UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.

ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PBG DELIVERY DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs, ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, by and through undersigned counsel, sue the Defendants, PBG DELIVERY DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually, and for their causes of action, declare and aver as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated current and former delivery drivers of Defendants to recover from the Defendants unpaid minimum wages and overtime compensation, liquidated damages, costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, as well as for declaratory and injunctive relief, under the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* (hereinafter referred to as "the FLSA"), and specifically under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. Defendants are in the restaurant food delivery service business and employed Plaintiffs, as well as all others similarly situated, within the meaning of the FLSA, to deliver food from restaurants that do not provide delivery services to patrons who order the food through an online system.

- Skarzynski owns, operates and manages the corporate Defendant, and is active in the day to day management of all aspects of the business.
- 4. In order to avoid, *inter alia*, paying minimum wages and overtime compensation under the law, Defendants misclassify their delivery drivers as 1099 independent contractors. Instead, the Defendants pay the drivers a range of fifty cents (\$.50) to two dollars (\$2.00) per delivery, plus any tips received from patrons, and often require the delivery drivers to work as much as 50-60 hours per week.

PARTIES

- Plaintiffs ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN are citizens and residents of Duval County, Florida, and worked for Defendants in Palm Beach County, Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. Defendant, PBG Delivery Dudes LLC, is a Florida limited liability company, organized and existing under the laws of Florida, with its principal place of business located at 993 Sanctuary Cove Drive, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410. At all times relevant, PBG Delivery Dudes LLC, was engaged in transportation and delivery services in Florida.
- 7. Defendant, Michal Skarzynski is the owner, operator and/or manager of PBG Delivery Dudes LLC, and controls PBG Delivery Dudes LLC, through day to day management, supplying delivery orders, website/mobile app, and centralizing hiring. Skarzynski resides at 993 Sanctuary Cove Drive, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and by 29 U.S.C.
 § 216(b).
- 9. Based on the corporate Defendant's principal address and place of business, the individual Defendant's residency, and the fact that the acts complained of are within the Southern District of Florida, venue is appropriate in the Southern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

COVERAGE

- 10. Defendants have more than two employees and generate more than \$500,000.00 in annual revenue.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendants were, and continue to be, engaged in interstate commerce as defined by the FLSA. Indeed, Defendants engage in interstate commerce by employing delivery drivers who use instrumentalities of commerce, including but not limited to processing credit card transactions, use of the telephone and internet, and online ordering through the centralized delivery dudes website.
- 12. Moreover, at all times material hereto, during their employment with Defendants, Plaintiffs were engaged in interstate commerce. For example, Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated delivery drivers, processed payments from Defendants' customers using a cellular phone-based payment processing method like "Square." Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated delivery drivers, made deliveries from restaurants, including local restaurants and national chains whose food has traveled in interstate commerce.
- 13. The individual Defendant acted directly and indirectly in the interest of the corporate Defendant, in relation to the Plaintiffs, and similarly situated delivery drivers, with regard to pay classification, pay methodology, pay rates, hours and the terms and conditions of employment. The individual Defendant sets policies and procedures such as work hours, delivery hours, delivery locations, and participating restaurants administers the centralized website and social media platforms, and dispatches drivers and distributes orders through the website and email/text message to drivers.
- 14. Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated delivery drivers, performed a service that was integral to the operation of the Defendants' food delivery business. Indeed, the Defendants' entire business model is economically dependent on the delivery drivers, and the delivery drivers likewise are economically dependent on the Defendants for their livelihood.

- 15. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action, and who Plaintiffs believe are entitled to notification of the pendency of this action, and of their right to opt-in to this action, are current and former delivery drivers of Defendants, who are or were subject to the payroll practices and procedures described in the paragraphs below, all of whom were employed by the Defendants.
- 16. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. § 201-19 in that Plaintiffs and those current and former delivery drivers similarly situated to Plaintiffs, while employed by Defendants and unlawfully misclassified as independent contractors for purposes of avoiding minimum wage and overtime compensation eligibility, performed hours of service for Defendants during one or more workweeks, for which Defendants failed to properly pay minimum wages and overtime premiums.
- 17. Plaintiffs, and those current and former employees similarly situated to Plaintiffs, are and were unlawfully misclassified as independent contractors. Defendants pay the delivery drivers, including Plaintiffs, fifty cents (\$.50) to two dollars (\$2.00) per delivery "flat fee" for each completed delivery, plus tips.
- 18. Delivery drivers for Defendants' business typically work eight (8) hour shifts, though commonly more hours were necessary -- if, for example, a delivery came in right at the end of a shift.
- 19. During such a typical shift, it is common for a delivery driver to make 6–7 deliveries, such that the delivery drivers make a total of less than the minimum wage (not including tips) for the entire shift, let alone per hour.
- 20. The federal minimum wage for employees is \$7.25 and the Florida state minimum wage for these same employees currently is \$8.25 per hour. Previously, the Florida state minimum wage ranged from \$8.05 in 2015 to \$8.25 as of January 1, 2018.
- 21. Plaintiffs did not control their own schedules; rather, they were subject to the schedule of

Defendants and relied on them for all of their business. The same applies for all of Defendants' delivery drivers.

- Plaintiffs wore Delivery Dudes uniforms during all relevant times during their employment.
 The same applies for all of Defendants' delivery drivers.
- 23. Plaintiffs were required to make deliveries assigned to them through a central dispatching system. The same applies for all of Defendants' delivery drivers.
- 24. In the course of their employment with the Defendants, Plaintiffs, and other current and former delivery drivers similarly situated, worked the number of hours required, many times in excess of forty (40) per workweek, but Defendants did not pay a proper minimum hourly wage nor proper overtime premiums for any hours worked in excess of forty (40).
- 25. The pay practices of the Defendants, as described in the above paragraphs, violated the FLSA by failing to pay proper minimum wages and overtime compensation to Plaintiffs, and those other current and former delivery drivers similarly situated to Plaintiffs, for those hours worked per workweek, including in excess of forty (40).
- 26. The pay practices of the Defendants, as described in the above paragraphs, also violated Florida law by failing to pay proper minimum wages to Plaintiffs, and those other current and former delivery drivers similarly situated to Plaintiffs, for those hours worked per workweek.
- 27. During the three (3) years preceding the filing of this lawsuit, Defendants have (1) employed and continue to employ individuals similarly situated to Plaintiffs (*i.e.* delivery drivers); (2) classified and continue to classify these individuals as 1099 independent contractors; and (3) suffered or permitted to be suffered, with knowledge, hours of service by these individuals, including in excess of forty (40) during one or more workweeks, for which Defendants failed to pay proper minimum wages and/or overtime premiums for those hours worked in excess of forty (40).
- 28. Each improperly classified (and therefore improperly paid) delivery driver who performed

and/or continues to perform services for Defendants, for any time period during the three (3) years preceding this lawsuit, is entitled to notification of the pendency of this action and of his/her right to consent to becoming a party to this action.

COUNT I - RECOVERY OF UNPAID OVERTIME - FEDERAL LAW (FLSA)

- 29. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 28 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. Plaintiffs are entitled to be paid time and one-half for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek and to have such overtime calculated in accordance with Federal Regulations. All similarly situated employees are similarly owed time and one-half, calculated properly, for those overtime hours they worked and for which they were not properly paid.
- 31. By reason of the willful acts of the Defendants, all Plaintiffs (Plaintiffs and those similarly situated to them) have suffered damages plus incurred costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 32. As a result of the Defendants' violation of the Act, all Plaintiffs (Plaintiffs and those similarly situated to them) are entitled to liquidated damages in an amount equal to that which they are owed as unpaid overtime.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, and those similarly situated to them, who have or will opt-in to this action, demand judgment against Defendants for the overtime compensation payments due them for the hours worked by them for which they have not been properly compensated, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, and for all other relief the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II - RECOVERY OF MINIMUM WAGES -FEDERAL (FLSA) AND FLORIDA LAW

- 33. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 28 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. Plaintiffs are entitled to be paid the statutory minimum wages for each hour worked per workweek. All similarly situated employees are similarly owed minimum wages, for those

hours they worked and for which they were not properly paid.

- 35. By reason of the willful acts of the Defendants, all Plaintiffs (Plaintiffs and those similarly situated to them) have suffered damages plus incurred costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 36. As a result of the Defendants' violation of the Act, all Plaintiffs (Plaintiffs and those similarly situated to them) are entitled to liquidated damages in an amount equal to that which they are owed as unpaid overtime.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, and those similarly situated to hmm, who have or will opt-in to this action, demand judgment against Defendants for the minimum wages due them for the hours worked by them for which they have not been properly compensated, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, and for all other relief the Court deems just and proper.

PLAINTIFFS DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE

Dated: November 1, 2018 Boca Raton, FL Respectfully submitted,

/s Daniel R. Levine DANIEL R. LEVINE, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 0057861 E-Mail: DRL@PBL-Law.com ERICA A. GONSALVES, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 122538 E-Mail: EG@PBL-Law.com Padula Bennardo Levine, LLP 3837 NW Boca Raton Blvd., Suite 200 Boca Raton, FL 33431 Telephone: (561) 544-8900 Facsimile: (561) 544-8999 Counsel for Plaintiff

Plaintf (U.S. Government Defondant (U.S. Government D	1			
1. (a) PLAINTIFYS ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN, DEFENDANTS PRG DELLVERY DUDES LLC and SKARZYNSKI, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Duvul (XCEPT MUS. PLAINTPE CASES) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Duvul (XCEPT MUS. PLAINTPE CASES) (c) Autor System State and Plaintiff Duvul (XCEPT MUS. PLAINTPE CASES) County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (WCEPT MUS. PLAINTPE CASES) (d) Chemery (First Mark, Addres and Talepton Monder) Autor System State and Talepton Monder) Autor System State and Talepton Monder) (d) Check County Where Action Acts: Monde Act County Monde Act County Monde Act County (d) Check County Where Action Acts: Monde Act County Monde Act County Monde Act County Monde Act County (d) Check County Where Action Acts: Monde Act County Monde	by law, ex	law, for th	, ex	exc e p
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLANTEP CASED (Control of Control of Cont				
(c) Attomays (f/Ramm) (d) Daniel R. Levine, Lso, P. 240.148 Benard C. Levine LLP, 3837 WB Boon (d) Check County Where Action Acous: MANN D. 2015 (51) 544-8900 (d) Check County Where Action Acous: MANN D. 2016 (2015) (2016) (20	E LOCATIO	DCAT	TIO	10
I. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place on '%' in One Bas Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place on '%' in One Bas for 1 [2] 1 U.S. Government [] 3 Federal Querion (Fe Diversity Case Only) and One Bas for 1 [2] 1 U.S. Government [] 4 Diversity [] 5 General on This State [] 1 Interpretation of This State of Building Theory of Buil				
2 J. U.S. Government Givernity Case (Me) unit One Box (m-1) 2 J. U.S. Government (J.S. Government Not a Paro) Citizen of This Sure I I incorporated or Principal Place 2 U.S. Government I a Diversity Citizen of This Sure I I incorporated or Principal Place 1 Diversity Gitzen of Sul Code Descriptions Compared on Principal Place Citizen of Sul Code Descriptions Othersity (Code Descriptions) 1 Diversity Contract FERSONAL INVILY PERSONAL INVILY PERSO				
2 1 U.S. Government (U.S. Government Net a Party) Citizen of This State (U.S. Government Net a Party) DEF (U.S. Government (U.S. Government Net a Party) DEF (U.S. Government Defendant		-	-	
Definition (Indicate Clinicroship of Parties in Iton III) Of Business In Another State Contract	PTF I	PŤF	r r	
Protection Construct Proceeding Construct <t< td=""><td>□ 5</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>C</td></t<>	□ 5	5	5	C
CONTRACT TORTS FOREFUTURE/EPENALY DANKENPTCY DANKENPTCY OTHERS 3 110 insurance 310 Arighane 310 Arighane 310 Arighane 350 Feronal Injury 630 Other 737 Other 640 Other 737 Other 640 Other 737 Other 640 Other 737 Other 640 Other 737 Other 740 Other	6	6	5	
110 insurance PFRSONAL INURY PFRSONAL INURY 23 Dag Related Science 422 Agead 28 USC 158 33 75 Fabe Cab 130 Miller Act 313 Arlphane Product 1ability 690 Other 690 Other 28 Unidational 32 80 Unidational 372 9(a) 140 Negotiable Instrument 313 Arlphane Product 1ability 690 Other 690 Other 28 USC 157 372 9(a) 151 Medicare Act 313 Arlphane Product 1ability 690 Adsetsol Fernonal 98 Reseat 490 Banks and 151 Medicare Act 313 Original 303 Adsetsol Fernonal 980 Adsetsol Fernonal 980 Adsetsol Fernonal 980 Adsetsol Fernonal 980 Ocher 980 Other 98			a Minister S	
I Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Re-filed (See VI below) 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation Transfer 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File 9 R VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S) (See instructions): a) Re-filed Case IVES NO b) Related Cases IVES NO VII. CAUSE OF ACTION (See instructions): a) Re-filed Case IVES NO b) Related Cases IVES NO VII. CAUSE OF ACTION JUDGE: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act LENGTH OF TRIAL via 2-3 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case) DEMAND \$1,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in con VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$1,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in con JURY DEMAND: Yes IVE SIGNATURE dE ATTORNEY OF RECORD	Claims Act m (31 USC eapportionn st and Banking erce ation eer Influence ganizations mer Credit Sat TV ies/Commoc statutory Act tural Acts mental Mat m of Informa- tion strative Proc or Appeal c cision	ns Acta 31 US portion Banki n Influen Influen Ization Credit FV Comm ntory <i>I</i> al Acts ntal Acts ntal N f Infor titive P. Appea on	Act JSC ionn sking ons dit nmoc cts V Act cts V Act cts Proc	cecco acecco acecco action atternat
RE-FILED CASE(S) JUDGE: DOCKET NUMBER: VII. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless) VII. CAUSE OF ACTION 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act LENGTH OF TRIAL via 2-3 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case) VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$1,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in conjugate to the provide to the prov	Remanded Appellate			
VII. CAUSE OF ACTION 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act LENGTH OF TRIAL via 2-3 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case) VIII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$1,000,000.00 CHECK VES only if demanded in col JURY DEMAND: Yes SIGNATURE dE ATTORNEY OF RECORD				
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$1,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in con JURY DEMAND: JURY DEMAND: Y Yes SIGNATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY-KNOWLEDGE SIGNATURE de ATTORNEY OF RECORD	ess diversity	divers	ersity	lty)
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY-KNOWLEDGE	-	•		t:
	🗆 No	No	1	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT IFP JUDGE MAG JUDGE				

Case 9:18-cv-81495-BB Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 11/01/2018 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

	S DISTRICT COURT
Southern Di	strict of Florida
Soutien Di	strict of Florida
ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,)))
Plaintiff(s)	
ν.	Civil Action No.
PBG DELIVERY DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually,)))
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: <i>(Defendant's name and address)</i> Michal Skarzynski as Registered Agent for	
PBG DELIVERY DUDES I 993 Sanctuary Cove Drive Palm Beach Gardens, FL	,

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Daniel R. Levine, Esquire Padula Bennardo Levine, LLP 3837 NW Boca Raton Blvd., Suite 200 Boca Raton, FL 33431 (561) 544-8900

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 9:18-cv-81495-BB Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 11/01/2018 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nam	e of individual and title, ij	f any)	
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·	
	□ I personally served	the summons on the i	ndividual at <i>(place)</i>	
		······································	On (date)	; or
	□ I left the summons a	at the individual's res	idence or usual place of abode with (name)	
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who resi	ides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed	a copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	□ I served the summore	ns on <i>(name of individua</i>	1)	, who is
	designated by law to a	ccept service of proce	ess on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	□ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted becau	use	; or
	□ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and	d \$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00 ·
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this in	formation is true.	
Date:				
Dutt			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 9:18-cv-81495-BB Document 1-3 Entered on FLSD Docket 11/01/2018 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Southern District of Florida			
ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff(s)</i> v. PBG DELIVERY DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually, <i>Defendant(s)</i>)))))))))		
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) Michal Skarzynski			

993 Sanctuary Cove Drive Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Daniel R. Levine, Esquire Padula Bennardo Levine, LLP 3837 NW Boca Raton Blvd., Suite 200 Boca Raton, FL 33431 (561) 544-8900

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 9:18-cv-81495-BB Document 1-3 Entered on FLSD Docket 11/01/2018 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons	s for (name of individual and title, if any)		
received by me or	1 (date)		
I personally	y served the summons on the individual a	t (place)	
		on (date)	; or
I left the su	mmons at the individual's residence or u		
		1 of suitable age and discretion who	resides there,
on (date)		he individual's last known address; (
□ I served the	summons on <i>(name of individual)</i>		, who i
	law to accept service of process on beha	lf of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
I returned t	he summons unexecuted because		; 01
🗖 Other (specij	fv):		
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of	\$ 0.00
T 1 1 1		· .	
I declare under	r penalty of perjury that this information	is true.	s.
•		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.

ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PBG DELIVERY DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually,

Defendants.

CONSENT TO JOIN

Plaintiff, Adam Mostel, by and through undersigned counsel, files this his Notice of Consent

to Join, as follows:

I consent to opt-in to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against, Defendants, PBG DELIVERY

DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually, in

order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Signature

ADAM MOSTEL

Case 9:18-cv-81495-BB Document 1-4 Entered on FLSD Docket 11/01/2018 Page 2 of 2

Dated: October 24, 2018 Boca Raton, FL Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s Daniel R. Levine</u> DANIEL R. LEVINE, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 0057861 E-Mail: <u>DRL@PBL-Law.com</u> ERICA A. GONSALVES, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 122538 E-Mail: <u>EG@PBL-Law.com</u> Padula Bennardo Levine, LLP 3837 NW Boca Raton Blvd., Suite 200 Boca Raton, FL 33431 Telephone: (561) 544-8900 Facsimile: (561) 544-8999 Counsel for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.

ADAM MOSTEL and DREW PORTALATIN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PBG DELIVERY DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually,

Defendants.

CONSENT TO JOIN

Plaintiff, Drew Portalatin, by and through undersigned counsel, files this his Notice of

Consent to Join, as follows:

I consent to opt-in to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against, Defendants, PBG DELIVERY

DUDES LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and MICHAL SKARZYNSKI, individually, in

order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Signature

DREW PORTALATIN

Case 9:18-cv-81495-BB Document 1-5 Entered on FLSD Docket 11/01/2018 Page 2 of 2

Dated: October 31, 2018 Boca Raton, FL Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s Daniel R. Levine</u> DANIEL R. LEVINE, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 0057861 E-Mail: <u>DRL@PBL-Law.com</u> ERICA A. GONSALVES, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 122538 E-Mail: <u>EG@PBL-Law.com</u> Padula Bennardo Levine, LLP 3837 NW Boca Raton Blvd., Suite 200 Boca Raton, FL 33431 Telephone: (561) 544-8900 Facsimile: (561) 544-8999 Counsel for Plaintiffs

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>PBG Delivery Dudes Sued by Ex-Drivers Over Allegedly Unpaid Minimum, OT Wages</u>