 1-1 7614C26++5

DB1-T - RMCB.WFD - 801173 - 00004850 - 1 of 1

The disclosures below are required by state or federal law. This is not intended to be a complete statement of all rights consumers may have under state and federal law.

"This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose." This communication is from a debt collector.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, that the debt or any portion thereof is disputed, this office will: obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving the receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

🔺 Detach along this edge. 🔺

Return the Bottom portion with your check, credit card information or money order. Include your account number, name and address on all correspondence.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Exhibit B

Case 2:18-cv-00389 Filed 03/12/18 Page 1 of 3 Document 1-2



4 Westchester Plaza Suite 110, Elmsford, NY 10523

	5357O	
Pin Number:		
(855) 980-76	22	-

November 16, 2017

Seventh Avenue, for \$990.42.

13

Jacqueline Olson 3701 S Alabama Ave Milwaukee, WI 53207-4004

Our records show you have failed to comply with numerous prior requests for payment of this account with our client,

Our client has authorized us to seek payment of this outstanding debt and it is our job to do so.

This is a serious matter you should no longer ignore. Our efforts will continue with the expectation that this account will be paid in full.

Since your account has been reported to one or more national credit bureaus, it can lead to problems in attempting to obtain a personal loan, mortgage or credit card.

Take this opportunity to settle your account by sending us \$990.42 today. Send your payment in the enclosed envelope with the bottom portion of this letter so your account can be credited.

S

D98-D - RMCB.WFD - 838595 - 00004683 - 1 of 1

EE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT	INFORMATION.
	ICONTRACTOR REPORT OF MUSIC ALCONTRACTOR

Detach and return this portion with payment using enclosed envelope.

Amount Due:		\$990.42
You Owe:	Seventh Avenue	
Charge Date	August 11, 2015	
Account Number	53570	
Pin Number:		
Name: Street Address: City State Zip:	Jacqueline Olson 3701 S Alabama Av Milwaukee, WI 5320	

To pay online: pay.r		etrievalmasters.com			n	DISCOVER			
Card #:									
Exp. Date:					Amo	ount:			
Signature:		 							9
Client Code:	SC1	A	ccount			53570			
Pin Number: 04 D98 SC1 202									

RMCB PO BOX 1235 ELMSFORD, NY 10523-0935

The disclosures below are required by state or federal law. This is not intended to be a complete statement of all rights consumers may have under state and federal law.

"This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose." This communication is from a debt collector.

A Detach along this edge. A Return the Bottom portion with your check, credit card information or money order. Include your account number, name and address on all correspondence.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Exhibit C

Case 2:18-cv-00389 Filed 03/12/18 Page 1 of 3 Document 1-3

retrieval masters creditors bureau, inc Collection Agency





4 Westchester Plaza Suite 110, Elmsford, NY 10523

05 D97 SC1 202 902571853570 Pin Number: (855) 980-7622

January 1, 2018

Several weeks ago we wrote you regarding your past due account with our client, **Seventh Avenue**. Our records reflect that you have neither paid the account nor contacted us to dispute the debt. Therefore we must assume the debt is valid and request payment in full.

12

Our client has authorized us to issue this demand for payment in full no later than 01/16/18. To assure proper handling, please mail your payment of **\$990.42** with the bottom portion of this letter.

SEE REVERSE SII	DE FOR IMPORTANT IN	FORMATION.
Amount Due:		\$990.42
You Owe:	Seventh Avenue	
Charge Date	August 11, 2015	
Account Number Pin Number:	3570	e en
Name: Street Address: City,State Zip:	Jacqueline Olson 3701 S Alabama Ave Milwaukee, WI 53207-	1001 - 1001 - 1001 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -

 To pay online: pay.retrievalmasters.com

 VISA
 MASTERCARD

 Card #.
 Image: Card #.

 Exp. Date:
 Amount:

 Signature:
 Client Code: SC1

Detach and return this portion with payment using enclosed envelope.

PO BOX 1235 ELMSFORD, NY 10523-0935

Pin Number: 05 D97 SC1 202

Case 2:18-cv 00389 Filed 03/12/18 Page 2 of 3 Document 1-3

D97-H - RMCB.WFD - 855392 - 00004395 - 1 of 1,

The disclosures below are required by state or federal law. This is not intended to be a complete statement of all rights consumers may have under state and federal law.

"This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose." This communication is from a debt collector.

A Detach along this edge. A

Return the Bottom portion with your check, credit card information or money order. Include your account number, name and address on all correspondence.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Place an X in the appropriate	Box: Green Bay Division	Ŀ	Milwaukee Division	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
Jacqueline Ol	son		sters Creditors Burea	au, Inc.
(E	e of First Listed Plaintiff Milwaukee XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) e, Address, and Telephone Number)	NOTE: IN LANI	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O D CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	
	3620 E. Layton Ave., Cudahy, WI 53110			
	e (414) 482-8001-Facsimile			
II. BASIS OF JURISE	 CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 		PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place 4 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	-
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUI CONTRACT	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	Y 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug e 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational TY Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act Y 740 Railway Labor Act e 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 H1A (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
☑ 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened 3 anoth (speci		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause:		nal statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Violation of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE March 12, 2018	signature of at s/ John D.	torney of record Blythin		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	MOUNT Case 2:18-cv-00389 Filed 6) 3/12/18 P age 1 of 2	2 Document 1-4	DGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

 VI.
 Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes

 unless diversity.
 Example:
 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

JACQUELINE OLSON Plaintiff(s) V.))))) Civil Action No. 18-cv-38
RETIEVAL-MASTERS CREDITORS BUREAU, INC. Defendant(s))) _)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

RETIEVAL-MASTERS CREDITORS BUREAU, INC. 4 Westchester Plaza, Suite 110 Elmsford, NY 10523

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: John D. Blythin Ademi & O'Beilly, LLP

Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP 3620 East Layton Avenue Cudahy, WI 53110

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-389

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

This summons and the attached complaint for (name of individual and title, if any):

received by me on (date)			
\Box I personally serve	ed the summons and the attached cor	nplaint on the individual at (place):	
		On (date)	; or
\Box I left the summor	ns and the attached complaint at the i	ndividual's residence or usual place of	abode with (name
	, a j	person of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address;	or
\Box I served the sum	nons and the attached complaint on ((name of individual)	
who is designated by	a law to accept service of process on 1	behalf of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
\Box I returned the sur	nmons unexecuted because		
Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information is	true.	
:		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau Facing Multi-Count FDCPA Lawsuit</u>