UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE CHATTANOOGA DIVISION

ROBERT NEWTON, on behalf of himself and			Case No.: 1:22-cv-89
others similarly situated,	ntiff,	:	Class Action
VS.		:	Jury Trial Demanded
CITIBANK, N.A., Defe	endant.	:	
		v	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Nature of the Action

1. Robert Newton ("Plaintiff") brings this class action against Citibank, N.A.

("Citibank") under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227.

2. Section 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the TCPA sets forth restrictions on the use of automated

telephone equipment and prerecorded voice calls, and provides in pertinent part:

It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States, or any person outside the United States if the recipient is within the United States—

(A) to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice—

- (iii) to any telephone number assigned to a paging service, cellular telephone service, specialized mobile radio service, or other radio common carrier service, or any service for which the called party is charged for the call.
- 3. Citibank routinely violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by placing non-emergency

telephone calls to cellular telephone numbers, in connection with which it used an artificial or

prerecorded voice, without prior express consent, in that Citibank repeatedly delivered artificial or

prerecorded voice messages to wrong or reassigned cellular telephone numbers that do not belong to its accountholders or authorized users of its credit cards. *Accord Lemos v. Credit One Bank, N.A.*, 960 F.3d 1164, 1166 (9th Cir. 2020) ("The principal question in this case is whether Credit One can escape liability under the TCPA because the party it intended to call (its customer) had given consent to be called, even though the party it actually called had not. Consistent with every circuit to have addressed this issue, we hold that this argument fails under the TCPA's text, most naturally read."); *Soppet v. Enhanced Recovery Co., LLC*, 679 F.3d 637, 640 (7th Cir. 2012) ("Consent to call a given number must come from its current subscriber.").

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) and 28 U.S.C.
§ 1331.

5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), where a substantial part of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

6. Citibank directed its calls and prerecorded voice messages to Plaintiff in this District, and Plaintiff received Citibank's calls and prerecorded voice messages in this District.

Parties

7. Plaintiff is a natural person who at all relevant times resided in Cleveland, Tennessee.

8. Citibank is a wholly owned subsidiary of Citigroup Inc., which is publicly traded.

9. Citibank is a National Bank, FDIC Certificate Number 7213, with its principal place of business in New York, New York.

10. Citibank's principal offerings include: consumer finance, mortgage lending and retail banking (including commercial banking) products and services; investment banking, cash management and trade finance; and private banking products and services.

11. Citibank places more than one million calls each day regarding its credit card accounts.

12. Citibank employs more than 2,000 agents in its call centers to handle calls regarding its credit card accounts.

Factual Allegations

13. For more than five years, Plaintiff has been the subscriber to, and sole customary user of, telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

14. For more than five years, telephone number (423) XXX-5868 has been assigned to a cellular telephone service.

15. In an attempt to contact one or more third parties, Citibank placed calls and delivered artificial or prerecorded voice messages to telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

16. Citibank placed calls and delivered artificial or prerecorded voice messages to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 in 2022.

17. For example, Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 21, 2022.

18. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 22,2022.

2022.

19. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 23,

20. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 24,2022.

21. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 25,2022.

22. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 28, 2022. 23. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 30, 2022. 24. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on March 31, 2022. 25. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on April 1, 2022. 26. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on April 2, 2022. 27. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on April 4, 2022. 28. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on April 5, 2022. 29. Citibank placed at least one call to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on April 6, 2022. 30. Citibank placed its calls to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 from telephone numbers (866) 613-6856 and (877) 587-5726, among other potential telephone numbers. 31. Telephone number (866) 613-6856 is associated with Citibank. 32. Upon placing an outbound call to (866) 613-6856, a prerecorded message plays that begins, "Thank you for calling Citibank. This call may be monitored or recorded." 33. Telephone number (877) 587-5726 is associated with Citibank. 34. Upon placing an outbound call to (877) 587-5726, a prerecorded message plays that begins, "Thank you for calling Citibank. This call may be monitored or recorded."

35. In connection with its calls to telephone number (423) XXX-5868, Citibank delivered

artificial or prerecorded voice messages.

36. For example, on March 21, 2022, Citibank delivered a prerecorded voice message to

the voice mail box associated with telephone number (423) XXX-5868, which stated:

This is not a sales call. We look forward to speaking with you. Thank you. You may also access your account information online at www.toro-exmark.accountonline.com. This is Citibank with a message for Rhonda E. Holder about your Toro Exmark credit card program. Please press pound at any time to continue to our automated payment system or call Citibank at 866-613-6856. This is not a sales call. We look forward to speaking with you. Thank you. You may also access your account information online at www.toro-exmark.accountonline.com.

37. Plaintiff listened to the March 21, 2022 prerecorded voice message Citibank delivered

to his cellular telephone.

38. As another example, on April 5, 2022, Citibank delivered a prerecorded voice

message to the voice mail box associated with telephone number (423) XXX-5868, which stated:

Thank you for being a part of the Citibank family. We know you're busy but if you could please just take a few minutes to login at the website for your retail credit card issued by Citibank to review your current status it would be appreciated. If you prefer to call us please feel free to as it is always great to get a chance to speak with one of our valued clients. Our toll-free number is 1-877-587-5727. Once again, thanks for being a valued Citibank customer.

39. Plaintiff listened to the April 5, 2022 prerecorded voice message Citibank delivered to

his cellular telephone.

40. Citibank also delivered prerecorded voice messages to the voice mail box associated

with telephone number (423) XXX-5868 on, among other dates, March 24, 2022, March 25, 2022,

March 28, 2022, March 30, 2022, March 31, 2022, April 1, 2022, April 2, 2022, April 4, 2022, and

April 6, 2022.

41. Plaintiff listened to each artificial or prerecorded voice message Citibank delivered to his cellular telephone.

42. On at least one occasion, and in response to Citibank's calls to telephone number (423) XXX-5868, Plaintiff spoke with Citibank and, during the ensuing conversation, Citibank informed Plaintiff that Citibank did not intend to reach Plaintiff and that Citibank was looking for someone else.

43. Plaintiff is not Rhonda E. Holder.

44. Plaintiff does not know anyone named Rhonda E. Holder.

45. Plaintiff did not give anyone named Rhonda E. Holder permission to use his cellular telephone.

46. Plaintiff did not give anyone named Rhonda E. Holder permission to use telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

47. Citibank's records will identify each call it placed to telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

48. Citibank's records will identify each prerecorded voice message it played or delivered, or attempted to play or deliver, to telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

49. Citibank did not have Plaintiff's prior express consent to place any calls to telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

50. Citibank did not have Plaintiff's prior express consent to deliver artificial or prerecorded voice messages to telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

51. Plaintiff never provided telephone number (423) XXX-5868 to Citibank.

52. Plaintiff does not have any business relationship with Citibank.

53. Plaintiff does not have, and never had, an account with Citibank.

54. Plaintiff does not, and never did, owe any money to Citibank.

55. Plaintiff is not an authorized user of any Citibank credit card.

56. Citibank did not place any calls to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 for emergency purposes.

57. Citibank placed its calls and delivered artificial or prerecorded voice messages to telephone number (423) XXX-5868 under its own free will.

58. Citibank had knowledge that it was using an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with calls it placed to telephone number (423) XXX-5868.

59. Plaintiff suffered actual harm as a result Citibank's calls and prerecorded voice messages in that he suffered an invasion of privacy, an intrusion into his life, a private nuisance, and was forced to spend time attempting to get Citibank's calls and prerecorded voice messages to stop.

60. Upon information and good faith belief, Citibank, as a matter of pattern and practice, places calls using an artificial or prerecorded voice, absent prior express consent, to telephone numbers assigned to a cellular telephone service.

Class Action Allegations

61. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b) on behalf of himself and a class of similarly situated individuals as defined below:

All persons and entities throughout the United States (1) to whom Citibank, N.A. placed a call in connection with a credit card account, (2) directed to a number assigned to a cellular telephone service, but not assigned to a Citibank, N.A. customer or authorized user, (3) with an artificial or prerecorded voice, (4) from January 29, 2022 through the date of class certification.

Excluded from the class are Citibank, its officers and directors, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Citibank has or had a controlling interest. 62. The proposed class is so numerous that, upon information and belief, joinder of all members is impracticable.

63. The exact number of class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be determined through appropriate discovery.

64. The proposed class is ascertainable because it is defined by reference to objective criteria.

65. In addition, and upon information and belief, the cellular telephone numbers of all members of the class can be identified in business records maintained by Citibank and third parties, including class members.

66. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class because all of the class members' claims originate from the same conduct, practice and procedure on the part of Citibank, and Plaintiff possesses the same interests and has suffered the same injuries as each class member.

67. Like all members of the proposed class, Plaintiff received artificial or prerecorded voice messages from Citibank regarding a Citibank credit card account, without his consent, in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227.

68. Like all members of the proposed class, Plaintiff received artificial or prerecorded voice messages from Citibank even though he does not have a Citibank credit card account and is not an authorized user of a Citibank credit card.

69. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class and has retained counsel experienced and competent in class action litigation.

70. Plaintiff's counsel currently serve as Court-appointed Class Counsel in a TCPA class action against Citibank. *See Head v. Citibank, N.A.*, No. 3:18-cv-080189-ROS (D. Ariz.). The last day of the class period in that case is January 28, 2022.

71. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with the members of the class that he seeks to represent.

72. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable.

73. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual members of the class may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impracticable for the members of the class to individually redress the wrongs done to them.

74. There will be little difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

75. Issues of law and fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions that may affect only individual members, in that Citibank has acted on grounds generally applicable to the class.

76. Among the issues of law and fact common to the class are:

- a. Citibank's violations of the TCPA as alleged in this class action complaint;
- b. Citibank's use of an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with its calls;
- c. Citibank's practice of delivering artificial or prerecorded voice messages to wrong or reassigned cellular telephone numbers;
- d. Whether Citibank is liable for artificial or prerecorded voice messages it delivered to persons who did not have an account with it; and
- e. the availability of statutory damages.

77. Absent a class action, Citibank's violations of the law will be allowed to proceed without a full, fair, judicially supervised remedy.

Count I: Violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) On behalf of Plaintiff and the class

78. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every factual allegation contained in paragraphs 1-77.

79. Citibank violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by utilizing an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with calls it placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, without his consent.

80. As a result of Citibank's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii), Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

(a) Determining that this action is a proper class action and designating Plaintiff as the class representative under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

(b) Adjudging that Citibank violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii), and enjoining Citibank from continuing to place calls by using an artificial or prerecorded voice to Plaintiff and members of the proposed class without their prior express consent, and from committing further violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii);

(c) Awarding Plaintiff and members of the class statutory damages pursuant to 47U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) in an amount up to \$1,500.00 per violation;

(d) Awarding Plaintiff and members of the class their reasonable costs, expenses,
 and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, including expert fees, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal
 Rules of Civil Procedure; and

(e) Awarding other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Case 1:22-cv-00089 Document 1 Filed 04/11/22 Page 10 of 11 PageID #: 10

Dated: April 11, 2022

<u>/s/ Shireen Hormozdi</u> Shireen Hormozdi HORMOZDI LAW FIRM, LLC 1770 Indian Trail Lilburn Road Suite 175 Norcross, GA 30093 Tel: (678) 395-7795 shireen@norcrosslawfirm.com

Michael L. Greenwald* GREENWALD DAVIDSON RADBIL PLLC 5550 Glades Road, Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33431 Tel: (561) 826-5477 mgreenwald@gdrlawfirm.com

Matthew R. Wilson* MEYER WILSON CO., LPA 305 W. Nationwide Blvd. Columbus, OH 43215 Tel: (614) 224-6000 mwilson@meyerwilson.com

* To seek admission pro hac vice

Counsel for Plaintiff and the proposed class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Tennessee

)

))))

)

))

-

Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-89

Robert Newton, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Citibank, N.A.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Citibank, N.A.

c/o CT Corporation System 111 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10011

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Shireen Hormozdi Hormozdi Law Firm, LLC 1770 Indian Trail Lilburn Road Suite 175 Norcross, GA 30093

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-89

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	This summons for (nan ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any)							
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual a							
			on (date)	; or					
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)								
		-	n of suitable age and discretion who res						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
		ons on (name of individual)		, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)	; or					
	\Box I returned the summ	returned the summons unexecuted because							
	Other (<i>specify</i>):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty								
Date:									
			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	. This form, approved by the	e Judicial Conference o	of the Unit	ed States in September						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
Robert Newton, on behalf of himself and others simila			arly							
situated.(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bradley				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
-	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)							
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
	Address, and Telephone Number			Attorneys (If Known	n)					
	di, 1770 Indian Trail GA 30093 t: 678.39؛		te.							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRIN	NCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in ()ne Box fo	or Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government			CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for P (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF D							
Plaintiff	× 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	Citizen of This State		I I Incorporated or Principal Place I 0 0 Business In This State				4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	Citizen of Another State		2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Pla of Business In Another Stat			5	5
				n or Subject of a [eign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	БО				e for: <u>Nature of S</u>			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	<u>RIS</u> PERSONAL INJUR'		RFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure			NKRUPTCY opeal 28 USC 158	OTHER S		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical 		of Property 21 USC 881) Other		423 Wi 28 PROPE	ithdrawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS	376 Qui Tar 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus	n (31 USC)) eapportion st	ment
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			H	820 Co 830 Pa	pyrights tent	430 Banks a 450 Comme		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	l				tent - Abbreviated w Drug Application	460 Deporta 470 Rackete		ced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability					ademark		Organizat	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR) Fair Labor Standards			fend Trade Secrets t of 2016	480 Consum (15 US	ner Credit C 1681 or	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act				× 485 Telepho	one Consu	,
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	720) Labor/Management Relations			AL SECURITY A (1395ff)	Protecti 490 Cable/S		
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage) Railway Labor Act		862 Bl	ack Lung (923)	850 Securiti		odities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	75	I Family and Medical Leave Act	H		WC/DIWW (405(g)) ID Title XVI	Exchan 890 Other S		octions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 79) Other Labor Litigation			SI (405(g))	891 Agricul	-	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	79	Employee Retirement Income Security Act		EDIDIDI	RAL TAX SUITS	893 Environ 895 Freedon		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	2	Income Security Act			xes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	1 01 111011	nation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence					Defendant)	896 Arbitrat		
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			S—Third Party 6 USC 7609	899 Admini Act/Rev	strative Pr view or Ap	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of		462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions				Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		-
		Confinement								
	noved from 3 1 te Court 2	Remanded from	4 Reins Reop	ened Anoth (speci	her Di <i>ify)</i>	strict	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct Fr	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	re filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional s	tatutes	unless a	liversity):					
	Brief description of ca Violations of the Teleph	use: none Consumer Protectio	on Act							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Image: Complexity of the product of				EMAND \$	AND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in compla JURY DEMAND: ×Yes No					nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCH	KET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY C	F RECORD						
April 11, 2022		/s/ Shireen Hormozd	li							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										

RECEIPT # Case^M¶^Uℤ2-cv-00089 Doctime™² Filed 04/11/2^{ℤDG}Page 1 of 1 Page 109#: 14

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Citibank Hit with Class Action Over</u> <u>Alleged Robocalls to Wrong Numbers</u>