### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GENAIA MOJER, on behalf of herself

and similarly situated employees,

٧.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION 3: 18-0V-470

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED** 

**ON FEBRUARY 26, 2018** 

AMERICARE HOME SOLUTIONS

LLC,

CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

Defendant.

### COMPLAINT - CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

Genaia Mojer ("Plaintiff") brings this class/collective action lawsuit against Americare Home Solutions LLC ("Defendant"), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. §§ 333.101, et seq. Plaintiff's FLSA claim is asserted as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), while her PMWA claim is asserted as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. See Knepper v. Rite Aid Corp., 675 F.3d 249 (3d Cir. 2012) (collective and class claims may proceed together in same action).

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction over the FLSA claim is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
  - Jurisdiction over the PMWA claim is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367. 2.

3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff resides in Scranton, PA (Lackawanna County).
- 5. Plaintiff is an employee covered by the FLSA and the PMWA.
- 6. Defendant is a corporation headquartered in Avoca, PA (Lackawanna County).
- 7. Defendant is covered by the FLSA because it is an enterprise engaged in commerce and has annual gross sales (much of which are received from federal programs such as Medicare and Medicaid) of over \$500,000.

### **FACTS**

- 8. Defendant is in the business of providing home care services to the elderly, the disabled, and other clients in need of such services.
- 9. Defendant currently employs over 80 individuals who are paid, inwhole or in-part, on an hourly basis and hold positions such as, for example, Caregiver or Home Health Aid. These individuals will be referred to as "hourly employees."
  - 10. Plaintiff works for Defendant as an hourly employee.
- 11. Plaintiff's paycheck is issued by "AMERICARE HOME SOLUTIONS LLC."
  - 12. Plaintiff and other hourly employees often work over 40 hours per

week. For example, during the two-week period ending on January 14, 2018, Defendant credited Plaintiff with working 115.25 hours.

overtime premium compensation for hours worked over 40 per week. In particular, employees must be paid an overtime premium equaling 150% of their "regular rate." See 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1); 43 P.S. § 333.104(c). Seeking to avoid the financial consequences of this mandate, Defendant maintains a policy of reducing the hourly employees' pay rates during weeks in which they work over 40 hours. For example, during weeks in which Plaintiff does not work overtime, Defendant pays her \$10.00/hour. But, during weeks in which Plaintiff works over 40 hours, Defendant pays her only \$9.00/hour. As alleged in Counts I and II below, this policy demonstrates a willful and reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA and PMWA provisions.

### **CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 14. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and brings her PMWA claim as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all individuals who, during the past three years, have been employed by Defendant, paid (in-whole or in-part) an hourly wage, and credited with working over 40 hours during any week.
  - 15. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because

Plaintiff and other putative collective members, having worked pursuant to the common policies described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.

- 16. Class action treatment of Plaintiff's PMWA claim is appropriate because, as alleged below, all of Rule 23's class action requisites are satisfied.
- 17. The class is readily ascertainable based on Defendant's standard payroll records and is so numerous that joiner of all class members is impracticable.
- 18. Plaintiff is a class member, her claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and she has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.
- 19. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests, and she has retained competent and experienced counsel who will effectively represent the class members' interests.
- 20. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, because, inter alia, this action concerns Defendant's companywide pay policies, as described herein. The legality of these policies will be determined through the application of generally applicable legal principles to a common set of facts.
- 21. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil

  Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over

questions affecting only individual class members and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

## COUNT I (Alleging FLSA Violations)

- 22. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Plaintiff and the collective are employees entitled to the FLSA's protections.
  - 24. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA.
- 25. The FLSA entitles employees to overtime compensation of "not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed." 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1). "While the words 'regular rate' are not defined in the [FLSA], they obviously mean the hourly rate actually paid *for the normal, non-overtime workweek.*" Walling v. Helmerich & Payne, Inc., 323 U.S. 37 (1944) (emphasis supplied); accord 29 C.F.R. § 778.108; Walling v. Youngerman-Reynolds Hardwood Co., Inc., 325 U.S. 419, 424 (1945); United States v. Rosenwasser, 323 U.S. 360, 363-64 (1945).
- 26. Defendant has violated the FLSA by reducing the hourly rate paid to Plaintiff and the collective during weeks in which they are credited with working over 40 hours.

27. In violating the FLSA, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions and, thus, has committed a willful violation of the FLSA.

# COUNT II (Alleging PMWA Violations)

- 28. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Plaintiff and the class are employees entitled to the PMWA's protections.
  - 29. Defendant is an employer covered by the PMWA.
- 30. The PMWA requires that employees receive overtime premium compensation "not less than one and one-half times" the employee's regular pay rate for hours worked over 40 per week. See 43 P.S. § 333.104(c). Under the PMWA, the term "regular rate" should be construed consistently with FLSA principles to mean the hourly rate actually paid for the normal, non-overtime workweek.
- 31. Defendant has violated the FLSA by reducing the hourly rate paid to Plaintiff and the collective during weeks in which they are credited with working over 40 hours.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the proposed class and collective, seeks the following relief:

- A. Unpaid overtime wages (including overtime wages) and prejudgment interest;
  - B. Liquidated damages;
  - C. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees; and
  - D. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Date: February 26, 2018

Respectfully,

Peter Winebrake R. Andrew Santillo

Mark J. Gottesfeld

WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC

715 Twining Road, Suite 211

Dresher, PA 19025

Phone: (215) 884-2491

pwinebrake@winebrakelaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### **CONSENT TO BECOME PARTY PLAINTIFF**

I hereby consent, pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to become a party plaintiff in the accompanying FLSA action. I understand that I will be bound by the judgment of the Court on all issues in this case.

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

3:18-00-470

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

Citizen of This State	I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Genala Mojer 1327 Amherst Street Scranton, PA 18504 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS Americare Home Solutions LLC 709 Main Street Avoca, PA 18641 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Lackawanna (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
U.S. Government   Plaintiff   Society   Continue   Co	Winebrake & Santillo, LL	r, PA	Attorneys (If Known)									
1 U.S. Government	II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)				INCI	PAL	PARTIES			
Citizen or Subject of	U.S. Government Plaintiff  3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				PTF DEF PTF DE P						DEF	
V. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Bas Colly)	☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant						of Business In A					
10 Iturannec			Foreign Country									
10 Itaurance   PERSONAL INJURY   PERSONAL INJURY   310 Applare Product Liability   310 Applare Product Liability   310 Applare   315 Applare   3	IV. IVAIORE OF BOIL (Finite air A in one abouting)											
Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 5 Transfer 5 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer 7 Direct File  VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. sec. 201, et seq.  Brief description of cause: Failure to pay proper overtime under federal ans state law.  VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: 9 Yes No  VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  (See instructions):	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 460 Other Personal Injury 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability  Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detaince 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 550 Civil Detaince Conditions of	1	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 90 Other  10 Pair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		422 /	Appeal Withdra 28 USC Copyrig Patent New D Tradem RES HIA G RES G TTaxes G TTaxes G TTaxes G TTaxes G TTRE G TTAXES TTAXES G TTAXES TTAXE	28 USC 158 awal C 157  Abbreviated rug Application ark Heloga 395ff) DIWW (405(g)) itle XVI D5(g))  (U.S. Plaintiff endant) Chird Party	375 False C 376 Qui Tai 3729(a) 400 State R. 410 Antirua 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deports Corrupt 480 Consun 490 Cable/S 350 Security 850 Security 870 Rackets Corrupt 2893 Environ 895 Freedon Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admini Act/Ree	laims Act m (31 USC )) capportion and Bankir cree ation correction	unent  ng  aced and tions  odities/ actions  attern mation
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION    Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. sec. 201, et seq.	1 Original 2 Re	moved from	Appellate Court	Reo	pened An (sp	nother <i>eclfy)</i>	Distric	et	Litigation Transfer	n -	Litigati	on -
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.  VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  (See instructions):	VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. sec. 201, et seq.  Brief description of cause: Failure to pay proper overtime under federal ans state law.											
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):	VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2		N (	DEMAND \$					*		
	VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			DO	CKET	NUMBER _			
DATE 2/26/18 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD PETER WWEBRAKE	DATE 2/26/18		//.		of record	Per	ER	4	MEBRA	KE		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT# AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG, JUDGE	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		סמע	3E			MAG, JU	DGE		-

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Accuses Americare Home Solutions of Paying Employees Reduced Overtime Wages</u>