IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION

THOMAS OLSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

QUEEN CITY PIZZA, LLC

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff Thomas Olson ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through undersigned counsel, for his Original Complaint—Collective Action against Queen City Pizza, LLC ("Defendant"), states and alleges as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is a collective action brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against Defendant for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (the "FLSA"), and Title 34 of the North Dakota Century Code ("ND Wage Laws").
- 2. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorneys' fee, as a result of Defendant's policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff sufficient wages under the FLSA and the ND Wage Laws within the applicable statutory limitations period.

3. Upon information and belief, within the three years prior to the filing of the Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA and the ND Wage Laws as described, *infra*.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The United States District Court for the District of North Dakota has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.
- 5. This Complaint also alleges violations of the ND Wage Laws, which arise out of the same set of operative facts as the federal cause of action; accordingly, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's ND Wage Laws claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a)
 - Defendant conducts business within the State of North Dakota.
- 7. Venue lies properly within this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (c)(2), because the State of North Dakota has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, and Defendant therefore "resides" in North Dakota.
- 8. A substantial part of the acts complained of herein were committed in and had their principal effect against Plaintiff within the Western Division of the District of North Dakota. Therefore, venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff is an individual and resident of Burleigh County.
- 10. Defendant is a foreign, limited liability company.
- Defendant's registered agent for service of process is Harold Rose at
 Street Northwest, Minot, North Dakota 58703.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

- 13. Defendant owns and operates Papa John's franchises in North Dakota.
- 14. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this Complaint.
- 15. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant employed at least two individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce by any person, such as vehicles, fuel and goods or materials typically used in the fast-food industry.
- 16. Defendant employed Plaintiff within the three years preceding the filing of this lawsuit.
- 17. Specifically, Defendant employed Plaintiff as an hourly-paid Delivery Driver from approximately February of 2021 until December of 2021.
- 18. Defendant also employed other hourly-paid Delivery Drivers within the three years preceding the filing of this lawsuit.
- 19. At all relevant times herein, Defendant directly hired Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers to work on its behalf, paid them wages and benefits, controlled their work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions, and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

20. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protections and benefits provided under the FLSA and the ND Wage Laws.

21. Defendant classified Plaintiff as nonexempt from the overtime provisions

of the FLSA and the ND Wage Laws.

22. Defendant also classified other Delivery Drivers as nonexempt from the

overtime provisions of the FLSA and the ND Wage Laws.

23. Upon information and belief, Defendant applies or causes to be applied

substantially the same employment policies, practices and procedures to all Delivery

Drivers at all of their locations, including policies, practices, and procedures relating to

payment of minimum wages and reimbursement of automobile expenses.

24. Defendant is an "employer" within the meaning set forth in the FLSA, and

the ND Wage Laws, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint,

Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the proposed collective.

25. Plaintiff and the other Delivery Drivers at Defendant's restaurants work

"dual jobs." Specifically, they deliver food to Defendant's customers and receive tips,

and they also work inside the store completing nontipped duties.

26. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers a rate at or close to

minimum wage per hour for work performed while in the store.

27. Defendant requires Delivery Drivers to maintain and pay for operable,

safe, and legally compliant automobiles to use in delivering Defendant's pizza and other

food items.

28. Defendant requires Delivery Drivers to incur and/or pay job-related

expenses, including but not limited to automobile costs and depreciation, gasoline

Page 4 of 13
Thomas Olson v. Queen City Pizza, LLC
U.S.D.C. (Dist. N.D.) Case No.
Original Complaint—Collective Action

expenses, automobile maintenance and parts, insurance, financing, cell phone costs,

and other equipment necessary for Delivery Drivers to complete their job duties.

29. Pursuant to such requirements, Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers

purchased gasoline, vehicle parts and fluids, automobile repair and maintenance

services, automobile insurance, suffered automobile depreciation, paid for automobile

financing, and incurred cell phone and data charges all for the primary benefit of

Defendant.

Defendant does not track Plaintiff's or other Delivery Drivers' actual 30.

expenses nor does Defendant keep records of all of those expenses.

Defendant does not reimburse Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers for their 31.

actual expenses.

32. Defendant does not reimburse Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers at the

IRS standard business mileage rate.

33. Defendant does not reimburse Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers at a

reasonable approximation of Delivery Drivers' expenses.

34. Defendant reimburses Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers at or around

\$0.22 per mile.

35. According to the Internal Revenue Service, the standard mileage rate for

the use of a car during the relevant time periods has been as follows:

2019: 58 cents/mile

2020: 57.5 cents/mile

2021: 56 cents/mile

2022: 58.5 cents/mile

36. As a result of the automobile and other job-related expenses incurred by

Plaintiff and other similarly situated Delivery Drivers, they were deprived of minimum

wages guaranteed to them by the FLSA.

37. At all relevant times, Defendant has applied the same pay policies,

practices, and procedures to all Delivery Drivers at their stores.

38. All of Defendant's Delivery Drivers were subject to the same

reimbursement policy; received similar reimbursements; incurred similar automobile

expenses; completed deliveries of similar distances and at similar frequencies; and

were paid less than the applicable minimum wage rate before deducting unreimbursed

vehicle costs.

39. Regardless of the precise amount of the per-delivery reimbursement at

any given point in time, Defendant's reimbursement formula has resulted in an

unreasonable underestimation of Delivery Drivers' automobile expenses throughout the

recovery period, causing systematic violations of the minimum wage laws.

40. Defendant charges customers a delivery fee separate from the food

charge, but the delivery charge is not paid to the driver per the Papa John's website

(www.papajohns.com).

41. Because Defendant paid Plaintiff and other Delivery Drivers a gross hourly

wage at or around the applicable minimum wage, and because Plaintiff and other

Delivery Drivers incurred unreimbursed automobile expenses and other job expenses,

the Delivery Drivers "kicked back" to Defendant an amount sufficient to cause minimum

wage violations. See 29 C.F.R. § 531.35.

Page 6 of 13
Thomas Olson v. Queen City Pizza, LLC
U.S.D.C. (Dist. N.D.) Case No. _____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

42. In 2020, for example, Defendant under-reimbursed Plaintiff and other

Delivery Drivers at a rate of \$0.355 per mile (IRS standard rate of \$0.575 minus the

actual reimbursement of \$0.22).

43. Thus, in 2020, if Plaintiff completed 2 deliveries per hour and if each

delivery was 10 miles roundtrip, Plaintiff would have consistently "kicked back" to

Defendant approximately \$7.10 per hour (\$0.355 per mile x 2 deliveries per hour x 10

miles per delivery).

44. Plaintiff drove sufficient miles per hour that the amount he "kicked back" to

Defendant caused his constructive hourly rate to fall below the statutory minimum wage.

45. Defendant knew or should have known that it was not paying Plaintiff and

other Delivery Drivers sufficient minimum wages.

46. Defendant has willfully failed to pay minimum wage to Plaintiff and

similarly situated Delivery Drivers.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

47. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

48. Plaintiff brings his claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all

persons who were, are or will be employed by Defendant as similarly situated

employees at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who are

entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

A. Minimum wages for all hours worked;

B. Liquidated damages; and

Page 7 of 13
Thomas Olson v. Queen City Pizza, LLC
U.S.D.C. (Dist. N.D.) Case No.
Original Complaint—Collective Action

C. Attorney's fees and costs.

49. Plaintiff proposes the following collective under the FLSA:

All Delivery Drivers in the last three years.

50. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has

filed or will soon file a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

51. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward

through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), except as set forth herein

below.

52. The members of the proposed FLSA collective are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

A. They were classified by Defendant as nonexempt from the minimum wage

and overtime requirements of the FLSA;

B. They had substantially similar job duties and requirements;

C. They were required by Defendant to incur expenses to maintain vehicles

for delivery of Defendant's products;

D. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of not reimbursing

Delivery Drivers for automobile expenses related to making deliveries for Defendant's

restaurants; and

E. They did not receive a lawful minimum wage.

53. Plaintiff's claims are essentially the same as those of the putative

collective.

54. Defendant's unlawful conduct is pursuant to a corporate policy or practice.

Page 8 of 13
Thomas Olson v. Queen City Pizza, LLC
U.S.D.C. (Dist. N.D.) Case No.
Original Complaint—Collective Action

55. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of potential members of the

FLSA collective but believes that the collective exceeds one hundred (100) persons.

56. Defendant can readily identify the members of the collective, who are a

certain portion of the current and former employees of Defendant.

57. The names, addresses and cell phone numbers of the FLSA collective

action plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice should be

provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via text message, email, and first class

mail to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses as soon as possible,

together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

58. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

59. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seg.

60. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

61. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

62. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to

pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 each week and to pay

1.5x regular wages for all hours worked over 40 each week, unless an employee meets

certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying DOL regulations.

Page 9 of 13
Thomas Olson v. Queen City Pizza, LLC
U.S.D.C. (Dist. N.D.) Case No.
Original Complaint—Collective Action

63. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant classified Plaintiff as

nonexempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

64. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage for all hours

worked.

65. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

66. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable

attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three years prior to the filing of

this Complaint.

VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Individual Claim for Violation of the ND Wage Laws)

67. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

68. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

Title 34 of the North Dakota Century Code.

69. Because Defendants required Plaintiff to pay for automobile expenses and

other job-related expenses out of pocket, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum

wage rate for all hours up to 40 each week.

70. Defendant's practice of failing to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage for

all hours worked violates Title 34 of the North Dakota Century Code and its

implementing regulations.

71. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

Page 10 of 13
Thomas Olson v. Queen City Pizza, LLC
U.S.D.C. (Dist. N.D.) Case No.
Original Complaint—Collective Action

72. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the 3 years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

VIII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

- 73. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.
- 74. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- 75. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an "employer" of Plaintiff and all those similarly situated within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 76. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 each week and to pay 1.5x regular wages for all hours worked over 40 each week, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying DOL regulations.
- 77. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant classified Plaintiff and all others similarly situated as nonexempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
- 78. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 79. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

Page 11 of 13
Thomas Olson v. Queen City Pizza, LLC
U.S.D.C. (Dist. N.D.) Case No. _____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

80. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and all others similarly situated for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Thomas Olson, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein and for declaratory relief and damages as follows:

- A. That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the collective members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the collective members and all monies paid to them;
- B. Certification of a collective under Section 216 of the FLSA of all individuals similarly situated, as further defined in any motion for the same;
- C. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the FLSA, the ND Wage Laws and their attendant regulations.
- D. Judgment for damages owed to Plaintiff and others similarly situated under the FLSA, the ND Wage Laws and their attendant regulations;
- E. Judgment for liquidated damages owed to Plaintiff and others similarly situated pursuant to the FLSA, the ND Wage Laws and their attendant regulations;
 - F. For a reasonable attorneys' fee, costs, and pre-judgment interest; and
 - G. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS OLSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC Kirkpatrick Plaza 10800 Financial Centre Pkwy, Suite 510 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

/s/ Josh Sanford
Josh Sanford
Tex. Bar No. 24077858
josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

Case 1:22-cv-00070-CRH_Document 1-1_Filed 04/25/22 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FO	DRM.)	,	•				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
THOMAS OLSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated				QUEEN CITY PIZZA, LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pope (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residen	ce of First Li	sted Defendant				
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
										Josh Sanford, SANFORD 10800 Financial Centre P 501-221-0088; josh@san
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only		PAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box fo	-		
☐ 1 U.S. Government 🔀 3 Federal Question				(1 or Diversity Cases Only	PTF DEF			PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	en of This State	01 0	1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citiz	en of Another State	D 2 D	2 Incorporated and I of Business In .		□ 5	□ 5	
				Foreign Country					□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS				Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES						
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		25 Drug Related Seizure		peal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cl			
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 88	1 □ 423 W	ithdrawal	□ 376 Qui Tan	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/		00 Other	28				29(a)) te Reapportionment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS 410 Antitrust				
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability						430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal				tent - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deporta			
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product				w Drug Application	☐ 470 Rackete			
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TY	LABOR		☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY		Organizat ner Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HI			at TV		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending☐ 380 Other Personal		Act 20 Labor/Management	□ 862 Bla			■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	''	Relations		ID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other S		ctions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		10 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RS	I (405(g))	□ 891 Agricult			
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		I Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 893 Environ			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	IS 🗆 79	00 Other Labor Litigation	FEDE	RAL TAX SUITS	Act			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	□ 79	1 Employee Retirement	I	xes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitrat		,	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act		Defendant) S—Third Party	☐ 899 Adminis	strative Pr		
☐ 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence				USC 7609	Agency	Decision		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations	530 General		IMMIGRATION	_			☐ 950 Constitutionality of		
1 290 All Other Real Floperty	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 535 Death Penalty Employment Other:		□ 4¢	52 Naturalization Application	ion	1		State Statutes		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe		55 Other Immigration						
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions						
	B 440 Education	560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only	Commement								
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		pened Anot	sferred from ther District	☐ 6 Multidistr	1 -	Multidia Litigatio	on -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str	atute under which you ar	e filing (spec) Do not cite iurisdictional s		Transfer diversity):		Direct F	пс	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	120 11 5 C 8 201	et seq.		,						
	Brief description of ca Unpaid Wages	ause:								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	T D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complai No		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER						
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY	OF RECORD		_				
4/13/2022		ヘグ								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: North Dakota Papa John's Delivery Drivers Underpaid for Job-Related Expenses, Lawsuit Alleges